

Professional Evaluation and Growth Plan

Jordan C Hanson, PhD

August 8, 2021

Contents

1	Introduction	3
1.1	Beginning	3
1.1.1	Academic Origins	4
1.1.2	My Family, East Los Angeles, and COVID-19	5
2	Teaching	9
2.1	Teaching Philosophy: A Philosophy of Growth	9
2.2	Addressing Equity and Inclusion	9
2.2.1	Open Educational Resources (OER)	9
2.2.2	Making Arrangements for a Diverse Group of Students	9
2.2.3	Engaging with the Center for Engagement with Communities: Artemis Program	9
2.2.4	Influences on Course Creation: Latin American Science	9
2.3	Methods of Teaching Physics	9
2.3.1	Physics Education Research (PER) Modules	9
2.3.2	Traditional Teaching Modules	9
2.3.3	Laboratory Modules	9
2.3.4	Online Modules	10
2.4	Introductory Course Descriptions	10
2.5	Analysis of Course Evaluations: Introductory Courses	10
2.6	Advanced Course Descriptions	10
2.7	Analysis of Course Evaluations: Advanced Courses	10
2.8	Liberal Arts Course Descriptions	10
2.9	Analysis of Course Evaluations: Liberal Arts Courses	10
2.10	College Writing Seminar Course Descriptions	10
2.11	Analysis of Course Evaluations: College Writing Seminar	11
2.12	Outlook	11
3	Scholarship	12
3.1	The History of IceCube, Cosmic Rays, and Neutrinos from Deep Space	12
3.1.1	Why Antarctica?	12
3.1.2	Radio Expansions: IceCube Generation 2	12
3.2	My Professional Background	12
3.2.1	Prior to Whittier College	12
3.2.2	Successes with Our Students at Whittier College	12
3.2.3	Forming Connections with the Office of Naval Research (ONR)	12
3.3	Five Areas of Research Focus	12
3.3.1	Computational Electromagnetism	13
3.3.2	Mathematical Physics	14
3.3.3	Firmware, Software, and Hardware Development	16
3.3.4	Open-source Antenna Design	17
3.3.5	Drone Development and The Whittier Scholars Program	18
3.4	Invitation to Become a Member Institution of IceCube	18
3.5	CEM and Engineering with the ONR	19
3.5.1	CEM Phased Array Design for Radar	19
3.5.2	3D Printing of RF Antennas	19

3.5.3	Connections to Neutrino Physics Research	19
3.5.4	Broader Applications	19
3.6	My Vision for Collaboration between ONR and Whittier College	19
3.6.1	Building Student Success after Whittier College	19
3.6.2	Equipping Whittier College Laboratories	19
3.6.3	Financial Support	19
4	Advising and Mentoring	20
4.1	Connections to Teaching and Service	20
4.1.1	Inclusion, Community, and a Sense of Belonging	20
4.2	Advising and Mentoring First Year Students	20
4.2.1	First Year Advising, by the Numbers	20
4.2.2	Navigating the First Year	20
4.2.3	Discernment of Major	20
4.2.4	Equity of Access	20
4.2.5	Inclusion and Belonging: Activities with First Year Advisees	20
4.3	Advising and Mentoring Majors in Physics, ICS, and 3-2 Engineering	20
4.3.1	Discernment within STEM: Major Selection, and Diverse Pathways to Graduation	20
4.3.2	Graduate School	20
4.3.3	Private Sector	21
4.3.4	Letters of Recommendation	21
4.4	Advising and Mentoring Whittier Scholars Program Majors	21
4.4.1	Interdisciplinary Connections and Recruiting Students	21
4.4.2	Organization of Field Deployments	21
4.4.3	Organizing the Program of Study and Executing	21
4.4.4	Polishing the Finished Product	21
5	Service	22
5.1	Committee Service	22
5.1.1	Enrollment and Student Affairs Committee, Years 1 and 2	22
5.1.2	Educational Resources and Digital Liberal Arts Committee	22
5.1.3	Whittier Scholars Program Advisory Board	22
5.2	Departmental Service	22
5.2.1	Departmental Self-Study	22
5.2.2	Departmental Annual Assessment	22
5.3	First Year Orientation	22
5.3.1	Connection to Teaching and Advising	22
5.3.2	Inclusion and Belonging	22
5.4	Open Educational Resources (OER) Workshops	22
5.4.1	Open Educational Resources (OER) and Equity	23
5.4.2	The Tradition of Open Access/Open Source in STEM	23
5.4.3	Lectures at Wardman Library Collaboratory	23
5.5	Center for Engagement with Communities: The Artemis Program	23
5.5.1	Equity and Inclusion in STEM	23
5.5.2	Connections to Teaching at Whittier College	23
5.6	Summer Working Group Contribution	23
6	Conclusion	24
7	Supporting Materials	25

Chapter 1

Introduction



1.1 Beginning

Friends,

I have compiled a report on my progress as a liberal arts educator in the Department of Physics and Astronomy for the period of 2019 through 2021. The following is a reflection on the development of my educational and scholarly practices, and the service I have performed for the College as a mentor, advisor, and committee member. I strive to perfect my teaching abilities, and I am pleased to report that my students are learning and growing. In our last communication, after my supplemental PEGP from 2019, you concurred that my practices are serving the students well, and that meant a great deal to me. One note that stood out was a request to enrich my teaching philosophy by reflecting on how it serves the liberal arts. The given example was about the utility of physics to non-STEM students. I have put a lot of thought into this enrichment, I have progress to share with you.

I have included in my teaching philosophy (Sec. 2.1) my vision for the intersection of broader liberal arts education with physics, mathematics, computer science, and engineering, as I teach all of these. Further, I have created and taught new liberal arts courses in the *Connections 2* and *Culture 3* categories, as well as a College Writing Seminar on scientific and technical writing. I used these courses to show my students how physics, mathematics, and engineering intersect with the history of our ancestors and how we all use scientific modes of thought to thrive. In my College Writing Seminar, we sharpened the skills of conciseness, precision and clarity, and organization in writing. Though these skills apply to physics, they are useful in all writing in which abstract or difficult ideas are communicated.

At a PEGP workshop, a colleague emphasized weaving a narrative about who we are. I have shared my academic origins, and my vision for multi-disciplinary teaching and scholarship at Whittier College (Sec. 1.1.1). I have also written about my family and working during the pandemic (Sec. 1.1.2). I hope these sections provide you with helpful insight about our family and how we hope to serve Whittier College. We thank the FPC in advance for what is sure to be a difficult year of service. We also express our gratitude for allowing us to postpone the PEGP for one year. This helped my family avoid a difficult situation. My spouse is considered an essential worker, and was called back after a shortened maternity leave. I have been working as a full-time professor and a full-time parent *by myself* each day since that happened. Thankfully, my *suegra* (mother-in-law) has stepped in to help. I look forward to seeing you this Fall, and from our family to yours, we hope you are well.

Sincerely, Prof. Jordan C. Hanson

1.1.1 Academic Origins

We all share a common theme as professors, in that we encounter ideas that inspire us in college and graduate school. When I was an undergraduate at Yale University, my family was nudging me towards engineering. My curiosity, however, kept returning me to physics courses. In my heart, I knew that I wanted to offer fresh discoveries about the Universe to people, and I fell in love with enlightening others. I learned that the laws of physics morph and merge into one another as the energy of matter increases to relativistic scales where particles move near the speed of light. I also learned that deep from within the Universe originates a mysterious flux of sub-atomic particles ten thousand times more energetic than any human has ever created: *the cosmic rays*. The physics that explains their origin has remained unknown for a over a century, and it could reveal new fundamental laws of Nature. I applied for graduate school in the hopes of one day becoming a professor of physics.

The University of California at Irvine (UCI) is a pioneering institution in the field of cosmic-ray research. In particular, my colleagues at UCI began focusing on the study of cosmic ray *neutrinos*, also known as ultra-high energy neutrinos (UHE- ν), beginning with the Radio Ice Cherenkov Experiment (RICE) [1] and Antarctic Impulsive Transient Antenna (ANITA) [2] collaborations. Neutrinos do not have electric charge, while cosmic rays do. Thus, neutrinos propagate in straight lines through the Universe, while any electromagnetic field would bend the trajectory of cosmic rays. Thus, UHE- ν could reveal the locations of the cosmic ray accelerators, thereby teaching us about fundamental physics unexplored on Earth [3] [4]. UHE- ν observations would, for example, provide insight into quantum mechanics at record-breaking energies [5].

Detection of UHE- ν has been a goal of the physics community for three decades. When UHE- ν have energies above a certain threshold, they create cascades of particles in matter that radiate in the radio-frequency (RF) bandwidth, a process known as the Askaryan effect [6] [7] [8] [9, 10]. The IceCube Collaboration published the observations of extra-solar neutrinos using optical techniques at record-breaking energies [11], and later showed that the flux is strikingly close to theoretical predictions [12] [13]. IceCube analyses have not found UHE- ν events, however, with energy greater than 10^{15} electron-volts [14]. It is above this energy that the UHE- ν could one day reveal the source of cosmic rays and new physics. The authors of [14] conclude that Askaryan-class detectors are the logical next step. My colleagues have decided to upgrade IceCube to include RF detectors in a project known as IceCube Generation 2, or IceCube Gen2 (<https://icecube.wisc.edu/>).

Askaryan-class detectors improve UHE- ν prospects because Askaryan radiation is in the RF bandwidth [15]. UHE- ν must strike some material in the Earth's crust that produces an observable radio pulse. It turns out that radio waves travel ≈ 1 km in *Antarctic ice* [16] [17] [18]. Thus, we can create stations comprised of RF antenna channels, supporting electronics, and solar panels [19], separated by 1 km to cover enormous volumes of ice. This is important because the expected UHE- ν flux is low. When a potential signal arrives, stations are triggered to read out the RF channel data [20]. The overall dataset is then comprised of RF waveforms representing signals from all the stations. The data will be used to reconstruct UHE- ν interactions [21] [22]. This type of detector is called an *in-situ* array. As a graduate student at UCI, I led two Antarctic expeditions to create a prototype *in-situ* array: the Antarctic Ross Ice Shelf Antenna Neutrino Array (ARIANNA).

We began by measuring the ice shelf thickness and radio transparency in Moore's Bay, Ross Ice Shelf, Antarctica [23]. We deployed prototype ARIANNA stations in two separate missions. I designed systems that managed station power consumption and recorded environmental data [19] [24]. We demonstrated with computer simulations that a 30 x 30 array would reach target UHE- ν sensitivity. Further, the sensitivity doubled in Moore's Bay through *reflected* events, in which signals reflect from the ocean beneath the ice shelf. We completed the prototype array, and published upper limits on the UHE- ν flux [25]. We also observed cosmic rays [26] (though we cannot determine their original direction), and completed a second UHE- ν search [27]. UHE- ν interact more rarely in dense matter, and thus the flux is lower than that of the cosmic rays.

As a post-doctoral fellow at the University of Kansas, I published the first complete analysis of the ice in Moore's Bay [16]. This research was an intersection of glaciology and physics, for we need to understand our detector and our detector is an ice shelf. I also published the first complete calibration of the ARIANNA RF chain [28]. Using the results, we showed simulations of our detector accurately modeled Askaryan signal strength. We created UHE- ν signal *template waveforms* that account for both the theoretical Askaryan signal and the aforementioned calibration. These templates now serve as the primary UHE- ν search criterion when cross-correlated with data collected in Antarctica [25] [27]. As a CCAPP Fellow at The Ohio State University¹, I improved upon the templates by developing a new analytic theory of Askaryan pulses [15].

¹Center for Cosmology and Astro-Particle Physics

Once I joined Whittier College, I turned my attention to the complex path taken by Askaryan pulses through Antarctic ice. The path is curved because the speed depends on the ice density, which changes with depth. An undergraduate student and I worked out solutions for the ray-path of the signals through ice given the density profile [29]. These calculations became a central component of our current software that we use to predict detector sensitivity to UHE- ν [30] [31]. Meanwhile, another student and I designed firmware upgrades to auto-calibrate the RF channel thresholds and presented the results at SCCUR, twice [32] [33]. These tools facilitate expansion and automation of our detector. The pandemic has prevented deployment of these upgrades in ARIANNA, but they will be incorporated in IceCube Gen2. For both projects, I included undergraduate students. The first was a young lady who went on to become a physics researcher for the LIGO project (gravity waves). The second was a student of color and Whittier native who majored in ICS/Math, and who is applying to graduate schools for engineering and machine learning.

I recently returned to the theory of Askaryan radiation, and have begun to study computational electromagnetism (CEM). For the first time, I have created an analytic time-domain model of Askaryan radiation [34]. We are happy to report that the work will be published in Physical Review D, and that it will be incorporated into IceCube Gen2 software. This achievement was made possible by a collaboration with a wonderful undergraduate student who has become a good friend over the past two years amid the pandemic. I describe the importance of this result in Sec. 3. Regarding CEM, I have won two Summer Faculty Research Fellowships with the Office of Naval Research (ONR), in which we apply CEM to radar design. This is an example of the liberal arts mindset in action: I was able to identify a connection between two seemingly unconnected fields, and form a mutually beneficial partnership.

Using CEM, my student and I have created a 3D printed radar design [35]. Knowing that Whittier College cannot afford to subscribe to every IEEE engineering journal, I selected an open-access journal named Electronics Journal so that our students have access to the research. I view choices like these as part of our mission to foster equity and inclusion. Our paper won Top 10 Most Notable Papers in the Electronics Journal for 2020-21 (see Sec. 3). Recently, my colleagues at the naval laboratory fabricated the 3D printed design, and they have provided powerful lab equipment to Whittier College for testing it. It is worth mentioning that this equipment is prohibitively expensive, and thus our partnership with my naval colleagues is opening new doors scientifically. If we succeed, this research has applications to UHE- ν physics (by creating new and better antennas), 5G communication, and radar applications. I describe in Sec. 3 my vision for a partnership with the Navy, and how this will benefit our students.

Finally, I would like to share with you my recent venture into the Whittier Scholars Program (WSP). A student heard of my scholarship regarding Antarctica, and sat down in my office one afternoon. He showed me photographs of glaciers he had taken while visiting family in Norway, and said that he'd like to perform a comparative photographic analysis with historical photos of glaciers all over the world, in order to assess the loss of ice due to global warming. We lept into a partnership that sent him to Norway, Iceland, Alaska, and the National Outdoor Leadership School (NOLS). He began by taking one of my new *Connections 2* courses about the history and current status of science in Antarctica. The research was at the intersection of glaciology, physics, climate science, and environmental social justice.

The work came together as my student gained experience living in the field. I did everything in my power to add him to one of my Antarctic expeditions. Alas, that particular mission was cancelled due to budget cuts and the pandemic. We had hoped to include photos of the glaciers near ARIANNA. These are the same glaciers passed by Robert Falcon Scott and Roald Amundsen as they raced for the discovery of the South Pole. I have been there twice and taken photos, but there were no similar photos to which we could compare. I helped my student gain admission to the WSP, and our final project gave a holistic view of the environmental, agricultural, and cultural impact of glaciers around the world. My student, who graduated this Spring, told me that he is beginning a book with colleagues he met in Iceland, and that this book will feature our work. I enjoyed the project so much I have decided to help support WSP by serving on the WSP Advisory Board. My offer was accepted and I will begin this semester. Thus, I have come full circle regarding the FPC invitation to serve in the liberal arts. I will share more on this in Sec. 5.

1.1.2 My Family, East Los Angeles, and COVID-19

When I first came to Whittier, I lived down the street on Bright Avenue. I had met a wonderful young woman and we fell in love. In the summer of 2019 we married, and I moved to East Los Angeles to live with her family.

My wife's family is quite extraordinary. Her family is originally from Jalisco, Mexico. The family immigrated to Los Angeles, and dealt with gangs and poverty where they originally lived. My wife and all six of her siblings worked hard in school and went to college. We strongly value higher education in our family. My wife and I share our Catholic faith, and we care about our children's education.

Even before I met my spouse, I knew that becoming fluent in Spanish would be helpful living in Whittier. I already spoke a little, and in my first year joining the Whittier community I decided to formalize my Spanish skill by taking Spanish 120. Prof. Doreen O'Conner-Gómez was kind enough to let me audit her course that Fall. She remarked that this was the first time she had seen a STEM professor audit a language course. It turned out to be wonderfully necessary in my family, because our older generation usually does not speak English at home. Our family as a whole is highly diverse, with Mexican, Romanian, American, and Filipino roots. Given the dark and divisive trends that have arisen within our broader culture, and as a Christian and someone who considers himself a loving person, I felt a genuine desire to share this with you.

In my first years as part of the Whittier community, I recognized the same diversity in the families of my students. Many of our students speak Spanish at home with their parents, but English at school. There have been times when I have helped the mother or guardian of a student navigate campus by speaking Spanish, and it has made them more comfortable. As part of a statistics course I taught for the Whittier Summer Session II (2020), I was gathering data from the Whittier College Factbook. It reveals two important numbers about our students. About seventy percent of our students are students of color, and about forty percent are first-generation. My spouse and every single one of her siblings are all first-generation students. Back when we were teaching in person, sometimes colleagues would explain to me over lunch about "the first-generation experience," assuming that the white male physicist was new to the concept. I would always smile inwardly, since my entire family has shared this experience with me.

Given these experiences, I am keenly aware of the importance of our curricular theme of *belonging*. Despite the challenges brought upon Whittier by the pandemic, I have put effort into making that theme a reality. I socialize on Zoom with my first-year advisees and research students in order to make them all feel that they belong. I ensure that I account for equity and inclusion in each decision I make. One stark example was when a student in my section of INTD100 connected to class via Zoom *while at work in CostCo*. I learned to arrange my schedule to account for students' jobs, knowing that many were supporting themselves and loved ones. Being inflexible would have made class accessible only for the wealthy students. Regarding belonging, I am often reminded of a basic fact: *even though my heritage is different from my family and my community, they have accepted me as one of their own*. In the Gospels, we find the Golden Rule to treat others as we would like to be treated. I am called therefore to ensure the students feel that they belong.

Inspiration for New Courses

Inspired by my family, and the theme of belonging, I have created two new courses that serve our current liberal arts curriculum. One is entitled *A History of Science in Latin America*, which was assigned a *Culture 3* and *Connections 2* designation. I could tell there was a hunger for this course. Our students needed to see that *all* of our ancestors performed science. One aspect of that course was the ideas of *central* and *peripheral* scientific communities. Taking STEM courses alone might lead a student to believe that European and American cities have been central to scientific progress, and that Latin American communities have been *peripheral*. Peripheral, in this sense, refers to a community that merely adopts discoveries from the central ones and rarely produces progress. By honestly covering the colonial period in Latin America, we found examples in which Latin American communities were *central* and their European counterparts were *peripheral*. A more accurate description of scientific progress for Latin America and Europe would be a full two-way exchange of knowledge (Sec. 2). I invited colleagues from the Wardman Library to introduce my students to digital storytelling. The students used this skill to create final projects that wove together their cultural heritage, history, mathematics, and scientific discovery.

The second liberal arts course I have created was inspired by the theme of belonging, and my research. It is called *Safe Return Doubtful: History and Current Status of Modern Science in Antarctica*. At first glance, the connection between themes like inclusion and belonging and Antarctica is not obvious. This course is a metaphor for self-exploration. We address three main areas, interwoven throughout the semester. First, we address the history of the race to discover the South Pole in the early 20th century. Second, we cover current scientific endeavors in Antarctica. Third, we perform weekly journal activities that invite the students to look inside themselves and to discover their potential for exploration. The connection to inclusion and belonging emerges as

we learn that the winner of the race for the South Pole was a person who took indigenous science seriously. This was the same captain who completed the Northwest Passage, before trying the South Pole. In Northern Canada, the explorers encountered the *Netsilik* people. The European explorers observed how the Netsilik *used physics and engineering strategies* to travel through the harsh environment, rather than assuming their technology was better. These strategies were adapted to the Ross Ice Shelf, and *that group won the race for the South Pole*. Thus the course connects inclusion and belonging to survival and exploration. The students learn through history, science, and self-reflection that their survival in new areas of life will be enhanced if they are able to include knowledge earned by those *indigenous* to that area.

Keeping a Sense of Humor under Quarantine

My fellow tenure-track colleagues and I sometimes discuss if it's appropriate to add a "COVID-19 Impact Statement" to our PEGP. At first I thought, no, just stick to the important, serious stuff. But I also thought it would be a laugh. So here goes. For those with a sense of humor, this next section is for you.

For those without a sense of humor, I have to ask, like, how are you still here? After we duct-taped together a way to teach our students online in spring 2020, we watched the world lose its freaking mind that summer². Then, the module system, for *a year*. But hooray! The vaccines arrived. Funny thing about vaccines, though, is that you have to go *get them*. Ugh, who has time, right? Seriously, a few people in my family flatly refuse. Here's a fun exercise: try teaching a science course on Zoom and hearing your phone buzzing from an argument about how *the scientists are wrong* in Spanish. Focus, focus ... *just get the blasted shot already* ... "Ok students, let's talk about ... friction! Am I right?" Ay yay yay.

Teaching students remotely was like watching those YouTube channels where people crash into stuff. What I mean is, students would log in to class while driving. Had to make a rule against that. Everyone survived, but ... wow. I thought one of my students was driving on the wrong side of the road while Zooming, but it's ok, he was in India. Another rule I had to make for class: wear clothes. I don't wanna see that. This is a family establishment. After 2.1 seconds of research, I found the Zoom button to have the camera off by default.

My spouse always says that she has the worst luck. I always reassure her: "Have faith honey. We'll be alright." After years of searching, we find each other, marry, and then BAM. Pandemic. Whoops. Our daughter was born right in the exact middle (like, literally within the error of the mean) of the first wave of COVID-19. Whyyyy. The nurses weren't going to let me in the hospital. For the birth of my child. What. Actually they weren't really that keen on letting my wife in either. *Just hang out in the parking garage*, they told my wife, *who was in labor*. After the required amount of suffering took place, they let us come inside to give birth.

Sometimes I had to fight for my students. We made sure to purchase enough bandwidth from Spectrum, but sometimes it still felt choppy. After running some checks, I realized a need to call Spectrum. They told me, "What do you expect? It's slow all over the city." I got all idealistic: "This is about access to education! For first-generation students no less! Let's get it fixed." They finally sent a technician, who came to our door holding small metal piece. "Did you know there was a 3 dB attenuator in your coaxial line?" I half-choked on my coffee. I knew that the ONLY JOB of this component is to cut signal power by a factor of two. Whyyyyy. So the man removed the Education Blocking Device, and the signal was greatly improved.

Working from home during summer 2020 did make it easier to care for our daughter. We were quarantined, but I managed to convince the ONR that we could perform the research project remotely. My spouse is a dentist, and the state provided maternity leave. For added spice, they took it away, though, after 12 weeks. Right at the beginning of Fall semester. No vaccines were available yet, so I had to just teach and parent alone. The upside is that I got to spend more time with the baby. My spouse bravely went back to work to help pay her student loans. She treats patients who are supposed to test negative for COVID-19, but the positives sneak past the guard. So basically The Hunger Games for dentists, who tend to be around a lot of, you know, mouths and throats.

Here's another fun exercise: try teaching college-level physics with a six-month-old pooping in your lap. Keep composure. Another one: the baby is napping in her seat, and all is quiet and ready for class. My chihuahua looks at me like he wants to bark at the dogs outside. *Don't you do it, Lobo! I swear...* Does it anyways. So I trained him not to bark, but my neighbors responded by buying a rooster. They. Bought. A. Rooster. In the city. Not

²After watching *I Am Not Your Negro*, directed by Raoul Peck, and reading *Notes of a Native Son* by James Baldwin, maybe it's more apt to say that we should have lost our minds sooner. I ended up making a chapter of Notes of A Native Son the summer reading assignment for my College Writing Seminar.

chickens! Chickens I would understand for the eggs. We love eggs. Fun fact about cities: they sell alarm clocks. Quirky thing about roosters: they don't have a snooze. This particular rooster sucked though because he made the dog bark which woke the baby during class, and not you know, when the sun came up. Bad rooster.

Joking aside, my students were wonderfully accepting of my child being there. The same is true for my colleagues in committee meetings. I like to think she brightened people's day a little. A female research colleague from another institution who gave birth recently lamented to me that it has been *so hard* lately, for she and her husband (both physicists working from home) hadn't had child care in *six whole weeks!* I died a little inside. It had been almost a year for me flying solo. Once we all got vaccinated, my *suegra* (mother-in-law) who lives next door, started to come each day to help. Que santa, no? (What a saint, no?). My family has supported us, and we are so grateful. My parents, who live in Oklahoma, are sore that they haven't been able to see the baby more, but airports are full of La Rona.

I hope you are all safe and sound. It turns out that some people in my extended family in the Midwest were not so lucky. My cousin's husband, who was a well-loved football coach and mentor to many junior college students, already needed a lung transplant before COVID-19 arrived. He finally got the lung transplant three years ago and recovered beautifully. And then someone gave him the virus, and he's gone now, along with his father. We pray for them each night now. If any of you have lost loved ones, we will pray for them as well. I've had students miss class to go to funerals. Even though we have all suffered, and perhaps lost loved ones, I still believe it's important to keep a smile on. We are still here, and Whittier College needs us. Soon the students will return, and Whittier College will grow and thrive.

Chapter 2

Teaching

2.1 Teaching Philosophy: A Philosophy of Growth

A

Elec

B

2.2 Addressing Equity and Inclusion

A

2.2.1 Open Educational Resources (OER)

B

2.2.2 Making Arrangements for a Diverse Group of Students

C (10to8) (Take-home tests) (Flexibility) (Arranging assessment schedule) (Take-home final projects)

2.2.3 Engaging with the Center for Engagement with Communities: Artemis Program

2.2.4 Influences on Course Creation: Latin American Science

2.3 Methods of Teaching Physics

A

2.3.1 Physics Education Research (PER) Modules

B

2.3.2 Traditional Teaching Modules

C

2.3.3 Laboratory Modules

D

2.3.4 Online Modules

E

2.4 Introductory Course Descriptions

Don't forget intro to statistics!

Algebra-Based Physics

B

2.5 Analysis of Course Evaluations: Introductory Courses

A

Hickisy Pickisy

B

2.6 Advanced Course Descriptions

A

Elec

B

2.7 Analysis of Course Evaluations: Advanced Courses

A

Elec

B

2.8 Liberal Arts Course Descriptions

A

Elec

B

2.9 Analysis of Course Evaluations: Liberal Arts Courses

A

Elec

B

2.10 College Writing Seminar Course Descriptions

A

Elec

B

2.11 Analysis of Course Evaluations: College Writing Seminar

A

Elec

B

2.12 Outlook

A

Elec

B

Chapter 3

Scholarship

A

3.1 The History of IceCube, Cosmic Rays, and Neutrinos from Deep Space

B

3.1.1 Why Antarctica?

C

3.1.2 Radio Expansions: IceCube Generation 2

D

3.2 My Professional Background

E

3.2.1 Prior to Whittier College

F

3.2.2 Successes with Our Students at Whittier College

G

3.2.3 Forming Connections with the Office of Naval Research (ONR)

H

3.3 Five Areas of Research Focus

In the following five sections, I highlight five key areas of impact into which my UHE- ν research activities can be classified. I explain how they relate to the goal of UHE- ν research, and how they are interconnected. In each section, I highlight how the activity connects back to my teaching and mentorship practices.

3.3.1 Computational Electromagnetism

Observable Askaryan signals originate in ice and propagate to *in situ* RF channels. The path they follow is called a ray-tracing solution, and it depends on the speed of light in ice versus depth. The ray-tracing solution is a curved path because the speed of light changes according to the *index of refraction*, n , which depends on ice and snow density. The density depends on depth because the surface is snow ($\rho \approx 0.4 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$) that is compressed to solid ice ($\rho \approx 0.917 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$) over millenia [16]. A classical physics approach called the Lagrangian method can produce the ray-tracing solution. Combining the Lagrangian approach with a smooth function that describes the observed n results in a formula for the ray-tracing solution. The formula produces special cases: (1) straight-line paths in deep ice (where the speed is constant), (2) quadratic paths near the surface (where the speed changes), and (3) a general form stitching the two together.

The official simulation software used by IceCube Gen2 is named NuRadioMC [30]. NuRadioMC is built on four pillars: (1) UHE- ν generation, (2) Askaryan emission, (3) ray tracing, and (4) RF channel simulation. My analytic ray-tracing solution is pillar (3). We assume a functional fit for n that is motivated by glaciology. Snow accumulates at the top of the ice sheet at a rate that depends on the yearly conditions. Compressed over millenia, the snow steadily increases in density, which in turn decreases the speed of light within it. The function is constrained by density and radio measurements [29]. The key finding of [29], however, was RF *horizontal propagation* not predicted by ray-tracing. I can correct my ray-tracing framework with a *perturbed* Lagrangian to produce horizontal solutions. I showed in my PhD dissertation that the effect depends on frequency [36], and therefore cannot be explained with ray-tracing alone. Horizontal propagation has been noted as an interesting detection scheme for UHE- ν [37].

The inaccuracy of ray-tracing solutions is compounded by the selection of phased arrays as triggers of *in situ* stations. In this context, phased-arrays are vertical arrangements of identical antennas that work together. One can think of received signal in terms of relative timing between antennas. For example, in transmitting mode, the each element of a phased array radiates the same signal, but shifted in time such that the time shift increases across the array. The result is a plane wave emitted at the desired direction. Conversely, the arrival direction of plane waves from the Askaryan effect can be deduced from the relative timing of the signals in each antenna. However, this all assumes a constant index n , and we know it is not constant. Knowing that sensitivity of IceCube Gen2 *in situ* designs depends on the phased array precision, I have created a solution that leaves behind ray-tracing all together.

Beyond Ray-Tracing: Open-Source Parallel FDTD Methods

I have received two **Summer Faculty Research Internship grants** from the Naval Surface Warfare Center (NSWC) Corona Division, in Corona, CA. My group focuses on phased-array radar development. My colleagues at other institutions that do not have the liberal arts tradition sometimes ask how radar development is related to IceCube Gen2. I identified a connection between the two fields: phased-arrays are useful for testing other radar systems because they can mimic a radar reflection that moves. I adapted a Python3 software packaged called MEEP, originally designed for μm wavelengths, to work for radio wavelengths [35]. From there, I developed phase-array models in which I could control things like the speed of light versus depth (i.e. the problem we face in IceCube Gen2). I performed design studies for single-frequency and broadband arrays, and the computed properties matched phased-array antenna theory beautifully. Intriguingly, the work represents the first time MEEP, originally designed for μm -wavelength applications, had been applied to phased array design. The results have been published in Electronics Journal [38], where the work has been named among the Top 10 most notable articles of 2020-21¹.

I can envision a wide range of CEM applications for IceCube Gen2. The phased array trigger for IceCube Gen2 must be designed accounting for the changing index of refraction. *I plan to combine a Python3 machine learning package with MEEP to optimize and study phased array trigger output given the index of refraction profile and Askaryan emission proerties.* These computations could be performed in parallel on a small dedicated cluster we would assemble. I'm currently searching for the right NSF grant to do this, however I do have some startup grant funding remaining that can be used for computer hardware. If successful, the *in situ* trigger would thus be trained to detect the smallest hint of a UHE- ν signal. Theoretically, we expect a higher UHE- ν flux with smaller amplitudes, so in this way we would be maximizing the scientific output.

¹The notice of these awards is included in the supplemental material.

My proposed CEM computation cluster would also serve the PUEO collaboration for another reason [39]. PUEO will seek UHE- ν signals with arrays of RF elements flown in the atmosphere. PUEO antennas are the same type as the ones I designed for the Navy, and would be flown on a weather balloon gondola. PUEO is therefore dubbed an *in air* version of Askaryan-class detector. PUEO faces a computational requirement that *in situ* detectors do not: the signal must refract through the rough snow surface and into the air. The Antarctic snow surface roughness has been measured [40], and ϵ can degrade the signal. With the measured roughness as initial conditions, I can calculate the predicted signal in PUEO arrays with near-to-far-field projection. In my work published recently in Electronics Journal [38], I produced this type of refraction, but with a smooth surface. It would not be difficult to add the effect of the rough snow surface.

Connection to Teaching and Academic Mentorship

We always attempt to form connections between our research and teaching, and my work for the Navy has required me to teach. My contacts are Dr. Christopher Clark, Dr. Eisa Osman, and Dr. Gary Yeakley, who work on active seeking radar. Dr. Clark relayed a compliment I received from Dr. Yeakley, who had this to say about my 1-2 hour lectures given once per week in Summer 2020.

“One of the most stunning compliments Prof. Hanson received was from my Senior RF Engineer, Mr. Gary Yeakley (who has been working developing, designing, and testing radar since the 1970s), where Gary stated “every week I learn new RF Physics from Jordan [Prof. Hanson].”

I have included a letter of advocacy in the supplemental materials from Dr. Clark. Researching a new subject, mastering it, and teaching it to colleagues in the Navy was a rewarding experience, and I was grateful to serve. My Navy contacts invited me back for Summer 2021 to teach an RF Field Engineering Course. In practice, this amounted to creating tutorial videos my colleagues can download. This content will also be useful for digital signal processing (DSP), my upcoming January term course.

This past summer (2021), I included in this work a student named Adam Wildanger through a Fletcher-Jones Fellowship. Adam is a great help, because he has taught me computer assisted design (CAD). The process begins with me creating an antenna design using CEM tools. Next, Adam ports my work as a machine-readable design using his favorite CAD programs. Third, Adam sends the machine-readable design to our Navy colleagues, who fabricate it using a 3D printer. Finally, they have sent the fabricated components to me. Adam and I have begun to test them in the Science and Learning Center using equipment provided by ONR. The key is to use 3D printer material that conducts some amount of electric current at high frequencies. If successful, this collaborative effort has applications as diverse as UHE- ν research, radar development, 5G mobile communications, and remote sensing for climate science (<https://cresis.ku.edu/>). The key lesson here is that sometimes the mentor can learn new things from the student. I am working on securing Adam an position at the national lab where my ONR colleagues are based. That has been Adam’s dream job throughout college.

3.3.2 Mathematical Physics

In 2015, I became a CCAPP Fellow at The Ohio State University, where I began to work on an analytic Askaryan radiation model. At the time, the simulation package for IceCube Gen2 (NuRadioMC) was under development. The two *in situ* groups, ARIANNA and the Askaryan Radio Array (ARA), relied on the MC codes ShelfMC and AraSim, respectively. Both ShelfMC and AraSim were derived from the same legacy code. We learned, however, that ShelfMC and AraSim did not always produce the same results, and were cumbersome to compare. Further, the Askaryan models in both were 5-10 years old, and derived from *semi-analytic* parameterizations.

The results of foundational work in Askaryan effect simulated every single sub-atomic particle in the cascade initiated by the UHE- ν , and the corresponding radiation [41]. Overall radio pulse amplitude was found to be proportional to the energy of the neutrino. A distribution of radiated power was observed to radiate in a special direction: the Cherenkov angle. Such models were called *full-MC* models. By tradition, physics simulations are sometimes called *Monte Carlo* simulations (MC). Semi-analytic parameterization models provide part of the electric field at the Cherenkov angle, and simulate the cascade development along the UHE- ν direction. Mixing these two results produces the electric field (radio wave) at a variety of angles [10].

Frequency-Domain Model

Missing from the 2018 ShelfMC/AraSim integrations was a common analytic understanding of Askaryan radiation. I responded by developing a fully analytic model² that accounted for several important effects [15]. The independent variable in the equations is the frequency of the radio wave, so the model is classified as a *frequency-domain* model. I was inspired by work by Prof. John Ralston and Roman Buniy [42]. Using simulations on the Ohio Supercomputing Cluster (OSC), we determined the shape of the electric charge distribution in the UHE- ν induced cascades with total energies of 10^{17} electron-volts.

We then wrote a function that followed this shape, and finished the ensuing electromagnetic calculations to obtain the radio wave. My model produces template waveforms for UHE- ν searches with IceCube Gen2 [15]. The community began to use the model after I presented it at workshops at KICP (Univ. of Chicago), and TeVPA conferences. Colleagues shared with me that a time-domain model at all angles relative to the Cherenkov angle would be highly useful. In the final section of [15], we did provide an example, but only if the viewing angle equals the Cherenkov angle.

Time-Domain Model

There are four main advantages of analytic time-domain models. First, when they are matched to observed radio waveforms, UHE- ν cascade properties like total energy may be derived directly from waveform shapes. Second, evaluating a fully analytic model technically provides a speed advantage in software compared to other approaches. Third, when analytic models are combined with RF antenna properties (derived using CEM), the resulting template can be embedded in detector firmware to form a filter that enhances the probability that a passing UHE- ν signal is detected, rather than be mistaken for radio noise. Fourth, parameters in analytic models may be scaled to account for snow density in addition to ice density. This application is useful for understanding potential signals in the Antarctic firn, or the upper 100-meter layer of snow that rests on top of the ice. My student, Raymond Hartig, and I are proud to announce that our work will be published in Physical Review D [34]. My vision for the future of this work involves three tracks.

The first track involves UHE- ν template analysis. Our simulation for IceCube Gen2, NuRadioMC, is broken into four pillars (steps). Currently, UHE- ν are simulated first as *events* (NuRadioMC pillar (1)) and the *RF emissions* (Askaryan signals) are generated next (NuRadioMC pillar (2)). Our ability to match simulated waveforms to potential UHE- ν waveforms from the detector is limited because we cannot scan through properties of the simulated *cascades*, only UHE- ν with a single RF emissions model. For example, two UHE- ν with the same energy could generate different cascades with different shapes of electric charge. The effect of the cascade shape is important for the interpretation of future IceCube Gen2 data. Conversely, if the effect of the cascade shape is well-understood, it becomes possible to measure the UHE- ν energy by templates to observed data [34].

The second track involves embedding the model itself in detector firmware. Potential UHE- ν signals are recorded alongside noise, but all data has to be shipped with limited bandwidth. Embedding the model on the detector would allow us to flag priority events. The physics community expects IceCube Gen2 to provide a real-time alert system so that other physics and astronomy collaborations could search for any UHE- ν or cosmic-ray sources we identify. This is not possible if the data has to be shipped back to the United States and then be searched with powerful algorithms, because this takes too much time. Sending events that correlate with analytic predictions is a strategy that solves the problem, because the UHE- ν alert is generated *on board the detector* and is transmitted to the USA.

The third track involves the connection between CEM and our Askaryan model. In NuRadioMC the simulated signal is created by code in pillars (1)-(4) sequentially. That is, the basic Askaryan model is mixed with detector response *after* ray-tracing. In reality, the radiation flows immediately from the cascade according to the details of the index of refraction of the ice. It is a wave that *generally* follows ray-tracing, but that reflects from internal ice layers, propagates horizontally, and can change shape. In other words, all the effects *not captured* by the original index of refraction function, n . In this regard, fully-analytic Askaryan models have a unique advantage: analytic equations can be implemented as MEEP sources, and MEEP *can* account for all those effects, while ray-tracing cannot. The analytic model is in a unique position to provide advanced insight into the effect of 3D propagation previously unexplored.

²In this sense, analytic means a set of equations, not a simulation.

Connection to Teaching and Academic Mentorship

Researching mathematical physics and radio waves has sharpened my teaching of electromagnetism for obvious reasons. I taught our version of upper-division electromagnetism this past semester: Electromagnetic Theory (PHYS330). I included detail about this experience, which was wonderful, in Sec. 2.

I have been mentoring an undergraduate double-major in physics and mathematics named Raymond Hartig who plans to attend graduate school. Our partnership began in Spring 2020, when Raymond approached me for training in complex analysis. These are the mathematical tools necessary to perform some electromagnetic calculations. We then won the Fletcher-Jones Undergraduate Research Fellowship for that summer. The experience of coaching him in his development as a theoretical physicist has been rewarding, and I have taken note of a key skill project leaders must have.

Similar to teaching courses, one has to think pedagogically when explaining projects to young researchers. If they understand the direction at least as well as the syllabus of a course, they are more likely to succeed. *From the perspective of equity and inclusion*, my experience mentoring students pedagogically is useful. By structuring the students' time, and explaining goals and productivity expectations in advance, students from diverse backgrounds feel called to participate in the same sense that they feel invited to participate in the classroom. Sometimes research can make first-generation students, for example, feel that the work is not for them because it is too unfamiliar. Providing structure pedagogically can eliminate that stress and increase productivity.

3.3.3 Firmware, Software, and Hardware Development

Askaryan-class detectors must operate autonomously due to the limited Antarctic infrastructure. Stations must be powered sustainably with solar panels and wind turbines, and communications bandwidth is restricted to satellite modems and LTE networks [19] [43]. Every sub-system that can operate autonomously is another sub-system that does not use bandwidth. The ARIANNA stations, for example, send text messages via satellite modem to the server in the USA. Configuration files are sent by the server to the stations with operational instructions. This includes channel thresholds, which control the RF thermal trigger rate. All radio and radar systems trigger inevitably on *thermal noise*. A lower threshold increases the chance of hearing signal at the cost of recording more thermal noise. To adjust thresholds in response to fluctuating thermal noise (which can fill up detector memory with useless data), we have to analyze the data between satellite messages, optimize thresholds, and send the stations new instructions. The stations can therefore run autonomously without power-hungry, high-bandwidth ethernet. This process, however, should be automated for hundreds of stations in IceCube Gen2.

The Multi-Mode Frequency Counter (MMFC) and ARIANNA

My student, John Paul Gómez-Reed and I developed firmware for the ARIANNA boards that would perform this automation. This was a two-year process that began when we won the Keck Fellowship in the summer of 2018. First, we learned to design and load firmware into circuits. John Paul named the system the Multi-Mode Frequency Counter (MMFC), because it is a digital counter that measured the rate at which particular ARIANNA channel was triggered by thermal noise. We demonstrated it could measure channel trigger rates from from 10 hits per second to 10 million hits per second. (When a channel is triggered millions of times per second, that is the effect of just noise and no interesting RF signal). The digital input was provided by RF lab equipment I purchased for Whittier College using my startup grant. John Paul presented the results at the Southern California Conference for Undergraduate Research (SCCUR) [32]. We then received ARIANNA systems from UC Irvine for systems integration. *In summer 2019, we won the Ondrasik-Groce Fellowship*. Throughout 2019 and early 2020, we used that fellowship to integrate the MMFC into the ARIANNA circuitry. The circuit boards began to auto-adjust station thresholds. We were in the process of final testing when the pandemic forced us to pause. We did, however, present at SCCUR a second time [33].

Future Plans and Applications

To continue this research, I have submitted a grant proposal to the Cottrell Scholars Program³. The proposal outlines in detail the next three phases of this research, broken into concrete steps within each phase. The overall goal is to enhance the trigger capability of our detectors with my analytic Askaryan models (Sec. 3.3.2).

³This is included in the supplemental material.

Phase 1 includes two main steps: (1) completing the integrated threshold automation firmware and software, and (2) completing the analytic Askaryan model. As of this summer, both (1) and (2) are complete. The integration of (1) into ARIANNA systems had to be put on hold due to the pandemic, but both the software and firmware are written. The latest version of the Askaryan model is complete, and will be published in Physical Review D [34]. **Thus, phase 1 is already complete.**

Phase 2 includes two main steps: (1) learning to match templates to data in firmware, and (2) demonstrating the system in an anechoic chamber⁴. The reliability of this process must be proven before the firmware is deployed in Antarctica. The key to step (2) is to calibrate the whole planned RF chain: a signal generator programmed with my analytic Askaryan model, transmitting and receiving antennas, amplifiers, filters, and digitization circuits. I have performed similar processes as a post-doctoral fellow at KU [28].

Phase 3 includes three steps: (1) publication of threshold automation and Askaryan model, (2) installation of the Askaryan-trigger firmware in detectors, and (3) field deployment. It is wise to have the components of this project peer-reviewed and published before installing them in many detectors. As of this summer, the Askaryan has passed peer review. Once the IceCube Gen2 collaboration has a chance to review the firmware, we could move forward with deployment.

Connection to Teaching and Academic Mentorship

There are important connections to mentorship and teaching within this work. First, John Paul Gómez-Reed is a Whittier local, and from a background under-represented in physics and engineering. I was able to coach him through courses, application processes, and two SCCUR conferences. We are currently working on graduate school and job applications. Working with him has honed my mentorship skills, including when to hammer out details in the lab with my students, and when to leave them to figure it out on their own. Working with a self-motivated student like John Paul required me to become attuned to that dynamic.

I have taught two courses connected to this research: Computer Logic and Digital Circuit Design (PHYS306/COSC330), and Digital Signal Processing (COSC390), which introduced an interesting synergy. When I selected the Xilinx pynq-z1 board (www.pynq.io) for PHYS306, the system allowed students to operate a Unix-based processing system (PS) integrated with a programmable logic (PL) firmware layer using Jupyter notebooks (Python3). Learning how to use Jupyter notebooks boosted my CEM research, because MEEP work is often done in Jupyter. Because this research is a DSP project, it can serve as an important unit in my DSP course.

3.3.4 Open-source Antenna Design

The MEEP-based phased array design technique has generated enthusiastic feedback. Currently, my phased array design paper is ranked top 10 most notable works in Electronics Journal for 2020-2021 [38]⁵. Using my second ONR grant, we are exploring the possibility of 3D printing phased arrays with conductive filament. Additionally, UHE- ν collaborators are interested in validating antenna designs created with expensive, proprietary software against MEEP designs. Cross-checks help us to assess systematic errors. If we find similar results from both packages, we eliminate the need for the proprietary software, which reduces costs. Comparisons of antenna modeling software are also found in Electronics Journal [44], which inspired the selection of that journal in addition to it being open-access for our students. Using the aforementioned CEM cluster in Sec. 3.3.1, I could perform calculations that compare and optimize the antennas *themselves*, in addition to refining our UHE- ν signal predictions.

Connection to Teaching and Academic Mentorship

Creating RF antennas requires laboratory skill. I have been teaching several courses with significant laboratory components: Computer Logic and Digital Circuit Design (PHYS306/COSC330), and each year-long sequence of algebra-based and calculus-based introductory physics (PHYS135A/B, and PHYS150/PHYS180). These courses have laboratory components taught in an integrated online/lecture/laboratory format. I have also mentored students on occasion to work with our machine shop to build antennas, and to use the 3D printer. Although it would be a stretch, I could envision including student-led RF antenna design projects in DSP, PHYS180, or PHYS135B. The two latter courses are our introductory courses for electromagnetism.

⁴An anechoic chamber is a space that blocks all radio noise and reflections for the testing of sensitive RF equipment.

⁵See supplemental material.

3.3.5 Drone Development and The Whittier Scholars Program

A gap exists in Askaryan-based UHE- ν science. Although we have made detailed measurements of the ice properties necessary to create our detectors [16] [17] [18], we do not scan these same properties for kilometers of distance across the arrays. IceCube Gen2 radio will require a glaciological understanding of the ice across a $10 \times 10 \text{ km}^2$ area. Though CReSIS⁶ measurements have been used to constrain these properties across Greenland [45], there is little CReSIS data at the South Pole.

The Open Polar Server Data Gaps, and Drones

The Open Polar Server (OPS) is a service provided by CReSIS. Researchers may download radio sounding data from Greenland and Antarctica. The radio sounding data are recorded from plane flights over the ice. Radio sounding is like sonar in water, but the echo is a radio wave and the medium is ice. There are three disadvantages to the flight data. First, there may not be a flight near the detector. Second, flights only give a snapshot of the ice at the time. Third, the bandwidth of CReSIS radar does not always overlap with the proposed IceCube Gen2 bandwidths.

There is a trade-off between spatial and temporal data in radio sounding. A plane flight covers hundreds of kilometers, but a plane might not return for years. Conversely, a fixed station records data over time, but only at one location. A dedicated drone could constrain the ice properties in both regimes. In the machine shop and my RF design lab in the Science and Learning Center, a student and I constructed a 3D printed drone with $\approx 1 \text{ kg}$ payload. Before the pandemic hit, we had plans to equip it with solar charging and cold-temperature components. A similar effort is underway at CReSIS: Prof. Emily Arnold of the KU Dept. of Aerospace Engineering has begun an NSF CAREER grant to utilize RC military drones to study the Jakobshavn glacier in Greenland. Unlike the off-the-shelf drones, our drone design can be 3D printed and assembled from commercial parts for $< \$1\text{k}$, but we need valuable insights from the CReSIS group on retro-fitting for cold temperatures.

Connection to Academic Mentorship and the Whittier Scholars Program

This project required me to mentor a driven engineering student named Nick Clarizio. We worked well together, and the work reminded me of designing ARIANNA. The student became my first physics double major (business, physics) to graduate as my advisee. Once we completed the drone, Nick was able to demonstrate it for my PHYS150 class, as an example of balancing forces. The drone has four motors, each with controllable thrust. Thus, if the thrust is lowered in two motors and raised in two others, the drone will move in a certain direction. Thus, my students received a hands-on demonstration of an important lecture topic. This year I will have another student graduate in this area, who chose to focus on glaciology as part of the Whittier Scholars Program.

I described my Whittier Scholars Program project with Nicolas Bakken-French earlier in this report (Sec. 1.1.1). The basic idea was to perform research in climate science as part of my connections to Antarctic expeditions and other polar research programs. One facet of the project not yet mentioned was that Nicolas was able to learn some programming and help me with glaciological analysis of CReSIS data from Moore's Bay Antarctica. I also helped him earn a research internship at UC Irvine with my colleagues there. He helped them to develop a device that can melt a slot into a snowbank so that an RF antenna can be installed inside it. This enables more rapid deployment of both glaciology and physics experiments involving RF sensors in snow. I had such a great experience working with Dr. Andrea Rehn and the WSP team that I have offered to serve on the Whittier Scholars Advisory Board. My offer has been accepted and I will begin in Fall 2021.

3.4 Invitation to Become a Member Institution of IceCube

Recently, I was invited to become an official member of the IceCube Collaboration. The IceCube Collaboration (<https://icecube.wisc.edu>) includes more than 300 physicists from 53 institutions in 12 countries. It began in 1999 with the submission of the first IceCube grant proposal, and many of the original members are still active on the project. Senior scientists, graduate students, technicians, software specialists, ice drillers, and engineers came together from around the world to build what is now the world's largest neutrino detector. To be granted a membership in the group at the forefront of neutrino physics is an honor, and it represents a great opportunity for Whittier College.

⁶Center for Remote Sensing of Ice Sheets.

My successful bid for IceCube Membership brings several advantages for Whittier College. Whittier College will be added to the *list of member institutions* (included in the supporting materials). This means that our students and professors would gain access to archived IceCube data and be able to use it for research. Whittier College will also gain visibility, and we would be the only Title V HSI on the list. Whittier College will be added to IceCube publications, and my students and I may submit papers on behalf of the IceCube Collaboration. Whittier students and professors could attend the annual IceCube Collaboration Meeting, which is like a physics conference specializing in neutrino physics and astrophysics. Finally, I could use my IceCube membership status in to help with grant proposals for things like my CEM cluster idea (see Sec. 3.3.1 above).

A

3.5 CEM and Engineering with the ONR

B

3.5.1 CEM Phased Array Design for Radar

C

3.5.2 3D Printing of RF Antennas

D

3.5.3 Connections to Neutrino Physics Research

E

3.5.4 Broader Applications

F

Don't forget the italians. A

3.6 My Vision for Collaboration between ONR and Whittier College

B

3.6.1 Building Student Success after Whittier College

C

3.6.2 Equipping Whittier College Laboratories

D

3.6.3 Financial Support

E

Chapter 4

Advising and Mentoring

4.1 Connections to Teaching and Service

4.1.1 Inclusion, Community, and a Sense of Belonging

4.2 Advising and Mentoring First Year Students

A

4.2.1 First Year Advising, by the Numbers

B

4.2.2 Navigating the First Year

C

4.2.3 Discernment of Major

D

4.2.4 Equity of Access

E

4.2.5 Inclusion and Belonging: Activities with First Year Advisees

4.3 Advising and Mentoring Majors in Physics, ICS, and 3-2 Engineering

A

4.3.1 Discernment within STEM: Major Selection, and Diverse Pathways to Graduation

B

4.3.2 Graduate School

C

4.3.3 Private Sector

D

Reverse Engineering Social Media

E

4.3.4 Letters of Recommendation

F

4.4 Advising and Mentoring Whittier Scholars Program Majors

A

4.4.1 Interdisciplinary Connections and Recruiting Students

B

4.4.2 Organization of Field Deployments

C

United States Antarctic Program

Describe the attempt to deploy Nicolas to Antarctica

4.4.3 Organizing the Program of Study and Executing

E

4.4.4 Polishing the Finished Product

F

Chapter 5

Service

5.1 Committee Service

Admissions sub-committee, data analysis, maybe a graph

5.1.1 Enrollment and Student Affairs Committee, Years 1 and 2

Write about how I learned about orientation issues from these meetings
Interactions with Falone Serna

5.1.2 Educational Resources and Digital Liberal Arts Committee

Creation of senior thesis archival program

5.1.3 Whittier Scholars Program Advisory Board

I was invited! Sort of.

5.2 Departmental Service

This one can be stronger, maybe

5.2.1 Departmental Self-Study

5.2.2 Departmental Annual Assessment

5.3 First Year Orientation

A

5.3.1 Connection to Teaching and Advising

B

5.3.2 Inclusion and Belonging

C

5.4 Open Educational Resources (OER) Workshops

openstax, oercommons, and openstax tutor, dspguide.com

5.4.1 Open Educational Resources (OER) and Equity**5.4.2 The Tradition of Open Access/Open Source in STEM**

The OpenStax Platform

Integrations with Machine Learning

Open Access in Digital Signal Processing

5.4.3 Lectures at Wardman Library Collaboratory**5.5 Center for Engagement with Communities: The Artemis Program**

A

5.5.1 Equity and Inclusion in STEM

B

5.5.2 Connections to Teaching at Whittier College**5.6 Summer Working Group Contribution**

A

Elec

B

Chapter 6

Conclusion

Jordan C. Hanson, PhD
Assistant Professor, Department of Physics and Astronomy
Science and Learning Center, 212
Whittier College
562.907.5130
jhanson2@whittier.edu

Chapter 7

Supporting Materials

Bibliography

- [1] I. Kravchenko, S. Hussain, D. Seckel, D. Besson, E. Fensholt, J. Ralston, J. Taylor, K. Ratzlaff, and R. Young, “Updated results from the RICE experiment and future prospects for ultra-high energy neutrino detection at the south pole,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 85, p. 062004, Mar 2012.
- [2] P. W. Gorham et al, “Constraints on the ultrahigh-energy cosmic neutrino flux from the fourth flight of ANITA,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 99, p. 122001, Jun 2019.
- [3] Ahlers, M, et al, “Astro2020 science white paper: Astrophysics uniquely enabled by observations of high-energy cosmic neutrinos,” *Bull. Am. Astron. Soc.*, vol. 51, no. 185, 2019.
- [4] Ahlers, M, et al, “Astro2020 science white paper: Fundamental physics with high-energy cosmic neutrinos,” *Bull. Am. Astron. Soc.*, vol. 51, no. 185, 2019.
- [5] A. Connolly, R. S. Thorne, and D. Waters, “Calculation of high energy neutrino-nucleon cross sections and uncertainties using the Martin-Stirling-Thorne-Watt parton distribution functions and implications for future experiments,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 83, p. 113009, Jun 2011.
- [6] G. Askaryan, “Excess negative charge of an electron-photon shower and its coherent radio emission,” *Soviet Physics JETP*, vol. 14, no. 441, 1962.
- [7] G. Askaryan, “Coherent radioemission from cosmic showers in the air and dense media,” *Soviet Physics JETP*, vol. 21, no. 658, 1965.
- [8] D. Saltzberg, P. Gorham, D. Walz, C. Field, R. Iverson, A. Odian, G. Resch, P. Schoessow, and D. Williams, “Observation of the Askaryan Effect: Coherent Microwave Cherenkov Emission from Charge Asymmetry in High-Energy Particle Cascades,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 86, pp. 2802–2805, Mar 2001.
- [9] P. W. Gorham, S. W. Barwick, J. J. Beatty, D. Z. Besson, W. R. Binns, C. Chen, P. Chen, J. M. Clem, A. Connolly, P. F. Dowkontt, M. A. DuVernois, R. C. Field, D. Goldstein, A. Goodhue, C. Hast, C. L. Hebert, S. Hoover, M. H. Israel, J. Kowalski, J. G. Learned, K. M. Liewer, J. T. Link, E. Lusczek, S. Matsuno, B. Mercurio, C. Miki, P. Miočinović, J. Nam, C. J. Naudet, J. Ng, R. Nichol, K. Palladino, K. Reil, A. Romero-Wolf, M. Rosen, L. Ruckman, D. Saltzberg, D. Seckel, G. S. Varner, D. Walz, and F. Wu, “Observations of the Askaryan Effect in Ice,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 99, p. 171101, Oct 2007.
- [10] J. Alvarez-Muñiz, P. M. Hansen, A. Romero-Wolf, and E. Zas, “Askaryan radiation from neutrino-induced showers in ice,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 101, p. 083005, Apr 2020.
- [11] M. G. Aartsen et al, “First Observation of PeV-Energy Neutrinos with IceCube,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 111, p. 021103, Jul 2013.
- [12] M. G. Aartsen et al, “A Combined Maximum-Likelihood Analysis of the High-Energy Astrophysical Neutrino Flux Measured with IceCube,” *The Astrophysical Journal*, vol. 809, p. 98, aug 2015.
- [13] E. Waxman and J. Bahcall, “High energy neutrinos from astrophysical sources: An upper bound,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 59, p. 023002, Dec 1998.
- [14] M. G. Aartsen et al, “Differential limit on the extremely-high-energy cosmic neutrino flux in the presence of astrophysical background from nine years of IceCube data,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 98, p. 062003, Sep 2018.
- [15] J. C. Hanson and A. L. Connolly, “Complex analysis of Askaryan radiation: A fully analytic treatment including the LPM effect and Cascade Form Factor,” *Astroparticle Physics*, vol. 91, pp. 75–89, 2017.

- [16] J. C. Hanson, S. W. Barwick, E. C. Berg, D. Z. Besson, T. J. Duffin, S. R. Klein, S. A. Kleinfelder, C. Reed, M. Roumi, T. Stezelberger, J. Tatar, J. A. Walker, and L. Zou, "Radar absorption, basal reflection, thickness and polarization measurements from the Ross Ice Shelf, Antarctica," *Journal of Glaciology*, vol. 61, no. 227, pp. 438–446, 2015.
- [17] J. Avva, J. Kovac, C. Miki, D. Saltzberg, and A. Viereg, "An in situ measurement of the radio-frequency attenuation in ice at Summit Station, Greenland," *Journal of Glaciology*, 2014.
- [18] S. Barwick, D. Besson, P. Gorham, and D. Saltzberg, "South polar in situ radio-frequency ice attenuation," *Journal of Glaciology*, vol. 51, no. 173, p. 231238, 2005.
- [19] S. Barwick, E. Berg, D. Besson, T. Duffin, J. Hanson, S. Klein, S. Kleinfelder, K. Ratzlaff, C. Reed, M. Roumi, T. Stezelberger, J. Tatar, J. Walker, R. Young, and L. Zou, "Design and Performance of the ARIANNA HRA-3 Neutrino Detector Systems," *IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science*, vol. 62, no. 5, pp. 2202–2215, 2015.
- [20] S. A. Kleinfelder, E. Chiem, and T. Prakash, "The SST Multi-G-Sample/s Switched Capacitor Array Waveform Recorder with Flexible Trigger and Picosecond-Level Timing Accuracy," *arXiv*, 2015.
- [21] A. Anker, S. W. Barwick, H. Bernhoff, D. Z. Besson, N. Bingefors, D. Garca-Fernandez, G. Gaswint, C. Glaser, A. Hallgren, J. C. Hanson, S. R. Klein, S. A. Kleinfelder, R. Lahmann, U. Latif, J. Nam, A. Novikov, A. Nelles, M. P. Paul, C. Persichilli, I. Plaisier, T. Prakash, S. R. Shively, J. Tatar, E. Unger, S. H. Wang, C. Welling, and S. Zierke, "Neutrino vertex reconstruction with in-ice radio detectors using surface reflections and implications for the neutrino energy resolution," *arXiv*, vol. 2019, no. 11, pp. 030–030, 2019.
- [22] Anker, A, et al, "Probing the angular and polarization reconstruction of the ARIANNA detector at the South Pole," *Journal of Instrumentation*, vol. 15, no. 09, pp. P09039–P09039, 2020.
- [23] J. C. Hanson, "Ross Ice Shelf Thickness, Radio-frequency Attenuation and Reflectivity: Implications for the ARIANNA UHE Neutrino Detector," *32nd International Cosmic Ray Conference*, 2011.
- [24] L. Gerhardt, S. Klein, T. Stezelberger, S. Barwick, K. Dookayka, J. Hanson, and R. Nichol, "A prototype station for ARIANNA: A detector for cosmic neutrinos," *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment*, vol. 624, no. 1, pp. 85–91, 2010.
- [25] S. Barwick, E. Berg, D. Besson, G. Binder, W. Binns, D. Boersma, R. Bose, D. Braun, J. Buckley, V. Bugaev, S. Buitink, K. Dookayka, P. Dowkontt, T. Duffin, S. Euler, L. Gerhardt, L. Gustafsson, A. Hallgren, J. Hanson, M. Israel, J. Kiryluk, S. Klein, S. Kleinfelder, H. Niederhausen, M. Olevitch, C. Persichilli, K. Ratzlaff, B. Rauch, C. Reed, M. Roumi, A. Samanta, G. Simburger, T. Stezelberger, J. Tatar, U. Uggerhoj, J. Walker, G. Yodh, and R. Young, "A first search for cosmogenic neutrinos with the ARIANNA Hexagonal Radio Array," *Astroparticle Physics*, vol. 70, pp. 12–26, 2015.
- [26] S. Barwick, D. Besson, A. Burgman, E. Chiem, A. Hallgren, J. Hanson, S. Klein, S. Kleinfelder, A. Nelles, C. Persichilli, S. Phillips, T. Prakash, C. Reed, S. Shively, J. Tatar, E. Unger, J. Walker, and G. Yodh, "Radio detection of air showers with the ARIANNA experiment on the Ross Ice Shelf," *Astroparticle Physics*, vol. 90, pp. 50–68, 2017.
- [27] A. Anker, S. W. Barwick, H. Bernhoff, D. Z. Besson, N. Bingefors, D. Garca-Fernandez, G. Gaswint, C. Glaser, A. Hallgren, J. C. Hanson, S. R. Klein, S. A. Kleinfelder, R. Lahmann, U. Latif, J. Nam, A. Novikov, A. Nelles, M. P. Paul, C. Persichilli, I. Plaisier, T. Prakash, S. R. Shively, J. Tatar, E. Unger, S. H. Wang, and C. Welling, "A search for cosmogenic neutrinos with the ARIANNA test bed using 4.5 years of data," *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics*, 2019.
- [28] S. Barwick, E. Berg, D. Besson, T. Duffin, J. Hanson, S. Klein, S. Kleinfelder, M. Piasecki, K. Ratzlaff, C. Reed, M. Roumi, T. Stezelberger, J. Tatar, J. Walker, R. Young, and L. Zou, "Time-domain response of the ARIANNA detector," *Astroparticle Physics*, vol. 62, pp. 139–151, 2015.
- [29] S. W. Barwick, E. C. Berg, D. Z. Besson, G. Gaswint, C. Glaser, A. Hallgren, J. C. Hanson, S. R. Klein, S. Kleinfelder, L. Kpke, I. Kravchenko, R. Lahmann, U. Latif, J. Nam, A. Nelles, C. Persichilli, P. Sandstrom, J. Tatar, and E. Unger, "Observation of classically 'forbidden' electromagnetic wave propagation and implications for neutrino detection," *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics*, vol. 2018, no. 07, pp. 055–055, 2018.

- [30] C. Glaser, D. Garca-Fernndez, A. Nelles, J. Alvarez-Muiz, S. W. Barwick, D. Z. Besson, B. A. Clark, A. Connolly, C. Deaconu, K. D. d. Vries, J. C. Hanson, B. Hokanson-Fasig, R. Lahmann, U. Latif, S. A. Kleinfelder, C. Persichilli, Y. Pan, C. Pfendner, I. Plaisier, D. Seckel, J. Torres, S. Toscano, N. v. Eijndhoven, A. Viereg, C. Welling, T. Winchen, and S. A. Wissel, “NuRadioMC: simulating the radio emission of neutrinos from interaction to detector,” *The European Physical Journal C*, vol. 80, no. 2, p. 77, 2020.
- [31] C. Glaser, A. Nelles, I. Plaisier, C. Welling, S. W. Barwick, D. Garca-Fernndez, G. Gaswint, R. Lahmann, and C. Persichilli, “NuRadioReco: a reconstruction framework for radio neutrino detectors,” *The European Physical Journal C*, vol. 79, no. 6, p. 464, 2019.
- [32] J. C. Hanson and J. P. Gómez-Reed, “A 100 MHz Frequency-Counter Deployed in FPGA for RF Neutrino Research in Antarctica,” in *Proceedings of the Southern California Conference for Undergraduate Research*, scur.org, 2018.
- [33] J. C. Hanson and J. P. Gómez-Reed, “Communications between an onboard fpga and microcontroller system for antarctic neutrino research,” in *Proceedings of the Southern California Conference for Undergraduate Research*, scur.org, 2019.
- [34] J. C. Hanson and R. Hartig, “Complex Analysis of Askaryan Radiation: A Fully Analytic Model in the Time-Domain,” *arXiv*, 2021.
- [35] A. F. Oskooi, D. Roundy, M. Ibanescu, P. Bermel, J. Joannopoulos, and S. G. Johnson, “Meep: A flexible free-software package for electromagnetic simulations by the FDTD method,” *Computer Physics Communications*, vol. 181, no. 3, pp. 687–702, 2010.
- [36] J. Hanson, *The Performance and Initial Results of the ARIANNA Prototype*. PhD thesis, University of California at Irvine, 2013.
- [37] J. Ralston, “Radio surf in polar ice: A new method of ultrahigh energy neutrino detection,” *Physical Review D*, vol. 71, no. 1, 2005.
- [38] J. C. Hanson, “Broadband rf phased array design with meep: Comparisons to array theory in two and three dimensions,” *Electronics*, vol. 10, no. 4, 2021.
- [39] P. Allison, J. Alvarez-Muñiz, J. J. Beatty, D. Z. Besson, P. Chen, Y. Chen, J. M. Clem, A. Connolly, L. Cremonesi, C. Deaconu, P. W. Gorham, K. Hughes, M. Israel, T. C. Liu, C. Miki, J. Nam, R. J. Nichol, K. Nishimura, A. Novikov, A. Nozdrina, E. Oberla, S. Prohira, R. Prechelt, B. F. Rauch, Q. Abarr, J. M. Roberts, A. Romero-Wolf, J. W. Russell, D. Seckel, J. Shiao, D. Smith, D. Southall, G. S. Varner, A. G. Viereg, S. A. Wissel, E. Zas, and A. Zeolla, “The Payload for Ultrahigh Energy Observations (PUEO): A White Paper,” *arXiv*, 2020.
- [40] P. W. Gorham et al, “Antarctic surface reflectivity measurements from the anita-3 and hical-1 experiments,” *Journal of Astronomical Instrumentation*, vol. 06, no. 02, p. 1740002, 2017.
- [41] E. Zas, F. Halzen, and T. Stanev, “Electromagnetic pulses from high-energy showers: Implications for neutrino detection,” *Physical Review D*, vol. 45, no. 1, p. 362, 1992.
- [42] R. V. Buniy and J. P. Ralston, “Radio detection of high energy particles: Coherence versus multiple scales,” *Physical Review D*, vol. 65, no. 1, 2001.
- [43] J. Aguilar, P. Allison, J. Beatty, H. Bernhoff, D. Besson, N. Bingefors, O. Botner, S. Buitink, K. Carter, B. Clark, A. Connolly, P. Dasgupta, S. de Kockere, K. de Vries, C. Deaconu, M. DuVernois, N. Feigl, D. García-Fernández, C. Glaser, A. Hallgren, S. Hallmann, J. Hanson, B. Hendricks, B. Hokanson-Fasig, C. Hornhuber, K. Hughes, A. Karle, J. Kelley, S. Klein, R. Krebs, R. Lahmann, M. Magnuson, T. Meures, Z. Meyers, A. Nelles, A. Novikov, E. Oberla, B. Oeyen, H. Pandya, I. Plaisier, L. Pyras, D. Ryckbosch, O. Scholten, D. Seckel, D. Smith, D. Southall, J. Torres, S. Toscano, D. V. D. Broeck, N. van Eijndhoven, A. Viereg, C. Welling, S. Wissel, R. Young, and A. Zink, “Design and sensitivity of the radio neutrino observatory in greenland (RNO-g),” *Journal of Instrumentation*, vol. 16, p. P03025, mar 2021.
- [44] A. Fedeli, C. Montecucco, and G. L. Gragnani, “Open-Source Software for Electromagnetic Scattering Simulation: The Case of Antenna Design,” *Electronics*, vol. 8, no. 12, p. 1506, 2019.
- [45] M. Stockham, J. Macy, and D. Besson, “Radio frequency ice dielectric permittivity measurements using CReSIS data,” *Radio Science*, vol. 51, no. 3, pp. 194–212, 2016.