Question 1. [12 MARKS]

Consider this schema for Twitter, a social media platform where users post messages called "tweets".

Consider this relational schema for Twitter data. Keys are underlined.

As this question considers relational algebra, assume all relations are sets containing no nulls.

User(<u>userID</u>, name, email)

A Twitter user.

Tweet(tweetID, userID, content, date)

The user with *userID* made a tweet con-

taining content on date.

Follows(a, b)

User a follows user b on Twitter, which

means that

a has subscribed to b's tweets.

Likes(who, what, when, stars)

User who liked tweet what on date when.

Rating is recorded in stars.

 $Tweet[userID] \subseteq User[userID]$

 $Follows[a] \subseteq User[userID]$

 $Follows[b] \subseteq User[userID]$

 $Likes[who] \subseteq User[userID]$

 $Likes[what] \subseteq Tweet[tweetID]$

Part (a) [2 MARKS]

Does the scheme enforce this constraint: a user cannot like the same tweet twice? Circle one:

Yes No.

If yes, explain; if not write a new constraint to enforce it. You cannot change any of the existing constraints, rather write a new constraint to enforce it:

Solution:

no

 $Likes1(who1, what1, when1) := \Pi_{who, what, when}(Likes)$ $Likes2(who2, what2, when2) := \Pi_{who, what, when}(Likes)$

 $Likes1 \bowtie_{who1=who2 \land what1=what2 \land when1 <> when2} Likes2 = \emptyset$

Student #:

Part (b) [2 MARKS]

Does the scheme enforce this constraint: You can only follow someone who has a tweet you like. Circle one:

Yes No.

If yes, explain; if not write a new constraint to enforce it:

Solution:

no

 $follow(a,b) \subseteq \pi_{who,userID}(likes \bowtie_{what=tweetID} tweets)$

Part (c) [2 MARKS]

Suppose relation *User* has 100 tuples. How many tuples could *Follows* have? Circle all that apply:

0 1 100 10,000 100,000

not last one because max 100 x 100

Solution:

all are possible except last (100,000)

Student #:

Part (d) [4 MARKS]

Which of the following pairs of queries are equivalent? Circle each pair that returns the same results on all database instances.

1. $\Pi_{userID}(Tweet \bowtie User) = \Pi_{userID}(Tweet)$

Solution: Yes

2. $User = User \bowtie User$

Solution: Yes

3. $\Pi_{name}(User) = \Pi_{name}(User \bowtie_{userID=who} Likes)$

Solution: No – right could have fewer

4. $\Pi_{when}(Likes) \cap \rho_{date \to when} \Pi_{date}(Tweet) = \Pi_{when}(Tweet \bowtie_{when=date} Likes)$

Solution: Yes

Part (e) [2 MARKS]

Which of the following queries can be expressed using the same form of relational algebra that we used in class and on Assignment 1, meaning: assignment, and the operators $\Pi, \sigma, \bowtie, \bowtie_{condition}, \times, \cap, \cup, -, \rho$? Circle all that apply.

1. Find the oldest Tweet(s). This means the Tweet(s) whose date is the oldest in the database instance.

Solution: Yes

2. Find all tweets that no one has liked.

Solution: Yes

3. Find all people who only like their own tweets.

Solution: Yes cannot count

4. Find people who have made the most tweets. If there is a tie, report them all.

Solution: No

5. The trust relation is defined as follows. If a follows b, then a trusts b. In addition, if b follows c and a trusts b then a trusts c. Find all users who the user 'Colonel Chris Hadfield' trusts.

Solution: No

Question 2. [8 MARKS]

Here is the schema from Assignment 1. A few attributes and relations have been omitted for simplicity.

Relations

 ${\bf Product}(\underline{{\bf DIN}},\,{\bf manufacturer},\,{\bf name},\,{\bf form},\,{\bf schedule})$

A tuple in this relation represents a drug product.

Price(DIN, price)

The price of a drug product.

Prescription(RxID, date, patient, drug, doctor)

A prescription for *drug* was written on *date* for *patient* by *doctor*. Attribute *patient* is the patient's OHIP number.

Filled(RxID, date, pharmacist)

Prescription RxID was filled by pharmacist on date.

Attribute *pharmacist* is the pharmacist's OCP number.

Integrity constraints

 $Price[DIN] \subseteq Product[DIN]$

 $Prescription[drug] \subseteq Product[DIN]$

 $Filled[RxID] \subseteq Prescription[RxID]$

 $\Pi_{schedule} Product \subseteq$

 $\{ "prescription", "narcotic", "OTC" \}$

Let's say a pharmacist has exhaustive experience if, for all drug products in the database, he or she has filled a prescription for it. Report the OCP number of every pharmacist with exhaustive experience.

Use only the basic operators $\Pi, \sigma, \bowtie, \times, \cap, \cup, -, \rho$, and assignment.

Solution:

 $Should(pharmacist, drug) := \Pi_{pharmacist}(Filled)\Pi_{DIN}(Product)$

 $NotAllDrugs := Should - \Pi_{pharmacist,drug}(Filled \bowtie Prescription)$

 $AllDrugs := \Pi_{pharmacist}(Filled) - \Pi_{pharmacist}(NotAllDrugs)$

Question 3. [6 MARKS]

Suppose we have implemented the Twitter schema from Question 1 in SQL, and the tables currently contain the following:

User:			Follows:	
userid	name	email	a l	b
	+	+	potus drizzy drizzy adele	drizzy rjm adele

•	rid content date	Likes: who what	when stars
15 ade	le Hello 2016-10-16	drizzy 15	2016-10-16 * 2016-10-18 ****
•	us 6 weeks 2016-10-11	3	2016-09-11 ****

Show the output of each of the following queries.

SELECT who SELECT userID, count(a)
FROM Likes, Tweet FROM User, Follows
WHERE userID = 'adele'; WHERE userID = b
GROUP BY userID;

SELECT count(*) AS num1, count(email) AS num2 SELECT userID
FROM User; FROM User NATURAL JOIN Tweet;

```
SELECT tweetID, what

FROM Tweet FULL JOIN Likes ON date = when;

EXCEPT

(SELECT when as date FROM Likes);
```

Solution:

Question 4. [4 MARKS]

Write a query to find the name of everyone with at least 2 followers each of whom (meaning each of these followers) has made at least one tweet. Ensure that your query would work on any instance of the database, not simply the one above.

Solution:

```
SELECT name
FROM User, Follows, Tweet
WHERE User.userID = b and a = Tweet.userID
GROUP BY User.userid
HAVING count(a) >= 2;
```