# Data Analysis and Machine Learning: Trees, forests and all that

Morten Hjorth-Jensen<sup>1,2</sup>

Department of Physics, University of Oslo<sup>1</sup>

Department of Physics and Astronomy and National Superconducting Cyclotron Laboratory, Michigan State University $^2$ 

Nov 9, 2018

© 1999-2018, Morten Hjorth-Jensen. Released under CC Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 license

## Decision trees, overarching aims

Decision trees are supervised learning algorithms used for both, classification and regression tasks where we will concentrate on classification in this first part of our decision tree tutorial. Decision trees are assigned to the information based learning algorithms which use different measures of information gain for learning. We can use decision trees for issues where we have continuous but also categorical input and target features.

#### Nodes, leafs, roots and branches

The main idea of decision trees is to find those descriptive features which contain the most **information** regarding the target feature and then split the dataset along the values of these features such that the target feature values for the resulting sub datasets are as pure as possible.

The descriptive feature which leaves the target feature most purely is said to be the most informative one. This process of finding the most informative feature is done until we accomplish a stopping criteria where we then finally end up in so called **leaf nodes**. The leaf nodes contain the predictions we will make for new query instances presented to our trained model. This is possible since the model has kind of learned the underlying structure of the training data and hence can, given some assumptions, make predictions about the target feature value (class) of unseen query instances. A decision tree mainly contains of a root node, interior nodes, and leaf nodes which are then connected by branches.

# How do we set it up?

In simplified terms, the process of training a decision tree and predicting the target features of query instances is as follows:

- Present a dataset containing of a number of training instances characterized by a number of descriptive features and a target feature
- Train the decision tree model by continuously splitting the target feature along the values of the descriptive features using a measure of information gain during the training process
- Grow the tree until we accomplish a stopping criteria create leaf nodes which represent the *predictions* we want to make for new query instances
- 4. Show query instances to the tree and run down the tree until we arrive at leaf nodes

Then we are essentially done!

# Decision trees and Regression

Y noly=noly features fit transform(Y)

```
import numpy as np
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
from sklearn.preprocessing import PolynomialFeatures
from sklearn.linear_model import LinearRegression
steps=250
distance=0
x=0
distance_list=[]
steps_list=[]
while x<steps:
    distance += np.random.randint(-1,2)
    distance_list append(distance)
    x+=1
    steps_list.append(x)
plt.plot(steps_list,distance_list, color='green', label="Random Walk D
steps_list=np.asarray(steps_list)
distance_list=np.asarray(distance_list)
X=steps_list[:,np.newaxis]
#Polynomial fits
#Degree 2
poly_features=PolynomialFeatures(degree=2, include_bias=False)
```

# Maxwell-Boltzmann velocity distribution

# Program to test the Metropolis algorithm with one particle at given # one dimension #!/usr/bin/env python import numpy as np import matplotlib.mlab as mlab import matplotlib.pyplot as plt import random from math import sqrt, exp, log from sklearn.preprocessing import PolynomialFeatures from sklearn.linear\_model import LinearRegression # initialize the rng with a seed random.seed() # Hard coding of input parameters MCcycles = 100000 Temperature = 2.0beta = 1./Temperature InitialVelocity = -2.0CurrentVelocity = InitialVelocity Energy = 0.5\*InitialVelocity\*InitialVelocity VelocityRange = 10\*sqrt(Temperature)

FnergyChange = 0.5\*(TrialVelocity\*TrialVelocity -CurrentVelocity\*C

VelocityStep = 2\*VelocityRange/10.
AverageEnergy = Energy
AverageEnergy2 = Energy\*Energy
VelocityValues = np.zeros(MCcycles)
# The Monte Carlo sampling with Metropolis starts here
for i in range (1, MCcycles, 1):
 TrialVelocity = CurrentVelocity + (2.0\*random.random() - 1.0)\*Velo

# Building a tree, regression

There are mainly two steps

1. We split the predictor space (the set of possible values  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p$ ) into J

distinct and non-non-overlapping regions,  $R_1, R_2, \ldots, R_J$ .

1. For every observation that falls into the region  $R_j$ , we make the same prediction, which is simply the mean of the response values for the training observations in  $R_j$ .

How do we construct the regions  $R_1, \ldots, R_J$ ? In theory, the regions could have any shape. However, we choose to divide the predictor space into high-dimensional rectangles, or boxes, for simplicity and for ease of interpretation of the resulting predictive model. The goal is to find boxes  $R_1, \ldots, R_J$  that minimize the MSE, given by

$$\sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{i \in R_j} (y_i - \overline{y}_{R_j})^2,$$

where  $\overline{y}_{R_j}$  is the mean response for the training observations within the *j*th box.

## A top-down approach, recursive binary splitting

Unfortunately, it is computationally infeasible to consider every possible partition of the feature space into J boxes. The common strategy is to take a top-down approach

The approach is top-down because it begins at the top of the tree (all observations belong to a single region) and then successively splits the predictor space; each split is indicated via two new branches further down on the tree. It is greedy because at each step of the tree-building process, the best split is made at that particular step, rather than looking ahead and picking a split that will lead to a better tree in some future step.

#### Making a tree

In order to implement the recursive binary splitting we start by selecting the predictor  $x_j$  and a cutpoint s that splits the predictor space into two regions  $R_1$  and  $R_2$ 

$$\{X|x_i < s\}\,,$$

and

$$\{X|x_i\geq s\}$$
,

so that we obtain the lowest MSE, that is

$$\sum_{i:x_i \in R_i} (y_i - \overline{y}_{R_1})^2 + \sum_{i:x_i \in R_2} (y_i - \overline{y}_{R_2})^2,$$

which we want to minimize by considering all predictors  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_p$ . We consider also all possible values of s for each predictor. These values could be determined by randomly assigned numbers or by starting at the midpoint and then proceed till we find an optimal value.

For any j and s, we define the pair of half-planes where  $\overline{y}_{R_1}$  is the mean response for the training observations in  $R_1(j,s)$ , and  $\overline{y}_{R_2}$  is the mean response for the training observations in  $R_2(j,s)$ .

#### Pruning the tree

The above procedure is rather straightforward, but leads often to overfitting and unnecessarily large and complicated trees. The basic idea is to grow a large tree  $\mathcal{T}_0$  and then prune it back in order to obtain a subtree. A smaller tree with fewer splits (fewer regions) can lead to smaller variance and better interpretation at the cost of a little more bias.

The so-called Cost complexity pruning algorithm gives us a way to do just this. Rather than considering every possible subtree, we consider a sequence of trees indexed by a nonnegative tuning parameter  $\alpha$ .

Cost complexity pruning For each value of  $\alpha$  there corresponds a subtree  $T \in T_0$  such that

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\overline{T}} \sum_{i:x_i \in R_m} (y_i - \overline{y}_{R_m})^2 + \alpha \overline{T},$$

is as small as possible. Here  $\overline{T}$  is the number of terminal nodes of the tree T,  $R_m$  is the rectangle (i.e. the subset of predictor space) corresponding to the *m*th terminal node.

The tuning parameter  $\alpha$  controls a trade-off between the subtree's com- plexity and its fit to the training data. When  $\alpha = 0$ , then the subtree T will simply equal  $T_0$ , because then the above equation just measures the training error. However, as  $\alpha$  increases, there is a price to pay for having a tree with many terminal nodes. The above equation will tend to be minimized for a smaller subtree.

It turns out that as we increase  $\alpha$  from zero branches get pruned from the tree in a nested and predictable fashion, so obtaining the whole sequence of subtrees as a function of  $\alpha$  is easy. We can select a value of  $\alpha$  using a validation set or using cross-validation. We then return to the full data set and obtain the subtree

## A schematic procedure

#### Building a Regression Tree

- 1. Use recursive binary splitting to grow a large tree on the training data, stopping only when each terminal node has fewer than some minimum number of observations.
  - 1.1 Apply cost complexity pruning to the large tree in order to obtain a sequence of best subtrees, as a function of  $\alpha$ .
- 2. Use for example K-fold cross-validation to choose  $\alpha$ . Divide the training observations into K folds. For each  $k=1,2,\ldots,K$  we repeat Steps 1 and 2 on all but the kth fold of the training data. Then we valuate the mean squared prediction error on the data in the left-out kth fold, as a function of  $\alpha$ .
- 3. Then we average the results for each value of *alpha*, and pick  $\alpha$  to minimize the average error.
- 4. Return the subtree from Step 2 that corresponds to the chosen value of  $\alpha$ .

#### A classification tree

A classification tree is very similar to a regression tree, except that it is used to predict a qualitative response rather than a quantitative one. Recall that for a regression tree, the predicted response for an observation is given by the mean response of the training observations that belong to the same terminal node. In contrast, for a classification tree, we predict that each observation belongs to the most commonly occurring class of training observations in the region to which it belongs. In interpreting the results of a classification tree, we are often interested not only in the class prediction corresponding to a particular terminal node region, but also in the class proportions among the training observations that fall into that region.

# Growing a classification tree

The task of growing a classification tree is quite similar to the task of growing a regression tree. Just as in the regression setting, we use recursive binary splitting to grow a classification tree. However, in the classification setting, the MSE cannot be used as a criterion for making the binary splits. A natural alternative to MSE is the classification error rate. Since we plan to assign an observation in a given region to the most commonly occurring error rate class of training observations in that region, the classification error rate is simply the fraction of the training observations in that region that do not belong to the most common class.

When building a classification tree, either the Gini index or the entropy are typically used to evaluate the quality of a particular split, since these two approaches are more sensitive to node purity than is the classification error rate.

## Pros and cons of trees, pros

- White box, easy to interpret model. Some people believe that decision trees more closely mirror human decision-making than do the regression and classification approaches discussed earlier (think of support vector machines)
- ► Trees are very easy to explain to people. In fact, they are even easier to explain than linear regression!
- No feature normalization needed
- Tree models can handle both continuous and categorical data (Classification and Regression Trees)
- Can model nonlinear relationships
- Can model interactions between the different descriptive features
- ► Trees can be displayed graphically, and are easily interpreted even by a non-expert (especially if they are small)

#### Disadvantages

- Unfortunately, trees generally do not have the same level of predictive accuracy as some of the other regression and classification approaches
- ► If continuous features are used the tree may become quite large and hence less interpretable
- Decision trees are prone to overfit the training data and hence do not well generalize the data if no stopping criteria or improvements like pruning, boosting or bagging are implemented
- Small changes in the data may lead to a completely different tree. This issue can be addressed by using ensemble methods like bagging, boosting or random forests
- Unbalanced datasets where some target feature values occur much more frequently than others may lead to biased trees since the frequently occurring feature values are preferred over the less frequently occurring ones.
- ▶ If the number of features is relatively large (high dimensional) and the number of instances is relatively low, the tree might

Bagging

The **plain** decision trees suffer from high variance. This means that if we split the training data into two parts at random, and fit a decision tree to both halves, the results that we get could be quite different. In contrast, a procedure with low variance will yield similar results if applied repeatedly to distinct data sets; linear regression tends to have low variance, if the ratio of n to p is moderately large.

Bootstrap aggregation, or just bagging, is a general-purpose procedure for reducing the variance of a statistical learning method. Bagging typically results in improved accuracy over prediction using a single tree. Unfortunately, however, it can be difficult to interpret the resulting model. Recall that one of the advantages of decision trees is the attractive and easily interpreted diagram that results. However, when we bag a large number of trees, it is no longer possible to represent the resulting statistical learning procedure using a single tree, and it is no longer clear which variables are most important to the procedure. Thus, bagging improves prediction accuracy at the expense of interpretability. Although the collection of bagged troop is much more difficult to interpret than a

#### Random forests

Random forests provide an improvement over bagged trees by way of a small tweak that decorrelates the trees.

As in bagging, we build a number of decision trees on bootstrapped training samples. But when building these decision trees, each time a split in a tree is considered, a random sample of m predictors is chosen as split candidates from the full set of p predictors. The split is allowed to use only one of those m predictors.

A fresh sample of m predictors is taken at each split, and typically we choose

$$m \approx \sqrt{p}$$
.

In building a random forest, at each split in the tree, the algorithm is not even allowed to consider a majority of the available predictors. The reason for this is rather clever. Suppose that there is one very strong predictor in the data set, along with a number of other moderately strong predictors. Then in the collection of bagged variable importance random forest trees, most or all of the trees will use this strong predictor in the top split. Consequently, all of the bagged trees will look quite similar to each other. Hence the

## A simple scikit-learn example

```
# Data set not specificied
X = dataset.XXX
Y = dataset.YYY
#Instantiate the model with 100 trees and entropy as splitting criteri
Random_Forest_model = RandomForestClassifier(n_estimators=100,criterio
#Cross validation
accuracy = cross_validate(Random_Forest_model,X,Y,cv=10)['test_score']
```

from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestClassifier
from sklearn.preprocessing import LabelEncoder
from sklearn.model\_selection import cross\_validate