## Computer Networks - LEIC-T



https://forms.gle/pewNjDmtceiJ5ibH8
Open link to sign in!

Prof. Luis Pedrosa

### Last Class


- What is the Internet?
- Network edge
  - End systems, access networks, links
- Network core
  - packet switching, circuit switching
- Textbook sections 1.1 1.3

## Roadmap


- Network core
  - packet switching, circuit switching, <u>network</u> <u>structure</u>
- Delay, loss, throughput in networks
- Protocol layers, service models
- Networks under attack: security
- Textbook sections 1.3 1.6

- End systems connect to Internet via access ISPs (Internet Service Providers)
  - residential, company and university ISPs
- Access ISPs in turn must be interconnected.
  - so that any two hosts can send packets to each other
- Resulting network of networks is very complex
  - evolution was driven by economics and national policies
- Let's take a stepwise approach to describe current Internet structure


*Question:* given *millions* of access ISPs, how to connect them together?




Option: connect each access ISP to every other access ISP?




Option: connect each access ISP to one global transit ISP? Customer and provider ISPs have economic agreement.




But if one global ISP is viable business, there will be competitors ....




But if one global ISP is viable business, there will be competitors .... which must be interconnected




... and regional networks may arise to connect access nets to ISPs



... and content provider networks (e.g., Google, Microsoft, Akamai) may run their own network, to bring services, content close to end users





- at center: small # of well-connected large networks
  - "tier-1" commercial ISPs (e.g., Level 3, Sprint, AT&T, NTT), national & international coverage
  - content provider network (e.g., Google): private network that connects it data centers to Internet, often bypassing tier-1, regional 12 ISPs


# Roadmap

- Network core
  - packet switching, circuit switching, network structure
- Delay, loss, throughput in networks
- Protocol layers, service models
- Networks under attack: security


## How do loss and delay occur?

### packets *queue* in router buffers

- packet arrival rate to link (temporarily) exceeds output link capacity
- packets queue, wait for turn



### Four sources of packet delay




### $d_{proc}$ : nodal processing

- check bit errors
- determine output link
- typically < msec</li>

### $d_{\text{queue}}$ : queueing delay

- time waiting at output link for transmission
- depends on congestion level of router

## Four sources of packet delay



#### $d_{trans}$ : transmission delay:


- L: packet length (bits)
- R: link bandwidth (bps)
- $d_{trans} = L/R$

 $d_{\text{trans}}$  and  $d_{\text{prop}} - d_{\text{prop}} = d/s$  very different

### $d_{prop}$ : propagation delay:

- d: length of physical link
- s: propagation speed (~2x10<sup>8</sup> m/sec)


# Caravan analogy



- cars "propagate" at 100 km/hr
- toll booth takes 12 sec to service car (bit transmission time)
- car ~ bit; caravan ~ packet
- Q: How long until caravan is lined up before 2nd toll booth?

- time to "push" entire caravan through toll booth onto highway = 12\*10 = 120 sec
- time for last car to propagate from 1st to 2nd toll both: 100km/(100km/hr)= 1 hr
- A: 62 minutes


# Caravan analogy (more)



- suppose cars now "propagate" at 1000 km/hr
- and suppose toll booth now takes one min to service a car
- Q: Will cars arrive to 2nd booth before all cars serviced at first booth?
  - <u>A: Yes!</u> after 7 min, first car arrives at second booth; three cars still at first booth

## Queueing delay (revisited)

- R: link bandwidth (bps)
- L: packet length (bits)
- a: average packet arrival rate




- La/ $R \sim 0$ : avg. queueing delay small
- La/R -> 1: avg. queueing delay large
- La/R > 1: more "work" arriving than can be serviced, average delay infinite!



### "Real" Internet delays and routes

- what do "real" Internet delay & loss look like?
- traceroute program: provides delay measurement from source to router along endend Internet path towards destination. For all i:
  - sends three packets that will reach router i on path towards destination
  - router i will return packets to sender
  - sender times interval between transmission and reply.



### "Real" Internet delays, routes


traceroute: gaia.cs.umass.edu to www.eurecom.fr

```
3 delay measurements from
                                                    gaia.cs.umass.edu to cs-gw.cs.umass.edu
1 cs-gw (128.119.240.254) 1 ms 1 ms 2 ms
2 border1-rt-fa5-1-0.gw.umass.edu (128.119.3.145) 1 ms 1 ms 2 ms
3 cht-vbns.gw.umasš.edu (128.119.3.130) 6 ms 5 ms 5 ms
4 jn1-at1-0-0-19.wor.vbns.net (204.147.132.129) 16 ms 11 ms 13 ms 5 jn1-so7-0-0.wae.vbns.net (204.147.136.136) 21 ms 18 ms 18 ms
6 abilene-vbns.abilene.ucaid.edu (198.32.11.9) 22 ms 18 ms 22 ms
  nycm-wash.abilene.ucaid.edu (198.32.8.46) 22 ms 22 ms 3
                                                                                     trans-oceanic
8 62.40.103.253 (62.40.103.253) 104 ms 109 ms 106 ms 4 9 de2-1.de1.de.geant.net (62.40.96.129) 109 ms 102 ms 104 ms 10 de.fr1.fr.geant.net (62.40.96.50) 113 ms 121 ms 114 ms
                                                                                      link
11 renater-gw.fr1.fr.geant.net (62.40.103.54) 112 ms 114 ms 112 ms 12 nio-n2.cssi.renater.fr (193.51.206.13) 111 ms 114 ms 116 ms
13 nice.cssi.renater.fr (195.220.98.102) 123 ms 125 ms 124 ms
14 r3t2-nice.cssi.renatèr.fr (195.220.98.110) 126 ms 126 ms 124 ms
15 eurecom-valbonne.r3t2.ft.net (193.48.50.54) 135 ms 128 ms 133 ms 16 194.214.211.25 (194.214.211.25) 126 ms 128 ms 126 ms
17 * * *
                         * means no response (probe lost, router not replying)
19 fantasia.eurecom.fr (193.55.113.142) 132 ms 128 ms 136 ms
```

<sup>\*</sup> Do some traceroutes from exotic countries at www.traceroute.org


# Packet loss

- queue (aka buffer) preceding link in buffer has finite capacity
- packet arriving to full queue dropped (aka lost)
- lost packet may be retransmitted by previous node, by source end system, or not at all




# **Throughput**

- throughput: rate (bits/time unit) at which bits transferred between sender/receiver
  - instantaneous: rate at given point in time
  - average: rate over longer period of time




# Throughput (more)

•  $R_s < R_c$  What is average end-end throughput?



•  $R_s > R_c$  What is average end-end throughput?




#### bottleneck link

link on end-end path that constrains end-end throughput

### Throughput: Internet scenario

- per-connection end-end throughput: min(R<sub>c</sub>,R<sub>s</sub>,R/10)
- in practice:  $R_c$  or  $R_s$  is often bottleneck



10 connections (fairly) share backbone bottleneck link *R* bits/sec

## Roadmap

- Network core
  - packet switching, circuit switching, network structure
- Delay, loss, throughput in networks
- Protocol layers, service models
- Networks under attack: security

# Protocol "layers"

Networks are complex, with many "pieces":

- hosts
- routers
- links of various media
- applications
- protocols
- hardware, software

### Question:\_

is there any hope of organizing structure of network?

.... or at least our discussion of networks?

## Organization of air travel

ticket (purchase) ticket (complain)

baggage (check) baggage (claim)

gates (load) gates (unload)


runway takeoff runway landing

airplane routing airplane routing

airplane routing

a series of steps

### Layering of airline functionality



### layers: each layer implements a service

- via its own internal-layer actions
- relying on services provided by layer below


# Why layering?

### dealing with complex systems:

- explicit structure allows identification, relationship of complex system's pieces
  - layered reference model for discussion
- modularization eases maintenance, updating of system
  - change of implementation of layer's service transparent to rest of system
  - e.g., change in gate procedure doesn't affect rest of system

# Internet protocol stack


- application: supporting network applications
  - FTP, SMTP, HTTP
- transport: process-process data transfer
  - TCP, UDP
- network: routing of datagrams from source to destination
  - IP, routing protocols
- link: data transfer between neighboring network elements
  - Ethernet, 802.11 (WiFi), PPP
- physical: bits "on the wire"



### ISO/OSI reference model

- presentation: allow applications to interpret meaning of data, e.g., encryption, compression, machine-specific conventions
- session: synchronization, checkpointing, recovery of data exchange
- Internet stack "missing" these layers!
  - these services, *if needed,* must be implemented in application

application presentation session transport network link physical



## The End-to-End Argument

- "Functions placed at low levels of a system may be redundant or of little value when compared with the cost of providing them at that low level"
- Implement functionality at endpoints
  - Unless necessary to meet specification
- Applies to:
  - Reliability (re-transmission, de-duping, etc.)
  - Encryption
  - SPAM filtering
  - Etc.

# Roadmap

- Network core
  - packet switching, circuit switching, network structure
- Delay, loss, throughput in networks
- Protocol layers, service models
- Networks under attack: security

# Network security

- field of network security:
  - how bad guys can attack computer networks
  - how we can defend networks against attacks
  - how to design architectures that are immune to attacks
- Internet not originally designed with (much) security in mind
  - *original vision:* "a group of mutually trusting users attached to a transparent network" ©
  - Internet protocol designers playing "catch-up"
  - security considerations in all layers!


### Bad guys: put malware into hosts via Internet

- malware can get in host from:
  - virus: self-replicating infection by receiving/executing object (e.g., e-mail attachment)
  - worm: self-replicating infection by passively receiving object that gets itself executed
- spyware malware can record keystrokes, web sites visited, upload info to collection site
- infected host can be enrolled in botnet, used for spam. DDoS attacks
- Ransomware can hold infrastructure/data for ransom

#### Bad guys: attack server, network infrastructure

Denial of Service (DoS): attackers make resources (server, bandwidth) unavailable to legitimate traffic by overwhelming resource with bogus traffic


- select target
- 2. break into hosts around the network (see botnet)
- 3. send packets to target from compromised hosts



# Bad guys can sniff packets

### packet "sniffing":


- broadcast media (shared Ethernet, wireless)
- promiscuous network interface reads/records all packets (e.g., including passwords!) passing by



 wireshark software used in labs is a (free) packetsniffer

### Bad guys can use fake addresses

IP spoofing: send packet with false source address



... lots more on security (throughout, Chapter 8)

### Next week

- History
- Application Layer

## Don't Forget to Sign In!



https://forms.gle/pewNjDmtceiJ5ibH8
Open link to sign in!