

Lemma. 5: Farkas's Lemma For any $m \times n$ matrix A and $m \times 1$ vector b , exactly one of following is true

1. There exists $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $x \geq 0$ and $Ax = b$
2. There exists $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ such that $A^T y \leq 0$ and $b^T y > 0$

Lemma. 7: Farkas's Lemma variant For any $m \times n$ matrix A and $m \times 1$ vector b , exactly one of following is true

1. There exists $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $x \geq 0$ and $Ax \leq b$
2. There exists $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ such that $y \geq 0$, $A^T y \geq 0$ and $b^T y < 0$

Question 1: LP Theory

1. use lemma 5 prove lemma 7

Proof. Equivalent to proving $(7.1) \iff \neg(7.2)$

(a) (\Rightarrow) Assume (7.1) true, 2 cases

- i. Exists $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ such that $x \geq 0$ and $Ax = b$, then (5.1) is true and (5.2) is false. By contradiction, assume (7.2) is true, let $y' \in \mathbb{R}^m$ such that $y' \geq 0$, $A^T y' \geq 0$ and $b^T y' < 0$. Let $y = -y'$, therefore, there exists $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ such that $A^T y \leq 0$ and $b^T y > 0$, which is saying (5.2) is true, hence contradiction. Therefore (7.2) is false
- ii. Otherwise, exists $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ such that $x \geq 0$ and $Ax < b$, then (5.1) is false and (5.2) is true. Let $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ be any vector satisfying (5.2), we claim $y > 0$. By contradiction assume $y \leq 0$, then $b < 0$ since $b^T y > 0$ (5.2). Use $b^T y > 0$ again, $y \neq 0$, so $y < 0$. Therefore $Ax < b < 0$. Multiply $y < 0$ to both sides, we have

$$y^t(Ax) > 0 \rightarrow (A^T y)^T x > 0$$

Contradiction since $x \geq 0$ and $A^T y \leq 0$ (5.2). Therefore $y > 0$ for all y satisfying (5.2). Therefore, no $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ exists satisfying (5.2) for which $y \leq 0$, i.e.

$$\nexists y \in \mathbb{R}^m, y \leq 0 \quad A^T y \leq 0 \quad b^T y > 0$$

therefore

$$\nexists y \in \mathbb{R}^m, y \geq 0 \quad A^T y \geq 0 \quad b^T y < 0$$

which is equivalent to (7.2) false

- (b) (\Leftarrow) Idea is that given (7.2) false, we want to construct matrices \tilde{A} and \tilde{b} satisfying constraints for (7.2) as well as (5.2),

$$\tilde{A}_{m \times (m+n)} = \begin{pmatrix} -A & -I \end{pmatrix} \quad \tilde{b}_{m \times 1} = \begin{pmatrix} -b \end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore, (7.2) can be rewritten as,

$$\nexists y \in \mathbb{R}^m, y \geq 0 \quad A^T y \geq 0 \quad b^T y < 0 \rightarrow \nexists y \in \mathbb{R}^m, \tilde{A}^T y \leq 0 \quad \tilde{b}^T y > 0$$

where the latter formulation is simply saying (5.2) is false, therefore (5.1) is true, i.e.

$$\exists \tilde{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{m+n}, \tilde{x} \geq 0 \quad \tilde{A}\tilde{x} = \tilde{b}$$

let $\tilde{x} = (x, x')$ where $x \in \mathbb{R}^n, x' \in \mathbb{R}^m$, note $x, x' \geq 0$, also

$$(-A \quad -I) \begin{pmatrix} x \\ x' \end{pmatrix} = (-b) \iff -Ax - x' = -b$$

therefore $Ax = b - x' \leq b$ since $x' \geq 0$, therefore,

$$\exists x \in \mathbb{R}^n, x \geq 0 \quad Ax \leq b$$

hence (7.1) is true. □

2. Use lemma 7, prove for any $m \times n$ matrix A and $m \times 1$ matrix b such that $\{x : Ax \leq b, x \geq 0\} \neq \emptyset$, and any $n \times 1$ vector c , the system of inequalities

$$c^T x \geq 1 \quad Ax \leq b \quad x \geq 0$$

is infeasible if and only if there exists a $y \in \mathbb{R}^m, y \geq 0$, such that $A^T y \geq c$ and $b^T y < 1$

Proof. Define

$$\tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} A \\ -c^T \end{pmatrix} \quad \tilde{b} = \begin{pmatrix} b \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then proving the following suffices

$$\nexists x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tilde{A}x \leq \tilde{b} \quad x \geq 0 \iff \exists y \in \mathbb{R}^m, y \geq 0 \quad A^T y \geq c \quad b^T y < 1$$

(a) (\Leftarrow) let $\tilde{y} = (y \quad 1)$, then,

$$\exists \tilde{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1}, \tilde{y} \geq 0 \quad \tilde{A}^T \tilde{y} \geq 0 \quad \tilde{b}^T \tilde{y} < 0$$

lhs follows by Farkas's lemma.

(b) (\Rightarrow) Assume lhs true, by Farkas's lemma,

$$\exists \tilde{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1}, \tilde{y} \geq 0 \quad \tilde{A}^T \tilde{y} \geq 0 \quad \tilde{b}^T \tilde{y} < 0$$

Let $\tilde{y} = (y \quad a)$ satisfying the condition above. If $a \neq 0$, let $\tilde{y}' = \frac{1}{a}\tilde{y} = (\frac{1}{a}y \quad 1)$. Since $a > 0$,

$$\tilde{A}\tilde{y}' = \frac{1}{a}\tilde{A}\tilde{y} \geq 0 \quad \tilde{b}^T \tilde{y}' = \frac{1}{a}\tilde{b}^T \tilde{y} < 0$$

Since $\tilde{A}\tilde{y}' = A^T y - c$ and $\tilde{b}^T \tilde{y}' = b^T y - 1$, then $A^T y \geq c$ and $b^T y < 1$. This proves rhs true. Now we consider the case where $a = 0$. We claim $a = 0$ is not possible. Since if $a = 0$, then we note y in \tilde{y} satisfies $A^T y \geq 0$ and $b^T y \leq 0$

$$\exists y \in \mathbb{R}^m, y \geq 0 \quad A^T y \geq 0 \quad b^T y \leq 0 \xrightarrow{\text{Farkas}} \nexists x \in \mathbb{R}^n, x \geq 0 \quad Ax \leq b$$

which contradicts the assumption that $\{x : Ax \leq 0 \quad x \geq 0\} \neq \emptyset$ □

Question 2: Primal-Dual Algorithm Given directed $G = (V, E)$ where $w_e > 0$. Given $s, t \in V$ and a flow from s to t . weight of flow $w(f) = \sum_{e \in E} w_e f_e$. Objective to send one unit of flow from s to t so that weight of flow minimized

1. Primal

$$\begin{aligned}
& \min \sum_{e \in E} w_e f_e \\
& \text{st} \\
& \forall u \in V \setminus \{s, t\} \quad \sum_{(v,u) \in E} f_{vu} - \sum_{(u,v) \in E} f_{uv} = 0 \\
& \quad \sum_{(v,s) \in E} f_{vs} - \sum_{(s,v) \in E} f_{sv} = -1 \\
& \quad \sum_{(v,t) \in E} f_{vt} - \sum_{(t,v) \in E} f_{tv} = 1 \\
& \forall e \in E \quad f_e \geq 0
\end{aligned}$$

Dual is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \max \quad y_t - y_s \\
& \text{st} \\
& \forall (u, v) \in E \quad y_v - y_u \leq w_{uv}
\end{aligned}$$

2. Given feasible y , characterize when there exists feasible flow f such that y, f satisfies complementary slackness conditions

Feasible f, y are optimal if following complementary slackness condition holds

$$\forall (u, v) \in E \quad (w_{uv} + y_u - y_v) f_{uv} = 0$$

Therefore, f, y optimal if and only if there exists a flow f such that $f_{uv} \neq 0$ for any $w_{uv} + y_u - y_v = 0$, i.e. flow only operates on tight edges

3. Give a primal-dual algorithm which finds a pair of feasible solutions primal and dual linear programs

```

y ← 0
S ← {s}
while t ∉ S do
    δ ← min{wuv + yu - yv : u ∈ S ∧ v ∉ S ∧ (u, v) ∈ E}
    Q = {v ∉ S : (u, v) ∈ E ∧ u ∈ S ∧ wuv + (yu - δ) - yv = 0}
    for u ∈ S do
        yu ← yu - δ
    S ← S ∪ Q
p ← a path from s to t consists of edges in S
fe ←  $\begin{cases} 1 & e \in p \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ 
return (f, y)

```

We will prove the following points

- (a) y remain feasible in each iteration

Proof. For all $u \in V$, $y_u = 0$ before loop starts and hence is a feasible solution to the dual. At any iteration, assume y is feasible we prove that $y'_u = y_u - \delta$ ($u \in S$) $y'_u = 0$ ($u \notin S$) remains feasible. For any $(u, v) \in E$ such that $u, v \in S$, we have

$$w_{uv} + y'_u - y'_v = w_{uv} + y_u - \delta - y_v + \delta = w_{uv} + y_u - y_v \geq 0$$

For any $(u, v) \in E$ such that $u \in S$ and $v \notin S$, then

$$w_{uv} + y'_u - y'_v = w_{uv} + y_u - \delta - y_v = (w_{uv} + y_u - y_v) - \min\{w_{uv} + y_u - y_v : u \in S \wedge v \notin S \wedge (u, v) \in E\} \geq 0$$

For any $(u, v) \in E$ such that $u \notin S$, $v \in S$, then

$$w_{uv} + y'_u - y'_v = w_{uv} + y_u - y_v + \delta \geq \delta \geq 0$$

For any $(u, v) \in E$ such that $u, v \notin S$, $y'_u = y'_v = 0$ satisfies dual constraints. \square

- (b) complementary slackness is maintained, i.e. for all $e \in S$, $w_{uv} + y_u - y_v = 0$

Proof. Assume S consists of only 'tight' edges, we now prove that edges in S remains tight after updating y_u . Let $(u, v) \in S$, then $w_{uv} + y_u - y_v = 0$, updating $y'_u = y_u - \delta$ and $y'_v = y_v = \delta$, we have

$$w_{uv} + y'_u - y'_v = w_{uv} - \delta - (y_v - \delta) = w_{uv} + y_u - y_v = 0$$

Also note Q consists of edges that becomes 'tight' after updating $u \in S$ by $-\delta$. Therefore $S \cup Q$ contains 'tight' edges only \square

- (c) dual objective strictly increases in each iteration

Proof. Inside the loop $y'_t = y_t = 0$, and $y'_s = y_s - \delta$. Therefore

$$y'_t - y'_s = y_t - y_s + \delta$$

the dual increases by δ during each iteration \square

- (d) algorithm terminates

Proof. The loop terminates because in each iteration $|S|$ increases by $|Q| \geq 1$ since we always pick a δ that makes at least one edge $(u, v) \in E$, $u \in S$, $v \notin S$ 'tight'. The loop body runs in polynomial time, therefore the algorithm terminates in polynomial time \square

- (e) the algorithm is correct

Proof. The returned primal solution is a feasible solution in that it represent a flow that pushes one unit from s to t while satisfying conservation constraint. The corresponding dual solution y is also feasible and f, y satisfies the complementary slackness constraint and hence f, y are optimal solution. Note f is an integral solution \square

- (f) the algorithm has runtime of $O(nm)$

Proof. The loop runs at most n iterations. At each iteration of the for loop, finding δ and Q requires $O(m)$ and updating y requires $O(n)$, hence $O(m + n)$ for each iteration. In total, the algorithm terminates in $O(n(m + n))$ \square

Question 3: Simple Randomized Approximation Algorithm Given directed $G = (V, E)$ with $w_e \geq 0$ for all $e \in E$. Goal is to partition vertices into k disjoint sets V_1, \dots, V_k such that the objective is maximized

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^k \sum_{u,v:(u,v) \in E, u \in V_i, v \in V_j} w_{uv}$$

i.e. total weights of edges that go from V_i to V_j such that $i < j$. Give a randomized polynomial approximation algorithm that achieves approximation ratio of $\frac{k-1}{2k}$ in expectation. Justify approx ratio and running time

Proof. The algorithm works by assigning each $v \in V$ to V_1, \dots, V_k with uniform probability, i.e. $\frac{1}{k}$. We prove that such assignment guarantees a $\frac{k-1}{2k}$ -approximation algorithm. Let $X : V \rightarrow \{1, \dots, k\}$ be a random variable representing the random assignment of v to V_1, \dots, V_k . Note

$$\mathbb{P}(X_u < X_v) = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^k \mathbb{P}(X_u = i, X_v = j) = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^k \frac{1}{k^2} = \frac{k-1}{2k}$$

Let $Y_{uv} = \mathbb{1}_{u \in V_i, v \in V_j, i < j}$. Note

$$\mathbb{E}\{Y_{uv}\} = \mathbb{P}(X_u < X_v) = \frac{k-1}{2k}$$

We then derive expectation of objective as follows

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{ \sum_{(u,v) \in E} Y_{uv} w_{uv} \right\} = \sum_{(u,v) \in E} w_{uv} \mathbb{E}\{Y_{uv}\} = \frac{k-1}{2k} \sum_{(u,v) \in E} w_{uv} \geq \frac{k-1}{2k} \text{opt}$$

where last inequality follow from the fact that optimal assignment is upper bounded by the sum of weight of all edges. The upper bound on expectation of objective is opt by definition. Therefore, the algorithm is $\frac{k-1}{2k}$ -approximation algorithm. The algorithm has polynomial complexity $O(m)$, where $m = |E|$ since the algorithm simply samples uniformly from $\{1, \dots, k\}$. \square