

# Data Analysis and Machine Learning: Logistic Regression

Morten Hjorth-Jensen<sup>1,2</sup>

Department of Physics, University of Oslo<sup>1</sup>

Department of Physics and Astronomy and National Superconducting Cyclotron  
Laboratory, Michigan State University<sup>2</sup>

Oct 11, 2018

© 1999-2018, Morten Hjorth-Jensen. Released under CC Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 license

## Logistic Regression

In linear regression our main interest was centered on learning the coefficients of a functional fit (say a polynomial) in order to be able to predict the response of a continuous variable on some unseen data. The fit to the continuous variable  $y_i$  is based on some independent variables  $\hat{x}_i$ . Linear regression resulted in analytical expressions (in terms of matrices to invert) for several quantities, ranging from the variance and thereby the confidence intervals of the parameters  $\hat{\beta}$  to the mean squared error. If we can invert the product of the design matrices, linear regression gives then a simple recipe for fitting our data.

Classification problems, however, are concerned with outcomes taking the form of discrete variables (i.e. categories). We may for example, on the basis of DNA sequencing for a number of patients, like to find out which mutations are important for a certain disease; or based on scans of various patients' brains, figure out if there is a tumor or not; or given a specific physical system, we'd like to identify its state, say whether it is an ordered or disordered system (typical situation in solid state physics); or classify the status of a patient, whether she/he has a stroke or not and many other similar

# Optimization and Deep learning

Logistic regression will also serve as our stepping stone towards neural network algorithms and supervised deep learning. For logistic learning, the minimization of the cost function leads to a non-linear equation in the parameters  $\hat{\beta}$ . The optimization of the problem calls therefore for minimization algorithms. This forms the bottle neck of all machine learning algorithms, namely how to find reliable minima of a multi-variable function. This leads us to the family of gradient descent methods. The latter are the working horses of basically all modern machine learning algorithms.

We note also that many of the topics discussed here regression are also commonly used in modern supervised Deep Learning models, as we will see later.

## Basics

We consider the case where the dependent variables, also called the responses or the outcomes,  $y_i$  are discrete and only take values from  $k = 0, \dots, K - 1$  (i.e.  $K$  classes).

The goal is to predict the output classes from the design matrix  $\hat{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$  made of  $n$  samples, each of which carries  $p$  features or predictors. The primary goal is to identify the classes to which new unseen samples belong.

Let us specialize to the case of two classes only, with outputs  $y_i = 0$  and  $y_i = 1$ . Our outcomes could represent the status of a credit card user who could default or not on her/his credit card debt. That is

$$y_i = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \text{no} \\ 1 & \text{yes} \end{bmatrix}.$$

## Linear classifier

Before moving to the logistic model, let us try to use our linear regression model to classify these two outcomes. We could for example fit a linear model to the default case if  $y_i > 0.5$  and the no default case  $y_i \leq 0.5$ .

We would then have our weighted linear combination, namely

$$\hat{y} = \hat{X}^T \hat{\beta} + \hat{\epsilon}, \quad (1)$$

where  $\hat{y}$  is a vector representing the possible outcomes,  $\hat{X}$  is our  $n \times p$  design matrix and  $\hat{\beta}$  represents our estimators/predictors.

## Some selected properties

The main problem with our function is that it takes values on the entire real axis. In the case of logistic regression, however, the labels  $y_i$  are discrete variables.

One simple way to get a discrete output is to have sign functions that map the output of a linear regressor to values  $\{0, 1\}$ ,  $f(s_i) = \text{sign}(s_i) = 1$  if  $s_i \geq 0$  and 0 if otherwise. We will encounter this model in our first demonstration of neural networks.

Historically it is called the "perceptron" model in the machine learning literature. This model is extremely simple. However, in many cases it is more favorable to use a "soft" classifier that outputs the probability of a given category. This leads us to the logistic function.

The code for plotting the perceptron can be seen here. This is nothing but the standard [Heaviside step function](#).

## The logistic function

The perceptron is an example of a “hard classification” model. We will encounter this model when we discuss neural networks as well. Each datapoint is deterministically assigned to a category (i.e  $y_i = 0$  or  $y_i = 1$ ). In many cases, it is favorable to have a “soft” classifier that outputs the probability of a given category rather than a single value. For example, given  $x_i$ , the classifier outputs the probability of being in a category  $k$ . Logistic regression is the most common example of a so-called soft classifier. In logistic regression, the probability that a data point  $x_i$  belongs to a category  $y_i = \{0, 1\}$  is given by the so-called logit function (or Sigmoid) which is meant to represent the likelihood for a given event,

$$p(t) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp -t} = \frac{\exp t}{1 + \exp t}.$$

Note that  $1 - p(t) = p(-t)$ . The following code plots the logistic function.

## Two parameters

We assume now that we have two classes with  $y_i$  either 0 or 1. Furthermore we assume also that we have only two parameters  $\beta$  in our fitting of the Sigmoid function, that is we define probabilities

$$p(y_i = 1|x_i, \hat{\beta}) = \frac{\exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i)}{1 + \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i)},$$
$$p(y_i = 0|x_i, \hat{\beta}) = 1 - p(y_i = 1|x_i, \hat{\beta}),$$

where  $\hat{\beta}$  are the weights we wish to extract from data, in our case  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$ .

Note that we used

$$p(y_i = 0|x_i, \hat{\beta}) = 1 - p(y_i = 1|x_i, \hat{\beta}).$$



## Maximum likelihood

In order to define the total likelihood for all possible outcomes from a dataset  $\mathcal{D} = \{(y_i, x_i)\}$ , with the binary labels  $y_i \in \{0, 1\}$  and where the data points are drawn independently, we use the so-called **Maximum Likelihood Estimation** (MLE) principle. We aim thus at maximizing the probability of seeing the observed data. We can then approximate the likelihood in terms of the product of the individual probabilities of a specific outcome  $y_i$ , that is

$$P(\mathcal{D}|\hat{\beta}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \left[ p(y_i = 1|x_i, \hat{\beta}) \right]^{y_i} \left[ 1 - p(y_i = 1|x_i, \hat{\beta}) \right]^{1-y_i}$$

from which we obtain the log-likelihood and our **cost/loss** function

$$\mathcal{C}(\hat{\beta}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left( y_i \log p(y_i = 1|x_i, \hat{\beta}) + (1 - y_i) \log \left[ 1 - p(y_i = 1|x_i, \hat{\beta}) \right] \right).$$

## The cost function rewritten

Reordering the logarithms, we can rewrite the **cost/loss** function as

$$\mathcal{C}(\hat{\beta}) = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i) - \log(1 + \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i))).$$

The maximum likelihood estimator is defined as the set of parameters that maximize the log-likelihood where we maximize with respect to  $\beta$ . Since the cost (error) function is just the negative log-likelihood, for logistic regression we have that

$$\mathcal{C}(\hat{\beta}) = - \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i) - \log(1 + \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i))).$$

This equation is known in statistics as the **cross entropy**. Finally, we note that just as in linear regression, in practice we often supplement the cross-entropy with additional regularization terms, usually  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  regularization as we did for Ridge and Lasso regression.

## Minimizing the cross entropy

The cross entropy is a convex function of the weights  $\hat{\beta}$  and, therefore, any local minimizer is a global minimizer.

Minimizing this cost function with respect to the two parameters  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$  we obtain

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{C}(\hat{\beta})}{\partial \beta_0} = - \sum_{i=1}^n \left( y_i - \frac{\exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i)}{1 + \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i)} \right),$$

and

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{C}(\hat{\beta})}{\partial \beta_1} = - \sum_{i=1}^n \left( y_i x_i - x_i \frac{\exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i)}{1 + \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i)} \right).$$

## A more compact expression

Let us now define a vector  $\hat{y}$  with  $n$  elements  $y_i$ , an  $n \times p$  matrix  $\hat{X}$  which contains the  $x_i$  values and a vector  $\hat{p}$  of fitted probabilities  $p(y_i|x_i, \hat{\beta})$ . We can rewrite in a more compact form the first derivative of cost function as

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{C}(\hat{\beta})}{\partial \hat{\beta}} = -\hat{X}^T (\hat{y} - \hat{p}).$$

If we in addition define a diagonal matrix  $\hat{W}$  with elements  $p(y_i|x_i, \hat{\beta})(1 - p(y_i|x_i, \hat{\beta}))$ , we can obtain a compact expression of the second derivative as

$$\frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{C}(\hat{\beta})}{\partial \hat{\beta} \partial \hat{\beta}^T} = \hat{X}^T \hat{W} \hat{X}.$$

## Extending to more predictors

Within a binary classification problem, we can easily expand our model to include multiple predictors. Our ratio between likelihoods is then with  $p$  predictors

$$\log \frac{p(\hat{\beta}\hat{x})}{1 - p(\hat{\beta}\hat{x})} = \beta_0 + \beta_1x_1 + \beta_2x_2 + \cdots + \beta_px_p.$$

Here we defined  $\hat{x} = [1, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p]$  and  $\hat{\beta} = [\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_p]$  leading to

$$p(\hat{\beta}\hat{x}) = \frac{\exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1x_1 + \beta_2x_2 + \cdots + \beta_px_p)}{1 + \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1x_1 + \beta_2x_2 + \cdots + \beta_px_p)}.$$

## Including more classes

Till now we have mainly focused on two classes, the so-called binary system. Suppose we wish to extend to  $K$  classes. Let us for the sake of simplicity assume we have only two predictors. We have then following model

$$\log \frac{p(C = 1|x)}{p(K|x)} = \beta_{10} + \beta_{11}x_1,$$

$$\log \frac{p(C = 2|x)}{p(K|x)} = \beta_{20} + \beta_{21}x_1,$$

and so on till the class  $C = K - 1$  class

$$\log \frac{p(C = K - 1|x)}{p(K|x)} = \beta_{(K-1)0} + \beta_{(K-1)1}x_1,$$

and the model is specified in term of  $K - 1$  so-called log-odds or **logit** transformations.

## The Softmax function

In our discussion of neural networks we will encounter the above again in terms of the so-called **Softmax** function.

The softmax function is used in various multiclass classification methods, such as multinomial logistic regression (also known as softmax regression), multiclass linear discriminant analysis, naive Bayes classifiers, and artificial neural networks. Specifically, in multinomial logistic regression and linear discriminant analysis, the input to the function is the result of  $K$  distinct linear functions, and the predicted probability for the  $k$ -th class given a sample vector  $\hat{x}$  and a weighting vector  $\hat{\beta}$  is (with two predictors):

$$p(C = k|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\exp(\beta_{k0} + \beta_{k1}x_1)}{1 + \sum_{l=1}^{K-1} \exp(\beta_{l0} + \beta_{l1}x_1)}.$$

It is easy to extend to more predictors. The final class is

$$p(C = K|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{l=1}^{K-1} \exp(\beta_{l0} + \beta_{l1}x_1)},$$

and they sum to one. Our earlier discussions were all specialized to the case with two classes only. It is easy to see from the above that

## A scikit-learn example

```
import numpy as np
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
from sklearn import datasets
iris = datasets.load_iris()
list(iris.keys())
['data', 'target_names', 'feature_names', 'target', 'DESCR']
X = iris["data"][:, 3:] # petal width
y = (iris["target"] == 2).astype(np.int) # 1 if Iris-Virginica, else 0

from sklearn.linear_model import LogisticRegression
log_reg = LogisticRegression()
log_reg.fit(X, y)

X_new = np.linspace(0, 3, 1000).reshape(-1, 1)
y_proba = log_reg.predict_proba(X_new)
plt.plot(X_new, y_proba[:, 1], "g-", label="Iris-Virginica")
plt.plot(X_new, y_proba[:, 0], "b--", label="Not Iris-Virginica")
plt.show()
```



## A simple classification problem

```
import numpy as np
from sklearn import datasets, linear_model
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt

def generate_data():
    np.random.seed(0)
    X, y = datasets.make_moons(200, noise=0.20)
    return X, y

def visualize(X, y, clf):
    # plt.scatter(X[:, 0], X[:, 1], s=40, c=y, cmap=plt.cm.Spectral)
    # plt.show()
    plot_decision_boundary(lambda x: clf.predict(x), X, y)
    plt.title("Logistic Regression")

def plot_decision_boundary(pred_func, X, y):
    # Set min and max values and give it some padding
    x_min, x_max = X[:, 0].min() - .5, X[:, 0].max() + .5
    y_min, y_max = X[:, 1].min() - .5, X[:, 1].max() + .5
    h = 0.01
    # Generate a grid of points with distance h between them
    xx, yy = np.meshgrid(np.arange(x_min, x_max, h), np.arange(y_min,
    # Predict the function value for the whole grid
    Z = pred_func(np.c_[xx.ravel(), yy.ravel()])
    Z = Z.reshape(xx.shape)
```

## The two-dimensional Ising model, Predicting phase transition of the two-dimensional Ising model

The Hamiltonian of the two-dimensional Ising model without an external field for a constant coupling constant  $J$  is given by

$$H = -J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} S_i S_j, \quad (2)$$

where  $S_i \in \{-1, 1\}$  and  $\langle ij \rangle$  signifies that we only iterate over the nearest neighbors in the lattice. We will be looking at a system of  $L = 40$  spins in each dimension, i.e.,  $L^2 = 1600$  spins in total. Opposed to the one-dimensional Ising model we will get a phase transition from an **ordered** phase to a **disordered** phase at the critical temperature

$$\frac{T_c}{J} = \frac{2}{\log(1 + \sqrt{2})} \approx 2.26, \quad (3)$$

as shown by Lars Onsager.

Here we use **logistic regression** to predict when a phase transition occurs. The data we will look at is a set of spin configurations, i.e.,

## Reading in the data

Using the data from [Mehta et al.](#) (specifically the two datasets named `Ising2DFM_reSample_L40_T=All.pkl` and `Ising2DFM_reSample_L40_T=All_labels.pkl`) we have to unpack the data into numpy arrays.

```
filenames = glob.glob(os.path.join("../", "dat", "*"))
label_filename = list(filter(lambda x: "label" in x, filenames))[0]
dat_filename = list(filter(lambda x: "label" not in x, filenames))[0]

# Read in the labels
with open(label_filename, "rb") as f:
    labels = pickle.load(f)

# Read in the corresponding configurations
with open(dat_filename, "rb") as f:
    data = np.unpackbits(pickle.load(f)).reshape(-1, 1600).astype("int")

# Set spin-down to -1
data[data == 0] = -1
```

This dataset consists of 10000 samples, i.e., 10000 spin configurations with  $40 \times 40$  spins each, for 16 temperatures between 0.25 to 4.0. Next we create a train/test-split and keep the data in the critical phase as a separate dataset for extrapolation-testing.

```
# Set up slices of the dataset
```

## Logistic regression

Logistic regression is a linear model for classification. Recalling the cost function for ordinary least squares with both L2 (ridge) and L1 (LASSO) penalties we will see that the logistic cost function is very similar. In OLS we wish to predict a continuous variable  $\hat{y}$  using

$$\hat{y} = X\omega, \quad (4)$$

where  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$  is the input data and  $\omega^{p \times d}$  are the weights of the regression. In a classification setting (binary classification in our situation) we are interested in a positive or negative answer. We can thus define either answer to be above or below some threshold. But, in order to limit the size of the answer and also to get a probability interpretation on how sure we are for either answer we can compute the sigmoid function of OLS. That is,

$$f(X\omega) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-X\omega)}. \quad (5)$$

We are thus interested in minimizing the following cost function

$$C(X, \omega) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ -y_i \log(f(x_i^T \omega)) - (1 - y_i) \log[1 - f(x_i^T \omega)] \right\}$$

## Exploring the logistic regression

The penalization factor  $\lambda$  is inverted in the case of the logistic regression model we use. We will explore several values of  $\lambda$  using both L1 and L2 penalization. We do this using a grid search over different parameters and run a 3-fold cross validation for each configuration. In other words, we fit a model 3 times for each configuration of the hyper parameters.

```
lambdas = np.logspace(-7, -1, 7)
```

```
param_grid = {  
    "C": list(1.0/lambdas),  
    "penalty": ["l1", "l2"]  
}
```

```
clf = skms.GridSearchCV(  
    skl.LogisticRegression(),  
    param_grid=param_grid,  
    n_jobs=-1,  
    return_train_score=True  
)
```

```
t0 = time.time()  
clf.fit(X_train, y_train)  
t1 = time.time()
```

```
print (  
    "Time spent fitting GridSearchCV(LogisticRegression): {0:.3f} sec"  
    t1 - t0  
)
```

## Accuracy of a classification model

To determine how well a classification model is performing we count the number of correctly labeled classes and divide by the number of classes in total. The accuracy is thus given by

$$a(y, \hat{y}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n I(y_i = \hat{y}_i), \quad (7)$$

where  $I(y_i = \hat{y}_i)$  is the indicator function given by

$$I(x = y) = \begin{cases} 1 & x = y, \\ 0 & x \neq y. \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

This is the accuracy provided by Scikit-learn when using **sklearn.metrics.accuracy\_score**.

Below we compute the accuracy of the best fit model on the training data (which should give a good accuracy), the test data (which has not been shown to the model) and the critical data (completely new data that needs to be extrapolated).

```
train_accuracy = skm.accuracy_score(y_train, clf.predict(X_train))
```

## Analyzing the results

Below we show a different metric for determining the quality of our model, namely the **receiver operating characteristic (ROC)**. The ROC curve tells us how well the model correctly classifies the different labels. We plot the **true positive rate** (the rate of predicted positive classes that are positive) versus the **false positive rate** (the rate of predicted positive classes that are negative). The ROC curve is built by computing the true positive rate and the false positive rate for varying **thresholds**, i.e, which probability we should credit a certain class.

By computing the **area under the curve (AUC)** of the ROC curve we get an estimate of how well our model is performing. Pure guessing will get an AUC of 0.5. A perfect score will get an AUC of 1.0.

```
fig = plt.figure(figsize=(20, 14))

for (_X, _y), label in zip(
    [
        (X_train, y_train),
        (X_test, y_test),
        (data[critical], labels[critical])
    ],
    ["Train", "Test", "Critical"]
)
```