Data Wrangling Report

BY AHMED SHETA

February 2021

This report is to identify the wrangling activities performed to collect the data of twitter account WeRateDogs which is an assignment in Data analysis professional Nano degree from UDACITY.com

1. Gathering Data

Data for this project was collected by three means:

- 1. Twitter_archive_matser.csv file was downloaded manually and was uploaded to the working directory and extracted to a Data frame using pd.df.read_csv()
- 2. Images-prediction.tsv file is hosted on a webpage, and it was downloaded by using its URL, by library request and imported to data frame
- 3. The last source of data was to scrap the account 'WeRateDog' using API tweepy to extract the required data, I used the hosted file because my Developer account application for twitter still under review, I downloaded the file and uploaded it to the working directory then import data from it into a data frame using json library

2. Assessment

- 1. In this step I managed to examine the imported data into data frames with both approaches; visual assessment by opening the data frame as a sheet and review it visually, while programmatically in notebook to get the required statistics and information necessary to assess data
- 2. Several quality and tidiness issues were found, findings like data accuracy, missing data, inconsistency,
- 3. Other data quality issues were addressed by project scope like keeping inly tweets with images entries.
- 4. The detailed findings and the taken actions are mentioned in the table below

3. Cleaning Data:

- 1. In this step all the quality and tidiness findings were addressed to clean the data and make it ready to be analyzed
- 2. The detailed cleaning steps are mentioned in the table below

4. Detailed Findings and action taken:

DATA SET	FINDINGS	ACTION TAKEN
	Quality Issues	
DF_TWITTER	Some tweets are replies and retweets	Drop rows of replies and retweets
	Some tweets are not with expanded_urls (no Image)	 Drop rows of tweets with no expanded_urls (no Image)
	timestamp column dtype not correct	Modify timestamp column dtype
	tweet_id column dtype not correct	Modify tweet_id column dtype
	columns not required in master sheet (in_reply_to_status_id, in_reply_to_user_id, retweeted_status_id, retweeted_status_user_id, retweeted_status_timestamp, expanded_urls)	 columns not required in master sheet (in_reply_to_status_id, in_reply_to_user_id, retweeted_status_id, retweeted_status_user_id, retweeted_status_timestamp, expanded_urls)
	dog stages columns contain 'None' as values	convert 'None' in dog stages columns to Nan
	rating_numerator contains wrong inputs	 correct rating_numerator values by reviewing text
	rating_denominator contains wrong inputs	 correct rating_denominator values by reviewing text.
	source of tweeting included in the source URL	 extract the tweets source from column 'source'
DF_IMAGES	There are entries in df_twitter_raw without images data	 To be dropped but after merging with df_twitter to merge by tweet id
	columns label not expressive	Change the columns labels to be expressive
	tweet_id column dtype not correct	Change tweet_id column dtype to str
	images breed prediction and if it's dog (distributed over 9 columns)	 identify the Non-dog images for tweet ids identify the most probable breed in a separate column
	tweets contain Non-dog images	identify the if image is dog in a separate column
DF_TWEETS	column name from id not matching with df_twitter 'tweet_id'	 change the column name from id to tweet_id

there are not required columns	 keep only the required columns ('id', 'retweet_count', 'favorite_count')
tweet_id column dtype not correct	Change tweet_id column dtype to str
Tidiness Issues	
Dog stage values are distributed over 4 columns	 combine the 4 dog stages columns into a 'dog_stages' column
data are in 3 separate data frames	 Set column (tweet_id) as index before merging combine a master data frame by concatenating the 3 clean Data frames with index (tweet_id)

5. Outcomes

A clean master data frame is ready to be analyzed.