

Secondary Agile Iteration Report

Project: Burgerator

Client: Ammar Shallal

Team: Kevin Haro, Luis Garcia, Alec Michael & Jonathan Hammond

Central Washington University - 12/8/2015

CONTENTS

Introduction	4
Website	4
Project Overview	4
Project Summary	4
Project Client and Stakeholders	4
Project Scope	4
Project Management Plan	4
Project Organization	4
Risk Management	5
Cost Risks	5
Scheduling Risks	5
Programmatic Risks	5
Hazy Vision	5
Team Issues	5
Software Development Tools	6
Requirements	6
Development, Operation, and Maintenance Environments	6
System Model	6
User Interaction	7
Functional Requirements	7
Nonfunctional Requirements	7
Feasibility	8
Use Case Diagram:	9
Use Case Scenarios	9
Quality Assurance Plan	14
Document Standards	14
Coding Standards	15
User Interface Guidelines	15
Change Control Process	15
Testing Process	15

Conclusion.....	16
-----------------	----

INTRODUCTION

Have you ever thought about finding a good or new burger around town? Well, our client Ammar Shallal, thought the same thing and decided to do something about it. He and a group of friends that were on a mission to find the best burgers in New York City founded the **Burgerator** app for iOS. The Burgerator app allows users to rate, find, and keep track of the burgers they've had. It's a wonderfully simple concept, and works well. However, not everyone has an iPhone, and Ammar wanted his brainchild to be accessible by a larger audience. This is where the Hamburgerler's come in.

Our team will be developing an Android version of the app and will perform some new feature requests from the client. The new features will include more social interaction options for users, such as the ability to follow other users to see what great (or gross) burgers they're eating. Additionally, we will be looking at performing a database restructure that moves the focus from the burger joints to the burgers themselves, and a few other requirements that will heighten the user experience of the Burgerator.

WEBSITE

Our website is <https://trello.com/hamburgerlers>

PROJECT OVERVIEW

PROJECT SUMMARY

Everyone has asked the question, "What is the best burger in town?" Whether it is your hometown or a town you are visiting, asking what the best burger available is a very common question. The Burgerator app gives the user the answer with one click of a button. The app will give the user a list of the best burgers in order from best to worst. Along with the name and location of the business that serves the burger. This will be developed as an Android mobile application.

PROJECT CLIENT AND STAKEHOLDERS

Our client is Amar Shallal. He currently has Burgerator available for IOS download. Ammar also has a database for user to input their burger ratings while the Android app is completed.

PROJECT SCOPE

Our software will make it simple for the user to find the highest rated burger in their town. The app will show the location of the restaurant where the user can purchase the burger along with an image of the burger. The app will also display the user information of whomever rated the burger. The ratings will need to be imputed by the user. As well as the image of the burger.

PROJECT MANAGEMENT PLAN

PROJECT ORGANIZATION

We have chosen to use the agile software development process. Consisting of a series of short iterations, each ending with an update of some form delivered to the client. The agile process will allow

flexibility and easy change while all team members are on the same page, equally informed and applicable for effective risk management.

The Hamburgerler's consists of Team Lead Kevin Haro, Quality Assurance Lead Jonathan Hammond, Documentation Lead Alec Michel, and Design Lead Luis Garcia. We will meet as a team for twenty minutes three times a week, and two scrum meetings for an hour.

Our longer meetings will consist of working individually, planning, troubleshooting, and announcing other issues that are needed.

RISK MANAGEMENT

We have chosen to use the tips for managing risks as outlined in Practical Tips for Software-Intensive Student Projects. The risks presented are clear, comprehensive, and have good pointers for mitigation

COST RISKS

The likely hood of risk around cost will be understood by exploring the places our project incurs a cost, and determine our situation. We will clarify with the client, if a budget for web hosting and server space will be planned accordingly. The damage of these risks is very low, since we know what to expect.

SCHEDULING RISKS

For scheduling, the team has come up with meeting on a daily to weekly basis. Thus communication can be easily accessed verbally. Questions and concerns are appropriately addressed throughout each meeting. However, the only current plan for dealing with the complete absence of a team member is to split the members work among the others. This would be a challenging situation, which is why all team members are strongly informed of each other's progress. The likelihood of a complete absence risk is low, since work can be completed separately from the group. But the impact is high since there are only four members on the team.

PROGRAMMATIC RISKS

Programmatic risks are relatively low, since it is a small group project. Group expectations, faculty advising and clients requirements are strongly and clearly stated.

HAZY VISION

The risk of building the wrong project is medium. Although the requirements are well-established, the risk lies within the complexity of our project. Since the team is working off of a previous projects work, the results of the previous project are different from this current project. That is why contact with the client is critical for a clear understanding.

TEAM ISSUES

The risk of problematic team members is high. The team has done very well to reduce the risk. Our team is well open to communication, and has agreed to be open to change. But the main problem is the size of the team. A mere four-person group is very small for a project of this unknown proportion. This means that team members will need to pull their own weight. This is how controversy arises when

a member feels like they are doing more work than the others, etc. The plan is to pair program as needed, so that each team member can work to the best that they can.

These are the main risks addressed. Overall, the team is open to confronting new risks that develop.

SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT TOOLS

The Team is using Trello for issue tracking with a Kanban method of focus, GitHub for version control, Trello and DropBox for document sharing, and Facebook for project scheduling. Current knowledge, simplicity and easy access are reasons why the team chose these development tools. Trello and Facebook are particularly easy to access, since they have mobile apps that come with the tool.

REQUIREMENTS

DEVELOPMENT, OPERATION, AND MAINTENANCE ENVIRONMENTS

Android Burgerator will run as a native Android application on Android devices. Development, operation, and maintenance will utilize physical Android phones as well as virtual Android emulators. Minimum and target Android API's will change based on the technological needs of the application combined with maintaining the maximum possible user base.

SYSTEM MODEL

- Existing high-level system view:




Figure 1: IOS Burgerator

- IOS Burgerator is what currently exists. It is a mobile application that allows users to rate burgers within a geographic location (predominantly used in New York). Android Burgerator Base is intended to be an exact replication of IOS Burgerator(Figure 1).
- Proposed Systems:

Android Burgerator Base

Android Burgerator Goal

- The proposed system Android Burgerator Base is ideally identical to IOS Burgerator. However, the Android Burgerator Goal is intended to be a more flexible extension of Burgerator that incorporates more social media aspects into Burgerator. For example, allowing you to share burgers with friends.

USER INTERACTION

- The user of the mobile application Android Burgerator Base is to allow individuals to visit a restaurant, take photos of a hamburger they have ordered, leave a rating for said burger, and other features related to rating burgers.
- The use-case diagram and scenarios describe the interaction between the user and the mobile application.
 - Refer to the use case diagram and corresponding scenarios(Figure 3)

FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS

- Allow the user to login (Use case 'Login to Burgerator')
 - Login's can be completed by email, Facebook or twitter
 - Login's must be bound to each other. One user, can have multiple login credentials.
 - Login's must be secure and use proper authentication practices.
- Allow the user to explore the five main windows of the application(Find A Burger, Burger Feed, Burger Rating, Top Burgers, User Profile)
 - Find A Burger: Search for burgers based on GPS location, zip code, or keyword. (Use case 'Find a burger/restaurant')
 - Burger Feed: A list of burger reviews that are somehow (location, friends, pervious views, interests) relevant to you. (Use case 'Browse burger feed')
 - Burger Rating: A virtual form to complete a review of a burger. (Use case 'Rate a burger/ Add review')
 - Top Burgers: A list of the top 10 rated burgers in the world. (Use case 'Browse burger leaderboards')
- Allow the user to control setting, such as location, Facebook posts, linked accounts, and logout, from within the application

NONFUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS

- Given that Burgerator is location based, there must be access to location or a manual way to enter the location.
- Constraints that the hardware imposes on the application are the same that other applications have. Memory, data, and battery constraints should be minimal.
- The portability of the project is apparent given the underlying Android platform. This advantage opens up to application to the majority of the mobile market share.
- The reliability of the application will rely on the servers that support it.

FEASIBILITY

- Although 'Android Burgerator Goal' is the vision for Burgerator there exist two significant benchmarks for the development team, Android Burgerator Base and Android Burgerator Base Core (Figure 2).
- Android Burgerator Base Core:




Figure 2: Base System Diagram

USE CASE DIAGRAM:




Figure 3

USE CASE SCENARIOS

Use Case Name	Login to Burgerator
Actors	User

Summary	The user logs into the application upon first use
Pre-Conditions	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. User has the application installed 2. Internet connection is available 3. User has an Facebook account, Twitter account, or email to login with
Normal Flow of Elements	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. User opens the Burgerator application 2. User is taken to the Burgerator splash screen 3. User is prompted login info 4. User chooses login account 5. User is logged into Burgerator
Error Conditions	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4a. User enters incorrect credentials 4b. User forgets account password
Concurrent Activities	1a. Location is ascertained
Post-Conditions	1. User is logged into Burgerator

Use Case Name	Find a burger/restaurant
Actors	User
Summary	Once logged into Burgerator, the user is in search of a burger/restaurant
Pre-Conditions	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. User has the application installed 2. Internet connection is available 3. User location is enabled 4. User is logged in
Normal Flow of Elements	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. User opens the Burgerator application 2. User is taken to the Burgerator home screen 3. User navigates to the 'find a burger' tab 4. User sorts by keyword, distance, or rating 5. User browses restaurants 6. User chooses restaurant

	7. User chooses burger
	8. User goes to restaurant
Error Conditions	4a. No results returned
	8a. Restaurant closed or burger no longer served
Concurrent Activities	None
Post-Conditions	1. User has found a desired burger

Use Case Name	Browse burger feed
Actors	User
Summary	Once logged into Burgerator, the user browses the burger feed
Pre-Conditions	1. User has the application installed 2. Internet connection is available 4. User is logged in
Normal Flow of Elements	1. User opens the Burgerator application 2. User is taken to the Burgerator home screen 3. User navigates to the 'burger feed' tab 4. User browses other reviews 5. For every review, the user can: View that review View the review's respective restaurants View the review's picture 'Pound' the review 6. User continues to browse the burger feed
Error Conditions	3a. Burger feed does not load
Concurrent Activities	None
Post-Conditions	1. User has viewed rated burgers

Use Case Name	Rate a burger/ Add review
Actors	User
Summary	Once logged into Burgerator, the user attempts

	to review a burger
Pre-Conditions	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. User has the application installed 2. Internet connection is available 3. User location is enabled 4. User camera is functional 4. User is logged in
Normal Flow of Elements	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. User opens the Burgerator application 2. User is taken to the Burgerator home screen 3. User navigates to the 'review' tab 4. User chooses restaurant 5. User takes a picture of the burger 6. User rates the burger 7. User adds comments 8. User can share on Facebook and twitter 9. User submits rating
Error Conditions	4a. User cannot find restaurant
Concurrent Activities	Content may or may not be posted to Facebook and twitter
Post-Conditions	1. User has rated a burger

Use Case Name	Browse burger leaderboards
Actors	User
Summary	Once logged into Burgerator, the user browses the burger leaderboards
Pre-Conditions	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. User has the application installed 2. Internet connection is available 4. User is logged in
Normal Flow of Elements	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. User opens the Burgerator application 2. User is taken to the Burgerator home screen

	3. User navigates to the 'top 10 burgers' tab 4. User browses top burgers 5. For every top burger, the user can: View the top burger reviews View the review's respective restaurants View the review's picture 6. User continues to browse the burger feed
Error Conditions	3a. Burger feed does not load
Concurrent Activities	None
Post-Conditions	1. User has viewed top burgers

Use Case Name	Browse personal profile
Actors	User
Summary	Once logged into Burgerator, the user browses their profile
Pre-Conditions	1. User has the application installed 2. Internet connection is available 4. User is logged in
Normal Flow of Elements	1. User opens the Burgerator application 2. User is taken to the Burgerator home screen 3. User navigates to the 'profile' tab 4. User views their Burgerator rank (Squire etc...) 5. User browses previously rated burgers 6. For every top burger, the user can: View the top burger reviews View the review's respective restaurants View the review's picture
Error Conditions	None
Concurrent Activities	None
Post-Conditions	1. User has viewed their profile

Use Case Name	Manage database
Actors	Database Administrator (DBA)
Summary	The database administrators role is to clean garbage inputs from the system, modify the relational schema, and otherwise maintain the

	database
Pre-Conditions	1. The DBA has access to the database 2. The DBA knows how to access the database
Normal Flow of Elements	1. The DBA has the ability to: Insert Inputs Remove inputs Modify the schema
Error Conditions	1a. Database is unavailable due to hosting problems
Concurrent Activities	None
Post-Conditions	1. The database has been maintained

Use Case Name	Maintain/Modify Android Application
Actors	Developer
Summary	The developer's role is to create and maintain the application.
Pre-Conditions	None
Normal Flow of Elements	1. The developers have the ability to: Modify user interface Modify database connection Modify yelp api connection
Error Conditions	None
Concurrent Activities	None
Post-Conditions	1. The application has been maintained

QUALITY ASSURANCE PLAN

DOCUMENT STANDARDS

The document standards extend the styles and format currently demonstrated by this document. The heading font for our team is "Rockwell (Headings)". Headings have 18 point font and subheadings have 14 point font. The font size for documents content is 12 point with a font of "Calibri (Body)".

Presentations share the documentation font styles but not the font sizes. The font size for presentations is variable as to maximize the readability for the audience. In addition to readability, key principles of presentations are consistency, emphasis on illustrations, and an active narrative.

CODING STANDARDS

The following hyperlinks embody the practices that this development team strives for. Because the Android platform is written in the programming language Java, we must have excellent Java proficiency. Furthermore, the Android platform has its own coding standards, project guidelines, and development design patterns that must be considered when developing a mobile application in Android.

[Google Java general coding standards](#)

[Android coding standards](#)

[Android project guidelines](#)

[Android development guidelines](#)

USER INTERFACE GUIDELINES

In addition to the reference links below, some user interface principles to abide by are simplicity, consistency, and to maintain the custom user interface aesthetic presented in iOS Burgerator.

[Android user interface best practices](#)

[Android user interface design](#)

CHANGE CONTROL PROCESS

Change is natural and encouraged in an agile methodology such as ours. Because of this, the plan to adapt to change is to talk about changes as a group and definitively step in a direction based on the change discussion. The plan of attack against requirement, or scope, creep is to properly define the boundaries of the levels of our platform specified in the requirements section. These guidelines will be presented to the development group until a unanimous agreement on concrete requirements has been achieved.

TESTING PROCESS

The testing methodologies our group employs are ad hoc testing, system testing, and unit testing for any code developed by our team. Third party libraries will not be tested.

Methods for ad hoc testing will be used to identify obvious bugs. Our ad hoc testing procedures will consist of distributing the current application to the development team and attempting to identify bugs with the application. The bugs will be found by testing all existing use cases and use case scenarios.

Methods for system testing will include testing the different modules in the Burgerator system. Specifically, this is ensuring that the Burgerator engine can handle user authorization, database connectivity, and yelp API connectivity. This system level testing will take place on physical and nonphysical devices.

Methods for unit testing will include testing all source code written by our development team at a unit level. This will be facilitated by the use of java testing suites such as junit testing.

Client acceptance testing will be incrementally evaluated via content demonstrations. The demonstrations will occur as frequently as our client would like, or tri weekly.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, Team Hamburgerler has much work ahead. We need to identify how to connect to the Burgerator database, and how to connect to the Yelp API Database. In addition to these requirements, we need to also learn how to authorize users with their favorite social media accounts like Facebook and Twitter. The user interface in the application also needs to be recreated to replicate the iOS Burgerator application.

Scheduling conflicts have been minimal but not negligible. Looking forward, it would be ideal for development to maintain momentum and for team meeting to happen when scheduled. Also, more concrete guidelines will be established as prototype development continues.

All in all, this project is just beginning but we look forward to having a prototype in the next few weeks.