

*Ratified Minutes of*

THE MEETING OF THE DEBATE SELECTION COMMITTEE

2nd Week Trinity Term 2019

Sunday 5th May

Morris Room, 18:30

**Present:** The Chair of the Debate Selection Committee (Lee Chin Wee, *Trinity College*), The Standing Committee Delegate (Beatrice Barr, *St Peter's College*), The Access, Communications & Equity Officer *cum* Deputy Returning Officer (Dominic Brind, *Magdalen College*), The Externals Officer (Jason Xiao, *Wadham College*), The DSC Deputy Secretary *cum* Librarian (Sara Singh Dube, *St Hugh's College*), The Internals Officer (Brian Wong, *Wolfson College*), The DSC Treasurer (Bryan Yan, *Keble College*), The President (Genevieve Athis, *Christ Church*), The Director of Training (Rachel O'Nunain, *Trinity College*), The DSC Secretary (Rosa Thomas, *St Anne's College*)

**Attending:** The LGBTQIA+ Officer (Joseph Sisson, *St John's College*)

**Apologies:**

**Absent:**

**Late:** The Ex-CDSC (Andrew Seow, *Lincoln College*)

*The meeting opens at 18:27*

**Apologies**

**Requests to Pass Absences from Previous Meetings**

**Matters Arising from Previous Minutes**

The Access, Communications & Equity Officer *cum* Deputy Returning Officer states that he has sent a list of additions to the previous week's minutes to the Standing Committee Delegate, including a list of the helper points ratified.

The CDSC agrees that this is good as a matter of procedure, as publishing the helper points awarded will help accountability.

The Access, Communications & Equity Officer *cum* Deputy Returning Officer also notes that the new President and Standing Committee Delegate should be added to the previous week's minutes.

The CDSC suggests that these changes are made and the minutes ratified the following week.

## **Ratification of Minutes**

## **Business of the CDSC**

### Women's Workshops

The DSC Deputy Secretary *cum* Librarian explains that Women's Public Speaking workshops will be taking place on Tuesday, run by Marua Mukanova-Finch (*Somerville College*). She adds that there has been significant interest on Facebook.

The CDSC notes that the social following the workshop should be at the bar.

The President adds that the House Manager has asked her to ensure that the social is in the bar.

The CDSC and DSC Deputy Secretary *cum* Librarian agree to post on the Facebook event that the social will take place in the bar.

The CDSC suggests that DSC invite their non-male friends to the workshop on Tuesday.

### Changes to DSC Standing Order H1(3)(f)

The CDSC proposes that the DSC Standing Order pertaining to corporate objections should be altered to allow for right of reply on the part of the person implicated. This would mean that a two-thirds majority would be needed in DSC to initiate the corporate objection, followed by the submission of a written reason by the CDSC, followed by an opportunity for the person objected to to write a response. If such a response were submitted by the next meeting of DSC, a vote would then be taken again.

The Externals Officer agrees.

The Access, Communications & Equity Officer *cum* Deputy Returning Officer suggests that the wording should be changed to clarify that the person objected to has 48 hours to respond after the closing of the DSC meeting at which the first vote is taken.

The CDSC suggests that the Access, Communications & Equity Officer *cum* Deputy Returning Officer send him the proposed Standing Order changes, for him to bring the change to DSC the following week.

*The Access, Communications & Equity Officer cum Deputy Returning Officer moves to grant The LGBTQIA+ Officer speaking rights*

*Motion seconded by the CDSC*

The LGBTQIA+ officer asks whether the ballot can reasonably be secret under Rule 23.

The CDSC asks what Rule DSC is.

The Access, Communications & Equity Officer *cum* Deputy Returning Officer explains that Rule 23 governs *in camera* procedure. He adds that since the DSC is a public committee, there are very specific rules about what can take place *in camera*. Consequently, the response to a corporate objection cannot be read *in camera*. He suggests that this should be changed given the persona nature of corporate objections.

The CDSC asks whether corporate objections can be discussed *in camera* as a disciplinary issue.

The LGBTQIA+ Officer explains that this cannot happen, as Rule 71 states that only actions taken while representing the Union could be discussed. This would exclude, for instance, behaviour at a competition which a member attended independently.

The Access, Communications & Equity Officer *cum* Deputy Returning Officer agrees that this is a difficult issue, but that it would not be a significant reach beyond rule 23 as it stands to allow for discussions to take place *in camera*.

The LGBTQIA+ Officer suggests that the rules could be amended in the discussion of eligibility for DSC membership.

The DSC Treasurer asks if last week's *in camera* minutes remain *in camera*, in light of this discussion.

The President explains that the meeting cannot retrospectively be taken out of camera.

The CDSC agrees that this change is the right thing to do regardless of the specific implications, and suggests that it will be proposed at a later date

#### Chief Adjudicator Selection for the Oxford IV

The CDSC explains that the Externals Officer has begun the process of selection for Oxford IV CAs.

*The Ex-CDSC enters at 18:35*

The Externals Officer suggests that Mr Ashish Kumar, Mr Kit Mercer or Ms Emma Lucas might be good choices, but that Ms Lucas might wish to speak with Ms Gigi Gil at the Oxford IV.

The CDSC suggests that the Internal CA (ICA) is discussed first.

The Director of Training questions the rationality for excluding Ms Vikki Perijainen from the list, as she has judged WUDC ESL and is likely to be an EUDC CA.

The Internals Officer asks where the list is.

The Externals Officer responds that he just said it.

The DSC Secretary asks whether ICA suggestions were just Mr Tan Teck Wei and Mr Mercer.

The DSC Treasurer asks how this list was created.

The Externals Officer explains that Mr Archie Hall was a WUDC DCA, while Mr Kumar was a WUDC CA.

The Director of Training notes that Cambridge will also ask Mr Kumar.

The Externals Officer adds that Mr Tan will be willing to cover some of the flight back to Oxford in order to attend.

The CDSC suggests considering Ms Perijainen, Mr Mercer, and Mr Tan. He asks for any other suggestions of internal names.

The DSC Secretary asks DSC to consider what they want from an ICA, including whether they should be good at communicating with current Oxford debaters.

The Externals Officer responds that that will be the job of the ACA.

The DSC Secretary explains that there is a difference between ICA candidates with prestigious experience and those who are good at dealing with people. She gives the example of Mr Tan, who no longer goes to Oxford so does not know freshers or second years but who is a very accomplished speaker.

The Externals Officer notes that Mr Tan would be useful as he would be able to mobilise London debaters.

The DSC Secretary questions how valuable this is for an ICA.

The CDSC notes that Mr Mercer is the weakest candidate, as he has few current connections in the UK and weaker judging credentials than the other candidates. He proposes Ms Perijainen and Mr Tan, as Mr Tan will bring London debaters and Ms Perijainen is an accomplished ESL speaker and judge, and is known in Oxford.

The Director of Training realises that Ms Perijainen will have left Oxford next year for Harvard.

The DSC Secretary adds that it would be a waste of DSC funds to bring Ms Perijainen back from Harvard for the IV.

The DSC Treasurer asks whether Mr Hall will be in the UK for the IV.

The Externals Officer responds that he will not, but that the Union will fund his travel.

The Internals Officer offers two observations about Mr Hall: first, that he will expect full funding as a CA; second, that they have significant reservations about his judging ability.

The DSC Treasurer agrees.

The Internals Officer adds that they have found Mr Hall's judging to be extremely problematic.

The CDSC asks if the Internals Officer makes this comment from personal experience.

The Internals Officer responds that they have not.

*The CDSC moves to grant the Ex-CDSC speaking rights*

*The Deputy DSC Secretary seconds*

The Access, Communications & Equity Officer *cum* Deputy Returning Officer notes that Mr Hall won WUDC, and has connections in the UK.

The Ex-CDSC suggests that the Access, Communications & Equity Officer *cum* Deputy Returning Officer is conflating a number of different objectives.

The Ex-CDSC suggests Mr Raphael Marshall

The Externals Officer notes that Mr Marshall is doing the Cambridge IV.

The DSC Secretary notes that Mr Hall should perhaps not be appointed if DSC has objections, but that Mr Hall is a WUDC DCA so has a judging network in both the UK and US.

The Externals Officer notes that the IV will have good judges anyway, but that CAs ought to be chosen for motion-setting, marketing and organisational ability.

The DSC Treasurer notes that there have previously been concerns about racism in Mr Hall's judging. This may be a problem with international teams.

The Internals Officer suggests Mr Danny DeBois, Mr Hall's debate partner.

They add that Mr DeBois has previously judged the EUDC final.

The Access, Communications & Equity Officer *cum* Deputy Returning Officer notes that Mr Hall was appointed a WUDC DCA.

The DSC Secretary suggests that it might be fair to avoid appointing Mr Hall if there is widespread belief of the allegations, but that Mr Hall has more judging experience than Mr DuBois.

The DSC Secretary notes that Mr DuBois has stepped back from the circuit recently. She adds that if DSC does not want to appoint Mr Hall, they should look at flying in CAs from Asia.

The CDSC suggests that DSC consider only ICAs for now.

The Externals Officer suggests that DSC also discusses ACAs.

The CDSC requests that other ICA names are proposed, and suggests Mr Dhruva Bhat.

The Externals Officer disagrees.

The DSC Secretary argues that it would be strange to appoint Mr Bhat as an internal CA, as he would have to be flown in.

The Externals Officer asks if Mr Bhat will be here next year.

The Internals Officer notes that most of the DSC committee would be qualified for the position of ICA.

The Externals Officer asks if he should leave the room.

The CDSC disagrees. He asks if the Director of Training will be in Oxford next year.

The Director of Training responds that she is doing a masters in Oxford.

The CDSC asks the DSC Secretary if she will be in Oxford next year.

The DSC Secretary responds that she will likely be in Oxford, though she may be in Japan.

The CDSC suggests that DC strongly consider the DSC Secretary and Director of Training for the position of ICA. He notes that both would be a fresh face, and that both have a large amount of UK judging and speaking experience.

The CDSC suggests that the Director of Training is considered first, as she will definitely be in the UK at the time. He asks if DSC agrees.

The Director of Training offers to leave.

*The Director of Training leaves the room at 18:47*

The DSC Treasurer asks if the meeting has moved *in camera*.

The President says that it is not.

The DSC Secretary checks that the Director of Training is being considered as ICA not ACA.

The Externals Officer says that she is being considered as ICA.

The DSC Treasurer suggests that she is considered for both.

The Externals Officer says that she should be considered only for the ICA position.

The CDSC notes that the Director of Training would be a good CA as she has a strong debating network.

The Ex-CDSC notes that there may otherwise be a gender balance problem.

The DSC Secretary notes that there is a gender imbalance in the circuit, but that there is a good gender balance in Oxford debating so the ICA should be a woman.

The DSC Treasurer notes that Mr Tan is better connected than Rosa.

The Internals Officer notes that there is a consensus on the Director of Training over Mr Tan.

The Ex-CDSC suggests an Asian CA.

The Externals Officer notes that Mr Kumar is Asian. He suggests Ms Jasmine Ho as a backup, as she was a WUDC DCA.

The Internals Officer suggests that Ms Ho is disconnected with this generation of debaters.

*The Director of Training re-enters the room at 18:50*

The CDSC offers the position of ICA to the Director of Training.

The Director of Training accepts the position.

The CDSC explains that he is torn between the Externals Officer and Externals Officer for the ACA position, as both are well-liked and well-connected within Oxford.

*The Internals Officer and Externals Officer leave the room at 18:50*

The CDSC notes that the Externals Officer is good, but disorganised.

The President asks if it is worth the Externals and Internals Officers leaving the room, as the discussion is minuted.

The DSC Secretary notes that the Externals Officer organised one of the Union's biggest events, well.

The Ex-CDSC notes that the Internals Officer may propose an incredibly difficult judge test.

The DSC Secretary notes that the Internals Officer is an excellent judge but perhaps does not communicate well with inexperienced judges.

The CDSC notes that the Externals Officer is well liked and has a good reputation in the US and Canada.

The DSC Treasurer asks what the Externals Officer's judging credentials are.

The CDSC and Ex-CDSC note that they are inferior to the Internals Officer's.

The DSC Treasurer notes that the Externals Officer has been coaching Team Canada so lacks recent judging experience.

The DSC Secretary notes that the Externals Officer has significant speaking experience.

The Director of Training notes that there are three things which differ an ACA from a CA: organisational skills, communication skills, and likeability. Approachability is therefore important.

The CDSC suggests appointing the Externals Officer as ACA, as he gets along well with both freshers and second years and coaches Upper-Intermediate Squads. He adds that the Externals Officer is himself a second-year.

The Access, Communications & Equity Officer *cum* Deputy Returning Officer notes that having non-Post-Graduate CAs is important.

The CDSC notes that the Externals Officer has far fewer judging credentials, but that that is not the sole role of the ACA.

*The Externals Officer and Internals Officer reenter at 18:55*

The CDSC offers the position of ACA to the Externals Officer.

The Externals Officer accepts the position of ACA.

The CDSC suggests asking Mr Kumar to act as an External CA.

The Ex-CDSC notes that Mr Kumar will expect the Union to pay their expenses.

The Internals Officer suggests asking Ms Ameera More.

The Ex-CDSC notes his lack of confidence in Ms More's judging.

The Externals Officer asks whether there is a better Asian judge to ask than Mr Kumar.

The DSC Treasurer asks whether judging quality is important.

The DSC Secretary notes that those generally perceived to be bad judges should not be appointed, as international teams will be concerned.

The Ex-CDSC returns to Mr Hall - in order to match the weight of the Cambridge CAs, he should be appointed.

The Director of Training asks whether Ms Lucia Arce could be appointed.

The Ex-CDSC notes that Ms Arce was CA of the most recent Cambridge IV.

The Internals Officer suggests Mr Mubarrat Wassey.

The Ex-CDSC notes that the last CA ought to be a woman.

The Externals Officer suggests an ESL CA, such as Mr Wassey.

The CDSC agrees.

The Internals Officer notes that Mr Wassey is doing Australs and EUDC.

The DSC Treasurer asks if DSC is rejecting Mr Kumar, as Cambridge is attempting to reach him.

The CDSC suggests convincing Mr Kumar to turn down Cambridge.

The DSC Treasurer suggests giving Mr Kumar a limit what the Union will subsidise.

The CDSC suggests reaching out to Mr Kumar with a subsidising cap.

The Externals Officer asks if a continental European should be appointed.

The Ex-CDSC asks if this is an alternative to Mr Hall or Mr Tan.

The CDSC suggests an Asian CA is sufficient.

The DSC Secretary notes that there are two remaining CA spots, if one goes to Mr Hall, DSC ought to appoint a Continental European woman.

The Internals Officer suggests appointing an Asian woman.

The Externals Officer notes that Ms More may be interested.

The Internals Officer suggests that appointing both Ms More and Mr Wassey may not be a good idea.

The Externals Officer notes that there may be backlash to the appointment of Ms More due to the recent scandal at Cape Town WUDC.

The Internals Officer notes that a number of American teams have problems with Ms More for this reason.

The Ex-CDSC notes that similar allegations affect a large number of well-known judges.

The Externals Officer asks whether Ms More is preferable to Ms Ho.

The CDSC responds that she is.

The Externals Officer agrees.

The CDSC notes that the current proposed CA lineup is The Director of Training, The Externals Officer, and Ms More. He notes that a fourth is needed.

The Internals Officer suggests an Eastern European or Israeli judge, such as Mr Tom Manor.

The Externals Officer asks if any member of DSC has worked with Mr Manor before.

The Internals Officer says that they have, and that he has not CA'd much.

The Externals Officer is skeptical.

The Internals Officer asks if one CA should be ESL.

The Externals Officer responds that Ms More fits this requirement.

The Director of Training suggests asking Ms Emma Lucas.

The CDSC proposes the appointment of the Director of Training, the Externals Officer, Ms Ameera More, and Ms Emma Lucas as CAs.

The motion is seconded by the Access, Communications & Equity Officer *cum* Deputy Returning Officer.

*The motion passes nem. con.*

### Helper Points Update

The CDSC asks the situation of the helper points update.



The DSC Deputy Secretary *cum* Librarian explains that helper points are updated on an online spreadsheet.

The CDSC asks if all helper points have been added from Oxford Schools.

The Access, Communications and Equity Officer *cum* Deputy Returning-Officer says that these have been emailed to the DSC Secretary and Standing Committee Delegate.

The DSC Deputy Secretary *cum* Librarian says that helper points will be updated by the end of the day if they have not been already.

The CDSC asks the DSC Deputy Secretary *cum* Librarian and Internals Officer to compile a list of the helper points of those who did Pro-Am of the Year for next week.

The CDSC asks if there is a way to streamline helper points.

The Externals Officer explains that he sees no way.

The Access, Communications and Equity Officer *cum* Deputy Returning-Officer says that those who run projects could be authorised to award helper points.

The CDSC says that he will think about it.

The DSC Secretary says that it is currently difficult because the online system is new. She notes that they are difficult if delayed, but easy if completed like weekly expenses.

The Ex-CDSC notes that the only way to streamline this would be to write a programme.

#### Changes to Squad Coaches

The CDSC notes that since Ms Gigi Gil is no longer in Oxford, he is coaching Advanced Squads alone. He requests some additional cover.

The Director of Training says that she can help from fifth week.

The CDSC suggests combining Upper-Intermediate and Advanced squads for Trinity Term.

The Director of Training and Internals Officer support this.

#### DSC Budget

The CDSC notes that this was discussed over the vac, and that DSC has made significant amounts of money from Oxford Schools.

He checks that DSC is ok with the circulation of the budget to Standing Committee, and the proposed plan to send one additional team to EUDC, and increased spending on external tournaments.

#### **Business of the DSC Treasurer**

The DSC Treasurer explains that DSC expenses for the week are £180.20.

The DSC Secretary asks about wristband expenses from last term, and notes that Xavier Redmond has not been reimbursed for this purchase.

The CDSC notes that there is currently a stack of eighth week expenses has not been passed, and that he will look for Xavier's expenses claim.

The Ex-CDSC asks if Oxford Convenor selection applications will be opened soon. He asks if there is a panel member with experience in Women's Competitions.

The CDSC says that there is not, but that the panel is four-strong.

The Ex-CDSC suggests asking someone.

The CDSC proposes extending the panel to five.

The Ex-CDSC notes that his panel was three.

The DSC Secretary notes that Ms Gemma Timmons and Ms Olivia Railton could do the Women's Interviews without being on the panel for events such as Oxford Schools. She notes that last year, some members were on the IV panel but not the Women's Panel.

The CDSC suggests asking Ms Ana Vilhelmina Verdnik.

The DSC Secretary responds that she did not do a huge amount of convening last year. She recommends asking Ms Timmons or Ms Railton.

The Access, Communications and Equity Officer *cum* Deputy Returning-Officer asks which would be preferable.

The CDSC responds that Ms Timmons should be asked, and that he will sit on the panel.

The DSC Secretary suggests that the CDSC and Ex-CDSC sit on every panel, but that one addition should perhaps be made for each additional panel. All convenors will be asked the same key questions in interviews, along with some specific questions.

The CDSC reiterates that Ms Timmons will be asked to sit on the panel selections for Oxford Women's, and that the CDSC and Ex-CDSC will sit on all panels.

The DSC Treasurer proposes passing the £180.20 in DSC expenses.

The motion is seconded by the CDSC.

*The motion passes nem. Con.*

## **Business of the Externals Officer**

### **Opening Applications for External Tournaments**

The CDSC asks if there are any External Tournaments which ought to be open for applications.

The Externals Officer that Bristol will take place on June 8th, so applications for this should be delayed.

## **Business of the Internals Officer**

### Penalising Non-Attendance at Internal Competitions

The Internals Officer asks how, in the long-term, people can be prevented from dropping out of External Competitions at the last minute.

The Externals Officer responds that they should be made low priority in selections.

The Director of Training responds that this should be made explicit in the Standing Orders.

The DSC Secretary asks if Pros and Ams knew the names of their partners in advance of the Pro-Am of the Year competition.

The Internals Officer notes that the names were sent out two weeks ago.

The DSC Secretary suggests that Pros are encouraged to message Ams on Facebook, in order to make it more awkward for Ams to cancel at the last minute. She says that this worked for Prosecco and Pro Ams.

The Ex-CDSC asks if people are penalised for dropping out less than 48h before.

The Internals Officer notes that this is only the case for external competitions, and that last-minute drop outs made Pro-Am of the Year very difficult for Pros and swing judges.

### Helper Points for Judging

The Internals Officer suggests that although chair judges were fine at Pro-Am of the year, there were moments where inexperienced judges had to chair unreasonably high rooms.

They add that although the judging quota is the onus of the Internals Officer, the attendance of judges should be incentivised.

### Cash Prize for Pro-Am of the Year

The Internals Officer proposes a cash prize for Pro-Am of the year.

The DSC Secretary notes that DSC does not have the money.

The Access, Communications and Equity Officer *cum* Deputy Returning-Officer suggests a champagne prize.

The DSC Secretary asks the relative fairness of this, given that major competitions do not offer cash prizes.

The Ex-CDSC says that champagne would be a fairer prize.

The Externals Officer notes that this is a good incentive, as Pro-Am of the Year is an important development opportunity.

The Director of Training proposes putting Pro-Am of the Year earlier in the calendar, so that novice debaters may make connections with Oxford debaters early on. She notes that there is an inverse advantage of putting Fresher of the Year later in the year, as freshers have had the opportunity to work on their competence and skills.

The Internals Officer notes that Pros will be more willing to speak early in the year.

The DSC Secretary suggests replicating the structure of Prosecco and Pro-Ams for all members, given that people had to be turned away from Prosecco and Pro-Ams this year as it was oversubscribed.

The Standing Committee Delegate proposes that this change be made, in order to ensure that a tournament for first-timers is held near the beginning of the year in order to develop novices' connections and competence. She notes that first-timers may be more willing to compete in Fresher of the Year against experienced schools debaters if they have several terms of practice.

The CDSC agrees that the schedule of Pro-Am of the Year and Fresher of the Year should be swapped next year.

### **Business of the DSC Secretary**

The DSC Secretary resigns.

The CDSC accepts her resignation, and proposes a motion of thanks to the Outgoing DSC Secretary.

The Deputy DSC Secretary seconds.

*The motion passes nem. con.*

The External Officer proposes a motion to open up application for new DSC members.

The CDSC seconds.

*The motion passes nem. con.*

DSC applauds the Outgoing DSC Secretary.

The CDSC thanks the Outgoing DSC Secretary for her work.

### **Business of the Access, Communications and Equity Officer *cum* Deputy Returning-Officer**

The Access, Communications and Equity Officer *cum* Deputy Returning-Officer reminds DSC of the discussion about imposing a requirement to do three Oxford Schools regional rounds on those who wish to trial for EUDC or WUDC. He asks if DSC is in favour.

The External Officer raises his hand in favour.

The CDSC asks if this is a requirement to trial or to make the team.

The Access, Communications and Equity Officer *cum* Deputy Returning-Officer notes that his preference would be for those who wish to trial in the following term to do three regional rounds, and that the Standing Orders should be changed to show this.

The Director of Training asks if this is an ICYD commitment as well, as that would create a large number of regional rounds.

The LGBTQIA+ Officer notes that there is no obligation currently.

The Access, Communications and Equity Officer *cum* Deputy Returning-Officer notes that a large commitment to Oxford debating is a fair expectation given that the Union will pay for WUDC and EUDC teams to go abroad.

*An ordinary member enters at 19:29*

*The ordinary member leaves at 19:30*

The Outgoing DSC Secretary suggests that the additional commitment should be either to ICYD or to Oxford Schools.

The CDSC notes that ICYD will clash with schools rounds next year, in Hilary, and that judging five regional rounds is a large requirement for one term. He proposes making the total three.

The DSC Secretary notes that ICYD is a large commitment compared to Oxford Schools, as it takes all day.

The Ex-CDSC notes that ICYD is a lower commitment than it seems as the universities at which rounds are held usually do the majority of the work.

The DSC Secretary asks if the precedent for this has disappeared.

The Ex-CDSC notes that this is not a problem if they are asked far enough in advance.

The LGBTQIA+ Officer notes that currently Oxford Schools does not have enough judges, and ICYD needs additional work, so this requirement remains fair.

The Ex-CDSC asks if applications are being opened for ICYD convenor.

The CDSC notes that this will be in this week's DA. He states that he is in principle ready to support the three-round requirement, but that this should be further discussed before next week.

#### Business of the President

The President notes that there is a 'How to Get Involved in the Oxford Union' workshop being held the following day. She notes that The Access, Communications and Equity Officer *cum* Deputy Returning-Officer has been asked to speak about debating and RO-world.

She reiterates that the House Manager has asked for the bar to be used for the Women's Workshops on Tuesday.

She raises the issue of the use of rooms for competitive debating. She notes that she should technically be informed of this in seventh week of the preceding term, in case of competition between a big speaker and the use of the rooms for debating events. She adds that she may have, for instance, booked to go home without being alerted with sufficient time by DSC that Oxford debating needs the rooms.

She requests that DSC give her the maximum amount of notice possible of which rooms will be needed for debating competitions.

*The CDSC closes the meeting of CDSC at 19:34*

Signed,

Beatrice Barr,

**Standing Committee**

*St Peter's College*