

Maxent Example - Self-Energy of a Metal

Ryan Levy

Physics Department, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI

Abstract

This document is a tutorial on the use of Maxent, a program for doing analytical continuation using the maximum entropy method. It will explain how to provide the program with the proper parameter file, data format for particle-hole symmetric data of a self-energy Matsubara space, and understand the output. Included as a supplement is the corresponding interacting Green's function input data. The data provided is of an interacting Hubbard model with $U = 1, \beta = 2$ for 1 site at half filling. This program uses the ALPSCore libraries[1, 2].

Contents


| | | |
|----------|---------------------------|----------|
| 1 | Introduction | 1 |
| 1.1 | Normalization | 3 |
| 1.2 | Errors | 3 |
| 2 | File Structure | 4 |
| 3 | Using Maxent | 5 |
| 3.1 | Output Guide | 6 |
| 4 | Fine-Tuning Output | 8 |
| | References | 9 |

1 Introduction


Using DMFT we can set $U = 1$ for a single site at half-filling to generate the interacting Hubbard model

$$H = - \sum_{\langle ij \rangle \sigma} t_{ij} \left(c_{i\sigma}^\dagger c_{j\sigma} + c_{j\sigma}^\dagger c_{i\sigma} \right) + U \sum_i n_{i\uparrow} n_{i\downarrow},$$

This produces a self-energy output in Matsubara space:



Measured separately is the Green's function:



While these functions are on the imaginary axis, we wish to analytically continue them to the real axis. Mathematically, this is equivalent to finding the spectral function $A(\omega) = \text{Im}[\Sigma(\omega)]$ such that

$$G(X) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(X, \omega) A(\omega) d\omega,$$

with the kernel K written as $K(i\omega_n, \omega) = \frac{1}{i\omega_n - \omega}$ or $K(\tau, \omega) = \frac{-e^{-\tau\omega}}{1 + e^{-\omega\beta}}$ for this data. The Maxent procedure is one such method of determining $A(\omega)$.

1.1 Normalization

Note that unlike a Green's function, the normalization (aka high frequency term) is not 1. For a self energy:

$$\Sigma = \Sigma_0 + \frac{\Sigma_1}{i\omega_n} + \dots$$

where $\Sigma_0 = Un$ (known as the Hartree term) and $\Sigma_1 = U^2 n(1-n)$ [3]. This normalization is important to Maxent, as it is assumed to be the Green's function normalization of 1. For $U = 1$, there is a normalization of 0.25.

1.2 Errors

In this case, DMFT self-energies are lacking error bars. Because errors go like $\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}$ where N is the number of samples, we can instead use this as an order of magnitude estimate for the error. This data had 4296900 sample iterations, and we therefore choose an estimated error $\sigma = 0.0005$.

2 File Structure

We've included several files that will be used to generate the remainder of this document:

| Filenames and Descriptions | | |
|---|-------------------------|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • $Selfenergy = \Sigma(i\omega_n)$ data values <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – column format: $i\omega_n$ $Re[\Sigma(i\omega_n)]$ $Im[G(i\omega_n)]$ | Input file - Selfenergy | |
| | 1.5707963267949 | 5.6703744085571e-16 -0.03158953764285 |
| | 4.7123889803847 | 3.1444695800992e-16 -0.029847318775471 |
| | 7.8539816339745 | 9.4394785839476e-16 -0.023814398782168 |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • $Selfin = \Sigma(i\omega_n)$ input format for Maxent <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – column format: $i\omega_n$ $Im[G(i\omega_n)]$ $\sigma_{I,n}$ | Input file - Selfin | |
| | 1.5707963267949 | -0.03158953764285 0.0005 |
| | 4.7123889803847 | -0.029847318775471 0.0005 |
| | 7.8539816339745 | -0.023814398782168 0.0005 |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • $G_{im} = Im[G(i\omega_n)]$, also input for Maxent <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – column format: $i\omega_n$ $Im[G(i\omega_n)]$ $\sigma_{I,n}$ | Input file - G_im | |
| | 1.5707963267949 | -0.3585154015692 0.0005 |
| | 4.7123889803847 | -0.18353508163336 0.0005 |
| | 7.8539816339745 | -0.11976613812711 0.0005 |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • $G_{re} = Re[G(i\omega_n)]$ <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – column format: $i\omega_n$ $Re[G(i\omega_n)]$ $\sigma_{R,n}$ | Input file - G_re | |
| | 1.5707963267949 | -2.0386388974517e-17 0.0005 |
| | 4.7123889803847 | -4.6688456052657e-18 0.0005 |
| | 7.8539816339745 | -3.4351421175898e-18 0.0005 |

3 Using Maxent

These files are easily used with Maxent. Here is the frequency space input:

| Param File in.param | |
|--------------------------|---|
| BETA=2 | <i>#inverse temperature</i> |
| OMEGA_MAX=25 | <i>#the spectral function is wider than omega=10</i> |
| NDAT=1024 | <i>#num of data points</i> |
| NFREQ=1000 | <i>#num of output frequencies</i> |
| DATASPACE=frequency | <i>#G(iω)</i> |
| KERNEL=fermionic | <i>#fermionic/bosonic values</i> |
| FREQUENCY_GRID=Quadratic | <i>#this grid is better for features away from 0</i> |
| PARTICLE_HOLE_SYMMETRY=1 | <i>#0/1</i> |
| DATA="Selfin" | <i>#location of data file</i> |
| SELF=1 | <i>#this will output $\Sigma(\omega)$ rather than A(ω)</i> |
| NORM=0.25 | <i>#self energy norm = $U^2 \cdot n(1-n)$</i> |

Maxent then produces the following output:

| Maxent output | |
|--|---|
| Using flat default model | |
| using kernel fermionic in domain frequency with ph symmetry | |
| The high frequency limit is not 1!: 2.30495 Check norm? | |
| Kernel is set up | |
| # 0 | 4108.32 |
| # 1 | 1668.62 |
| # 2 | 513.561 |
| # 3 | 126.175 |
| # 4 | 25.6169 |
| # 5 | 4.3795 |
| # 6 | 0.635099 |
| # 7 | 0.0784661 |
| minimal chi2: 0.098459 | |
| WARNING: Redefinition of parameter NORM: Input (and output) data are assumed to be normalized to NORM. | |
| alpha it: 0 | Q = 0.5chi ² -\ α *entropy: 527.241 norm: 1.16962 |
| alpha it: 1 | Q = 0.5chi ² -\ α *entropy: 481.411 norm: 1.17798 |
| alpha it: 2 | Q = 0.5chi ² -\ α *entropy: 443.307 norm: 1.18585 |
| alpha it: 3 | Q = 0.5chi ² -\ α *entropy: 409.139 norm: 1.19303 |
| alpha it: 4 | Q = 0.5chi ² -\ α *entropy: 378.828 norm: 1.19943 |
| alpha it: 5 | Q = 0.5chi ² -\ α *entropy: 352.116 norm: 1.20499 |
| alpha it: 6 | Q = 0.5chi ² -\ α *entropy: 328.667 norm: 1.2097 |
| alpha it: 7 | Q = 0.5chi ² -\ α *entropy: 308.1 norm: 1.21353 |
| alpha it: 8 | Q = 0.5chi ² -\ α *entropy: 290.018 norm: 1.21649 |
| alpha it: 9 | Q = 0.5chi ² -\ α *entropy: 274.026 norm: 1.21858 |
| alpha it: 10 | Q = 0.5chi ² -\ α *entropy: 259.754 norm: 1.21982 |
| alpha it: 11 | Q = 0.5chi ² -\ α *entropy: 246.864 norm: 1.22024 |
| alpha it: 12 | Q = 0.5chi ² -\ α *entropy: 235.057 norm: 1.21985 |
| alpha it: 13 | Q = 0.5chi ² -\ α *entropy: 224.078 norm: 1.21869 |
| alpha it: 14 | Q = 0.5chi ² -\ α *entropy: 213.717 norm: 1.21679 |
| alpha it: 15 | Q = 0.5chi ² -\ α *entropy: 203.807 norm: 1.21418 |
| alpha it: 16 | Q = 0.5chi ² -\ α *entropy: 194.22 norm: 1.2109 |
| alpha it: 17 | Q = 0.5chi ² -\ α *entropy: 184.869 norm: 1.20697 |
| alpha it: 18 | Q = 0.5chi ² -\ α *entropy: 175.7 norm: 1.20245 |
| alpha it: 19 | Q = 0.5chi ² -\ α *entropy: 166.688 norm: 1.19737 |
| alpha it: 20 | Q = 0.5chi ² -\ α *entropy: 157.834 norm: 1.19178 |
| alpha it: 21 | Q = 0.5chi ² -\ α *entropy: 149.256 norm: 1.18533 |
| alpha it: 22 | Q = 0.5chi ² -\ α *entropy: 140.793 norm: 1.17884 |

| | | | |
|--------------|--|---------|---------------|
| alpha it: 23 | Q = 0.5chi ² -\alpha*entropy: | 132.591 | norm: 1.17201 |
| alpha it: 24 | Q = 0.5chi ² -\alpha*entropy: | 124.7 | norm: 1.1649 |
| alpha it: 25 | Q = 0.5chi ² -\alpha*entropy: | 117.171 | norm: 1.15758 |
| alpha it: 26 | Q = 0.5chi ² -\alpha*entropy: | 110.049 | norm: 1.15012 |
| alpha it: 27 | Q = 0.5chi ² -\alpha*entropy: | 103.374 | norm: 1.14258 |
| alpha it: 28 | Q = 0.5chi ² -\alpha*entropy: | 97.1752 | norm: 1.13502 |
| alpha it: 29 | Q = 0.5chi ² -\alpha*entropy: | 91.4723 | norm: 1.1275 |
| alpha it: 30 | Q = 0.5chi ² -\alpha*entropy: | 86.2731 | norm: 1.12008 |
| alpha it: 31 | Q = 0.5chi ² -\alpha*entropy: | 81.5754 | norm: 1.11281 |
| alpha it: 32 | Q = 0.5chi ² -\alpha*entropy: | 77.3674 | norm: 1.10573 |
| alpha it: 33 | Q = 0.5chi ² -\alpha*entropy: | 73.6294 | norm: 1.09887 |
| alpha it: 34 | Q = 0.5chi ² -\alpha*entropy: | 70.3353 | norm: 1.09227 |
| alpha it: 35 | Q = 0.5chi ² -\alpha*entropy: | 67.4542 | norm: 1.08595 |
| alpha it: 36 | Q = 0.5chi ² -\alpha*entropy: | 64.9523 | norm: 1.07993 |
| alpha it: 37 | Q = 0.5chi ² -\alpha*entropy: | 62.7942 | norm: 1.07421 |
| alpha it: 38 | Q = 0.5chi ² -\alpha*entropy: | 60.944 | norm: 1.0688 |
| alpha it: 39 | Q = 0.5chi ² -\alpha*entropy: | 59.3668 | norm: 1.0637 |
| alpha it: 40 | Q = 0.5chi ² -\alpha*entropy: | 58.029 | norm: 1.05891 |
| alpha it: 41 | Q = 0.5chi ² -\alpha*entropy: | 56.8992 | norm: 1.05441 |
| alpha it: 42 | Q = 0.5chi ² -\alpha*entropy: | 55.9485 | norm: 1.05021 |
| alpha it: 43 | Q = 0.5chi ² -\alpha*entropy: | 55.1507 | norm: 1.04629 |
| alpha it: 44 | Q = 0.5chi ² -\alpha*entropy: | 54.4826 | norm: 1.04263 |
| alpha it: 45 | Q = 0.5chi ² -\alpha*entropy: | 53.9235 | norm: 1.03923 |
| alpha it: 46 | Q = 0.5chi ² -\alpha*entropy: | 53.4556 | norm: 1.03606 |
| alpha it: 47 | Q = 0.5chi ² -\alpha*entropy: | 53.0636 | norm: 1.0331 |
| alpha it: 48 | Q = 0.5chi ² -\alpha*entropy: | 52.7344 | norm: 1.03035 |
| alpha it: 49 | Q = 0.5chi ² -\alpha*entropy: | 52.457 | norm: 1.02779 |
| alpha it: 50 | Q = 0.5chi ² -\alpha*entropy: | 52.2225 | norm: 1.02541 |
| alpha it: 51 | Q = 0.5chi ² -\alpha*entropy: | 52.0232 | norm: 1.02319 |
| alpha it: 52 | Q = 0.5chi ² -\alpha*entropy: | 51.8531 | norm: 1.02112 |
| alpha it: 53 | Q = 0.5chi ² -\alpha*entropy: | 51.7072 | norm: 1.01919 |
| alpha it: 54 | Q = 0.5chi ² -\alpha*entropy: | 51.5814 | norm: 1.01739 |
| alpha it: 55 | Q = 0.5chi ² -\alpha*entropy: | 51.4727 | norm: 1.01571 |
| alpha it: 56 | Q = 0.5chi ² -\alpha*entropy: | 51.3782 | norm: 1.01415 |
| alpha it: 57 | Q = 0.5chi ² -\alpha*entropy: | 51.2961 | norm: 1.01269 |
| alpha it: 58 | Q = 0.5chi ² -\alpha*entropy: | 51.2245 | norm: 1.01133 |
| alpha it: 59 | Q = 0.5chi ² -\alpha*entropy: | 51.162 | norm: 1.01006 |

Ng: 5.10747
chi2 max: 105.411
posterior probability of the default model: 1.33065e-33

3.1 Output Guide

```
Using flat default model
using kernel fermionic in domain frequency with ph symmetry
The high frequency limit is not 1!: 2.30495 Check norm?
Kernel is set up
```

These are the setup messages, confirming your input choices. There is a warning for the high frequency limit, but because our data is very noisy it can be ignored. If this limit was significantly off from 1, then your input NORM should be confirmed. In this case, the last few data points are noisy, leading to an inaccurate high frequency limit warning.

```
# 0 4108.32
# 1 1668.62
# 2 513.561
# 3 126.175
# 4 25.6169
# 5 4.3795
# 6 0.635099
# 7 0.0784661
minimal chi2: 0.098459
```

These represent the eigenvalues that are above precision after the single value decomposition (SVD). The last line represents the smallest χ^2 value the program thinks it will achieve. If this is $\gg 1$ there may be something wrong with your input or it is very noisy

```
...
alpha it: 2 Q = 0.5chi^2-\alpha*entropy: 443.307 norm: 1.18585
alpha it: 3 Q = 0.5chi^2-\alpha*entropy: 409.139 norm: 1.19303
...
```

The root finding procedure will print the iterations through α values in the range given by the parameters (default: 60 values $\in [0.01, 20]$) If the first two or three do not minimize properly that is ok, as long as the rest continue normally. Notice that the norm stays ≈ 1 for all iterations


```
Ng: 5.10747
chi2 max: 105.411
posterior probability of the default model: 1.33065e-33
```

This is posted after completing all α values and root finding. Ng represents the number of “good input points,” chi2 max is the maximum value of χ^2 in the α iterations, and the last line is the probability that the default model is the correct representation of the spectral function. Note that that posterior probability has no known normalization.

If text output is on, Maxent produces 10 files:


| | |
|---------------------------|---|
| name.out.avspec.dat | “Spectral function” using Bayesian Averaging - Bryan’s method |
| name.out.avspec_self.dat | $\text{Im}[\Sigma(\omega)]$ with the proper sign and normalization; using Bryan’s method |
| name.out.chi2.dat | Estimated χ^2 for each α value solution |
| name.out.chispec.dat | “Spectral function” satisfying the best χ^2 - historic Maxent |
| name.out.fits.dat | Fits of each α value, see comments in file |
| name.out.maxspec.dat | “Spectral function” with the highest probability - classic Maxent |
| name.out.maxspec_self.dat | $\text{Im}[\Sigma(\omega)]$ with the proper sign and normalization; using classic Maxent |
| name.out.out.h5 | All output data in the hdf5 format |
| name.out.prob.dat | The posterior probability of each α value |
| name.out.spex.dat | All spectral functions produced; one for each α |

Because this is a self-energy, Maxent treats the input as a Green's function and finds a spectral function associated with it, but the spectral function output itself is meaningless. In our example here are the self-energy outputs with a flat default model:



4 Fine-Tuning Output

Different default models shouldn't change the results much, but sometimes end up doing so. Here are a variety of models from the above example:



With $\sigma = 1, \Gamma = 1, \mu(\text{shift}) = 2.8$. The default model gives a spectral function most similar to a double Lorentzian. When provided with a double Gaussian, Maxent attempts to fit the center peak, but is overcome with the entropy from the Gaussian model underneath.

References

- [1] B Bauer et al. The ALPS project release 2.0: open source software for strongly correlated systems. *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment*, 2011(05):P05001, 2011. doi:[10.1088/1742-5468/2011/05/P05001](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-5468/2011/05/P05001).
- [2] Alexander Gaenko, Emanuel Gull, Andrey E. Antipov, Lukas Gamper, and Gabriele Carcassi. ALPSCore: Version 0.4.5. May 2015. doi:[10.5281/zenodo.17398](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17398).
- [3] Xin Wang, Emanuel Gull, Luca de' Medici, Massimo Capone, and Andrew J. Millis. Antiferromagnetism and the gap of a mott insulator: Results from analytic continuation of the self-energy. *Phys. Rev. B*, 80:045101, Jul 2009. URL: <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.80.045101>, doi:[10.1103/PhysRevB.80.045101](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.80.045101).