Instructor: **Hanson, Jordan** 

Subject: **PHYS** 

Catalog & Section: **396 01** 

Enrollment: **3** Responses Incl Declines: **3** 

Declines: 0

## Category Summary

Category	Number of Responses	Response Rate	Mean	Dept. Mean	Median	Dept. Median	STDEV
Self-Evaluation	27	100.0%	4.8	4.4	5.0	5.0	0.6
Course	21	100.0%	4.8	4.6	5.0	5.0	0.4
Professor - Jordan Hanson	27	100.0%	5.0	4.7	5.0	5.0	0.2

## Demographics

Question	Number of Responses	Liberal Education	WSP requirement	Major requirement	Minor requirement	Elective/Interest
Why did you take this course? (Select	3	0%	0%	33%	0%	67%

Question	Number of Responses	First-Year	Sophomore	Junior	Senior	Graduate
What is your year in college	3	0%	33%	67%	0%	0%

### Quantitative Data

## All Likert Scale Questions - Self-Evaluation

Question	Number of Responses	Response Rate	Course Mean	Dept. Mean
I attended class regularly.	3	100%	5.0	4.8
I was academically prepared to handle the material.	3	100%	5.0	4.5
I came prepared for each class session (i.e. have read all course readings and completed assignments).	3	100%	4.7	4.6
I actively participated in class discussions.	3	100%	5.0	4.1
I attended scheduled office hours if I had questions about the course material.	3	100%	5.0	3.8
I tried to relate course material to other things I know and/or study.	3	100%	5.0	4.6
I worked to my full potential in this course.	3	100%	4.3	4.4
I was satisfied with my performance in this course.	3	100%	4.3	4.4
I had a strong desire to take this course.	3	100%	5.0	4.2

Note: 1:Disagree; 2:Moderately disagree; 3:Neutral; 4:Moderately agree; 5:Agree; -1:N/A;

## All Likert Scale Questions - Course

Question	Number of Responses	Response Rate	Course Mean	Dept. Mean
This course had clear goals and objectives.	3	100%	4.3	4.8
This course was academically challenging.	3	100%	4.7	4.7
This course offered useful learning tools (such as lectures, discussions, readings, assignments and/or examinations).	3	100%	5.0	4.8
This course had grading criteria that were clearly identified.	3	100%	5.0	4.7
This course improved my understanding of the material.	3	100%	5.0	4.6
This course increased my interest in the subject matter.	3	100%	5.0	4.4
Overall, I would recommend this course to others.	3	100%	5.0	4.5

Note: 1:Disagree; 2:Moderately disagree; 3:Neutral; 4:Moderately agree; 5:Agree; -1:N/A;

### All Likert Scale Questions - Professor - Jordan Hanson

Question	Number of Responses	Response Rate	Course Mean	Dept. Mean
The professor used class time effectively and demonstrated preparation for class.	3	100%	5.0	4.9
The professor's teaching style and/or enthusiasm for the material strengthened my interest in the subject matter.	3	100%	5.0	4.5
The professor was able to explain complicated ideas.	3	100%	4.7	4.7
The professor challenged students to think critically and/or imaginatively about the course material.	3	100%	5.0	4.7
The professor provided clear and timely feedback.	3	100%	5.0	4.7
The professor encouraged meaningful class discussions.	3	100%	5.0	4.5
The professor was receptive to differing views.	3	100%	5.0	4.6
The professor was available for help outside of class.	3	100%	5.0	4.8
Overall, I would recommend this professor to others.	3	100%	5.0	4.7

Note: 1:Disagree; 2:Moderately disagree; 3:Neutral; 4:Moderately agree; 5:Agree; -1:N/A;

## Open Ended Responses

Written Comments (15 comments)

### Q: What useful feedback could you provide the professor of this course? (Please be specific.)

- 1 I think it would be beneficial to start the semester with project proposals that each student had a plan for what to do for the rest of the class
- 2 None, now that the server is set up it will be easier for future iterations of course
- 3 Research was a great time this semester.

#### Q: What were the best aspects of this course? (Please be specific.)

- 1 .
- 2 Interesting research topics
- 3 I enjoy Physics, and Professor Hanson is an awesome mentor for conducting research.

1.	
2 N	one
3 N	othing.
acc	Please evaluate your own performance in this course. That is, are you satisfied with your omplishments in this course? If not, what should you have done differently to improve your performance l/or comprehension of the course material.
1 .	
2 I	think I could have devoted more time with a lighter course load
3 I	hope that our paper gets published!
Q: I	hope that our paper gets published!  Please comment on your experiences with the remote delivery of this course. What suggestions do you ge for improvement?
Q: hav	Please comment on your experiences with the remote delivery of this course. What suggestions do you
Q: hav	Please comment on your experiences with the remote delivery of this course. What suggestions do you re for improvement?
Q:	Please comment on your experiences with the remote delivery of this course. What suggestions do you e for improvement?

# Supplemental Questions

Supplemental Questions

No Data.