SC4001 Applied Cryptography Project Weiner's Attack and Shor's Algorithm

Adrian Alviento, Jim Sean

School of Computer Science and Engineering, SCSE Nanyang Technological University

November 24, 2023





Table of Contents

- ► Weiner's Attack (More Precise Bound)
- ► Shor's Algorithm





Weiner's Attack

Theorem 1 [1] [3]

▶ Let N = pq with $q . Let <math>d < \frac{1}{3}N^{1/4}$. Given $\langle N, e \rangle$ with $ed \equiv 1 \mod \phi(N)$, then d is the denominator of a convergent of the continued fraction expansion of $\frac{e}{n}$





Theorem 2 [2]

If the following conditions are satisfied:

- ▶ (i) q
- ▶ (ii) $0 < e < \phi(N)$
- \blacktriangleright (iii) $ed k\phi(N) = 1$
- (iv) $d \le \frac{1}{18^{\frac{1}{4}}} N^{\frac{1}{4}}$
- ightharpoonup $\Rightarrow rac{k}{d}$ is equals to a convergent of the continued fraction of $rac{e}{N}$





Proof

Recall:

$$kN - k\phi(N) = kN - k(p-1)(q-1) = kN - k(N-p-q+1) = k(p+q-1)$$
 - (1)

$$\left| \frac{e}{N} - \frac{k}{d} \right| = \left| \frac{k}{d} - \frac{e}{N} \right| < \left| \frac{kN - ed}{Nd} \right|$$

$$= \left| \frac{kN - k\phi(N) - ed + k\phi(N)}{Nd} \right|$$

$$= \left| \frac{k(p + q - 1) - 1}{Nd} \right| \text{ (By 1)}$$

$$< \frac{k(p + q)}{Nd}$$



$$\Rightarrow \left|\frac{e}{N} - \frac{k}{d}\right| < \frac{k(p+q)}{Nd}$$



Proof Cont'd

Since
$$ed - k\phi(N) = 1$$
 and $e < \phi(N)$

$$1 = ed - k\phi(N) < (d - k)\phi(N)$$
$$0 < (d - k)\phi(N)$$
$$k < d$$

$$\Rightarrow \left| \frac{e}{N} - \frac{k}{d} \right| < \frac{k(p+q)}{Nd} < \frac{p+q}{N} - (2)$$



Proof Cont'd

It follows from $q that <math>1 < \sqrt{\frac{p}{q}} < \sqrt{2}$ and since $f(x) = x + \frac{1}{x}$ is increasing on $[1, +\infty)$,

$$\frac{p+q}{\sqrt{N}} = \frac{p}{\sqrt{pq}} + \frac{q}{\sqrt{pq}} = \sqrt{\frac{p}{q}} + \sqrt{\frac{q}{p}}$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{p}{q}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{p}{q}}} = f(\sqrt{\frac{p}{q}})$$

$$< f(\sqrt{2})$$

$$= \sqrt{2} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$$= \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$$\Rightarrow p+q < \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{N} - (3)$$





Proof Cont'd

Combining (2) and (3), we have $\left|\frac{e}{N} - \frac{k}{d}\right| < \frac{p+q}{N} < \frac{\frac{3}{\sqrt{2}}N^{\frac{1}{2}}}{N} = \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}N^{\frac{1}{2}}}$ Since $d < \frac{1}{18^{\frac{1}{4}}}N^{\frac{1}{4}}$, $\frac{1}{2d^2} > \frac{1}{2(\frac{1}{18^{\frac{1}{4}}}N^{\frac{1}{2}})^2} = \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}N^{\frac{1}{2}}}$, we have

- $|\frac{e}{N} \frac{k}{d}| < \frac{1}{2d^2}$
- ▶ By Legendre's theorem, $\frac{k}{d}$ is a rational number amongst the continued fraction's convergent of $\frac{e}{N}$





Wiener's Attack Pseudocode

- ► Get convergents of continued fraction $\frac{e}{N}$ O(log N)
- ► For each convergents d', if $(M^e)^{d'} \equiv M(modN)$, then d' = d and we terminate O(c), for some constant c
- ightharpoonup \Rightarrow Wiener's Attack is O(logN)





Proof

Getting the convergents is based on the euclidean's algorithm

- ightharpoonup e = kN + r
- \triangleright append k to an array
- $ightharpoonup e \leftarrow N \text{ and } N \leftarrow r$
- ightharpoonup Repeat until N == 0
- ► This is simply Euclidean's Algorithm
- ▶ ⇒ The time complexity is therefore proportional to the number of steps required to reduce N to 0 O(logN)





Proof Cont'd

- Assume the Euclidean Algorithm for gcd(a, b) reduces in X steps $\Rightarrow a \geq f_{X+2}, \ b \geq f_{X+1}$ and $a \geq b$
- ▶ Base Case: For $a == 2 == f_3$ and $b == 1 == f_2$, then gcd(a, b) reduces in X = 1 step with required conditions
- Inductive Step: Assume statement holds true up to $(X-1)^{th}$ step $\Rightarrow gcd(b, a\%b)$ reduces in (X-1) steps and $b \ge f_{X+1}$, $a\%b \ge f_X$
- We know $a == \lfloor \frac{a}{b} \rfloor b + a\%b$, and since $\frac{a}{b} \geq 1$, $a \geq b + (a\%b)$ $\Rightarrow a \geq f_{X+1} + f_X == f_{X+2}$
- ► Thus, gcd(a, b) reduces in X steps, with $a \ge f_{X+2}$ and $b \ge f_{X+1}$ as required





Proof Cont'd

- Now, we know that the number of steps to reduce gcd(a, b) is X steps
- We know that $f_X == \frac{(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2})^X (\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2})^X}{\sqrt{5}} \approx \Phi^X$, where $\Phi \approx 1.618$ is the golden ratio
- ▶ Since a > b, $f_X \approx f_{X+1} \approx b$
- $ightharpoonup X pprox log_{\Phi} b$
- So, gcd(N, e) reduces in O(log(e)) = O(log(N)) since $e \approx \frac{k\phi(N)}{d} \approx \frac{k}{\frac{1}{3}N^{1/4}}\phi(N) \approx N^{\frac{3}{4}}$





Contribution

- ► Wiener's Attack Adrian Alviento
- ► Shor's Algorithm Jim Sean





References I

- [1] D. Boneh and G. Durfee. "Cryptanalysis of RSA with Private Key d Less than N0.292". In: *Advances in Cryptology EUROCRYPT '99*. Ed. by J. Stern. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer, 1999, pp. 1–11. DOI: 10.1007/3-540-48910-X_1.
- [2] W. Susilo, J. Tonien, and G. Yang. "The Wiener Attack on RSA Revisited: A Quest for the Exact Bound". In: *Information Security and Privacy*. Ed. by J. Jang-Jaccard and F. Guo. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2019, pp. 381–398. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-030-21548-4_21.



References II

[3] M. J. Wiener. "Cryptanalysis of Short RSA Secret Exponents". In: *Advances in Cryptology* — *EUROCRYPT* '89. Ed. by J.-J. Quisquater and J. Vandewalle. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer, 1990, pp. 372–372. DOI: 10.1007/3-540-46885-4_36.

