# The Commutation of the $\Gamma$ Operators

## An Algebraic Proof for One-Part Partitions

Let  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  be one-part partitions — i.e. integers. We wish to show the identity

$$\langle \mu | \Gamma_{-}(b)\Gamma_{+}(a) | \lambda \rangle = \frac{1}{1 - ab} \langle \mu | \Gamma_{+}(a)\Gamma_{-}(b) | \lambda \rangle.$$

We begin with the right side. We have that

$$\langle \mu | \Gamma_{+}(a) \Gamma_{-}(b) | \lambda \rangle = \sum_{\mu > \eta < \lambda} b^{|\lambda| - |\eta|} a^{|\mu| - |\eta|}.$$

Let  $M = \max(\lambda, \mu)$  and  $m = \min(\lambda, \mu)$ . Since  $\eta$  can be at most a one-part partition, we can write the previous equation as

$$\sum_{\mu \geq \eta \leq \lambda} b^{\lambda - \eta} a^{\mu - \eta} = \sum_{0 \leq \eta \leq m} b^{\lambda - \eta} a^{\mu - \eta}.$$

Now let's consider the left side of the identity. Since the first operator is  $\Gamma_+$ , we will be summing over  $\eta$  that are at most two-partitions, which we can write as  $\eta = (\eta_1, \eta_2)$ . Then we have

$$\begin{split} \langle \mu | \, \Gamma_-(b) \Gamma_+(a) \, | \lambda \rangle &= \sum_{\mu < \eta > \lambda} b^{|\eta| - |\lambda|} a^{|\eta| - |\mu|} \\ &= \sum_{\eta_1 \geq M} \sum_{0 \leq \eta_2 \leq m} a^{\eta_1 + \eta_2 - \lambda} b^{\eta_1 + \eta_2 - \mu} \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq \eta_2 \leq m} \sum_{\eta_1 \geq M} a^{\eta_1 + \eta_2 - \lambda} b^{\eta_1 + \eta_2 - \mu} \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq \eta_2 \leq m} \sum_{\eta_1 \geq 0} a^{\eta_1 + \eta_2 - \lambda + M} b^{\eta_1 + \eta_2 - \mu + M} \,. \end{split}$$

Now  $\lambda + \mu = m + M$ , so  $M - \lambda = \mu - m$  and  $M - \mu = \lambda - m$ . Since  $0 \le \eta_2 \le m$ , we have that  $-m \le \eta_2 - m \le 0$ . Therefore, setting  $i = -(\eta_2 - m)$ , we have

$$\langle \mu | \Gamma_{-}(b)\Gamma_{+}(a) | \lambda \rangle = \sum_{0 \leq \eta_{2} \leq m} \sum_{\eta_{1} \geq 0} a^{\eta_{1} + \eta_{2} + \mu - m} b^{\eta_{1} + \eta_{2} + \lambda - m}$$

$$= \sum_{0 \leq i \leq m} \sum_{\eta_{1} \geq 0} a^{\eta_{1} + \mu - i} b^{\eta_{1} + \lambda - i}$$

$$= \sum_{0 \leq i \leq m} \sum_{\eta_{1} \geq 0} (ab)^{\eta_{1}} a^{\mu - i} b^{\lambda - i}$$

$$= \frac{1}{1 - ab} \sum_{0 \leq i \leq m} a^{\mu - i} b^{\lambda - i}$$

$$= \frac{1}{1 - ab} \langle \mu | \Gamma_{+}(a)\Gamma_{-}(b) | \lambda \rangle,$$

as required. Thus  $\Gamma_+$  and  $\Gamma_-$  commute for one-part partitions.

## A Combinatorial Proof for Arbitrary Partitions

#### $\Gamma_{+}$ commutes with $\Gamma_{-}$

We now give a combinatorial proof of the commutation for any partitions  $\mu$  and  $\lambda$  with an equal number of parts, say m. This amounts to a bijection sending  $\eta$  with  $\mu < \eta > \lambda$  to another partition  $\eta'$  with  $\mu > \eta' < \lambda$  and an integer n, such that

$$a^{|\eta|-|\lambda|}b^{|\eta|-|\mu|} = (ab)^n b^{|\lambda|-|\eta'|}a^{|\mu|-|\eta'|}$$

We accomplish this using toggles. Toggling  $\eta$  with respect to  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  produces a partition  $\eta'$  as follows: we set  $\eta'_i = \min(\lambda_i, \mu_i) + \max(\lambda_{i+1}, \mu_{i+1}) - \eta_{i+1}$  for  $1 \le i \le m$ , where we set  $\lambda_{m+1} = \mu_{m+1} = 0$ . We then take  $n = \eta_1 - \max(\lambda_1, \mu_1)$ .

We have  $\mu < \eta > \lambda$  if and only if  $\min(\lambda_i, \mu_i) \ge \eta_{i+1} \ge \max(\lambda_{i+1}, \mu_{i+1})$  for  $1 \le i \le n$ , and  $\eta_1 \ge \max(\lambda_1, \mu_1)$ . But the first criterion is equivalent to

$$-\min(\lambda_i, \mu_i) \le -\eta_{i+1} \le -\max(\lambda_{i+1}, \mu_{i+1}),$$

which is equivalent to

$$\max(\lambda_{i+1}, \mu_{i+1}) \le \min(\lambda_i, \mu_i) + \max(\lambda_{i+1}, \mu_{i+1}) - \eta_{i+1} \le \min(\lambda_i, \mu_i),$$

and therefore

$$\max(\lambda_{i+1}, \mu_{i+1}) \le \eta_i' \le \min(\lambda_i, \mu_i).$$

But this is exactly the statement that  $\lambda > \eta' < \mu$ . And the second criterion is equivalent to  $n \ge 0$ . Now we can recover every  $\eta_i$  for i > 1 by  $\eta_i = \min(\lambda_{i-1}, \mu_{i-1}) + \max(\lambda_i, \mu_i) - \eta'_{i-1}$ , and we can recover  $\eta_1$  by  $\eta_1 = \max(\lambda_1, \mu_1) + n$ . Thus the operation is invertible.

It remains to show that the weights match — specifically, that  $|\eta| - |\lambda| = |\mu| - |\eta'|$ . We have that  $|\eta'| = |\lambda| + |\mu| - |\eta|$  since each entry of  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  is added to  $\eta'$  exactly once. Thus the weights are as required, and together with invertibility, our modified toggle is therefore a bijection. Combinatorially, this means a partition interlacing both  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  can be identified with its toggle relative to  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ , along with the amount that its first entry is larger than the minimum possible value.

### $\Gamma_{+}$ and $\Gamma_{-}$ commute with themselves

The logic is identical for both  $\Gamma_+$  and  $\Gamma_-$  — we will discuss the former. We wish to show

$$\sum_{\lambda < \eta < \mu} a^{|\eta| - |\lambda|} b^{|\mu| - |\eta|} = \sum_{\lambda < \eta < \mu} a^{|\mu| - |\eta|} b^{|\eta| - |\lambda|},$$

so in order to give a combinatorial proof, we will need to identify a partition  $\eta$  with  $\lambda < \eta < \mu$  with another partition  $\eta'$  also satisfying  $\lambda < \eta' < \mu$ , such that  $|\mu| - |\eta| = |\eta'| - |\lambda|$  and  $|\eta| - |\lambda| = |\mu| - |\eta'|$ . Once again, we can use toggles. Since  $\mu > \eta$ , the toggle of  $\eta$  relative to  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  no longer destroys its first entry, removing the free variable we labeled n in the previous section. We have

$$\eta_i' = \min(\lambda_{i-1}, \mu_i) + \max(\lambda_i, \mu_{i+1}) - \eta_i, i > 1,$$

$$\eta_1' = \mu_1 + \max(\lambda_1, \mu_2) - \eta_1.$$

As before, this ensures that  $\lambda < \eta' < \mu$ , and we also have that  $|\eta'| = |\lambda| + |\mu| - |\eta|$ . Rearranging, we see that  $|\mu| - |\eta| = |\eta'| - |\lambda|$  and  $|\eta| - |\lambda| = |\mu| - |\eta'|$ , as required.