



PROBABILITY THEORY 0

作者：徐知南而北游
时间：2025 年 7 月 7 日

目录

| | | |
|----------|--|----------|
| 1 | Probability Space and Random Variable | 1 |
| 1.1 | Probability space | 1 |
| 2 | Convergence Concepts | 2 |
| 2.1 | Convergence: almost sure, in probability, and in L^p | 2 |
| 2.2 | Borel Cantelli lemma | 3 |
| 3 | Law of Large Numbers | 4 |
| 3.1 | simple theorems | 4 |
| 3.2 | Weak law of large numbers | 5 |
| 3.3 | Three series theorem | 6 |
| 3.4 | Strong law of large numbers | 9 |

Chapter 1

Probability Space and Random Variable

§ 1.1 Probability space

A probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ contains three elements:

- The space Ω : this is a non-empty set. It can be viewed as the set of all possible outcomes.
- The σ -field \mathcal{F} : this can be viewed as a collection of all the events.
- The probability measure \mathbb{P} : this is a function from \mathcal{F} to $[0, 1]$. It gives a probability to each event.

【Definition 1.1】 Suppose \mathcal{F} is a non-empty collection of subsets of Ω .

- It is a field, if it is closed under complementation and closed under union:

$$A \in \mathcal{F} \implies A^c \in \mathcal{F}$$

$$A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{F} \implies A_1 \cup A_2 \in \mathcal{F}$$

- It is a monotone class if

$$A_j \in \mathcal{F}, A_j \subset A_{j+1}, 1 \leq j < \infty \implies \bigcup_j A_j \in \mathcal{F}.$$

$$A_j \in \mathcal{F}, A_j \supset A_{j+1}, 1 \leq j < \infty \implies \bigcap_j A_j \in \mathcal{F}.$$

- It is a σ -field if it is closed under complementation and closed under countable union:

$$A \in \mathcal{F} \implies A^c \in \mathcal{F}.$$

$$A_j \in \mathcal{F}, 1 \leq j < \infty \implies \bigcup_j A_j \in \mathcal{F}.$$

【Lemma 1.2】 A field is a σ -field \iff it is a monotone class.

Proof.

1. (\implies)
2. (\impliedby)

□

【Definition 1.3】 Given any collection \mathcal{C} of sets, the σ -field (resp. monotone class) generated by \mathcal{C} is the intersection of all σ -fields (resp. monotone classes) containing \mathcal{C} .

Chapter 2

Convergence Concepts

§ 2.1

Convergence: almost sure, in probability, and in L^p

【Definition 2.1】 (Almost sure convergence (a.s.)) The sequence of random variables $\{X_n\}$ converges a.s. to the random variable X if there exists a null set \mathcal{N} such that

$$\lim_n X_n(\omega) = X(\omega), \quad \forall \omega \in \Omega \setminus \mathcal{N} \quad (2.1)$$

【Definition 2.2】 (Convergence in probability) The sequence $\{X_n\}$ converges in probability to the random variable X if, for every $\epsilon > 0$, we have

$$\lim_n \mathbb{P}[|X_n - X| > \epsilon] = 0 \quad (2.2)$$

【Definition 2.3】 (Convergence in L^p) Assume $p \geq 1$. The sequence $\{X_n\}$ converges in L^p to the random variable X if $X_n \in L^p, X \in L^p$ and

$$\lim_n \mathbb{E}[|X_n - X|^p] = 0 \quad (2.3)$$

【Lemma 2.4】 The sequence $\{X_n\}$ converges a.s. to $X \iff$, for any $\epsilon > 0$, we have

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}[|X_n - X| \leq \epsilon, \quad \forall n \geq m] = 1 \quad (2.4)$$

Proof.

1. (2.1) \implies (2.4)

2. (2.1) \longleftarrow (2.4)

□

【Proposition 2.5】 Assume $p > 0$. Then $X_n \rightarrow X$ in $L^p \implies X_n \rightarrow X$ in probability.

Proof. Assume $X_n \rightarrow X$ in L^p . For any $\epsilon > 0$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}[|X_n - X| > \epsilon] \leq \epsilon^{-p} \mathbb{E}[|X_n - X|^p] \rightarrow 0 \quad (2.5)$$

□

【Remark 2.6】 (2.5) is also called Markov inequality

Borel Cantelli lemma

【Definition 2.7】 Let $\{E_n\}$ be a sequence of subsets in \mathcal{F} . Define

$$\limsup_n E_n = \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n \geq m} E_n, \quad \liminf_n E_n = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n \geq m} E_n$$

Note that

$$\liminf_n E_n = \left(\limsup_n E_n^c \right)^c$$

【Theorem 2.8】 (Borel Cantelli lemma) .

- For arbitrary sequence $\{E_n\}$, we have

$$\sum_n \mathbb{P}[E_n] < \infty \implies \mathbb{P}[E_n \text{ i.o.}] = 0$$

- If the events $\{E_n\}$ are independent, we have

$$\sum_n \mathbb{P}[E_n] = \infty \implies \mathbb{P}[E_n \text{ i.o.}] = 1$$

Proof.

□

Chapter 3

Law of Large Numbers

§ 3.1 simple theorems

【Theorem 3.1】 If the X_j 's are uncorrelated and their second moments have a common bound, then

$$\frac{S_n - \mathbb{E}(S_n)}{n} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } L^2 \quad (3.1)$$

【Remark 3.2】 hence also in pr.

Proof. By Chebyshev inequality □

【Theorem 3.3】 If the X_j 's are uncorrelated and their second moments have a common bound, then (3.1) holds almost surely

Proof. Without loss of generality we may suppose that $\mathcal{E}(X_j) = 0$ for each j , so that the X_j 's are orthogonal. then

$$\sigma^2(S_n) = \sum_{j=1}^n \sigma^2(X_j) \implies \mathbb{E}(S_n^2) \leq Mn,$$

where M is a bound for the second moments. It follows by Chebyshev's inequality that for each $\epsilon > 0$ we have

$$\sum_n \mathbb{P}\{|S_n| > n^2\epsilon\} = \sum_n \frac{M}{n^2\epsilon^2} < \infty$$

Hence by Borel-Cantelli lemma we have

$$\mathbb{P}\{|S_n| > n^2\epsilon \text{ i.o.}\} = 0 \quad \forall \epsilon \iff \frac{S_n}{n^2} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{a.e.}$$

for each $n \geq 1$:

$$D_n = \max_{n^2 \leq k < (n+1)^2} |S_k - S_{n^2}|$$

Then we have

$$\mathbb{E}(D_n^2) \leq 2n\mathbb{E}\left(|S_{(n+1)^2} - S_{n^2}|^2\right) = 2n \sum_{j=n^2+1}^{(n+1)^2} \sigma^2(X_j) \leq 4n^2M$$

By Chebyshev's inequality

$$\mathbb{P}\{D_n > n^2\epsilon\} \leq \frac{4M}{\epsilon^2 n^2}$$

It follows as before that

$$\frac{D_n}{n^2} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{a.e.}$$

Then we have

$$\frac{|S_k|}{k} \leq \frac{|S_{n^2}| + D_n}{n^2}$$

which implies (3.1) □

Weak law of large numbers

【Definition 3.4】 Two sequences of random variables $\{X_n\}$ and $\{Y_n\}$ are equivalent if

$$\sum_n \mathbb{P}[X_n \neq Y_n] < \infty$$

【Theorem 3.5】 If $\{X_n\}$ and $\{Y_n\}$ are equivalent, then

$$\sum_n (X_n - Y_n) \quad \text{converges almost surely}$$

Furthermore if $a_n \uparrow \infty$, then

$$\frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{j=1}^n (X_j - Y_j) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{almost surely}$$

Proof. By the Borel-Cantelli lemma, X_n, Y_n are equivalent implies that

$$\mathbb{P}\{X_n \neq Y_n \text{ i.o.}\} = 0$$

This means that there exists a null set N with the following property: if $\omega \in \Omega \setminus N$, then there exists $n_0(\omega)$ such that

$$n \geq n_0(\omega) \implies X_n(\omega) = Y_n(\omega)$$

Thus for such an ω , the two numerical sequences $\{X_n(\omega)\}$ and $\{Y_n(\omega)\}$ differ only in a finite number of terms (how many depending on ω). In other words, the series

$$\sum_n (X_n(\omega) - Y_n(\omega))$$

consists of zeros from a certain point on. Both assertions of the theorem are trivial consequences of this fact. \square

【Theorem 3.6】 Let $\{X_n\}$ be i.i.d. with finite mean m . Define $S_n = \sum_{j=1}^n X_j$, then we have

$$\frac{S_n}{n} \rightarrow m, \quad \text{in probability.}$$

Proof. Denote by μ the common law of X_n 's, and suppose $Z \sim \mu$. Since $Z \in L^1$, we have

$$\sum_n \mathbb{P}[|Z| \geq n] < \infty$$

We introduce random variables Y_n 's by truncating X_n 's:

$$Y_n = X_n \mathbb{1}_{\{|X_n| \leq n\}}$$

Then

$$\sum_n \mathbb{P}[X_n \neq Y_n] = \sum_n \mathbb{P}[|X_n| > n] = \sum_n \mathbb{P}[|Z| \geq n] < \infty$$

Hence $\{Y_n\}$ and $\{X_n\}$ are equivalent. Define $T_n = \sum_{j=1}^n Y_j$. If we prove

$$\frac{T_n - \mathbb{E}[T_n]}{n} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in probability}$$

then the conclusion follows, because $\mathbb{E}[T_n]/n \rightarrow m$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}[|T_n - \mathbb{E}[T_n]| \geq n\epsilon] \leq \frac{\text{var}(T_n)}{n^2\epsilon^2}$$

It suffices to show $\text{var}(T_n) = o(n^2)$. Let us calculate $\text{var}(T_n)$.

$$\text{var}(T_n) = \sum_{j=1}^n \text{var}(Y_j) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}[Y_j^2] = \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}[Z^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{|Z| \leq j\}}]$$

The most naive estimate is the following:

$$\text{var}(T_n) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}[Z^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{|Z| \leq j\}}] \leq \sum_{j=1}^n j^2 = O(n^3)$$

The less naive estimate is the following:

$$\text{var}(T_n) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}[Z^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{|Z| \leq j\}}] \leq \sum_{j=1}^n j \mathbb{E}[|Z| \mathbb{1}_{\{|Z| \leq j\}}] \leq \sum_{j=1}^n j \mathbb{E}[|Z|] = O(n^2)$$

But we desire a control of $o(n^2)$. To improve it, let $\{a_n\}$ be a sequence of integers such that $1 \leq a_n \leq n$, $a_n \rightarrow \infty$, but $a_n = o(n)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{var}(T_n) &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}[Z^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{|Z| \leq j\}}] = \sum_{j \leq a_n} + \sum_{a_n < j \leq n} \\ &= \sum_{j \leq a_n} \mathbb{E}[Z^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{|Z| \leq j\}}] + \sum_{a_n < j \leq n} \mathbb{E}[Z^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{|Z| \leq a_n\}}] + \sum_{a_n < j \leq n} \mathbb{E}[Z^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{a_n < |Z| \leq j\}}] \\ &\leq \sum_{j \leq a_n} a_n \mathbb{E}[|Z|] + \sum_{a_n < j \leq n} a_n \mathbb{E}[|Z|] + \sum_{a_n < j \leq n} n \mathbb{E}[|Z| \mathbb{1}_{\{a_n < |Z| \leq j\}}] \\ &\leq O(1)na_n + O(1)n^2 \mathbb{E}[|Z| \mathbb{1}_{\{|Z| > a_n\}}] \end{aligned}$$

The first term is $na_n = o(n^2)$ because $a_n = o(n)$; the second term is also $o(n^2)$ because $\mathbb{E}[|Z| \mathbb{1}_{\{|Z| > a_n\}}] \rightarrow 0$ since $a_n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, we have $\text{var}(T_n) = o(n^2)$ as desired. \square

【Example 3.7】 Let $\{X_n\}$ be i.i.d. with the common law given by

$$\mathbb{P}[Z = n] = \mathbb{P}[Z = -n] = \frac{c}{n^2 \log n}, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots,$$

where c is a normalizing constant. Define $S_n = \sum_{j=1}^n X_j$. It is clear that $\mathbb{E}[|Z|] = \infty$, but we have

$$\frac{S_n}{n} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{in probability}$$

Proof.

\square

§3.3 Three series theorem

【Lemma 3.8】 Let $\{X_n\}$ be independent random variables such that $\mathbb{E}[X_n] = 0$ and $\mathbb{E}[X_n^2] < \infty$. Define $S_n = \sum_{j=1}^n X_j$. Then we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |S_j| \geq \epsilon\right] \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[S_n^2]}{\epsilon^2}$$

Proof. Fix $\epsilon > 0$ and define

$$\Lambda = \left\{ \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |S_j| \geq \epsilon \right\}$$

Define $T = \min \{j : |S_j| \geq \epsilon\}$ to be the first time that $|S_j|$ exceeds ϵ , and define $\Lambda_k = \{T = k\}$:

$$\Lambda_k = \left\{ \max_{1 \leq j \leq k-1} |S_j| < \epsilon, |S_k| \geq \epsilon \right\}$$

Note that Λ_k 's are disjoint and $\Lambda = \sqcup_{k=1}^n \Lambda_k$. We have

$$\mathbb{E}[S_n^2 \mathbb{1}_\Lambda] = \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E}[S_n^2 \mathbb{1}_{\Lambda_k}] = \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E}[S_k^2 \mathbb{1}_{\Lambda_k} + 2S_k(S_n - S_k) \mathbb{1}_{\Lambda_k} + (S_n - S_k)^2 \mathbb{1}_{\Lambda_k}]$$

Note that $S_k \mathbb{1}_{\Lambda_k}$ and $S_n - S_k$ are independent, thus

$$\mathbb{E}[S_k(S_n - S_k) \mathbb{1}_{\Lambda_k}] = \mathbb{E}[S_k \mathbb{1}_{\Lambda_k}] \mathbb{E}[(S_n - S_k)] = 0$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}[S_n^2 \mathbb{1}_\Lambda] = \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E}[S_k^2 \mathbb{1}_{\Lambda_k} + (S_n - S_k)^2 \mathbb{1}_{\Lambda_k}] \geq \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E}[S_k^2 \mathbb{1}_{\Lambda_k}] \geq \sum_{k=1}^n \epsilon^2 \mathbb{P}[\Lambda_k] = \epsilon^2 \mathbb{P}[\Lambda].$$

Thus we have $\mathbb{P}[\Lambda] \leq \mathbb{E}[S_n^2] / \epsilon^2$, as desired \square

Lemma 3.9 Let $\{X_n\}$ be independent random variables which are bounded: there exists a constant A such that $|X_n| \leq A$ almost surely for all n . Define $S_n = \sum_{j=1}^n X_j$. Then we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |S_j| \leq B\right] \leq \frac{(2B + A)^2}{\text{var}(S_n)}.$$

Proof. Define $T = \min \{j : |S_j| > B\}$ to be the first time that $|S_j|$ exceeds B . Then we have

$$\{T > k\} = \left\{ \max_{1 \leq j \leq k} |S_j| \leq B \right\}, \quad \{T = k\} = \left\{ \max_{1 \leq j \leq k-1} |S_j| \leq B, |S_k| > B \right\}$$

We need to give an upper bound for $\mathbb{P}[T > n] \text{var}(S_n)$. Let us consider the expectation and the variance of S_k on $\{T > k\}$:

$$a_k := \mathbb{E}[S_k \mathbb{1}_{\{T > k\}}] / \mathbb{P}[T > k], \quad \mathbb{E}[(S_k - a_k)^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{T > k\}}].$$

It is clear that $|a_k| \leq B$. We write

$$\mathbb{E}[(S_{k+1} - a_{k+1})^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{T > k+1\}}] = \mathbb{E}[(S_{k+1} - a_{k+1})^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{T > k\}}] - \mathbb{E}[(S_{k+1} - a_{k+1})^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{T = k+1\}}].$$

For the first term,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}[(S_{k+1} - a_{k+1})^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{T > k\}}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[(S_k - a_k + X_{k+1} - a_{k+1} + a_k)^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{T > k\}}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[(S_k - a_k)^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{T > k\}}] + \mathbb{E}[(X_{k+1} - a_{k+1} + a_k)^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{T > k\}}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[(S_k - a_k)^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{T > k\}}] + \mathbb{E}[(X_{k+1} - a_{k+1} + a_k)^2] \mathbb{P}[T > k] \\ &\geq \mathbb{E}[(S_k - a_k)^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{T > k\}}] + \text{var}(X_{k+1}) \mathbb{P}[T > k]. \end{aligned}$$

The last \geq uses the fact $\mathbb{E}[(X - c)^2] \geq \text{var}(X)$ for all $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

For the second term,

$$\mathbb{E}[(S_{k+1} - a_{k+1})^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{T = k+1\}}] = \mathbb{E}[(S_k + X_{k+1} - a_{k+1})^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{T = k+1\}}].$$

Note that, $|S_k| \leq B$ on $\{T = k + 1\}$, and $|X_{k+1}| \leq A$, and $|a_{k+1}| \leq B$. Thus, for the second term,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[(S_{k+1} - a_{k+1})^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{T=k+1\}} \right] \leq (2B + A)^2 \mathbb{P}[T = k + 1].$$

Combining the two estimates, we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left[(S_{k+1} - a_{k+1})^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{T > k+1\}} \right] \geq \mathbb{E} \left[(S_k - a_k)^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{T > k\}} \right] + \text{var}(X_{k+1}) \mathbb{P}[T > k] - (2B + A)^2 \mathbb{P}[T = k + 1].$$

Summing over k , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[(S_n - a_n)^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{T > n\}} \right] &\geq \mathbb{E} \left[(X_1 - a_1)^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{T > 1\}} \right] + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \text{var}(X_{k+1}) \mathbb{P}[T > k] - (2B + A)^2 \mathbb{P}[2 \leq T \leq n] \\ &\geq \mathbb{E} \left[(X_1 - a_1)^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{T > 1\}} \right] + (\text{var}(S_n) - \text{var}(X_1)) \mathbb{P}[T > n] - (2B + A)^2 \mathbb{P}[2 \leq T \leq n] \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\text{var}(S_n) \mathbb{P}[T > n] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[(S_n - a_n)^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{T > n\}} \right] + \text{var}(X_1) \mathbb{P}[T > n] + (2B + A)^2 \mathbb{P}[2 \leq T \leq n].$$

Note that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[(S_n - a_n)^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{T > n\}} \right] = \mathbb{E} [S_n^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{T > n\}}] - a_n^2 \mathbb{P}[T > n] \leq B^2 \mathbb{P}[T > n].$$

Thus

$$\text{var}(S_n) \mathbb{P}[T > n] \leq B^2 \mathbb{P}[T > n] + A^2 \mathbb{P}[T > n] + (2B + A)^2 \mathbb{P}[2 \leq T \leq n] \leq (2B + A)^2$$

□

【Theorem 3.10】 Let $\{X_n\}$ be independent random variables and define the truncation for a fixed constant $A > 0$:

$$Y_n = X_n \mathbb{1}_{\{|X_n| \leq A\}}$$

Then the series $\sum_n X_n$ converges almost surely \iff the following three series all converge:

$$\sum_n \mathbb{P}[|X_n| > A], \quad \sum_n \mathbb{E}[Y_n], \quad \sum_n \text{var}(Y_n).$$

Proof. Suppose the three series all converge.

$$\text{the first series converges} \implies \sum_n \mathbb{P}[X_n \neq Y_n] < \infty \iff \{X_n\}, \{Y_n\} \text{ are equivalent}$$

it suffices to show that $\sum_n Y_n$ converges almost surely.

$$\text{the second series converges} \implies \left(\sum_n Y_n \text{ converges a.s.} \iff \sum_n (Y_n - \mathbb{E}[Y_n]) \text{ converges a.s.} \right)$$

Let us consider the tail of this series

$$T(n, m) := \sum_{j=n}^m (Y_j - \mathbb{E}[Y_j])$$

We need to show that, almost surely, the oscillation

$$W_n := \max_{\ell \geq k \geq n} |T(k, \ell)|$$

is small when n is large. Fix $\epsilon > 0$, by Lemma 3.8, we have

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\max_{n \leq j \leq m} |T(n, j)| \geq \epsilon/2 \right] \leq 4\epsilon^{-2} \sum_{j=n}^m \text{var}(Y_j)$$

Let $m \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\max_{j \geq n} |T(n, j)| \geq \epsilon/2 \right] \leq 4\epsilon^{-2} \sum_{j \geq n} \text{var}(Y_j)$$

For $\ell \geq k \geq n$, we have

$$T(k, \ell) = T(n, \ell) - T(n, k)$$

Thus

$$\mathbb{P}[W_n \geq \epsilon] = \mathbb{P} \left[\max_{\ell \geq k \geq n} |T(k, \ell)| \geq \epsilon \right] \leq \mathbb{P} \left[\max_{j \geq n} |T(n, j)| \geq \epsilon/2 \right] \leq 4\epsilon^{-2} \sum_{j \geq n} \text{var}(Y_j)$$

Since the third series converges, we have

$$\lim_n \mathbb{P}[W_n \geq \epsilon] = 0.$$

Since the sequence of events $\{W_n \geq \epsilon\}$ is decreasing in n , we have

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\lim_n W_n \geq \epsilon \right] = 0$$

Let $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\lim_n W_n = 0 \right] = 1$$

This implies the almost sure convergence.

Suppose $\sum_n X_n$ converges almost surely, then we have

$$\mathbb{P}[|X_n| > A \text{ i.o.}] = 0$$

Then Borel Cantelli lemma guarantees the convergence of the first series. As a consequence, the sequences $\{Y_n\}$ and $\{X_n\}$ are equivalent, hence $\sum_n Y_n$ converges almost surely as well. By Lemma 3.9, we have

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\max_{n \leq k \leq m} \left| \sum_{j=n}^k Y_j \right| \leq 1 \right] \leq \frac{(A+2)^2}{\sum_{j=n}^m \text{var}(Y_j)}$$

If the third series diverges, then the right hand-side will go to zero as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Hence the tail of $\sum_n Y_n$ almost surely would not be bounded by one, so the series could not converge. This confirms the convergence of the third series. By the proof of direction of \Leftarrow , the convergence of the third series implies the convergence of $\sum_n (Y_n - \mathbb{E}[Y_n])$. Combining with the convergence of $\sum_n Y_n$, we have the convergence of the second series. \square

§ 3.4

Strong law of large numbers

【Lemma 3.11】 (Kronecker's lemma) Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence of real numbers, $\{a_n\}$ be a sequence of numbers such that $0 < a_n \uparrow \infty$. Then

$$\sum_n \frac{x_n}{a_n} \text{ converges} \implies \frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \rightarrow 0$$

【Theorem 3.12】 Let $\{X_n\}$ be i.i.d. Define $S_n = \sum_{j=1}^n X_j$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[|X_1|] < \infty &\implies \frac{S_n}{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}[X_1], \text{ almost surely;} \\ \mathbb{E}[|X_1|] = \infty &\implies \limsup_n \frac{|S_n|}{n} = \infty, \text{ almost surely.} \end{aligned}$$