Homework 7: Hypothesis Testing

Please complete this notebook by filling in the cells provided. When you're done, follow the instructions in <u>this short explainer video (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gMt_Rq43y_4&ab_channel=FahadKamran)</u> to submit your homework.

If you cannot submit online, come to office hours for assistance. The office hours schedule appears on data8.org/fa16/weekly.html (http://data8.org/fa16/weekly.html).

This assignment is due Thursday, October 27 at 7PM (note the new, later time!). You will receive an early submission bonus point if you turn it in by Wednesday, October 26 at 7PM. Directly sharing answers is not okay, but discussing problems with course staff or with other students is encouraged.

Reading:

• Textbook chapter 10 (https://www.inferentialthinking.com/chapters/10/testing-hypotheses.html) and chapter 11 (https://www.inferentialthinking.com/chapters/11/estimation.html)

Run the cell below to prepare the notebook. There aren't any automated tests this time! Look for ways to check whether your answers are reasonable.

```
In [ ]: # Run this cell to set up the notebook, but please don't change it.
import numpy as np
from datascience import *

# These lines do some fancy plotting magic.
import matplotlib
%matplotlib inline
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
plt.style.use('fivethirtyeight')
import warnings
warnings.simplefilter('ignore', FutureWarning)
```

Hypothesis Testing Review

Games with Gary

Our friend Gary comes over and asks us to play a game with him. The game works like this:

We will flip a fair coin 10 times, and if the number of heads is greater than or equal to 5, we win!

Otherwise, Gary wins.

We play the game once and we lose, observing 3 heads. We think the coin was rigged against us! Gary is adamant, however, that the coin was fair.

Question 1

Suppose you'd like to perform an hypothesis test in this scenario. State the null and alternative hypotheses you would test.

Null:

Alternative:

Question 2

Define the function coin_test_statistic, which, given an array of any length containing the strings 'Heads' and 'Tails', returns an appropriate test statistic for this hypothesis test.

We have created the function which simulates under the null hypothesis for you below. Examine the code and make sure you know exactly what it does.

```
In [7]: # Run this code and know what it does. It may be helpful to
    # write a docstring that documents the function.

def simulate_coin_under_null():
    statistics = make_array()
    for i in range(10000):
        sample = np.random.choice(make_array('Heads', 'Tails'), 10)
        statistic = coin_test_statistic(sample)
        statistics = np.append(statistics, statistic)
    return statistics
    simulated_coin_statistics = simulate_coin_under_null()
    simulated_coin_statistics
```

Find the p-value of our observation without using a histogram. Think about how you can use the variable simulated coin statistics defined in the code cell above.

```
In [ ]: coin_p_val = ...
coin_p_val
```

Gary asks us to play another game. This time, the game involves rolling a die. After playing 100 rounds of the game, we begin to suspect Gary's die isn't fair. Maybe we shouldn't play games with Gary anymore...

We would like to use hypothesis testing to decide whether or not Gary's die is fair.

Question 4

State the null and alternate hypotheses for this inference problem.

Null:

Alternative:

The table rolls contains the 100 die-roll outcomes from our games with Gary.

```
In [9]: rolls = Table.read_table('rolls.csv')
rolls
```

Question 5

Compute the proportion of each value for the die in the sample. Your output should be a table called observed proportions with two columns:

- value: The value of the die (1 through 6).
- proportion: The proportion of rolls in rolls that have that value.

Define a test statistic that you can use to determine whether or not the observed die is fair. The function should take as an argument an array of observed proportions, and it should return a single number representing the test statistic.

Hint: We want to compare the observed distribution of die values to the expected distribution of values.

The function below simulates under the null hypothesis.

```
In [17]: # Run this code and know what it does.
def simulate_die_under_null():
    statistics = make_array()
    for i in range(5000):
        num_rolls = 100
        rolls = Table().with_column("Face",
        np.random.choice(np.arange(1, 6+1), num_rolls))
            proportions = rolls.group("Face").sort("Face").column("count") /
        num_rolls
            statistic = die_test_statistic(proportions)
            statistics = np.append(statistics, statistic)
        return statistics
        simulated_die_statistics = simulate_die_under_null()
        simulated_die_statistics
```

Question 7

Find the p-value of our observation without using a histogram. Think about how you can use the variable simulated_die defined in the code cell above.

Hint: Our observed test statistic is represented by the variable called observed test statistic.

```
In [ ]: die_p_val = ...
die_p_val
```

2. Plot the Vote

Four candidates are running for President of Dataland. A polling company surveys 1000 people selected uniformly at random from among voters in Dataland, and it asks each one who they are planning on voting for. After compiling the results, the polling company releases the following proportions from their sample:

Candidate	Proportion
Candidate C	0.47
Candidate T	0.38
Candidate J	0.08
Candidate S	0.03
Undecided	0.04

These proportions represent a sample of the population of Dataland. We will attempt to estimate the corresponding *population parameters* - the proportions of each kind of voter in the entire population. We will use confidence intervals to compute a range of values that reflects the uncertainty of our estimate.

The table voters contains the results of the survey. Candidates are represented by their initials. Undecided voters are denoted by U.

Below, we have give you code that will use bootstrapped samples to compute estimates of the true proportion of voters who are planning on voting for **Candidate C**.

```
In [8]: def proportions_in_resamples():
    statistics = make_array()
    for i in np.arange(5000):
        bootstrap = votes.sample()
        sample_statistic = np.count_nonzero(bootstrap.column('vote') ==
    'C')/num_votes
        statistics = np.append(statistics, sample_statistic)
    return statistics

sampled_proportions = proportions_in_resamples()
Table().with_column('Estimated Proportion', sampled_proportions).hist(bi ns=np.arange(0.2,0.6,0.01))
```

Question 1. Using the array sampled_proportions, compute an approximate 95% confidence interval for the true proportions of voters planning on voting for candidate C. (Compute the lower and upper ends of the interval, named lower_bound and upper_bound, respectively.)

```
In [ ]: lower_bound = ...
    upper_bound = ...
    print("Bootstrapped 95% confidence interval for the proportion of C vote
    rs in the population: [{:f}, {:f}]".format(lower_bound, upper_bound))
```

Question 2. The survey results seem to indicate that Candidate C is beating Candidate T among voters. We would like to use CI's to determine a range of likely values for her true *lead*. Candidate C's lead over Candidate T is:

Candidate C's proportion of the vote – Candidate T's proportion of the vote.

Using the function proportions_in_resamples above as a model, use the bootstrap to compute an approximate distribution for Candidate C's lead over Candidate T. Plot a histogram of the the resulting samples.

```
In []: bins = bins=np.arange(-0.2,0.2,0.01)

def leads_in_resamples():
    statistics = make_array()
    ...
    return statistics

sampled_leads = leads_in_resamples()
    ...

In [15]: lower_bound = ...
    upper_bound = ...
    print("Bootstrapped 95% confidence interval for Candidate C's true lead
```

over Candidate T: [{:f}, {:f}]".format(lower_bound, upper_bound))

3. Interpreting Confidence Intervals

The staff computed the following 95% confidence interval for the proportion of Candidate C voters: [.439, .5]

(Your answer might have been different; that doesn't mean it was wrong.)

Question 1

Can we say that 95% of the population lies in the range [.439, .5]? Explain your answer.

Answer:

Can we say that there is a 95% probability that the interval [.439, .5] contains the true proportion of the population who is voting for Candidate C? Explain your answer.

Answer:

Question 3

Suppose we produced 10,000 new samples (each one a uniform random sample of 1,000 voters) and created a 95% confidence interval from each one. Roughly how many of those 10,000 intervals do you expect will actually contain the true proportion of the population?

Answer:

Question 4

The staff also created 80%, 90%, and 99% confidence intervals from one sample, but we forgot to label which confidence interval represented which percentages! Match the interval to the percent of confidence the interval represents. (Write the percentage after each interval below.) **Then**, explain your thought process.

Answers:

[.444, .495]:

[.45, .49]:

[.43, .511]:

Recall the second bootstrap confidence interval you created, estimating Candidate C's lead over Candidate T. Among voters in the sample, her lead was .09. The staff's 95% confidence interval for her true lead (in the population of all voters) was

[.032, .15].

Suppose we are interested in testing a simple yes-or-no question:

"Are the candidates tied?"

Our null hypothesis is that the proportions are equal, or, equivalently, that Candidate C's lead is exactly 0. Our alternative hypothesis is that her lead is not equal to 0. In the questions below, don't compute any confidence interval yourself - use only the staff's 95% confidence interval.

Question: Say we use a 5% P-value cutoff. Do we reject the null, fail to reject the null, or are we unable to tell using our confidence interval?

Answer:

Question 6

What if, instead, we use a P-value cutoff of 1%? Do we reject the null, fail to reject the null, or are we unable to tell using our confidence interval?

Answer:

Question 7

What if we use a P-value cutoff of 10%? Do we reject, fail to reject, or are we unable to tell using our confidence interval?

Answer: