Optimization algorithms

10/10 points (100%)

Quiz, 10 questions

✓ Congra	atulations! You passed!	Next Item
~	1 / 1 points	
	notation would you use to denote the 3rd layer's active out is the 7th example from the 8th minibatch?	ations when
	$a^{[8]\{3\}(7)}$	
	$a^{[3]\{7\}(8)}$	
	$a^{[8]\{7\}(3)}$	
0	$a^{[3]\{8\}(7)}$	
Corr	ect	
✓	1 / 1 points	
2. Which agree	of these statements about mini-batch gradient descer with?	nt do you
0	One iteration of mini-batch gradient descent (compusingle mini-batch) is faster than one iteration of batch descent.	_
Corr	ect	
COIT		
	You should implement mini-batch gradient desce without an explicit for-loop over different mini-batch	

that the algorithm processes all mini-batches at the same

time (vectorization).

Optimizatio Quiz, 10 questions	Training one epoch (one pass through the training set) using on algorial battlesgradient descent is faster than training one epoch using batch gradient descent.	10/10 points (100%)
	1/1 points	
	3. Why is the best mini-batch size usually not 1 and not m, but instead something in-between?	
	If the mini-batch size is 1, you lose the benefits of vectorization across examples in the mini-batch.	
	Correct	
	If the mini-batch size is m, you end up with batch gradient descent, which has to process the whole training set before making progress. Correct	
	If the mini-batch size is 1, you end up having to process the entire training set before making any progress.	
	Un-selected is correct	
	If the mini-batch size is m, you end up with stochastic gradient descent, which is usually slower than mini-batch gradient descent.	
	Un-selected is correct	

/

1/1 points


4.

Suppose your learning algorithm's cost J, plotted as a function of the number of iterations, looks like this:


Optimization algorithms

10/10 points (100%)

Quiz, 10 questions



Which of the following do you agree with?



Whether you're using batch gradient descent or mini-batch



gradient descent, this looks acceptable.

1/1 points

5.

Suppose the temperature in Casablanca over the first three days of January are the same:

Optimization algorithms

Quiz, 10 questions

Jan 1st:
$$\theta_1 = 10^{\circ} C$$

10/10 points (100%)

Jan 2nd: $\theta_2 10^{\circ} C$

(We used Fahrenheit in lecture, so will use Celsius here in honor of the metric world.)

Say you use an exponentially weighted average with $\beta=0.5$ to track the temperature: $v_0=0$, $v_t=\beta v_{t-1}+(1-\beta)\theta_t$. If v_2 is the value computed after day 2 without bias correction, and $v_2^{corrected}$ is the value you compute with bias correction. What are these values? (You might be able to do this without a calculator, but you don't actually need one. Remember what is bias correction doing.)



$$v_2 = 7.5, v_2^{corrected} = 10$$

Correct

$$v_2 = 7.5, v_2^{corrected} = 7.5$$

$$v_2 = 10, v_2^{corrected} = 7.5$$

$$v_2 = 10, v_2^{corrected} = 10$$



1/1 points

6.

Which of these is NOT a good learning rate decay scheme? Here, t is the epoch number.

$$\bigcirc \quad \alpha = \frac{1}{1+2*t} \alpha_0$$

$$\bigcirc \quad \alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \alpha_0$$

$$\alpha = 0.95^t \alpha_0$$

$$\bigcap \quad \alpha = e^t \alpha_0$$

Correct

1/


Optimization algorithms

10/10 points (100%)

Quiz, 10 questions

7. You use an exponentially weighted average on the London temperature dataset. You use the following to track the temperature:

 $v_t = \beta v_{t-1} + (1 - \beta)\theta_t$. The red line below was computed using $\beta = 0.9$. What would happen to your red curve as you vary β ? (Check the two that apply)



Un-selected is correct

Increasing eta will shift the red line slightly to the right.

Correct

True, remember that the red line corresponds to $\beta=0.9$. In lecture we had a green line \$\$\beta=0.98\$) that is slightly shifted to the right.

Decreasing eta will create more oscillation within the red line.

Correct

True, remember that the red line corresponds to $\beta=0.9$. In lecture we had a yellow line \$\$\beta=0.98\$ that had a lot of oscillations.

Increasing β will create more oscillations within the red line.

Optimization algorithms Un-selected is correct


Quiz, 10 questions

10/10 points (100%)



1/1 points

8. Consider this figure:



These plots were generated with gradient descent; with gradient descent with momentum (β = 0.5) and gradient descent with momentum (β = 0.9). Which curve corresponds to which algorithm?

- (1) is gradient descent with momentum (small β), (2) is gradient descent with momentum (small β), (3) is gradient descent
- (1) is gradient descent. (2) is gradient descent with momentum (small β). (3) is gradient descent with momentum (large β)

Correct

- (1) is gradient descent. (2) is gradient descent with momentum (large β) . (3) is gradient descent with momentum (small β)
- (1) is gradient descent with momentum (small β). (2) is gradient descent. (3) is gradient descent with momentum (large β)



1/1 points

9.

Quiz, 10 questions	techniques could help find parameter values that attain a small value for ${\cal J}$? (Check all that apply)

Corre	Try using Adam
Corr	Try better random initialization for the weights
Corr	Try mini-batch gradient descent
Corr	Try tuning the learning rate $lpha$
Try initializing all the weights to zero Un-selected is correct	
10.	1 / 1 points
Which	of the following statements about Adam is False?
	Adam combines the advantages of RMSProp and momentum
	We usually use "default" values for the hyperparameters β_1,β_2 and ε in Adam ($\beta_1=0.9,\beta_2=0.999,\varepsilon=10^{-8}$)
	The learning rate hyperparameter $lpha$ in Adam usually needs to be tuned.

	Adam should be used with batch gradient computations, not with mini-batches. algorithms
Quiz, 10 questions	Correct

10/10 points (100%)

S

