## Mathematical Logic – Proofs by Resolution (recap)

$$P \to Q \equiv \neg P \lor Q$$
$$\neg (P \to Q) \equiv P \land \neg Q$$

The method:

- negate the statement

Pg 42 - convert to prenex form

- move quantifiers as prefix

Pg 43 - convert to skolem form

- remove quatifiers and replace with functions

- convert to clausal form = conj NF =  $(... \lor ...) \land (... \lor ...) ...$ 

Pg 58 - resolve by resolution (via unifications and substitutions)

Pg 37 - resolution for propositions

Pg 38-40 - examples

Pg 60 - ex 37, example of predicates resolution

- P ∧ Q → R processed as a whole

- or process separately P, Q and  $\neg R$ 

- why?

Pg 61 - Prolog computation

Pg 62 - example, the English succession

Pg 64 - Prolog execution of above

fact B.  $\{B\}$  definite clause  $B \leftarrow A_1, ..., A_n$ .  $\{\neg A_1, ..., \neg A_n, B\}$  goal  $\leftarrow A_1, ..., A_n$ .  $\{\neg A_1, ..., \neg A_n\}$