# Solutions for Homework 3

Dr. Jordan Hanson - Whittier College Dept. of Physics and Astronomy

March 19, 2022

## 1 Problem 3.3

The Laplacian in spherical coordinates, assuming  $V(\mathbf{r})$  depends only on r, is

$$\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r^2 \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \right) = 0 \tag{1}$$

- Multiply both sides by r, assuming that  $r \neq 0$  (for the Laplacian has a potential singularity there).
- The quantity  $(r^2dV/dr)$  must be a constant because its derivative is zero.
- We have

$$r^2 \frac{dV}{dr} = k \tag{2}$$

$$\frac{dV}{dr} = \frac{k}{r^2} \tag{3}$$

$$V(r) = -\frac{k}{r} + C \tag{4}$$

Thus the 1/r dependence of potential with spherical symmetry is a consequence of Laplace's Equation.

The Laplacian in cylindrical coordinates, assuming  $V(\mathbf{r})$  depends only on s, is

$$\frac{1}{s}\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\left(s\frac{\partial V}{\partial s}\right) = 0\tag{5}$$

Using the same approach as the steps above, we find that s times dV/ds is a constant and that

$$V(s) = k \ln(s) + C \tag{6}$$

Imagine the solution for the potential surrounding a line charge, and notice that it follows this pattern.

### 2 Problem 3.5

Prove that the field is uniquely determined when the charge density  $\rho$  is given and either V or the normal derivative  $\partial V/\partial n$  is specified on each boundary surface. Do not assume the boundaries are conductors, or that V is constant over any given surface.

This proof follows closely the one on pp. 121-123, with one key difference. Start by assuming there are two solutions for the field within the volume  $\mathcal{V}$  where we find  $\rho$ . There is only one  $\rho$ , but there could be many objects (conductors or otherwise) within the volume, and one global surface containing them all. The two solutions follow the original reasoning:

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}_1 = -\frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0} \tag{7}$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}_2 = -\frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0} \tag{8}$$

$$\mathbf{E}_3 = \mathbf{E}_2 - \mathbf{E}_1 \tag{9}$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}_3 = 0 \tag{10}$$

$$\oint \mathbf{E}_3 \cdot d\mathbf{a} = 0 \quad (all \quad surfaces) \tag{11}$$

$$\mathbf{E}_3 = -\nabla V_3 \tag{12}$$

$$\nabla \cdot (V_3 \mathbf{E}_3) = V_3(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}_3) + \mathbf{E}_3(\nabla V_3) = 0 - \mathbf{E}_3 \cdot \mathbf{E}_3 = -E_3^2$$
(13)

Integrate the final line with respect to volume, over  $\mathcal{V}$ :

$$\int_{\mathcal{V}} \nabla \cdot (V_3 \mathbf{E}_3) d\tau = -\int E_3^2 d\tau \tag{14}$$

Use the divergence theorem on the left-hand side:

$$\oint V_3 \mathbf{E}_3 \cdot d\mathbf{a} = -\int E_3^2 d\tau \tag{15}$$

The integrand on the left hand is zero if:

- The potentials  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are specified on each surface. Then,  $V_3$  over each and every surface, and the integrand is zero.
- The normal derivatives  $\partial V_1/\partial n$  and  $\partial V_2/\partial n$  are specified. Then,  $\partial V_3/\partial n = -E_{3,\perp} = 0$ , and the integrand is zero.

Thus, either way, the integrand is zero and

$$\int E_3^2 d\tau = 0 \tag{16}$$

That means that  $E_3 = 0$ , and  $\mathbf{E}_2 = \mathbf{E}_1$ . The field is unique.

### 3 Problem 3.6

A more elegant proof of the second uniqueness theorem uses Green's identity (Problem 1.16c), with T = U = V. Supply the details.

And ... go!

$$\int_{\mathcal{V}} (V_3 \nabla^2 V_3 + \nabla V_3 \cdot \nabla V_3) d\tau = \oint (V_3 \nabla V_3) \cdot d\mathbf{a}$$
(17)

$$\int_{\mathcal{V}} (0 + \nabla V_3 \cdot \nabla V_3) d\tau = -\oint (V_3 \mathbf{E}_3) \cdot d\mathbf{a}$$
(18)

$$-\int_{\mathcal{V}} E_3^2 d\tau = -\oint (V_3 \mathbf{E}_3) \cdot d\mathbf{a} \tag{19}$$

$$\int_{\mathcal{V}} E_3^2 d\tau = \oint (V_3 \mathbf{E}_3) \cdot d\mathbf{a} \tag{20}$$

Using the same logic as the prior exercises completes the proof.

#### 4 Problem 3.13

Find the potential in the infinite slot of Ex. 3.3 if the boundary at x = 0 consists of two metal strips: one, from y = 0 to y = a/2, is held at a positive constant potential  $V_0$ , and the other, from y = a/2 to y = a, is held at a negative constant potential  $-V_0$ .

Using Ex. 3.3, one can arrive at

$$V(x,y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n e^{-n\pi x/a} \sin(n\pi y/a)$$
 (21)

$$C_n = \frac{2}{a} \int_0^a V_0(y) \sin(n\pi y/a) dy \tag{22}$$

The Fourier coefficient is found by applying the boundary condition:

$$C_n = \frac{2V_0}{a} \left( \int_0^{a/2} \sin(n\pi y/a) dy - \int_{a/2}^a \sin(n\pi y/a) dy \right) = \frac{2V_0}{n\pi} (1 + (-1)^n - 2\cos(n\pi/2))$$
 (23)

How do we generalize the result into a sum of solutions? Notice that the coefficient is zero if (a) n is odd, or (b) n is a multiple of 4. Otherwise, it turns into 4. Thus:

$$C_n = \frac{8V_0}{n\pi}, \quad n = (4j+2), \ j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$
 (24)

The solutions can be gathered into the series above like so:

$$V(x,y) = \frac{8V_0}{\pi} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-(4j+2)\pi x/a} \sin((4j+2)\pi y/a)}{4j+2}$$
 (25)