5.4 Green's Theorem

Definition. intro

- 1. simple curve: If $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is an interval, a C^1 map $\gamma : I \to \mathbb{R}^2$ is a simple curve if γ is injective on the interior of I (injectivity intuitively means that there is no overlap in higher dimension graphs, thereby distinctness is preserved)
- 2. simple closed curve: a simple curve whose endpoints coincide. (i.e. a circle)
- 3. Simple connected region A region is simply connected if there is no holes.
- 4. **regular region** in \mathbb{R}^n is a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^n which is the closure of its interiors
- 5. A regular region $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ has a **piecewise smooth boundary** if its boundary ∂S is a finite union of piecewise, simple closed curves.
- 6. Stoke's Orientation Given regular region $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ with piecewise smooth boundary ∂S , the stoke's orientation is the orientation of the boundary such that the interior of the set is on the left.
- 7. x-simple (Type II region) A region is x-simple if every line parallel to the x-axis satisfies
 - the line does not touch the region
 - the line touches thet border of the region, either at single point or along an unbroken line segment,
 - the line passes through the interior of the region, once

In essence, for any two points the line that are in the region, all the points in between are in the region too. Alternatively, a x-simple region can be defined as

$$S = \{c \le y \le d, \, \psi_1(y) \le x \le \psi_2(y)\}$$

for C^1 function ψ_1 and ψ_2 . Hence it is easy to fix y and integrate over x for a x-simple region

8. y-simple (Type I region) A region is y-simple if the region can be written as

$$S = \{a \le x \le b, \, \phi_1(x) \le y \le \phi_2(x)\}$$

for C^1 function ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 . Hence it is easy to fix x and integrate over y for a y-simple region

9. simple region A region is simple if it is both x-simple and y-simple

Theorem. Green's Theorem If $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ is a regular region with piecewise smooth boundary ∂S , endowed with the Stoke's orientation, and $F : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ is a C^1 -vector field, then

$$\oint_{\partial S} F \cdot dx = \iint_{S} \left(\frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial x_2} \right) dA$$

Remark. Green's theorem gives relationship between a line integral around a simple closed curve C and a double integral over the plane region $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ bounded by $C = \partial S$. In essence, we can determine what is happening at interior of S just by looking at its boundary ∂S , and vice versa. Additionally, the fundamental theorem of calculus only cares about information on the boundary.

5.5 Exact and closed vector field

Theorem. Fundamental Theorem of Calculus for Line Integrals says that a line integral through a gradient field can be evaluated by evaluating the original scalar field at the endpoints of the curve. If $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is a C^1 curve given by a parameterization $\gamma : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}^n$ and $F : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a vector field such that there exists a C^1 function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $F = \nabla f$ (i.e. exact vector field) then

$$\int_{C} F \cdot dx = f(\gamma(b)) - f(\gamma(a))$$

In particular, the integrali only depends on endpoints $\gamma(a)$ and $\gamma(b)$ of the curve C regardless of the path chosen.

Definition. Exact (gradient) vector field Any vector field $F : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying $F = \nabla f$ for some C^1 function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is called an exact vector field. The function f is sometimes referred to as a scalar potential.

Remark. We can find the scalar potential from F by integrating over partials... We can then easily compute line integral with exact vector field using the fundamental theorem of calculus for line integrals by just knowning the endpoints, without the need to parameterize the curve.

Definition. Conservative Vector Field If F is a continuous vector field on an open set $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ then F is a conservative vector field if any of the following equivalent condition is true

1. If C_1 and C_2 are any two oriented curves in U with the same endpoints, then

$$\int_{C_1} F \cdot dx = \int_{C_2} F \cdot dx$$

2. If C is a closed curve, then

$$\int_C F \cdot dx = 0$$

The notion of conservative vector field is from physics. In a system in which energy is conserved, only initial and terminal configuration of state determine energy transfer.

Theorem. Equivalence of exact & conservative vector field If $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is an open set, then a continuous vector field $F: S \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is conservative if and only if it is exact.

Definition. Closed Vector Field Any vector field $F : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying

$$\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial F_j}{\partial x_i} = 0, \qquad \forall i \neq j$$

which is equivalent to $\nabla \times F = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^3

- 1. In \mathbb{R}^3 , closed vector field are irrotational. This is true because the condition corresponds to components of the curl.
- 2. Conservative / exact vector field is closed.

$$F = \nabla f \quad \Rightarrow \quad \nabla \times F = 0$$

Proof. If $F = \nabla f$ then $F_i = \partial_i f$. Since mixed partials commute we have

$$\partial_i F_i = \partial_i \partial_i f = \partial_i \partial_i f = \partial_i F_i$$

hence F is closed by definition. This proof requires that F be C^1 . Hence the converse does not necessarily hold when F is not C^1 .

3. Closed vector field is locally exact.

Theorem. Poincare Lemma If $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is star-shaped and F is a closed vector field on U, then F is exact on U. i.e.

$$\nabla \times F = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad F = \nabla f$$

Remark. Introduce an alternative way to determine if a vector field is conservative.

Definition. A set $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is said to be **star-shaped** if there exists a point $a \in U$ such that for every point $x \in U$ the straight line connecting x to a is contained in U.

1. Every convex set is star shaped, converse need not be true.

Example. Lemniscate defined by $x^4 = x^2 + y^2$ can be parameterized by

$$\gamma: t \mapsto (\cos t, \sin t \cos t)$$

which has a 8 figure graph that is not smooth at (0,0)