

# MT18 - WK3 - Emergency Debate

THS: Compulsory military conscription (service)

Chair: Gemma Timmons

Secretary: Olivia Railton

Start Time: 7:45pm

End Time:

## Prop

Brian Wong - 088339

- obligation to serve the state in numerous other ways
- ↳ things like taxation are a very soft form of obligation not enough.

Natasha Naidoo - 094155

- military conscription/mandatory service will create more empathy for soldiers ~~amongst~~ among the general public
- ↳ more political capital with regards to military issues

Joshua Adamson - 808421

- Usually in countries without compulsory military conscription, it is the poorest/most vulnerable who end up in the military
- ↳ more equality amongst classes/races

Rai Saad Khan - 092312

- many other ways to do good by your country
- ↳ donate to education etc.

Brian Wong - 088339

- class equalizer
- we think that conscription is basically just unique taxation
- ↳ we take things from people for the state all the time
- wars are fought far more effectively
- if everyone has to fight, less emotional burden concentrated on a small group of individuals

## Opp

Edward Peckston - 091754

- It is the voluntary nature of service in countries like the UK that makes it significant
- you don't need military service to pay your country back
- ↳ civic service is a much better option

Saiyed Sadig - 095069

- right to liberty / to live as a pacifist in "free" countries like the UK
- ↳ people shouldn't have to fight for causes they don't believe in

Benedict Bunting - 094956

- many practical issues with mandatory conscription
- ↳ we would have to sacrifice quality of training equipment to balance the immense cost
- in UK we pride ourselves on our veterans who volunteered to go to war

Hugh Nicholl - 091351

- Presumably it would only be UK citizens for whom it would be compulsory
- ↳ makes the divide between immigrants/native UK citizens even greater

Shining Zhao - 091020

- other ways to contribute to society
- too much taxpayer money to train an unnecessary surplus of soldiers



## Prop

John Boyd - 094700

- Less horrific war if we default back to conventional conflict
- ↳ better than nuclear war

Jonathan Evans - 084933

- compulsory military service does not mean that everyone will see combat so it's not that bad

Brian Wong - 088339

- current system allows for the poor to be used as pawns in war
- the current model allows for the rich and powerful to make military decisions even though they are completely uninvolved
- ↳ ~~abuse~~ abuse of power

Grace Mzumara - 208402

- war in the 21st century won't be a Mexican stand-off → it will be technological
- ↳ brain power will be what decides the result of war so, not that bad

## Opp

Mona Ibrahim - 208444

- More PTSD/probably more crime
- ↳ many people are not emotionally or physically prepared for war

Sultan Al-Kawari - 094494

- conscription means quality of soldiers is trash because they don't believe in their cause

Zixuan Ni - 095570

- fundamentally not the same as taxation
- In Israel if people don't want to be in the army they get thrown in military prison

Vantray (1/3 CCC) - Magdalen College

- Just bin the military all together
- ↳ shows confidence, but also means people won't see us as aggressive
- The UK is a country that people follow
- ↳ if we bin the ~~country's~~ country's army others will (hopefully) follow

Noes have it

Olivia Raiton  
Olivia Raiton



THE war on terror Has been its own worst enemy

1. Rebecca Collins, Bellini,  
standing Committee

- USA has ~~sent~~ spent billions on defence
- Terror still high in EU and USA

points:

1. Policy of regime change has ~~made~~ created power vacuum
2. Terrorism elevated to military rather than political issue

- Terrorism is about fear disproportionate to capability
- ~~WOT~~ Terrorism cannot be defeated like a foreign army
- Military approach to terror could never be successful
- American rebuild strategy in Iraq was catastrophic
- ~~En~~ Instability in Iraq can be traced back to 2003 invasion
- If US had spent defence funds on education, investment, would have had great effects

2. Mr. Mark Rowley

- Islamist violence didn't start with 9/11, was long geopolitical curve
- ISIS propaganda has broad historical references
- 23 attacks in 6 years prevented violent counter-terrorism chief
- British military overseas reach those who radicalise
- Radicalisation targets the vulnerable
- Aim to create narrative of Islamophobic west
- we must not fall into trap of agreeing we created the problem
- War on terror has not been perfect but has prevented attacks
- There is no better alternative

3. Sir Ivor Roberts

- WOT is not comparable to war with Germany, smallpox or cold war; victory cannot be evaluated
- Terrorism is not a new phenomenon but has always been with us
- War in Afghanistan dispersed, rather than destroyed, Al-Qaeda
- ~~was~~ success of regime change in Iraq overshadowed by subsequent failure
- Al-Qaeda in Iraq was a direct response to US policy
- Guantanamo and others have contributed to Muslim world's toxic image of west
- Peace process came close in Oslo and should be revisited
- Only political solution can work

4. Mr. Elaine

- war on terror strategy
- Diplomacy terrorism
- Terrorism
- Since 9/11 magnitude perfect
- recent weak
- Terror
- Terror years
- worked this is civilisation
- Quant
- War in mistake terrorism
- 7 mag would be
- Defeat multi-just dip
- 5. Congress
- I took 7
- Both frames
- First res should be
- Support withough
- Intelligence war was
- Pushed
- wartime liberty to be
- Terror is tactic



## 4. Elaine Duke

- war on terror is dead, non multi faceted strategy
- diplomacy alone will not stop terrorism
- terrorists, not WOT, your worst enemy
- since 9/11, no attack of similar magnitude, policies have not been perfect but they have had success
- recent efforts have significantly weakened ISIS

~~Terror is dead~~

- terror deaths decreased for 3 years
- working through UN means this is not a clash of civilizations

- Guantanamo follows rule of law
- war in Iraq may have been mistake, but is not excuse for terrorism
- imagine counterfactual world would be much more counterfactual
- ~~terror~~ defeating terrorism is multi-dimensional, so cannot be just diplomacy.

## 5. Congresswoman Jane Harman

- took dancing lessons with Theresa May
- both 'war' and 'terror' are incorrect frames for current world
- first responders and those in government should be honoured
- supported Iraq resolution, and thought we could win
- intelligence that guided Iraq war was wrong
- wartime governments are given liberty to break democratic norms
- terror is not an opponent but a tactic

- our declaration of war plays to narrative of terrorists
- drone strikes generate more terrorists than they defeat
- opposition may ask what choice we have
- failing governments breed terrorists
- should use more informed and imaginative approach

## 6. General Sir Graeme Lamb

- should we just accept wrongdoing of 9/11?
- 9/11 was only to create a leadership
- conscience says WOT was right, and history shows appeasement does not work
- terror is not a new phenomenon, and fighting terror is a way of living
- fighting terror has always been a war without end, but we cannot allow it to govern us
- WOT has been imperfect but right

## Floor speech

1. Ms. Sumniga, Nejan, Exeter, 808680
  - this debate has not represented points of views of non-white men
  - WOT is war of ideology, but disease and famine cannot be beaten with war
2. Jonathan Evans, CMH, 084933
  - Terrorism can be solved by killing terrorists
3. Daniel Farooq, Wadham, 089709
  - WOT based on premise that west is better and should determine how others should live



4. P. Dickson
- Crisis of terrorism is not about West, and we are better than them
  - we do not seek to kill innocents but they do
  - we should oblige for martyrdom

7. Mr. David Pratt

- Address issue through scope of Grand Strategy
- Allied Grand Strategy post-WW2 divided into pre-9/11, post-9/11

- pre-9/11 was successful brought down USSR, led to USA being sole superpower

- strategy was good combination of hard and soft power

- soft power rested on similar ideals:

- Bretton Woods
- NATO
- G7
- Declaration of Human Rights
- UN

- Effect of post-9/11 events have undermined US power

- US responded to 9/11 with hard power

Epiphany

- Guerrilla war against Taliban was unwinnable

- War on Terror is not over despite fall of ISIS

- wars have fuelled crises like refugee crisis that have fuelled populists

- \$6 trillion est. cost of War to US alone

US violations of Geneva erode US moral authority  
US soft power has been wiped out by these actions

- Trump has doubled down on erosion of post-WW2 values

- China will soon become military rival

8. Amb. Gerald F. Stein

- causes of extremism are different in world

- No real solution to terrorism

- Law enforcement approach has worked effectively

- There has been no event like 9/11 since 9/11 we have prevented many deaths

- Model that has worked in SE Asia, we have tried to apply globally but is difficult and takes time and money

- Big attacks were planned and financed centrally by trained individuals - these organizations now have much more limited capacity, so have turned to lone wolf attacks

- This has led to decreased overall deaths

- WOT nowadays uses new methods

- For 20 years, we have confronted multiple threat and we continue to need various strategies

Signed,

Nick Brown

Nick Brown

Magdalen College

Secretary



MT18 - Wk3 - Continued Private Business, from Wk3 MT18  
Public Business Meeting

Minuted: Hayden Seale

1<sup>st</sup> Motion:

RO:

Ros Williams (RW): Summence changes: reform special adjournments - no long debate after it has been debated; make sure RO is notified via email; a requirement for minutes on website; structural change to Chapter 6; removed Pres. ability to call special business meeting.

~~we debate~~

~~A member~~

A female member: we need greater clarity.

~~RO~~

Treasurer: POI - wrong motion

RO: No opposition

RW: Accepts amendments

Vote: House accepts - unanimous

2<sup>nd</sup> motion:

~~RO~~ RW: I accept @ Becky Collins' amendment + RW's  
Many changes put forward by Vandy - RO disagreed with minutes.  
The SC SC ~~the~~ meeting is held for details.  
New committee will allow smooth period of reform.  
Bottle for society, as mention of new committee would have more time.

No opposition

Vote: House accepts - unanimous

A 3<sup>rd</sup> Motion:

Anna Richards (AR):

Lammy - Brian Wang is at Wofford.

Brian Wong (PW) - Union has privilege, barriers to access.

Some colleges find it more difficult to vote.  
Natural justice is the reason to support me.  
Compromising basically.

Northern colleges are very far from Union.  
Those students feel disenfranchised & disengaged.  
We want contribution from all colleges.

POI - <sup>Senior</sup> Member - why not do it all colleges, not just Woffson

BW - Not Woffson

POI PW - Actually, it has apply to Woffson.

POI <sup>male</sup> - It does apply

Lanning <sup>PO</sup> Order - Too many POIs

BW - Legitimacy: I want a final ver. Signatures to change. More will then come.  
I took STEP papers.

RO - 5 mins is up.

~~PO~~  
Female member - Change is needed.

But - working is bad. Distances involve various colleges - North & South.  
We should improve accessibility for us

POI Sam Kim - Do you know which colleges?

Female <sup>male</sup> - Hugh's + Hilda's. The union does not help boys.  
I would have online voting.  
Too much strain being placed on ROs as well. There could be election bias.

~~POI~~ This is electoral participation: some members not enfranchised, but some will be the reverse. - too many <sup>new voters</sup> in concentrated place?

Lanning <sup>PO</sup> Point of Order - Have he counted

RO - Sure

Watson Order - Clearly fewer than 150 members

RO - ~~PO~~ Yes, < 150 members. Postpone.

BW - Sure.

Postponed



4<sup>th</sup> motion

Germa Timmons - starts speaking, cut

Point of order Lenny - There is no proxy

Watson P.O. Order - That should be raised - no proxy?

RO - Is there any proxy - has Timmons been made proxy.

Brief pause - discussion with concerned parties

P.O. order - question be taken to division

RO - Division - to replace 1. 336 of invl.

2. 2 - 2<sup>nd</sup> motion read

3. 3 - 3<sup>rd</sup> motion read

Watson P.O. Order - Page must sit down

Please sit on the floor - SIT DOWN - Under moving benches.

RO - SIT DOWN.

Members sit on floor of chairs, humorously.

RO - Division 1: 1<sup>st</sup> man

2: 2<sup>nd</sup> man

3: 3<sup>rd</sup> motion

4: 4<sup>th</sup> motion

(See prior page)

Lenny: House be counted

RO: Okay.

Germa, you have 5 mins.

On 1<sup>st</sup> motion

GT: - This ~~motion~~ makes

~~the Union~~

1. This change nomination form for people - simple.

2. Qualifying speech date - incorrect, invalid. But now, the RO can ask.

3. Forget to give money, or if give email, you cannot run if we pass the

motion, you may run normally.

4. This change allows members - less people to.

Minute Book Moves



west

The change - Union is hard to navigate.

Putting my small angle pot to paradise. Betty.

Thomas Love - I want competence.

POI DIGI - Treque - Elect - Tell us what you...

Only water - Speak to Love.

GT- No. ~~A~~ ~~B~~ Easy to miss ~~in~~ correct form details

f. If is not about competing

§ Lower - we need 100 disiduous people. At 600

Soe Sisson - Voto le premier

Ordnung - Court House

RO - ~~AP~~ C150

GT - No 150. Paryay

Done Prepared

$\frac{1}{2}$   $2^{nd}$   $1^{st}$

Learning - But Cant  $\Rightarrow$  then put all questions

PO- ~~ES~~ sad More division #2.

Sigmon - pet photos

10:  $\angle 156^\circ$  per se

Isopropyl methane.

Lake B

— 422 —

Amy G

- pick u

Hagh

- War 2

Jim Be

17

Gemmen

- more c

Any more  $\square$



Division 3: (as before)

Lanning: House Conted.

GT - Vote First.

RO - Can decide postponement.

Postponed

~~Division 4:~~

RO: Division 4

Joe Simon: Put man

RO: < 150

GT: Oh, Postpone

Postpone

RO: Amy's to office

~~to~~  
AW - & to ex-secretary, - why did he ask.

Lanning: He is not officer.

AW: Fair enough.

RO - Close private business.

Luke Bellamy -

- war on terror founded on hatred

Amy Gregg -

- pick up litter

Hugh Bellamy -

- war on terror is "fundamentally bad"

Jim Brennan -

- ?

Gemma Timmons - 091168

- more civilians have died as the result of the war on terror

Arman D'Souza - 095660

- war on terror is necessary

Genevieve Athis -

- war on terror is terrible

James Hendolt - 092061

- War on terror is unnecessary

~~Wahid~~

Rabii Malik - 092130

- Biggest enemy of war on terror is terror

Patrick Gwillim-Thomas - 095478

- war on terror does not present us a tangible solution to terrorism

Garang Dst - 094055

- war on terror has killed millions and thus is bad

Chaitanya Kediyal - 091298

- too many civilians have died, thus war is bad



Kofoworola O Braithwaite - 095103

- The war on terror makes it easier for terrorists to recruit

Pharanidharan Sivaganeselvan - 094208

- innocent civilians are constantly being harmed in the crossfire

David Graham - 094075

- worst enemy of the war on terror is foreign intervention in countries that breed terrorism

Spencer Cohen - 094833

- it is its own worst enemy because it has caused the loss of civil liberties

Olivia Leigh - 093883

- our worst enemy because the west vs rest mentality is bad.

Closed: 23:27

Olivia Railton

~~Olivia Railton~~

(I'm sorry for the mess as I had to minute on the floor)

I confirm this is accurate  
GEORGE PENNY, DRO

Re-opened by the Treasurer at 23:28

Nick Leah - 092030

- war on terror like a "bull raging through a china shop"
- it is a political problem and should be solved that way

Closed at 23:29



7. 4. p

1. - evil  
- west

- we d  
- but  
- wes

7. Mr

- Add

of Gra

- AU

post

pre-9,

- pre-

broug

led to

superfo

- strate

- combinat

power

- soft po

ideal

- B

- H I U

- Genera

- Declaration of Human Rights

- UN

- Effect of post-9/11 events

have undermined US power

- US responded to 9/11 with

# AYES

295

10	<del>10</del>	150
20	<del>20</del>	160
30	<del>30</del>	170
40	<del>40</del>	180
50	<del>50</del>	190
60	<del>60</del>	200
70	<del>70</del>	210
80	<del>80</del>	220
90	<del>90</del>	230
100	<del>100</del>	240
110	<del>110</del>	250
120	<del>120</del>	260
130	<del>130</del>	270
140	<del>140</del>	280

Tes

TERROR

Debate

20..... (P.T.O.)

Teller

US violations of Gen  
S soft power has b  
tions

ump has doubled do  
t-WW2 values  
ina will soon become

Amb. Gerald F. Siers

uses of extremism or  
at solution to terror  
enforcement approach  
ctively

has been prevent like  
prevented many death  
that has worked

apply globally b  
s time and money

acks were planned and  
ly by trained indivi

tions now have m

capacity, so have t  
acks

- This has led to decreased o

- WOT nowadays uses new r

- For 20 years, we have con  
threat and we continue to  
Strategies



each 'ten' on the card.  
 "Neutral," or words to that effect, marking off  
 own side of the Barrier, except such as say  
 2. To count all members passing their  
 Chair of the Debate to send in their cards.  
 of the second speech, until requested by the  
 1. To be in their places at the conclusion  
 of the second speech, until requested by the  
 Teller are requested.

## NOES

10	✓	150
20	✓	160
30	✓	170
40	✓	180
50	✓	190
60	✓	200
70	✓	210
80	✓	220
90	✓	230
100	✓	240
110	✓	250
120	✓	260
130	Ⓢ	270
140		280

Terror

Debate

MT

20...18. (P.T.O.)

Chaitanya KD

Teller

- US violations of Geneva
- US soft power has been
- actions
- Trump has doubled do
- post-WW2 values
- China will soon become

g. Amb. Gerald F. Seiers

- Causes of extremism are
- no real solution to terror
- Law enforcement approach
- effectively
- There has been no event like
- have prevented many deaths
- model that has worked
- ed to apply globally
- It takes time and money
- g attacks were planned and
- entrally by trained individ
- anization now have m
- ited capacity, so have
- g attacks

- Declaration of Human Rights
- UN

- Effect of post-9/11 events
- have undermined US power
- US responded to 9/11 with

- This has led to decreased
- WOT nowadays uses new
- For 20 years, we have con
- threat and we continue to
- Strategies