SQL: Data Definition Language

csc343, Introduction to Databases
Diane Horton
Fall 2017



Types

Table attributes have types

- When creating a table, you must define the type of each attribute.
- Analogous to declaring a variable's type in a program.
 Eg, "int num;" in Java or C.
- Some programming languages don't require type declarations. Eg, Python.
- Pros and cons?
- Why are type declarations required in SQL?



Built-in types

- CHAR(n): fixed-length string of n characters. Padded with blanks if necessary.
- VARCHAR(n): variable-length string of up to n characters.
- TEXT: variable-length, unlimited. Not in the SQL standard, but psql and others support it.
- INT = INTEGER
- FLOAT = REAL
- BOOLEAN
- DATE; TIME; TIMESTAMP (date plus time)



Values for these types

- Strings: 'Shakespeare''s Sonnets' Must surround with single quotes.
- INT: 37
- FLOAT: 1.49, 37.96e2
- BOOLEAN: TRUE, FALSE
- DATE: '2011-09-22'
- TIME: '15:00:02', '15:00:02.5'
- TIMESTAMP: 'Jan-12-2011 10:25'



And much more

- These are all defined in the SQL standard.
- There is much more, e.g.,
 - specifying the precision of numeric types
 - other formats for data values
 - more types
- For what psql supports, see chapter 8 of the documentation.



User-defined types

- Defined in terms of a built-in type.
- You make it more specific by defining constraints (and perhaps a default value).
- Example:

```
create domain Grade as int
  default null
  check (value>=0 and value <=100);
create domain Campus as varchar(4)
  default 'StG'
  check (value in ('StG', 'UTM', 'UTSC'));</pre>
```



Semantics of type constraints

- Constraints on a type are checked every time a value is assigned to an attribute of that type.
- You can use these to create a powerful type system.



Semantics of default values

- The default value for a type is used when no value has been specified.
- Useful! You can run a query and insert the resulting tuples into a relation -- even if the query does not give values for all attributes.
- Table attributes can also have default values.
- The difference:
 - attribute default: for that one attribute in that one table
 - type default: for every attribute defined to be of that type



Keys and Foreign Keys

Key constraints

- Declaring that a set of one or more attributes are the PRIMARY KEY for a relation means:
 - they form a key (unique and no subset is)
 - their values will never be null (you don't need to separately declare that)
- Big hint to the DBMS: optimize for searches by this set of attributes!
- Every table must have 0 or 1 primary key.
 - A table can have no primary key, but in practise, every table should have one. Why?
 - You cannot declare more than one primary key.



Declaring primary keys

• For a single-attribute key, can be part of the attribute definition.

```
create table Blah (
   ID integer primary key,
   name varchar(25));
```

• Or can be at the end of the table definition. (This is the only way for multi-attribute keys.) The brackets are required.

```
create table Blah (
   ID integer,
   name varchar(25),
   primary key (ID));
```



Uniqueness constraints

- Declaring that a set of one or more attributes is UNIQUE for a relation means:
 - they form a key (unique and no subset is)
 - their values can be null; if they mustn't, you need to separately declare that
- You can declare more than one set of attributes to be UNIQUE.



Declaring UNIQUE

 If only one attribute is involved, can be part of the attribute definition.

```
create table Blah (
   ID integer unique,
   name varchar(25));
```

Or can be at the end of the table definition.
 (This is the only way if multiple attributes are involved.) The brackets are required.

```
create table Blah (
   ID integer,
   name varchar(25),
   unique (ID));
```



We saw earlier how nulls affect "unique"

- For uniqueness constraints, no two nulls are considered equal.
- E.g., consider:

```
create table Testunique (
   first varchar(25),
   last varchar(25),
   unique(first, last))
```

 This would prevent two insertions of ('Diane', 'Horton')

But it would allow two insertions of

```
(null, 'Schoeler') potentially non-unique
```

This can't occur with a primary key. Why not?



Foreign key contraints

- Eg in table Took: foreign key (sID) references Student
- Means that attribute sID in this table is a foreign key that references the primary key of table Student.
 - Every value for sID in this table must actually occur in the Student table.
- Requirements:
 - Must be declared either primary key or unique in the "home" table.



Declaring foreign keys

- Again, declare with the attribute (only possible if just a single attribute is involved) or as a separate table element.
- Can reference attribute(s) that are not the primary key as long as they are unique; just name them.

```
create table People (
   SIN integer primary key,
   name text,
   OHIP text unique);
create table Volunteers (
   email text primary key,
   OHIPnum text references People(OHIP));
```



Enforcing foreign-key constraints

- Suppose there is a foreign-key constraint from relation R to relation S.
- When must the DBMS ensure that:
 - the referenced attributes are PRIMARY KEY or UNIQUE?
 - the values actually exist?
- What could cause a violation?
- You get to define what the DBMS should do.
- This is called specifying a "reaction policy."



Other Constraints and Assertions

"check" constraints

We've seen a check clause on a user-defined domain:

```
create domain Grade as smallint
  default null
  check (value>=0 and value <=100);</pre>
```

- You can also define a check constraint
 - on an attribute
 - on the tuples of a relation
 - across relations



Attribute-based "check" constraints

- Defined with a single attribute and constrain its value (in every tuple).
- Can only refer to that attribute.
- Can include a subquery.
- Example:

```
create table Student (
   sID integer,
   program varchar(5) check
      (program in (select post from P)),
   firstName varchar(15) not null, ...);
```

Condition can be anything that could go in a WHERE clause.



When they are checked

- Only when a tuple is inserted into that relation, or its value for that attribute is updated. to this table
- If a change somewhere else violates the constraint, the DBMS will not notice. E.g.,
 - If a student's program changes to something not in table P, we get an error.
 - But if table P drops a program that some student has, there is no error.



"not null" constraints

 You can declare that an attribute of a table is NOT NULL.

```
create table Course(
  cNum integer,
  name varchar(40) not null,
  dept Department,
  wr boolean,
  primary key (cNum, dept));
```

- In practise, many attributes should be not null.
- This is a very specific kind of attribute-based constraint.



Tuple-based "check" constraints

- Defined as a separate element of the table schema, so can refer to any attributes of the table.
- Again, condition can be anything that could go in a where clause, and can include a subquery.
- Example:

```
create table Student (
   sID integer,
   age integer, year integer,
   college varchar(4),
   check (year = age - 18),
   check college in
        (select name from Colleges));
```



When they are checked

- Only when a tuple is inserted into that relation, or updated.
- Again, if a change somewhere else violates the constraint, the DBMS will not notice.



How nulls affect "check" constraints

- A check constraint only fails if it evaluates to false.
- It is not picky like a WHERE condition.
- E.g.: check (age > 0)

age	Value of condition	CHECK outcome	WHERE outcome
19	TRUE	pass	pass
-5	FALSE	fail	fail
NULL	unknown	pass	fail



Example

Suppose you created this table:

```
create table Frequencies(
  word varchar(10),
  num integer,
  check (num > 5));
```

- It would allow you to insert ('hello', null)
 since null passes the constraint check (num > 5)
- If you need to prevent that, use a "not null" constraint.

```
create table Frequencies(
  word varchar(10),
  num integer not null,
  check (num > 5));
```



Naming your constraints

- If you name your constraint, you will get more helpful error messages.
- This can be done with any of the types of constraint we've seen.
- Add

```
constraint «name»
before the
check («condition»)
```



Examples

```
create domain Grade as smallint
 default null
 constraint gradeInRange
     check (value>=0 and value <=100));
create domain Campus as varchar(4)
  not null
  constraint validCampus
    check (value in ('StG', 'UTM', 'UTSC'));
create table Offering(...
 constraint validCourseReference
   foreign key (cNum, dept) references Course);
```



• Order of constraints doesn't matter, and doesn't dictate the order in which they're checked.



Assertions

- Check constraints apply to an attribute or table. They can't express constraints across tables, e.g.,
 - Every loan has at least one customer, who has an account with at least \$1,000.
 - For each branch, the sum of all loan amounts < the sum of all account balances.
- Assertions are schema elements at the top level, so can express cross-table constraints:

```
create assertion (<name>) check (<predicate>);
```



Powerful but costly

- SQL has a fairly powerful syntax for expressing the predicates, including quantification.
- Assertions are costly because
 - They have to be checked upon every database update (although a DBMS may be able to limit this).
 - Each check can be expensive.
- Testing and maintenance are also difficult.
- So assertions must be used with great care.



Triggers

- Assertions are powerful, but costly.
- Check constraints are less costly, but less powerful.
- Triggers are a compromise between these extremes:
 - They are cross-table constraints, as powerful as assertions.
 - But you control the cost by having control over when they are applied.



The basic idea

- You specify three things.
 - Event: Some type of database action, e.g., after delete on Courses or before update of grade on Took
 - Condition: A boolean-valued expression, e.g., when grade > 95
 - Action: Any SQL statements, e.g.,
 insert into Winners values (sID)



Reaction Policies

Example

- Suppose R = Took and S = Student.
- What sorts of action must simply be rejected?
- But a deletion or update with an sID that occurs in Took could be allowed ...



Possible policies

- cascade: propagate the change to the referring table
- set null: set the referring attribute(s) to null
- There are other options we won't cover.
 Many DBMSs don't support all of them.
- If you say nothing, the default is to forbid the change in the referred-to table.



Reaction policy example

- In the University schema, what should happen in these situations:
 - csc343 changes number to be 543
 - student 99132 is deleted
 - student 99132's grade in csc148 is raised to 85.
 - csc148 is deleted



Note the asymmetry

- Suppose table R refers to table S.
- You can define "fixes" that propogate changes backwards from S to R.
- (You define them in table R because it is the table that will be affected.)
- You cannot define fixes that propogate forward from R to S.



Syntax for specifying a reaction policy

- Add your reaction policy where you specify the foreign key constraint.
- Example:



What you can react to

- Your reaction policy can specify what to do either
 - on delete, i.e., when a deletion creates a dangling reference,
 - on update, i.e., when an update creates a dangling reference,
 - or both. Just put them one after the other. Example:
 - on delete restrict on update cascade



What your reaction can be

- Your policy can specify one of these reactions (there are others):
 - restrict: Don't allow the deletion/update.
 - cascade: Make the same deletion/update in the referring tuple.
 - set null: Set the corresponding value in the referring tuple to null.



Semantics of Deletion

• What if deleting one tuple violates a foreign key constraint, but deleting others does not?



Semantics of Deletion

- What if deleting one tuple affects the outcome for a tuple encountered later?
- To prevent such interactions, deletion proceeds in two stages:
 - Mark all tuples for which the WHERE condition is satisfied.
 - Go back and delete the marked tuples.



DDL Wrap-up

Updating the schema itself

Alter: alter a domain or table

```
alter table Course
  add column numSections integer;
alter table Course
  drop column breadth;
```

- Drop: remove a domain, table, or whole schema drop table course;
- How is that different from this?
 delete from course;
- If you drop a table that is referenced by another table, you must specify "cascade"
- This removes all referring rows.



There's more to DDL

- For example, you can also define:
 - indices: for making search faster (we'll discuss these later).
 - privileges: who can do what with what parts of the database
- See csc443.

