



# Transactions: Making CMRX kernel internals lock-free

Eduard Drusa <[nopisonnope@gmail.com](mailto:nopisonnope@gmail.com)>

# Agenda :

**1 The problem**

**2 Land of lock-free**

**3 The solution**

**4 What's new?**

# The Problem

CMRX interrupt handlers can use kernel services

This causes race conditions

Disabling interrupts in critical sections increases latency

Mutexes cause instant deadlock

# Investigation

Ferdinand: "This looks a lot like problem  
for lock-free approach"

Research papers, also using LLMs

Suggested code reminds me of transactions

It has a lot of overhead

```
typedef struct {
    /* internal structure */
} complex_data_t;

complex_data_t shared_data;
void update_data(int input) {
    complex_data_t local;
    /* fill in local copy */

    mutex_lock(&data_lock);
    shared_data = local;
    mutex_unlock(&data_lock);
}

complex_data_t read_data(void) {
    complex_data_t local;
    mutex_lock(&data_lock);
    local = shared_data;
    mutex_unlock(&data_lock);
    return local;
}
```

# Land of Lock-Free

Lock-free programming:

**At least one context runs to completion without blocking**

Wait-free programming:

**All contexts run to completion without blocking**

Blocking is traded for retrying (hello LOAD/STORE conditional)

# Critical Section

ReadWrite case:

```
mutex_lock(&data_lock);  
  
complex_data_t * entry;  
entry = /* find entry */;  
  
/* modify data */  
mutex_unlock(&data_lock);
```

# Transaction

```
txn_id = txn_start();  
  
complex_data_t * entry;  
entry = /* find entry */;  
  
if (txn_commit(txn_id, TXN_RW)) {  
    /* modify data */  
    txn_done();  
}
```

# Critical Section

Read-Only case:

```
mutex_lock(&data_lock);  
  
complex_data_t * entry;  
entry = /* find entry */;  
  
/* examine data */  
mutex_unlock(&data_lock);
```

# Transaction

```
txn_id = txn_start();  
  
complex_data_t * entry;  
entry = /* find entry */;  
/* examine data */  
  
if (!txn_commit(txn_id, TXN_R0)) {  
    /* data inconsistent */  
}
```

# Transactions

Transactions implement `read committed` level of isolation

Read+Write transaction invalidates all transactions started later on commit

Read-Only transaction invalidates nothing on commit

Any invalidated transaction will fail to commit

# // here be dragons

The spirit of lock-free: Blocking is traded for iterating

Data structures develop some interesting properties

Uniprocessor: Entries are consistent, data structure may temporarilly not be

Multiprocessor: Both entries and whole data structures may be inconsistent

# Why bother + Final thoughts

Readers don't block writers

Transaction implementation is really trivial

Opportunity for customized reaction to contention

Need for defensive programming

CMRX kernel case: Long lookup, short modifications, YMMV

It becomes really funny if you go multicore



# What's new?

## Support for FPU

ARM Cortex-M4 and M7, possibly other architectures

## User-space mutexes

On platforms with atomics support

## Porting efforts

POSIX hosted port (you are watching it right now)

Basic ARMv8M support, RISC-V and MIPS ports in progress

## Community

Increased interest, contributors

Memory protection is still a curse word around here

# Thank you!

## Q&A?



GitHub Repository:

<https://github.com/ventzl/cmrx>

Project Website:

<https://cmrxrtos.org/>

Eduard Drusa <[nopisonnope@gmail.com](mailto:nopisonnope@gmail.com)>