





(a) Image Part of the Used Gabor Filters

(b) Real Part of the Used Gabor Filters

Dataset	Category Number	Training Set	Validation Set	<b>Testing Set</b>
Caltech 256	256	1280~15360	about 3840	6400
Oxford Flowers 102	102	1020	1020	6149
Stanford Dogs 120	120	12000	10% training set	8580
Oxford DTD 47	47	1880	1880	1880
MIT Indoor 67	67	5360	10% training set	1340

#### Oxford Flowers-102

Approach	Accuracy
Murray & Perronnim	84.6%
Sharif Razavian et al.	86.8%
Qian et al.	88.4%
MagNet	91.4%
Compact Bilinear Pooling	91.4%
Fine-tuning	90.4%
Joint-training with the Whole ImageNet Training Samples	91.8%
Joint-training with Randomly Selected Samples	1
Joint-training using Gabor Features	3
Joint-training without Boost Manner	1
Joint-training without MMD	92.6%
Joint-training	92.8%

# Stanford Dogs -120

Approach	Accuracy
Angelova & Long	48.3%
Graves et al.	50.1%
Xie et al.	57.0%
Qian et al.	69.1%
MagNet	75.1%
Fine-tuning	80.4%
Joint-training with the Whole ImageNet Training Samples	84.8%
Joint-training with Randomly Selected Samples	1
Joint-training using Gabor Features	3
Joint-training without Boost Manner	1
Joint-training without MMD	88.6%*2
Joint-training	3

## Caltech 256

Approach	5	10	15	20	25	30	40	45	60
CNN-S									
VGG								86.1%	
Fine-tuning	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Joint-training	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6

#### Oxford DTD 47

Approach	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Fine-tuning										
Joint-training	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2

## MIT Indoor 67

Approach	Accuracy				
CNN-S					
VGG					
Fine-tuning	1				
Joint-training	3				