

Applied Statistical Methods II

Chapter #19 in KNNL

Two-Factor Studies with Equal Sample Sizes

Looking forward:

- Ch 19:
 - Start two-way ANOVA with equal group sizes.
 - Consider model and inference with interaction.
 - Consider inference without interaction.

Two-Way ANOVA Models

- We will start to consider two-factor studies.
- Also known as two-way ANOVA models.
- Consider a study where we want to know how shelf height (high, middle, low) and shelf width (regular, wide) are associated with sales of bread.
 - 12 stores are participating.
 - each treatment combination has two stores.
- Often called a 2×3 factorial study.
- Presents one level more of complexity than one-way ANOVA.
 - Do the two treatments affect one-another?
 - In the regression context: question of interactions.

Some Notation

- Y_{ijk} is the k^{th} observation with first factor A at level i and second factor B at level j .
 - $i = 1, \dots, a$ and $j = 1, \dots, b$
 - $\mu_{ij} = E(Y_{ijk})$
 - $Y_{ijk} = \mu_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}$
 - When might we worry about identifiability of μ_{ij} ?
 - n is the number of subjects per treatment pair. For now, we assume balanced design.

$$\mu_{.j} = \frac{\sum_i \mu_{ij}}{a}$$

$$\mu_{i.} = \frac{\sum_j \mu_{ij}}{b}$$

$$\mu_{..} = \frac{\sum_i \sum_j \mu_{ij}}{a \times b}$$

These are all estimable quantities.

Some Notation

- Y_{ijk} is the k^{th} observation with first factor A at level i and second factor B at level j .
 - $i = 1, \dots, a$ and $j = 1, \dots, b$
 - $\mu_{ij} = E(Y_{ijk})$
 - $Y_{ijk} = \mu_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}$
 - When might we worry about identifiability of μ_{ij} ?
 - n is the number of subjects per treatment pair. For now, we assume balanced design.

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_{.j} &= \frac{\sum_i \mu_{ij}}{a} \\ \mu_{i.} &= \frac{\sum_j \mu_{ij}}{b} \\ \mu_{..} &= \frac{\sum_i \sum_j \mu_{ij}}{a \times b}\end{aligned}$$

These are all estimable quantities.

More Notation

- $Y_{ij.} = \sum_k Y_{ijk}$
- $\bar{Y}_{ij.} = \frac{Y_{ij.}}{n}$
- $Y_{i..} = \sum_j Y_{ij.}$
- $\bar{Y}_{i..} = \frac{Y_{i..}}{bn}$
- Similarly for $Y_{.j.}$, $\bar{Y}_{.j.}$, $Y_{...}$ and $\bar{Y}_{...}$

Additive Model

- Often the science dictates that there should be an additive effect between the two factors.
- Consider a study of HIV viral counts.
 - Factor A is drug 1 or placebo.
 - Factor B is drug 2 or placebo.
 - Drug 1 stops the virus from binding with cells.
 - Drug 2 allows the immune system to recognize and destroy the virus.
- These are called either additive models or models with no interaction.

The Additive Two-Way ANOVA Model

- $\mu_{ij} = \mu_0 + \alpha_i + \beta_j$
- Are parameters identifiable?
- Convenient restriction: $\sum_i \alpha_i = \sum_j \beta_j = 0$
- What is interpretation of μ_0 ? α_i ? β_j ?
- $\mu_{..} = \mu_0 + \frac{1}{ab} \sum_i \sum_j (\alpha_i + \beta_j) = \mu_0$
- Main effect for the i^{th} level of A:
 $\alpha_i = \mu_{i.} - \mu_{..}$
- Main effect for the j^{th} level of B:
 $\beta_j = \mu_{.j} - \mu_{..}$
- Under additive model, we find:
 - $\hat{\mu}_{..} = \bar{Y}_{...}$
 - $\hat{\mu}_{i.} = \bar{Y}_{i..}$
 - $\hat{\mu}_{.j} = \bar{Y}_{.j.}$
 - $\hat{Y}_{ijk} = \hat{\mu}_{ij} = \bar{Y}_{i..} + \bar{Y}_{.j.} - \bar{Y}_{...}$

The Additive Two-Way ANOVA Model

- $\mu_{ij} = \mu_0 + \alpha_i + \beta_j$
- Are parameters identifiable?
- Convenient restriction: $\sum_i \alpha_i = \sum_j \beta_j = 0$
- What is interpretation of μ_0 ? α_i ? β_j ?
- $\mu_{..} = \mu_0 + \frac{1}{ab} \sum_i \sum_j (\alpha_i + \beta_j) = \mu_0$
- Main effect for the i^{th} level of A:
 $\alpha_i = \mu_{i.} - \mu_{..}$
- Main effect for the j^{th} level of B:
 $\beta_j = \mu_{.j} - \mu_{..}$
- Under additive model, we find:
 - $\hat{\mu}_{..} = \bar{Y}_{...}$
 - $\hat{\mu}_{i.} = \bar{Y}_{i..}$
 - $\hat{\mu}_{.j} = \bar{Y}_{.j.}$
 - $\hat{Y}_{ijk} = \hat{\mu}_{ij} = \bar{Y}_{i..} + \bar{Y}_{.j.} - \bar{Y}_{...}$

The Additive Two-Way ANOVA Model

- $\mu_{ij} = \mu_0 + \alpha_i + \beta_j$
- Are parameters identifiable?
- Convenient restriction: $\sum_i \alpha_i = \sum_j \beta_j = 0$
- What is interpretation of μ_0 ? α_i ? β_j ?
- $\mu_{..} = \mu_0 + \frac{1}{ab} \sum_i \sum_j (\alpha_i + \beta_j) = \mu_0$
- Main effect for the i^{th} level of A:
 $\alpha_i = \mu_{i.} - \mu_{..}$
- Main effect for the j^{th} level of B:
 $\beta_j = \mu_{.j} - \mu_{..}$
- Under additive model, we find:
 - $\hat{\mu}_{..} = \bar{Y}_{...}$
 - $\hat{\mu}_{i.} = \bar{Y}_{i..}$
 - $\hat{\mu}_{.j} = \bar{Y}_{.j.}$
 - $\hat{Y}_{ijk} = \hat{\mu}_{ij} = \bar{Y}_{i..} + \bar{Y}_{.j.} - \bar{Y}_{...}$

Two-Way ANOVA Model with Interaction

- Often the two factors can affect one-another.
- Or testing this possible interaction is of importance.
- Consider a study of two drugs to battle ovarian cancer.
 - A 2×2 factorial design.
 - First drug destroys the cellular structure of a tumor.
 - The second drug attacks the blood supply to the tumor.
 - What if the first drug also destroys the veins into the tumor?

The Two-Way ANOVA Model with Interaction

- Main effect for the i^{th} level of A:

$$\alpha_i = \mu_{i.} - \mu_{..}$$

- Main effect for the j^{th} level of B:

$$\beta_j = \mu_{.j} - \mu_{..}$$

- Would like to write $\mu_{ij} = \underbrace{\mu_{..}}_{\text{intercept}} + \underbrace{\alpha_i + \beta_j}_{\text{main effects}} + \underbrace{(\alpha\beta)_{ij}}_{\text{interaction}}$

- Identifiability issues?

- Restrictions:

- $\sum_i \alpha_i = \sum_j \beta_j = 0$
- $\sum_i (\alpha\beta)_{ij} = \sum_j (\alpha\beta)_{ij} = 0$

- $(\alpha\beta)_{ij} = \mu_{ij} - (\mu_{i.} + \mu_{.j} - \mu_{..})$

- Intuition: $(\alpha\beta)_{ij}$ is the different between μ_{ij} and the additive effects

The Two-Way ANOVA Model with Interaction

- Main effect for the i^{th} level of A:

$$\alpha_i = \mu_{i.} - \mu_{..}$$

- Main effect for the j^{th} level of B:

$$\beta_j = \mu_{.j} - \mu_{..}$$

- Would like to write $\mu_{ij} = \underbrace{\mu_{..}}_{\text{intercept}} + \underbrace{\alpha_i + \beta_j}_{\text{main effects}} + \underbrace{(\alpha\beta)_{ij}}_{\text{interaction}}$

- Identifiability issues?

- Restrictions:

- $\sum_i \alpha_i = \sum_j \beta_j = 0$
- $\sum_i (\alpha\beta)_{ij} = \sum_j (\alpha\beta)_{ij} = 0$

- $(\alpha\beta)_{ij} = \mu_{ij} - (\mu_{i.} + \mu_{.j} - \mu_{..})$

- Intuition: $(\alpha\beta)_{ij}$ is the different between μ_{ij} and the additive effects

ANOVA Decomposition

- Two-way ANOVA with interaction, we find:
 - $\hat{\mu}_{..} = \bar{Y}_{...}$
 - $\hat{\mu}_{i.} = \bar{Y}_{i..}$
 - $\hat{\mu}_{.j} = \bar{Y}_{.j.}$
 - $\hat{Y}_{ijk} = \hat{\mu}_{ij} = \bar{Y}_{ij.}$
- The ANOVA decomposition $SSTO = SSTR + SSE$
 - $SSTO = \sum_{ijk} (Y_{ijk} - \bar{Y}_{...})^2$ has $n \times a \times b - 1$ df.
 - $SSTR = n \sum_{ij} (\bar{Y}_{ij.} - \bar{Y}_{...})^2$ has $a \times b - 1$ df.
 - $SSE = \sum_{ijk} (Y_{ijk} - \bar{Y}_{ij.})^2$ has $a \times b \times (n - 1)$ df.

Further Decomposition

- $SSTR = \underbrace{SSA}_{\text{main effect}} + \underbrace{SSB}_{\text{main effect}} + \underbrace{SSAB}_{\text{interaction}}.$
- $SSA = nb \sum_i (\bar{Y}_{i..} - \bar{Y}_{...})^2$ has $a-1$ df.
- $SSB = na \sum_j (\bar{Y}_{.j.} - \bar{Y}_{...})^2$ has $b-1$ df.
- $SSAB = n \sum_{ij} (\bar{Y}_{ij.} - \bar{Y}_{i..} - \bar{Y}_{.j.} + \bar{Y}_{...})^2$ has $(a-1)(b-1)$ df.
 - The sum of the df must equal df from SSTR $ab-1$.
 - Know df for SSA and SSB.
 - Subtract to get df from SSAB.
- Define the mean squared errors by dividing the sums of squares errors by their degrees of freedom.

- Let \mathbf{Y} be the vector of all of the data.
- We can find matrices A , B , C , E such that:
 - $SSA = \mathbf{Y}^T A \mathbf{Y}$ where $rk(A) = a - 1$
 - $SSB = \mathbf{Y}^T B \mathbf{Y}$ where $rk(B) = b - 1$
 - $SSAB = \mathbf{Y}^T C \mathbf{Y}$ where $rk(C) = (a - 1)(b - 1)$
 - $SSE = \mathbf{Y}^T E \mathbf{Y}$ where $rk(E) = a \times b \times (n - 1)$
- For **balanced designs**,
 - $AB = 0, AC = 0, AE = 0, BC = 0, BE = 0, CE = 0$
 - Each of these sums-of-squares is independent χ^2 with some non-centrality parameter.
- This only holds for the two-way ANOVA under balanced design.

Expected Values of MS

- Recall: If A is idempotent and $\mathbf{Y} \sim \mathbf{N}(\mu, \mathbf{V})$ then $E\mathbf{Y}^T A \mathbf{Y} = \text{tr}(A\mathbf{V}) + \mu^T A \mu$
- This can be used to find that:
 - $E[MSA] = \sigma^2 + bn \frac{\sum_i (\mu_{i.} - \mu_{..})^2}{a-1}$
 - $E[MSB] = \sigma^2 + an \frac{\sum_j (\mu_{.j} - \mu_{..})^2}{b-1}$
 - $E[MSAB] = \sigma^2 + n \frac{\sum_i \sum_j \{\mu_{ij} - (\mu_{i.} + \mu_{.j} - \mu_{..})\}^2}{(a-1)(b-1)}$
 - $E[MSE] = \sigma^2$

- Assuming normality, F -tests allow us to test for:
 - Need for interactions $H_0 : (\alpha\beta)_{ij} = 0$ for all i, j
 - $F^* = \frac{MSAB}{MSE} \sim F_{(a-1)(b-1), (n-1)ab}$ under H_0 .
 - Level averages for factor A are equal: $H_0 : \mu_{1.} = \dots = \mu_{a.}$
 - $F^* = \frac{MSA}{MSE} \sim F_{(a-1), (n-1)ab}$ under H_0 .
 - Level averages for factor B are equal $H_0 : \mu_{.1} = \dots = \mu_{.b}$
 - $F^* = \frac{MSB}{MSE} \sim F_{(b-1), (n-1)ab}$ under H_0 .
- Care must be taken in interpreting the last two tests when there is interaction.
- Let's look at an example.

Recall the Additive Two-Way ANOVA Model

- Main effect for the i^{th} level of A:

$$\alpha_i = \mu_{i.} - \mu_{..}$$

- Main effect for the j^{th} level of B:

$$\beta_j = \mu_{.j} - \mu_{..}$$

- $\mu_{ij} = \mu_{..} + \alpha_i + \beta_j$

- Under additive model, we find:

- $\hat{\mu}_{..} = \bar{Y}_{...}$

- $\hat{\mu}_{i.} = \bar{Y}_{i..}$

- $\hat{\mu}_{.j} = \bar{Y}_{.j.}$

- $\hat{Y}_{ijk} = \hat{\mu}_{ij} = \bar{Y}_{i..} + \bar{Y}_{.j.} - \bar{Y}_{...}$

ANOVA Decomposition for the Additive Model

$$SSTO = SSTR + SSE_p$$

- $SSTO = \sum_{ijk} (Y_{ijk} - \bar{Y}_{...})^2$ has $n \times a \times b - 1$ df.
- $SSTR_* = n \sum_{ij} (\bar{Y}_{i..} + \bar{Y}_{.j.} - 2\bar{Y}_{...})^2$ has $(a - 1) + (b - 1)$ df.
- $SSE_p = \sum_{ijk} (Y_{ijk} - \bar{Y}_{i..} - \bar{Y}_{.j.} + \bar{Y}_{...})^2$ has $a \times b \times n - a - b + 1$ df.

Can see that $SSTR_* = SSA + SSB$.

Under the additive model, the SS for the interaction is absorbed into the SS for error.

The text calls SSE_p the pooled SSE.

Testing in the Additive Model

Assuming normality, F -tests allow us to test for:

- ① Factor level A has any affect: $H_0 : \mu_{1.} = \cdots = \mu_{a.}$
 - $F^* = \frac{MSA}{MSE_p} \sim F_{(a-1), abn-a-b+1}$ under H_0 .
- ② Factor level B has any affect: $H_0 : \mu_{.1} = \cdots = \mu_{.b}$
 - $F^* = \frac{MSB}{MSE_p} \sim F_{(b-1), abn-a-b+1}$ under H_0 .

Let's do an example.

Analysis of Factor Means with No Interaction

- The analysis of the main effects is usually only of interest for the additive model.
- Consider several different scenarios:
 - Want to know the pairwise comparisons $D = \mu_{j.} - \mu_{j'.$ for one factor.
 - Can use Tukey.
 - We want to know a few linear combinations that are known a priori.
 - Bonferroni
 - We want to know a lot of linear combinations of one factor.
 - Sheffé
 - We want to compare linear combinations of both factors.
 - Modify the procedures for a single factor.

Pairwise Comparisons for Factor A (Additive Model)

- We want confidence intervals for each difference

$$D_{ij'} = \mu_{i.} - \mu_{j'..}$$

- Tukey's procedure has optimal Type I error.

- Based on the distribution of:

$$\frac{\max(\bar{Y}_{i..}) - \min(\bar{Y}_{j'..})}{s(\hat{D})} \sim q_{a,abn-a-b+1}$$

- $\hat{D}_{ij'} \pm Ts(\hat{D}_{ij'})$

- $\hat{D}_{ij'} = \bar{Y}_{i..} - \bar{Y}_{j'..}$

- $s^2(\hat{D}_{ij'}) = 2 \frac{MSE}{bn}$

- $T = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} q_{a,abn-a-b+1}(1 - \alpha)$

- Can get tests by inverting the confidence interval.

Sheffé for Factor A

- We want to be able to test any liner combination
 $L = \sum_i c_i \mu_{i.}$

- Sheffé's confidence intervals are

$$\hat{L} \pm Ss(\hat{L})$$

- $S^2 = (a - 1)F_{a-1, abn-a-b+1}(1 - \alpha)$
- If we are interested in only a couple of comparisons.
 - or a couple of linear combinations
 - just apply Bonferroni.
- Let's do an example.

Interest in Two Factors

- You do not want to look at just pairwise difference of one factor but of both factors.
- You can use a Bonferroni adjustment to the two separate Tukey intervals.
- How would you do that?