

# In-class Exercises: Projection and Minimal Basis

1. Suppose we have these FDs:  $S = \{ABE \rightarrow CF, DF \rightarrow BD, C \rightarrow DF, E \rightarrow A, AF \rightarrow B\}$

Project the FDs onto:  $L = CDEF$

Attributes to take all subsets $X$ of:				Closure of the subset $X^+$	Functional dependencies inferred
C	D	E	F		

**Solution:**

C	D	E	F	closure	FDs
✓				$C^+ = CDFBD$	$C \rightarrow DF$
	✓			$D^+ = D$	nothing
		✓		$E^+ = EA$	nothing
			✓	$F^+ = F$	nothing
✓	✓			$CD^+ = CDFB$	nothing, since $CD \rightarrow DF$ is weaker than $C \rightarrow DF$ which we have already
✓		✓		$CE^+ = CEDFAB$	nothing, since $CE \rightarrow DF$ is weaker than $C \rightarrow DF$ which we have already
✓			✓	$CF^+ = CFDB$	nothing, since $CF \rightarrow D$ is weaker than $C \rightarrow DF$ which we have already
	✓	✓		$DE^+ = DEA$	nothing
	✓		✓	$DF^+ = DFB$	nothing
		✓	✓	$EF^+ = EFABCD$	$EF \rightarrow CD$
✓	✓	✓		$CDE^+ = CDEF$	nothing, since $CDE \rightarrow F$ is weaker than $C \rightarrow DF$ which we have already
✓	✓		✓	$CDF^+ = CDFB$	nothing
✓		✓	✓	since $EF$ is a key, supersets of $EF$ can only yield FDs that are weaker than ones we have.	
	✓	✓	✓	since $EF$ is a key, supersets of $EF$ can only yield FDs that are weaker than ones we have.	

Final answer: The projection of  $S$  onto  $L$  is  $C \rightarrow DF, EF \rightarrow CD$ .

2. Find a minimal basis for this set of FDs:  $S = \{ABF \rightarrow G, BC \rightarrow H, BCH \rightarrow EG, BE \rightarrow GH\}$ .

**Solution:**

**Step 1:** Split the RHSs to get our initial set of FDs,  $S_1$ :

- (a)  $ABF \rightarrow G$
- (b)  $BC \rightarrow H$
- (c)  $BCH \rightarrow E$
- (d)  $BCH \rightarrow G$
- (e)  $BE \rightarrow G$
- (f)  $BE \rightarrow H$ .

**Step 2:** For each FD, try to reduce the LHS:

- (a)  $A^+ = A, B^+ = B, F^+ = F$ . In fact, no singleton LHS yields anything.  $AB^+ = AB, AF^+ = AF$ , and  $BF^+ = BF$ , so none of them yields  $G$  either. We cannot reduce the LHS of this FD.
- (b) Since this FD has only two attributes on the LHS, and no singleton LHS yields anything, we cannot reduce the LHS of this FD.
- (c) Since no singleton LHS yields anything, we need only consider LHSs with two or more attributes. We only have three to begin with, so that leaves LHSs with two attributes.  $BC^+ = BCHEG$ . So we can reduce the LHS of this FD, yielding the new FD:  $BC \rightarrow E$ .
- (d) By the same argument, we can reduce this FD to:  $BC \rightarrow G$ .
- (e) Since no singleton LHS yields anything, we cannot reduce the LHS of this FD.
- (f) Since no singleton LHS yields anything, we cannot reduce the LHS of this FD.

Our new set of FDs, let's call it  $S_2$ , is

- (a)  $ABF \rightarrow G$
- (b)  $BC \rightarrow H$
- (c)  $BC \rightarrow E$
- (d)  $BC \rightarrow G$
- (e)  $BE \rightarrow G$
- (f)  $BE \rightarrow H$ .

**Step 3:** Try to eliminate each FD.

- (a)  $ABF_{S_2-(a)}^+ = ABF$ . We need this FD.
- (b)  $BC_{S_2-(b)}^+ = BCEGH$ . We can remove this FD.
- (c)  $BC_{S_2-\{(b),(c)\}}^+ = BCG$ . We need this FD.
- (d)  $BC_{S_2-\{(b),(d)\}}^+ = BCEGH$ . We can remove this FD.
- (e)  $BE_{S_2-\{(b),(d),(e)\}}^+ = BEH$ . We need this FD.
- (f)  $BE_{S_2-\{(b),(d),(f)\}}^+ = BEG$ . We need this FD.

Our final set of FDs is:

- (a)  $ABF \rightarrow G$
- (b)  $BC \rightarrow E$
- (c)  $BE \rightarrow G$
- (d)  $BE \rightarrow H$ .