Weekl HW

- 2.5 Urns: Suppose urn H is filled with 40% green balls and 60% red balls, and urn T is filled with 60% green balls and 40% red balls. Someone will flip a coin and then select a ball from urn H or urn T depending on whether
 - the coin lands heads or tails, respectively. Let X be 1 or 0 if the coin lands heads or tails, and let Y be 1 or 0 if the ball is green or red.
 - a) Write out the joint distribution of X and Y in a table. b) Find E[Y]. What is the probability that the ball is green?
 - c) Find Var[Y|X=0], Var[Y|X=1] and Var[Y]. Thinking of variance as measuring uncertainty, explain intuitively why one of these variances is larger than the others.
 - d) Suppose you see that the ball is green. What is the probability that the coin turned up tails?

$$H < \begin{cases} 6:40\% \\ R:60\% \end{cases} \qquad T < \begin{cases} 6:40\% \\ R:60\% \end{cases} \qquad \begin{cases} 1:P(X=1)=0.5 \\ 0:P(X=0)=0.5 \end{cases} \qquad \begin{cases} 1:G(X=1)=0.5 \\ 0:R(X=0)=0.5 \end{cases}$$

$$P(Y=1|X=0)=0.4, \quad P(Y=0|X=1)=0.4$$

$$P(Y=1|X=0)=0.6, \quad P(X=0)=0.4$$

$$P(Y=1|X=0)=0.4, \quad P(X=1)=0.1, \quad P(Y=0|X=1)=0.6, \quad P(X=1)=0.3$$

$$P(Y=1|X=0)=0.6, \quad P(X=0)=0.3, \quad P(Y=0|X=0)=0.4, \quad P(X=0)=0.2$$

- 6) E(Y) = E(E(Y|XI) $= E(Y|X=0) \cdot P(X=0) + E(Y|X=1) \cdot P(X=1)$
 - = { 1 · p(y=1|x=0)+ 0 · p(y=0| X=0)} · p(x=0)
 - + { 1. p(x=1|x=1) + 0. p(x=0|x=1)} . p(x=1)
 - = (1.0.6+0.0.4).0.5
 - + (1.0.4+0.0.6).0.5
 - = 0.31 0.2 = 0.5

C) Find Var[Y|X=0], Var[Y|X=1], Var[Y]
P(Y=1)X=0)= 0.6, P(Y=0|X=0)= 0.4

Var (Y 1 x=0) = Var (X) x=1) & Var (Y) , why?

ol) P(X=0|Y=1)=?

$$p(Y=1) = p(Y=1, X=1) + p(Y=1, X=0) = 0.5$$

$$p(Y=0) = p(Y=0, X=1) + p(Y=0, X=0) = 0.5$$

$$\rightarrow E(Y) = 0.5$$

$$\rightarrow Var(Y) > (0.5)^{\frac{1}{2}} 0.5 + (-0.5)^{\frac{1}{2}} 0.5 = 0.125 + 0.125 = 0.25$$

 $P(X=0|Y=1) = \frac{P(X=0, Y=1)}{P(Y=1)} = \frac{P(Y=1|X=0) \cdot P(X=0)}{P(Y=1, X=0) + P(Y=1, X=1)} = \frac{0.6 \text{ o.s}}{0.5} = 0.6$

Buye's Rule

2.6 Conditional independence: Suppose events A and B are conditionally independent given C, which is written $A \perp B \mid C$. Show that this implies that $A^c \perp B \mid C$, $A \perp B^c \mid C$, and $A^c \perp B^c \mid C$, where A^c means "not A." Find an example where $A \perp B \mid C$ holds but $A \perp B \mid C^c$ does not hold.

example where
$$A \perp B \mid C$$
 holds but $A \perp B \mid C$ does not hold.

A $\perp B \mid C \leftarrow p(A \mid C) = p(A \mid C \cap B)$, $p(A \cap B \mid C) = p(A \mid C) \cdot p(B \mid C)$

Theorem of Cond Ind

$$p(A^{c} \mid C) = p(A \mid C) \cdot (C \mid P(A \mid C) + p(A^{c} \mid C) = 1)$$

$$p(A^{c} \mid C) \cdot p(B \mid C) = \{1 - p(A \mid C)\} \cdot p(B \mid C) = p(B \mid C) - p(A \mid C) \cdot p(B \mid C)$$

2. i) lest C=0 -> easy to say its exchangeable (: Sampling with Replacement -> X; w. i. i.d.

ii) lest C=1 ->
$$p(x_1=1) = \frac{R}{R_1 + W_1 + 1} \cdot p(x_1=1) + \frac{W_2}{R_2 + W_2 + 1} \cdot p(x_1=1) + \frac{2}{R_2 + W_2 + 1} \cdot p(x_1=1) \cdot \frac{2}{R_2 + W_2 + 1} \cdot \frac{2}{R_2 + W_2 + 1$$

Here dor way $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$ alord sometimes $\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i = K$, $\rho(X_1, X_2, X_3, ..., X_n) =
\frac{\left[R_0 \cdot (R_0 + c) \cdot ... \cdot (R_0 + (K_0 - c)) \cdot \left[\omega_0 \cdot (\omega_0 + c) \cdot ... \cdot (\omega_0 + (R_0 - k - 1) \cdot c)\right]}{\left(R_0 + \omega_0\right) \cdot \left(R_0 + \omega_0 + c\right) \cdot ... \cdot \left(R_0 + (\omega_0 + c) \cdot ... \cdot (M_0 + (M_0 - k) \cdot c)\right)}$

- iii) lest CZI, dixed int.

- Regardless