

# PG4200: Algorithms And Data Structures

## Lesson 02: Stack and Queue

Dr. Andrea Arcuri

# Stack

- Type of collection
- Add on top of the stack (**push**)
- Remove from top (**pop**)
- Can only read from top (**peek**)
- *LIFO: Last In, First Out*



# Why?

- The type of operations are more restricted compared to other collections we saw so far
- But if you are only interested in the operations of a stack, you can have specialized, *high-performant* implementations for it

# Example

- You need to work on some data X, so you push X on stack
- While working with X, you need to work on some other Y (**push** Y), but, once done with it (**pop**), need to go back to X (**peek**)
- While working on Y, might need to work on a Z (**push** Z), which itself might need to push more data on stack, etc.

# Method Call Stack

- For each method call, there is a frame, eg containing input parameters
- At each call, the JVM needs to push frame, and pop it once method is completed

```
public class StackOverflow {  
  
    public static void main(String[] args) {  
        a(0);  
    }  
  
    public static int a(int x) {  
        x++;  
  
        x = b(x);  
  
        return x;  
    }  
  
    public static int b(int y) {  
        return a(y);  
    }  
}
```



# Queue

- Type of collection
- Add at the back, *tail* of the queue/line (**enqueue**)
- Remove from the head of the line (**dequeue**)
- *FIFO: First In, First Out*



# Example: Task Scheduler

- Process/thread add *tasks to do* on a queue
- Other process/thread workers read from queue and execute the task
- The *oldest* tasks need to be completed *first*
- While workers are executing tasks, new tasks could be added to the queue



# Memory Model

# Questions


- `Node bar = new Node();`
  - what is the variable “bar” concretely?
  - what does “new” actually do?
  - what is the difference between “bar” variable and the object created by “new Node()”?
- `bar.next = bar.next.next;`
  - what is happening here?
  - are objects created or deleted?

# Overview

- Before we go into details of how to implement a Stack or a Queue, we need to have clear understanding of how memory is handled in Java
- *Pointers* and *memory* are usually hard to understand... but critical, otherwise it will be nearly impossible to understand the data structures in this course
- Should had been covered in the 1<sup>st</sup> year
  - so this is just a high level revision...

# Very Simplified Model

- A process will get allocated a certain amount of space on your RAM by the Operating System (OS)
  - eg, you have 16G on your laptop and process needs 1G
- The process will use such memory to allocate variables and objects
  - How the process handles this memory should be independent from the other processes
- *Think of the memory like a big array, where process is allowed to write/read within a [i] – [j] range*
  - Eg, if process got 1GB, it could use RAM from position 12G till 13G



# Task Manager

File Options View

Processes	Performance	App history	Startup	Users	Details	Services

# Java Memory



- At a very, very high level, the JVM divides its allocated memory in 3 main parts
- **Static**: containing for example the bytecode to run
- **FCS**: one stack per thread for the function calls
- **Heap**: where objects are stored

# Function Call Stack

```
public void foo(){
    int x = 0;
    int k = bar(x);
    print(k);
}

private void bar(int y){
    int z = y * y;
    return z;
}
```

- When *foo()* is called, we need to store *x* and *k* somewhere in memory
- When *bar()* is called, we need to store *y* and *z*, plus we should not lose *x* from *foo()*
- Once *bar()* is terminated, we do not need *y* and *z* any more

# Function Call Frame

- Create a *frame* for each function call
- A frame stores all the input and all the local variables, eg.,  $x$ ,  $k$ ,  $y$  and  $z$
- When we start a function call, we *push* its frame to the stack
- Once function call ends, we *pop* its frame



# Before *bar()* Is Called

```
public void foo(){  
    int x = 2;  
    int k = bar(x);  
    print(k);  
}
```



```
private void bar(int y){  
    int z = y * y;  
    return z;  
}
```

x = 2
k = ?

One frame on stack for the *foo()* call

# Inside *bar()*

```
public void foo(){  
    int x = 2;  
    int k = bar(x);  
    print(k);  
}
```

```
private void bar(int y){  
    int z = y * y;  
    return z;  
}
```



y = 2
z = 4
x = 2
k = ?

Push new frame for *bar(y)*

Note that *y* is initialized with same value of *x*.  
Changing *y* does not affect *x*, as in different frames

# Once *bar()* Is Completed

```
public void foo(){  
    int x = 2;  
    int k = bar(x);  
    print(k);  
}
```



```
private void bar(int y){  
    int z = y * y;  
    return z;  
}
```

x = 2
k = 4

Pop stack of bar(y), as no  
needed any more.

# Actual Bytes In Memory

0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
x = 2
k = 0

0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
y = 2
z = 4
x = 2
k = 0

0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
2
4
x = 2
k = 4

Consider each cell as  
contiguous 32 bits

When we pop frame, data  
is still actually there. Will  
be overwritten at next  
frame push

# Performance Issue

```
public void foo(){  
    int x = 2;  
    int k = bar(x);  
    print(k);  
}
```

```
private void bar(int y){  
    int z = y * y;  
    return z;  
}
```

- When we call *bar(x)*, the 32 bits of *x* are copied from current frame to the frame of *bar()* in the *y* variable
- 32 bits are OK, but what if we have large objects???
- *Passing by value* is inefficient

# Pointers/References

- Java does not allow you (yet) to have objects on the FCS
  - Only allowed primitive values (eg, int, double, boolean) and pointers
  - Note: other languages allows you objects on FCS, eg C++
- To have objects, those will be allocated on the **heap**
- The FCS will have **pointers** to the **heap**

# Allocation on Heap

```
public void foo(  
    int a, boolean b,  
    char c, double d){  
    X x = new X(a,b,c,d);  
    int k = bar(x);  
    print(k);  
}
```

```
private void bar(X y){  
    int z = y.compute();  
    return z;  
}
```

- The *x* variable is not going to contain the 4 inputs
- These are stored in the *heap*
- *x* is just a **pointer** to the location on the heap
- Assume *X* has 4 private fields, initialized in constructor

```
public void foo(  
    int a, boolean b,  
    char c, double d){  
    X x = new X(a,b,c,d);  
    int k = bar(x);  
    print(k);  
}
```




- FCS growing from left to right
- Frame contains data for 4 inputs and 2 local variables
- *X* is a 64 bit address in the memory, ie it is a number, like an index in an array



```

public void foo(
    int a, boolean b,
    char c, double d){
    X x = new X(a,b,c,d);
    int k = bar(x);
    print(k);
}

```



- The *new* keyword allocates memory in the heap for storing all the data of *x*
- Can't control where in heap data of *x* is allocated, but will be at some known position, eg 74321
- When JVM calls *new*, it will choose a *free* area in the heap
- The variable *x* in the FCS will contain the numeric address, eg 74321

```


public void foo(
    int a, boolean b,
    char c, double d){
    X x = new X(a,b,c,d);
    int k = bar(x);
    print(k);
}

```

```

private void bar(X y){
    int z = y.compute();
    return z;
}

```



- The frame pushed for *bar(x)* contains data for *y* and *z*
- *x* in the frame of *foo()* has same value of *y* in frame of *bar()*, ie 74321
- The “Data of *x*” has not be copied when calling *bar(x)*, we just copied the *reference*, ie the address 74321

# Linked List Example

```
public void foo(){  
    List list =  
        new LinkedList();  
    list.add("a");  
    list.add("b");  
    list.add("c");  
}
```

- Assume linked list based on nodes
- List has an *head*
- Each node has a *next* reference


```


public void foo(){
    List list =
        new LinkedList();
    list.add("a");
    list.add("b");
    list.add("c");
}

```





- The *list* reference on FCS will point to position where list object is, ie 120
- The *head* in such data will contain the value 200, ie address of first element
- The *next* fields contains address of next elements





- Delete node for "b" with: `current.next = current.next.next`
- Where `current` is the node for "a"





- Deleting “b” means it is not accessible any more starting from *list* pointer in the FCS
  - but it is still there in memory!!!
- When calling *new* many times, might *run out of free space*
- At that point, somehow we need to be able to reuse the space occupied by the “b” node, ie location 500-540

# Garbage Collector (GC)

- Called by JVM when run out of space on heap
- Starting from the pointers on FCS, recursively find all reachable objects
- Non-reachable objects (eg “b” node) will be marked as “Free Heap”, and their space can be reused by *new* operator when new instances are created
- GC are quite complex, as need to be very efficient, because they **block** the entire code execution

# Java Generics



# Data Types

- In Java (and statically typed languages) you need to declare the *type* of the variable
  - eg, “*int x*” or “*String y*”
- In collections (arrays, lists, queues, stacks, etc.) you store data, but of which type?

# Example

- *StringContainer*. to store strings
- *IntegerContainer*. to store integers
- *WebSocketContainer*. to store web socket objects
- *SongContainer*. to store song objects
- *ShopCartContainer*. to store items in a shop cart
- etc.
- *Do you see the problem here?*

# Polymorphism?

- Issue: would need a different implementation for each container for each possible type class ever
- What about using a *ObjectContainer* to store *java.lang.Object* instances?
- In Java, all objects have *Object* class as ancestor, so could add any type due to polymorphism
  - e.g., can add *String* and *Song* in same *ObjectContainer*
- Problem: yes, we can insert anything, but what would we read back is *Object*, and not *String* or *Song*

//Add: String "foo", Integer 5  
*container.add("foo");*  
*container.add(5);*



**ObjectContainer**  
*add(Object x)*

[0]	"foo"
[1]	5

*Object x = container.get(0);*  
//we do not know if String or  
//something else

# Java Generics <T>

- *List<T>*: define a *generic* type, which can be substituted with any type
  - note: “T” is just a label, could be anything
- Eg. *List<String>*, *List<Integer>*, *List<Song>*
- If I am only storing a variable (e.g., in a class field or array), I do need to care of its type, as not going to call any method on it
  - eg, “*T x = input;*” do not need to care of actual type of T, as long as *input* is of that type

# <T extends Foo>

- In some cases you need Generics, but still need to call methods on it
- With <T> you would only be allowed to call methods from *java.lang.Objects*
- <T extends Foo> means any type that extends/implements the class/interface *Foo*
- Note: there is also a <T super Foo>, but we will not need it

# Homework

- Study Book Chapter 1.3
- Study code in the *org.pg4200.les02* package
- Do exercises in *exercises/ex02*
- Extra: do exercises in the book