

# SQL Data Definition Language: Solutions

1. Which of the following table definitions are valid? Where invalid, explain why.

```
create table Stuff1 (  
    name text primary key,  
    number int,  
    rating float not null  
);
```

```
create table Stuff2 (  
    name varchar(25) primary key,  
    number int primary key,  
    rating float  
);
```

```
create table Stuff3 (  
    name text primary key,  
    number int unique default 0,  
    rating float  
);
```

```
create table Stuff4 (  
    name char(30) unique,  
    number int unique,  
    rating real  
);
```

**Solution:** All but Stuff2 are valid. It generates this error:

```
ERROR: multiple primary keys for table "stuff2" are not allowed  
LINE 3:    number int primary key,
```

2. Suppose we have defined this table:

```
create table Fluff (  
    this int,  
    that int,  
    other text unique,  
    primary key (this, that)  
);
```

Which of the following is valid? (Consider each as if it were being applied to any empty instance of the table.) For each that is invalid, identify the problem.

```
insert into Fluff values (1, 2, 'my'), (1, 2, 'night');  
insert into Fluff values (11, 22, 'twinkle'), (33, 44, 'twinkle');  
insert into Fluff values (100, 5, 'night'), (100, 10, 'my');  
insert into Fluff values (null, null, 'oh');  
insert into Fluff values (5, null, 'uh');  
insert into Fluff values (null, 20, 'a'), (null, 21, 'b');  
insert into Fluff values (80, 81, null);  
insert into Fluff values (90, 91, null), (92, 93, null);
```

**Solution:** Here's what each of the insert statements yields:

```
csc343h-dianeh=> insert into Fluff values (1, 2, 'my'), (1, 2, 'night');
ERROR:  duplicate key value violates unique constraint "fluff_pkey"
DETAIL:  Key (this, that)=(1, 2) already exists.
```

```
csc343h-dianeh=> insert into Fluff values (11, 22, 'twinkle'), (33, 44, 'twinkle');
ERROR:  duplicate key value violates unique constraint "fluff_other_key"
DETAIL:  Key (other)=(twinkle) already exists.
```

```
csc343h-dianeh=> insert into Fluff values (100, 5, 'night'), (100, 10, 'my');
INSERT 0 2
```

```
csc343h-dianeh=> insert into Fluff values (null, null, 'oh');
ERROR:  null value in column "this" violates not-null constraint
DETAIL:  Failing row contains (null, null, oh).
```

```
csc343h-dianeh=> insert into Fluff values (5, null, 'uh');
ERROR:  null value in column "that" violates not-null constraint
DETAIL:  Failing row contains (5, null, uh).
```

```
csc343h-dianeh=> insert into Fluff values (null, 20, 'a'), (null, 21, 'b');
ERROR:  null value in column "this" violates not-null constraint
DETAIL:  Failing row contains (null, 20, a).
```

```
csc343h-dianeh=> insert into Fluff values (80, 81, null);
INSERT 0 1
```

```
csc343h-dianeh=> insert into Fluff values (90, 91, null), (92, 93, null);
INSERT 0 2
```

```
csc343h-dianeh=> select * from Fluff;
```

```
  this | that | other
-----+-----+-----
  100 |    5 | night
  100 |   10 |  my
   80 |   81 |
   90 |   91 |
   92 |   93 |
(5 rows)
```

3. Again, suppose we have defined this table:

```
create table Fluff (  
    this int,  
    that int,  
    other text unique,  
    primary key (this, that)  
);
```

Which of these table definitions is valid, given the definition of table Fluff? Where invalid, explain why.

**error, since either unique, or ALL or primary key**

```
create table Nonsense1 (  
    a int,  
    b int,  
    foreign key (b) references Fluff(this)  
);  
create table Nonsense3 (  
    a int,  
    b int,  
    c int,  
    foreign key (b, c) references Fluff  
);  
create table Nonsense2 (  
    a int,  
    b text references Fluff(other)  
);  
create table Nonsense4 (  
    a int references Fluff(blah),  
    b int  
);
```

**OK, implies primary key**

**Solution:** Only Nonsense2 and Nonsense3 are valid. Here's what each of the table definitions yields:

```
csc343h-dianeh=> create table Nonsense1 (  
csc343h-dianeh(>    a int,  
csc343h-dianeh(>    b int,  
csc343h-dianeh(>    foreign key (b) references Fluff(this)  
csc343h-dianeh(> );  
ERROR:  there is no unique constraint matching given keys for referenced table "fluff"
```

```
csc343h-dianeh=> create table Nonsense2 (  
csc343h-dianeh(>    a int,  
csc343h-dianeh(>    b text references Fluff(other)  
csc343h-dianeh(> );  
CREATE TABLE
```

```
csc343h-dianeh=> create table Nonsense3 (  
csc343h-dianeh(>    a int,  
csc343h-dianeh(>    b int,  
csc343h-dianeh(>    c int,  
csc343h-dianeh(>    foreign key (b, c) references Fluff  
csc343h-dianeh(> );  
CREATE TABLE
```

```
csc343h-dianeh=> create table Nonsense4 (  
csc343h-dianeh(>    a int references Fluff(blah),  
csc343h-dianeh(>    b int  
csc343h-dianeh(> );  
ERROR:  column "blah" referenced in foreign key constraint does not exist
```

4. Can you think of any other ways that an attempt to define a foreign key could fail?