

## ANSWER SHEET 11

**Assignment 1.** (i).  $X^T X = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \begin{pmatrix} x_1^T \\ \vdots \\ x_n^T \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i x_i^T = X_{-k}^T X_{-k} + x_k x_k^T$ .

(ii). (a) It suffices to verify that

$$(A + uv^T) \left[ B - \frac{Buv^T B}{1 + v^T B u} \right] = I,$$

where we denote  $B = A^{-1}$  to simplify notation. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (A + uv^T) \left[ B - \frac{Buv^T B}{1 + v^T B u} \right] &= I - \frac{uv^T B}{1 + v^T B u} + uv^T B - \frac{u\{v^T B u\}v^T B}{1 + v^T B u} \\ &= I + uv^T B - \frac{uv^T B}{1 + v^T B u} (1 + v^T B u) \\ &= I. \end{aligned}$$

We used that  $AB = I$ , and that the expression  $\{v^T B u\}$  is a scalar and thus commutes with any matrix.

(b) Write  $C = X^T X$ . and use (a) :

$$\begin{aligned} (X_{-k}^T X_{-k}^T)^{-1} &= (C - x_k x_k^T)^{-1} \\ &= C^{-1} + \frac{C^{-1} x_k x_k^T C^{-1}}{1 - x_k^T C^{-1} x_k} \\ &= \left( I + \frac{C^{-1} x_k x_k^T}{1 - h_{kk}} \right) C^{-1} \\ &= \left( I + \frac{(X^T X)^{-1} x_k x_k^T}{1 - h_{kk}} \right) (X^T X)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used  $x_k^T C^{-1} x_k = (X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T)_{k,k} = h_{kk}$ .

(iii). Recall that  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)^T$  with  $y_j \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $e = (e_1, \dots, e_n)^T$  is the residual vector.

(a)  $X^T y = (x_1, \dots, x_n) y = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i = X_{-k}^T y + x_k y_k$ .

(b)

$$\begin{aligned} x_k^T (X^T X)^{-1} X_{-k}^T y &= x_k^T (X^T X)^{-1} (X^T y - x_k y_k) \\ &= \hat{y}_k - h_{kk} y_k \\ &= y_k - e_k - h_{kk} y_k \\ &= (1 - h_{kk}) y_k - e_k. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\beta}_{-k} &= \left( \sum_{i \neq k} x_i x_i^T \right)^{-1} \left( \sum_{i \neq k} x_i y_i \right) \\ &= (X_{-k}^T X_{-k})^{-1} X_{-k}^T y \\ &= \left( I + \frac{(X^T X)^{-1} x_k x_k^T}{1 - h_{kk}} \right) (X^T X)^{-1} X_{-k}^T y \\ &= (X^T X)^{-1} (X^T y - y_k x_k) + (1 - h_{kk})^{-1} (X^T X)^{-1} x_k x_k^T (X^T X)^{-1} X_{-k}^T y \end{aligned}$$

and using (b),

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\beta}_{-k} &= \hat{\beta} - (X^T X)^{-1} x_k y_k + (1 - h_{kk})^{-1} (X^T X)^{-1} x_k [(1 - h_{kk}) y_k - e_k] \\ &= \hat{\beta} - (1 - h_{kk})^{-1} e_k (X^T X)^{-1} x_k.\end{aligned}$$

(iv). We have

$$\hat{y} - \hat{y}_{-k} = X\hat{\beta} - X\hat{\beta}_{-k} = X(\hat{\beta} - \hat{\beta}_{-k}) = e_k(1 - h_{kk})^{-1} X(X^T X)^{-1} x_k,$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned}\|\hat{y} - \hat{y}_{-k}\|^2 &= (\hat{y} - \hat{y}_{-k})^T (\hat{y} - \hat{y}_{-k}) \\ &= e_k^2 (1 - h_{kk})^{-2} x_k^T (X^T X)^{-1} (X^T X) (X^T X)^{-1} x_k = e_k^2 (1 - h_{kk})^{-2} h_{kk}.\end{aligned}$$

Finally, recall that  $r_k = \frac{e_k}{s\sqrt{1-h_{kk}}}$ .

**Assignment 2.** We need to calculate the  $F_k$ 's defined in slide 406 :

	df	decrease in RSS	MS	$F$	$p$ -value
$x_4$	1	$\text{RSS}_0 - \text{RSS}_4 = 1831.9$	1831.9	$(1831.9/5.98) = 306.3$	$10^{-7}$
$x_3$	1	$\text{RSS}_4 - \text{RSS}_{34} = 708.2$	708.2	118.4	$10^{-6}$
$x_2$	1	$\text{RSS}_{34} - \text{RSS}_{234} = 101.89$	101.89	17.04	0.003
$x_1$	1	$\text{RSS}_{234} - \text{RSS}_{1234} = 25.95$	25.95	4.3	0.07
résidus	8	47.86	5.98		

The residual degrees of freedom is  $n - p = 13 - 5 = 8$  and each difference of RSS has one degree of freedom, as we add one variable at a time. For the  $F$ -test we use the quantiles of  $F_{1,8}$  distribution, and if the  $p$ -value is smaller than  $\alpha = 0.05$  we add the variable to the model. The results are very different from those in slide 407. Here we include the variables  $x_4$ ,  $x_3$  and  $x_2$  at level  $\alpha = 0.05$ , and even  $x_1$  at level 0.1. In slide 407 the model only included  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ . We see that the order matters in an analysis of variance.

**Assignment 3. a)** To decide whether to include the  $j$ -th variable or not in the model  $y = \beta_0 + \sum_{i \in L} \beta_i x_i$  we use the test statistic

$$F = \frac{\text{RSS}(\hat{\beta}_L) - \text{RSS}(\hat{\beta}_{L \cup \{j\}})}{\text{RSS}(\hat{\beta}_{\text{full}})/(13 - 5)},$$

where  $\hat{\beta}_{\text{full}}$  is the estimator of  $\beta$  in the complete model. Since  $\text{RSS}(\hat{\beta}_L) - \text{RSS}(\hat{\beta}_{L \cup \{j\}}) \sim \sigma^2 \chi_1^2$  under the null hypothesis  $H_0 : \beta_j = 0$ , and  $\text{RSS}(\hat{\beta}_{\text{full}}) \sim \sigma^2 \chi_{n-p}^2$  is independent of  $\text{RSS}(\hat{\beta}_L) - \text{RSS}(\hat{\beta}_{L \cup \{j\}})$ , we know that  $F \sim F_{1,8}$  under  $H_0$ . In particular, the distribution of  $F$  does not depend on the size of  $L$ , and the critical value of the  $F$ -test at 5% is always 5.32.

**Forward selection** At each step we consider adding the variable that leads to the largest decrease of RSS.

- Initial model :  $y = \beta_0 + \epsilon$
- Step 1 :  $y = \beta_0 + \beta_4 x_4 + \epsilon$ ,  $F = \frac{2715.8 - 883.9}{47.9/(13-5)} = 305.95 > 5.32$ .
- Step 2 :  $y = \beta_0 + \beta_4 x_4 + \beta_1 x_1 + \epsilon$ ,  $F = 135.13 > 5.32$ .
- Step 3 :  $y = \beta_0 + \beta_4 x_4 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \epsilon$ ,  $F = 4.47 < 5.32$ .

We choose the model  $y = \beta_0 + \beta_4 x_4 + \beta_1 x_1 + \epsilon$ .

**Backward selection** At each step we consider removing the variable that would lead to the smallest increase in RSS.

— Initial model :  $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \beta_3 x_3 + \beta_4 x_4 + \epsilon$

— Step 1 :  $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \beta_4 x_4 + \epsilon$ ,  $F = \frac{48-47.9}{47.9/(13-5)} = 0.0167 < 5.32$ .

— Step 2 :  $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \epsilon$ ,  $F = 1.65 < 5.32$ .

— Step 3 :  $y = \beta_0 + \beta_2 x_2 + \epsilon$ ,  $F = 141.70 > 5.32$ .

We choose the model  $y = \beta_0 + \beta_2 x_2 + \beta_1 x_1 + \epsilon$ .

- b) i) One uses Mallows'  $C_p$  like AIC : choose the model with the smallest value of  $C_p$ . In order to calculate the missing  $C_p$  values, we need to find  $s^2$ . This can be done using any model for which  $C_p$  is given. Alternatively, we can use its very definition :

$$s^2 = \frac{\|e_{\text{full}}\|^2}{n-p} = \frac{\text{RSS}_{\text{full}}}{13-5} = \frac{47.9}{8} = 5.99.$$

Here is the table with all  $C_p$  values :

model	RSS	$C_p$	model	RSS	$C_p$	model	RSS	$C_p$
- - - -	2715.8	442.58	1 2 - -	57.9	2.67	1 2 3 -	48.1	3.03
			1 - 3 -	1227.1	197.94	1 2 - 4	48.0	3.02
1 - - -	1265.7	202.39	1 - - 4	74.8	5.49	1 - 3 4	50.8	3.48
- 2 - -	906.3	142.37	- 2 3 -	415.4	62.38	- 2 3 4	73.8	7.325
- - 3 -	1939.4	314.90	- 2 - 4	868.9	138.12			
- - - 4	883.9	138.62	- - 3 4	175.7	22.34	1 2 3 4	47.9	5

- ii) With forward selection, we choose the model  $y = \beta_0 + \sum_{i \in \{1,2,4\}} \beta_i x_i$ . With backward selection we choose the model  $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \epsilon$ . This is also the model with the smallest value of  $C_p$ .

#### Assignment 4.

For the Gaussian linear model  $y \sim N(X\beta, \sigma^2 I_n)$ , the likelihood of  $(\beta, \sigma^2)$  is given by

$$L(\beta, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{n/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(y - X\beta)^t(y - X\beta)\right).$$

Then the log likelihood is

$$l(\beta, \sigma^2) = -\frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(y - X\beta)^t(y - X\beta).$$

We have that the m.l.e. for  $\beta$  and  $\sigma^2$  are

$$\hat{\beta} = (X^t X)^{-1} X^t y, \quad \hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n}(y - X\hat{\beta})^t(y - X\hat{\beta}).$$

Hence the maximum for the likelihood is achieved at

$$l(\hat{\beta}, \hat{\sigma}^2) = -\frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi\hat{\sigma}^2) - \frac{1}{2\hat{\sigma}^2} \underbrace{(y - X\hat{\beta})^t(y - X\hat{\beta})}_{=n\hat{\sigma}^2} = -\frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi) - \frac{n}{2} \log \hat{\sigma}^2 - \frac{n}{2}.$$

By definition of AIC, we obtain that

$$\text{AIC} = -2l(\hat{\beta}, \hat{\sigma}^2) + 2p = n \log(2\pi) + n \log \hat{\sigma}^2 + n + 2p = n \log \hat{\sigma}^2 + 2p + \text{const.}$$

**Assignment 5.**

We have that

$$\hat{\beta}_{-j} = \hat{\beta} - \frac{(y_j - \hat{y}_j)(X^t X)^{-1} x_j}{1 - h_{jj}}.$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_j^t \hat{\beta}_{-j} &= x_j^t \hat{\beta} - (1 - h_{jj})^{-1} x_j^t (X^t X)^{-1} x_j (y_j - \hat{y}_j) \\ &= \hat{y}_j - \frac{h_{jj}}{1 - h_{jj}} (y_j - \hat{y}_j) \\ &= \hat{y}_j + \left(1 - \frac{1}{1 - h_{jj}}\right) (y_j - \hat{y}_j) \\ &= \hat{y}_j + y_j - \hat{y}_j - \frac{1}{1 - h_{jj}} (y_j - \hat{y}_j) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$y_j - x_j^t \hat{\beta}_{-j} = \frac{1}{1 - h_{jj}} (y_j - \hat{y}_j).$$

If we use formula (1), we have to estimate all the  $\hat{\beta}_{-j}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , hence proceed to  $n$  adjustments. Instead formula (2), only the fitting of the full model is required.