

Homework #7 - STA414

Winter 2018

Instructions: Do not submit your work. This assignment is for your edification only – not for credit.

Question 1.

yeah expandable ...

Expanding the square in the squared exponential kernel, we have

write this as inner product of feature vectors

$$k(\mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{x}_n) = \exp(-\|\mathbf{x}_m - \mathbf{x}_n\|^2 / 2\sigma^2) = \exp(-\mathbf{x}_m^T \mathbf{x}_m / 2\sigma^2) \exp(-\mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{x}_n / 2\sigma^2) \exp(-2\mathbf{x}_m^T \mathbf{x}_n / 2\sigma^2)$$

By expanding one of the factors as a power series, show that the squared exponential kernel can be expressed as the inner product of an infinite-dimensional feature vector. (From Bishop p 321.)

expand the middle term as infinite sums, or polynomial with nonnegative coefficients
use property ii, and vi, and i to prove the constructed kernel is valid

Question 2.

We learned in class that kernels can be constructed from others. To be valid, the resulting kernel should be expressible as $\phi(\mathbf{x}_m)^T \phi(\mathbf{x}_n)$ or equivalently have a kernel matrix \mathbf{K} that is positive-definite. If $k_1(\mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{x}_n)$ and $k_2(\mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{x}_n)$ are valid kernels, show that the following constructed kernels are valid. (From Bishop p 320.)

- (i) $ck_1(\mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{x}_n)$, for constant $c > 0$ just try to prove $\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{K} \mathbf{x} \geq 0$
- (ii) $f(\mathbf{x}_m) k_1(\mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{x}_n) f(\mathbf{x}_n)$, for any function $f(\cdot)$
- (iii) $k_1(\mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{x}_n) + k_2(\mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{x}_n)$ straight from adding two $\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{K} \mathbf{x}$
- (iv) $k_1(\mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{x}_n) k_2(\mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{x}_n)$
- (v) $q(k_1(\mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{x}_n))$, for polynomial $q(\cdot)$ with nonnegative coefficients
- (vi) $\exp(k_1(\mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{x}_n))$

Question 3.

Using your results in Question 2, or otherwise, show that the kernel in Question 1 is a valid kernel.