

After-Debate

No Speakers.

Closes Meeting at 22:39.

Daniel A Villar *093835* *ATD* This house regrets the fall of the Soviet Union (*Emergency Debates*)

Brian Wong *089339*

- Economic rights
- Socialism was not perfect
- The third world was improved by the USSR
- We should aim for a fairer world

Troy Bosson *091708*

- The US was not perfect either
 - ↳ civil rights issues
- We as humans are getting better.
- The S.U collapsed

Brian Wong *089339*

- Communism will never work
 - 1) empowering the masses is ~~all~~ against the elite
 - 2) It has never worked
 - 3) left wing economics is a fallacy
- We have romanticised the S.U.

David

Matthew *Rob*
• *Ed* *4*

Berk B Bektaş 805968

- Tax their wealth away.

Daniel Villar

- Cuba is our new model
- The Cuban people want this system
 - ↳ In Russia, people regret the end of the Soviet Union
- Capitalism is not a humane choice
 - Solely backed by Western oligarchs

Brian Wong

- The British empire fell too
- The S.U operated on fear + murder
 - ↳ depressing the wealth of many
- Moral choice here is to oppose the Motion

Sultan F Al-Kawari 094494

- S.U defeated the Nazis
 - ↳ 27 million died for this
 - ↳ they liberated Europe

David Graham

- Common ~~error~~ mistake
 - ↳ confuse SU with Russia
 - ↳ what about Poland etc?
 - ↳ Russia is the cause of WW2 win
 - ↳ No one targeted

Matthew Robbins 092428

- Didn't regret the way it fell
 - ↳ series of countries now worse off i.e. no democracy

Troels Bøtton

- Cold war was more stable
- 90s showed America had clear + strong leaders
- Politics now so chaotic.

close 8.23 - Chair Sam Burns, Secretary MØJMAN

Amber

- Accepting
- Reform
- No
- WTO
- ↳
- ↳
- Impose
- Reject
- No
- Avoid

Janes

- Person
- 16 a
- Three
 ↳ h
 ↳ st
 ↳ e
→ Refere
→ Back
 ↳
→ Body
 ↳
→ Deal

This House Supports the Deal

8th March 2019

Secretary: Nick达什

Amber Seaward (Opening proposition)

- Accepting May's deal would give us economic certainty
- Refocus on neglected areas of the economy
- No deal outcome would be an unmitigated disaster
- WTO terms
 - ↳ Run out of supplies
 - ↳ Hit to the economy
- Impossible to have extension on article 50 or second referendum
- Representative democracy built around agreement
- No feasible alternative to the Prime Minister's deal
- Avoid no deal cliffedge

James Dix (Opening opposition)

- Personal anecdote about not being able to vote in the referendum
- 16 and 17 year old vote

Three reasons to support the deal:

- ↳ honours result of referendum
- ↳ state union
- ↳ economic freedom and prosperity
- Referendum was so hopelessly flawed
- Backstop would make Irish unity more likely
 - ↳ The deal has this ready
- Border and technology might be Theresa May's alternative arrangement
 - ↳ Cannot risk another 3,500 lives
- Deal and not

Henry Newman (second proposition)

- Pragmatic people just want to move on support the deal:
 - Democrats if nothing else
 - ↳ our democracy allows anyone to vote
 - ↳ Popular support for second referendum is not there
 - Britain has always had an ambivalent relationship
 - Entered reluctantly and we will leave reluctantly
 - what does this deal give us?
 - ↳ immigration
 - ↳ laws on services
 - ↳ veto rules on agricultural policy
 - Services deal would be possible if the backstop.
 - Alternatives are far worse

Lord Adonis (second opposition)

- Chris Grayling and impeachment
- Liam Fox trying to negotiate trade deals
- Abdiel Eton College and no Brexit
- Brexit was an elite project
- Not playing fast and loose with economy
- Voting on much more now
- Referendum no. 2 not negotiation of democracy
 - ↳ but completion
 - ↳ certainty now is a big lie

Nicholas Leach (third proposition)

- Raises the political obstacles that have lead to this moment
- Tricky to defend the largest gov. defeat in 100 years.
- EU is a mammoth task. like anything, it is a compromise.
- The process has been frustrating but has engendered widespread
- The question vague for a complex electorate. The agreement compromises between these objectives. No C presents no alternatives.
- Prevents a hard border between ROI and NI.
- The DUP provides no alternative and have different objectives.
- Ramifications of no deal: uncertainty on political + territorial issues.
- Outside the EO requires continued negotiation. More time needed for ratification.
- 2nd referendum, European elections present a problem for those trying to delay the process.
- The deal is a basis for renegotiation. We must get back to governing.
- The electorate of 2019 must have a say in the nation's cause.

Dominic Brind (third opposition)

- Alliance of extremes from opposition side
- Ferry campaign will no voter
- Michael Gove and his audacity about owning all the cards
- MP asked what they think
- Examples of the political declaration
- Cites examples chosen
- Deal if not a compromise
- Deal is not very interesting
- ERG have been re influential
- Deal will make us poorer
- Deal has mucked up our politics
- Chris Grayling's failures

Ray Stewart (closing position)

- Extraordinary debate
 - ↳ could be toxic, polarising but civility of debate
- Laurel and Hardy - Farage and Lord Adams
 - ↳ Financial times analogy
 - ↳ Theresa the appeaser
- Moving time in British politics
 - ↳ opportunity to argue for moderation without which idealism is not possible
- Farage and Adams are false prophets
 - ↳ No deal
 - ↳ Second referendum
 - ↳ would be as divisive as before
 - ↳ These two express and intensify difference
- Democracy according to Farage and Adams
 - ↳ people and first
 - ↳ Nuffield College

Nigel Farage (closing opposition)

- Leave EU, free and pursue global future
- Adam Wilkinson-Hill point of information
- £39 bn and no say over the rules, taxation without representation
- Peace agreement brokered by the Americans
- One treaty to another
- Next flobn with the EU
- WTO will act as independent to be overregulated to have two year feel of tariffs and inside Article 24
- Most duplication from Minister in his lifetime
- Rather take risk general election or second referendum than this deal

First

→ In

first

→ No

→ Need

Second

→ No

↳

→ Plan

Second

→ Real

→ Vote

Third

→ Out

→ Back

→ Back

↳

→

Third op

→ won

Floor speeches

First proposition

(Jack Blawes, Oriel College, 091855)
→ In favour of hard Brexit but not the way to

First opposition

(Ariel M. Yochanan, 091393, Wycliffe Hall)
→ No say over the rules with this deal
→ Need to vote down this deal

Second proposition

(Sulamaan P. Rahim, Wadham College, 091418)
→ Do not want a re-run of a second referendum
↳ Same idiocy, meadacity and division
→ Hard Brexit

Second opposition

(Shreyu R. Gareh, Exeter College, 091833)

- Real is logical conclusion of serving their own interests
- Vote Leave and electoral practice

Third proposition

(William T.M. Atkinson, Christ Church, 093942)

→ Out of CAP and CFP

→ Backstop keeps us in permanent customs union
↳ Leaving as alternatives worse

→ Backing with leaving Brexit

↳ Soft Brexit

↳ No Brexit

Third opposition

(Anna Richards, Kellogg College, 092317)

→ Would support if it didn't break up UK

Residential farewell

- Film crew
- Roger the photographer
- Makka and Bridget
 - ↳ like best friend
 - ↳ Keeping him grounded
- Officer
- Logistic team
- Parent
- Institution not just about events but about its people

House Supports the
Opening proposition
 deal would give us
 areas of the economy
 would be an un-
 suppliers
 economy
 extension on article
 currency built around
 alternative to the Euro
 cliffedge
g opposition)
 about not being able
 old vote

at the deal:
 referendum

and prosperity
 hopelessly divided
 the Irish unity more likely
 this ready
 might be Theresa May's alternative
 other 3,500 lives arrangement

| AYL | | | |
|-----|---|-----|--|
| 10 | - | 150 | |
| 20 | - | 160 | |
| 30 | - | 170 | |
| 40 | - | 180 | |
| 50 | - | 190 | |
| 60 | - | 200 | |
| 70 | - | 210 | |
| 80 | - | 220 | |
| 90 | - | 230 | |
| 100 | - | 240 | |
| 110 | - | 250 | |
| 120 | - | 260 | |
| 130 | - | 270 | |
| 140 | - | 280 | |

This House Supports the Deal Debate

8th March 2019 (P.T.O.)

Adam Williamson-Hill Teller
AWH

some Supports the D

(Opening proposition)

deal would give us e
ated areas of the economy
one would be an unmiti

of supplies

the economy

we extend on article 50
democracy built around agree
alternative to the Prime
real cliffedge

(Opening opposition)

vote about not being able to
year old vote

support the deal.

it or referendum

freedom and prosperity
was so hopelessly defined
and make Irish unity more likely
I has this ready night be Theresa May's alternative arrangement
technology risk another 3,500 lives
not

214

NOES

| | |
|-----|-----|
| 10 | 150 |
| 20 | 160 |
| 30 | 170 |
| 40 | 180 |
| 50 | 190 |
| 60 | 200 |
| 70 | 210 |
| 80 | 220 |
| 90 | 230 |
| 100 | 240 |
| 110 | 250 |
| 120 | 260 |
| 130 | 270 |
| 140 | 280 |

Brent Rose

3 March 2017

(P.T.O.)

Nils Lohninger

Teller