

FACES Packet for Statistical Consultants

1. [Raw Data](#)

- I have confirmed that the pre/post data are correct for the experimental and control groups. We typically expect a *little* change in control groups with survey designs, just because of the sensitivity of the items. You'll notice, however, just from a visual analysis, that the change among participants in the experimental group is much more varied. As a component of the design, we sent weekly newsletters to the control group, that included information about community events, etc., so that could have also contributed to some of the difference in the pre/post outcomes for the control group.
- I will continue cleaning the labels for the demographic data

2. Measures- Please see **pages 59-64** from the full dissertation below

- The page numbers correspond to the PDF file--not the pages on the document.

3. [Survey items](#) (this is a PDF of the Google Form. If you need access to the actual Google Form for any reason, please let me know)

4. [Table of research questions and corresponding measures](#)

5. Pre-FACES studies and FACES-related publications

- [Parents & Professionals study](#) (just for background/context)
- [Meeting FACES study](#) (just for background/context)
- [Full dissertation](#)
- [Published dissertation](#) (*in press*)

6. Similar published work

- [Burke, et al., 2016](#) (this is a *very similar design*, using some of the same measures used in FACES; this should give you a good idea of the types of analyses Burke uses. She's one of my mentors, who helped me design FACES).
- [Burke & Rios_2019](#)
- [Burke & Goldman_2014](#) (this is a survey design, and not an intervention study, but it might give us some ideas on different ways to analyze the data).
 - This is an aside, but I would love your feedback and expertise on different ways to analyze the data; this would help me publish a couple different papers from one dataset.