Basel I

- 1988 credit risk
- 1996 market risk, backtest
- Capital requirements
 - Capital / asset > = 5%
 - Capital / risk-weighted asset >= 8% (Cooke ratio)
- Credit Risk RWA

Figure 1: Risk Weights for On-Balance Sheet Items

| Risk Weight (%) | Asset Category | | |
|-----------------|--|--|--|
| 0% | Cash, gold, claims on Organisation of Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) countries such as U.S. Treasury bonds and insured residential mortgages | | |
| 20% | Claims on OECD banks and government agencies like U.S. agency securities or municipal bonds | | |
| 50% | Uninsured residential mortgages | | |
| 100% | Loans to corporations, corporate bonds, claims on non-OECD banks | | |

- On-balance sheet: principle
- Off-balance sheet cash equivalent amount
 - Non-derivative
 - CEA = conversion factor × principal
 - loan: 100%, other
 - o derivative
 - CEA = $max(current vlaue, 0) + add on factor \times principal$
 - Weight
 - Similar to on-balance sheet except 50% for corporation
- RWA
 - $\circ \quad \text{RWA} = \sum_{i} w_i \times L_i + \sum_{i} w_i \times C_i$
- Capital
 - o Tier 1 (core)
 - Equity (no good will)
 - Non-cumulative perpetual preferred stock
 - Tier 2 (supplementary)
 - cumulative perpetual preferred stock
 - subordinated debt with original maturity larger than five years (to depositors)
 - certain types of 99-year debentures
- Capital/RWA requirements
 - o 2% Tier1 Equity capital
 - o 4% Tier1 capital
 - o 8% Total capital
- Market Risk 1996 Amendment
 - Valuation
 - Trading book market to market
 - Banking book fair value
 - Standardized measurement model

- A capital charge **separately**, ignore correlation, less sophisticated
- Internal Model-Based consider correlation
 - VaR -> capital (lower due to diversification), advanced
 - VaR: board market variables: interest, exchange, commodity, stock
 - No company-specific, no credit spread, or change in price
 - SRC (specific risk charge)
 - Bond has market (VaR) and credit risk (SRC)
- o Tier 3 remove in Basel III
 - Short-term subordinated, unsecured debt with original maturity >= 2
 vears
- Capital
 - 10-trading day horizon 99%
 - Capital = $max(VaR_{t-1}, m_c \times VaR_{avg}) + SRC$
 - $m_c \ge 3$, set by supervisors
 - VaR_{avg} is the average over past 60 trading day
 - The total capital requirement for banks using the internal modelbased approach must be at least 50% of the capital required using the standardized approach.
- Market RWA = 12.5 × market Capital
- Total risk
 - o total capital = 0.08 × (credit RWA + market RWA)
 - \bullet = 0.08 × credit RWA + market capital
 - credit RWA = on balance RWA + off balance RWA
 - \circ Market RWA = 12.5 × market Capital
- Backtesting
 - One-day 99% VaR
 - o Multiplier
 - ><=4: 3</p>
 - **5**: 3.4, 6: 3.5, 7: 3.65, 8: 3.75, 9: 3.85
 - **■** >=10: 4

Basel II

- Credit risk
 - Standardized credit rating, collateral adjustment
- Credit Risk Standardized approach
 - weight
 - Risk-weight similar to Basel I
 - Credit rating of countries, banks, corporations
 - Risk weight for unrated < poor rated
 - Collateral
 - Simple
 - Collateral: use collateral weight (>=20%)
 - Principle-collateral: counterparty weight
 - Comprehensive
 - Exposure: principle * upward collateral * downward
 - Weight: counterparty weight
- Credit Risk internal rating based (IRB)

- o One year 99.9%
- $\text{o} \quad \text{WCDR} = N \left[\frac{N^{-1}(PD) + \sqrt{\rho} \ N^{-1}(0.999)}{\sqrt{1 \rho}} \right]$
- $\circ \quad \text{VaR}_{99.9\%, 1-\text{year}} \cong \sum EAD_i \times LGD_i \times WCDR_i$
- \circ EL = $\sum EAD_i \times LGD_i \times PD_i$
- o bank
 - Required capital = $\sum EAD_i \times LGD_i \times (WCDR_i PD_i)$
- Correlation
 - $\rho = 0.12 \times (1 + e^{-50 \times PD})$, inverse relationship
- o counterparty perspective
 - Required capital = $\sum EAD_i \times LGD_i \times (WCDR_i PD_i) \times MA$
 - Maturity adjustment
- Credit Risk Foundation internal rating based
- (IRB) 只提供 PD
 - The bank supplies the PD estimate. For bank and corporate exposures, there is a 0.03% floor set for PD.
 - The LGD, EAD, and M are supervisory values set by the Basel Committee. The Basel Committee set LGD at 45% for senior claims and 75% for subordinated claims. If there is collateral, the LGD is reduced using the comprehensive approach described earlier.
 - The EAD is calculated similar to the credit equivalent amount required under Basel I. It includes the impact of netting.
 - o M is usually set to 2.5.
- Credit Risk Advanced IRB 提供所有参数
 - o Banks supply their own estimates of PD, LGD, EAD, and M.
 - PD can be reduced by credit mitigants such as credit triggers subject to a floor of 0.03%
 - for bank and corporate exposures.
 - o LGD is primarily influenced by the collateral and the seniority of the debt.
 - With supervisory approval, banks can use their own estimates of credit conversion factors
 - o when calculating EAD.
- For retail, merged
 - o Bank provide own PD, LGD, EAD
 - o No MA.
- Operational Risk
 - o BIA: 0.15
 - o TSA: 0.18, 0.15, 0.12
 - o AMA: one-year 99.9%, similar to IRB
- Basel II three pillar
 - o **Minimum** capital requirements
 - 8% (market + credit + operational)
 - Supervisory review
 - Internationally active banks
 - Different countries have discretion in implementing rules
 - Market Discipline
 - Transparency

- Solvency I
 - Insurance, underwriting risks
- Solvency II 2013
 - Replace Solvency I
 - o Risks
 - Underwriting
 - Investment: market and credit
 - Operational
 - Three pillars
 - Solvency capital requirement (SCR)
 - · Breach less severe, submit a plan for restoring
 - Minimum capital requirement (MCR)
 - Absolute minimum, a percentage of SCR or or lower confidence
 - Breach is **severe**, stop business
 - Approaches
 - Standardized
 - Less sophisticated
 - Internal model
 - Similar to IRB, 99.5% one year VaR
 - QIS quality impact studies
 - Tests
 - Statistical quality test
 - Calibration test
 - Use test

Basel II.5, Basel III, and Other Post-Crisis Changes

- Basel II was a move toward self-regulation and allowed banks to underestimate risks
- Stressed VaR
 - 10-trading day horizon 99%
 - - $m_c \ge 3$, set by supervisors
 - VaR_{avg} is the average over past 60 trading day
 - Stress: can choose one-year period
- IRC: incremental risk charge
 - o Trading book (10-day, 99%) < banking book (one-year, 99.9%)
 - o IDRC: incremental default risk charge 2005 in the trading book
 - Loss due to downgrade, widening credit spreads, and loos of liquidity not default
 - o IDRC -> IRC
 - Credit-sensitive instrument: rating change and default sensitivity
 - Rebalance through the year
 - Liquidity horizon, need to rebalance at the end, >=3 months
 - Specific risk charge (SRC): credit spread
- CRM: comprehensive risk measure

- o Replace SRC and IRC, a correlation-dependent
- Correlation book
- Securitization, higher capital
- o Rating below BB-, 100% capital charge, 1250% weight
- Dodd-frank
 - No rating in capital
- Basel III
 - o Published in 2010, implemented from 2013 to 2019
 - T1 capital (core) going concern positive
 - Common equity (equity/common capital)
 - Non-cumulative perpetual preferred stock (additional T1 capital)
 - No include
 - Goodwill, deferred tax asset,
 - Change in retained earnings from securitized txn
 - Change in retained earnings from bank's credit risk, DVA
 - Need to consider
 - Adjust downward to reflect defined benefit pension plan deficits (not upward for surplus)
 - o T2 capital (supplementary capital) gone-concern negative
 - Subordinated with original maturity five years or more
 - Some preferred stock
 - Capital conservation buffer CET1
 - Protect in financial distress
 - T1 equity 2.5%
 - Dividend restriction
 - 4%, need to retain all, >7%, no need to retain
 - Countercyclical buffer CET1
 - Cyclicality of bank earnings
 - 0-2.5% to T1 equity capital
 - o Leverage ratio
 - Leverage ratio (T1 capital / total exposure) >= 3%
 - Liquidity
 - Liquidity coverage ratio (LCR)
 - High quality liquid asset / net cash outflow in 30-day >= 100%
 - Net stable funding ratio (NSFR) liability & equity /asset 融资稳定/需要融资
 - Amount of available stable funding / amount of required stable funding >= 100%
 - ASF = funding * stability factor (stable liability and equity)
 - RSF = required amount funding * relative permanence of funding required (asset)

| ASF Factor | Category |
|--------------------|---|
| 100% | Tier 1 and Tier 2 capital, preferred stock, debt with remaining maturity greater than one year. |
| 9 <mark>0</mark> % | "Stable" demand and term deposits from individuals and small businesses with maturities less than one year. |
| 80% | "Less stable" demand and term deposits from individuals and small businesses with maturities less than one year. |
| 50% | Wholesale funding (demand and term deposits) from nonfinancial corporations, sovereigns, central banks, multi-lateral development banks, and public sector entities with maturities less than one year. |
| 0% | All other liability and equity categories. |

| RSF Factor | Category |
|------------|---|
| 0% | Cash and short-term instruments, securities, and loans to financial entities with residual maturities of less than one year. |
| 5% | Marketable securities with maturities of greater than one year, if claim is on a sovereign with 0% risk weight (e.g., U.S. Treasury securities). |
| 20% | Corporate bonds with rating of AA- or higher and residual maturity greater than one year. Claims on sovereigns or similar bodies with risk-weight of 20%. |
| 50% | Gold, equities, bonds rated A+ to A |
| 65% | Residential mortgages. |
| 85% | Loans to small businesses or retail customers with remaining maturities less than one year. |
| 100% | All other assets. o Contingent Convertible bond |

- - Convert to equity when conditions are met
 - Experiencing financial strains
 - Good: debt, bad: equity prevent insolvency
 - **Triggers**
 - Automatically by supervisors
 - T1 equity capital/RWA
- Dodd-frank 2010
 - o Prevent future bailouts and collapse of banks

Fundamental review of trading book (FRTB) 2012

- Market risk
 - o ES: 97.5% 255-day stress period
- Liquidity horizons (LH)
 - O Assign Risk factor with liquidity horizons
 - o Category 1-5 (10,20,60,120,250)
 - Internal models-based approach (IMA)
 - o Revised scandalized approach
- Trading and banking books
 - Trading not banking book
 - Able to trade

- Physically managing the asset
- Reclassification
 - Cannot reclassified except for extraordinary
- o IRC
 - Credit spread risk

•

jump-to-default risk

Sound Management of risks related to money laundering and finical of

- customer due diligence CDD
- risk mitigation

o first: BU

o second: chief office of AML/CFT

o third: internal audits. External audits

- correspondent banking
 - o nested

| | Credit | Market | Operational |
|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|
| Basel I | RWA | | |
| 1988 | | | |
| | On-balance sheet: | | |
| | principle | | |
| | Off-balance sheet: | | |
| | Cash equivalent | | |
| | amount | | |
| Basel I | | Standardized measurement | |
| Amendment | | model: separate | |
| 1996 | | | |
| | | Internal Model-Based - | |
| | | consider correlation | |
| | | 10 tooding day begins a 00% | |
| | | 10-trading day horizon 99% VaR | |
| | | Van | |
| | | $Capital = max(VaR_{t-1}, m_c)$ | |
| | | $\times VaR_{avg}$ | |
| | | + SRC | |
| | | | |
| | | Backtest: multiplier | |
| Base II | Standardized: credit | | Basic indicator |
| Proposed in | rating, collateral | | approach: 0.15 |
| 1999 | adjustment | | |
| Published | | | Standardized |
| 2004 | Foundation IRB - PD | | approach: BU |
| | One year 99.9% VaR | | |

7

| Implemented 2007 Three pillars: MCR, supervisory review, market displine | Advanced IRB – all One year 99.9% VaR | | Advanced measurement approach One year 99.9% VaR |
|---|---|---|--|
| Solvency II | Standardized | | |
| Three pillars MCR, SCR | Internal model Similar to IRB, One year 99.5% VaR For underwriting, investment (market and credit), operational | | |
| Base II.5 2011 | IRC: rating and default Liquidity horizon Credit spread + jumpto-default SRC: credit spread CRM correlation | $\begin{aligned} & \textbf{Stressed VaR} \\ & \textbf{Capital} \\ & = \max(\textbf{VaR}_{t-1}, \textbf{m}_c \\ & \times \textbf{VaR}_{avg}) \\ & + \max(\textbf{SVaR}_{t-1}, \textbf{m}_s \\ & \times \textbf{SVaR}_{avg}) \end{aligned}$ | |
| Basel III | | | |
| Published in 2010, implemented from 2013 to 2019 | | | |
| Fundamental review of trading book (FRTB) 2012 - 2014 | IRC: jump-to-default risk: 99% VaR | ES: 97.5% 255-day stress period (u+2.338*sigma) (99% VaR: u+2.325*sigma) Liquidity horizon Banking vs trading book | |

Capital

| | CET1 | T1 | T1+T2 |
|------------|------|----|-------|
| Basel I/II | 2% | 4% | 8% |

8

| Basel III | 4.5% | 6% | 8% |
|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------------|-------------|
| Capital conservation buffer | 7% | 8.5% | 10.5% |
| +2.5% CET1 | | | |
| Countercyclical buffer | 7% - 9.5% | 8.5% - 11% | 10.5% - 13% |
| +0-2.5% CET1 | | | |
| Leverage | | T1 capital / total exposure >= 3% | |
| | | | |
| Liquidity coverage ratio | | High quality liquid asset / net | |
| (LCR) | | cash outflow in 30-day >= 100% | |
| | | | |
| Net stable funding ratio | | Amount of available stable | |
| (NSFR) | | funding / amount of required | |
| liability & equity /asset | | stable funding >= 100% | |
| | | | |