432 Class 14 Slides

github.com/THOMASELOVE/2019-432

2019-03-21

Setup

```
library(skimr)
library(rms)
library(aplore3) # for a data set
library(ResourceSelection) # for Hosmer-Lemeshow test
library(broom)
library(tidyverse)

colscr <- read.csv("data/screening.csv") %>% tbl_df
colscr2 <- read.csv("data/screening2.csv") %>% tbl_df
```

Today's Materials

- Logistic Regression
 - and the NNYFS1 data set
 - on Aggregated Data
 - and describing restricted cubic splines
 - and classification tables
- Probit Regression: A Useful Alternative Link

Logistic Regression, Data Management and NNYFS

From an Old Homework Assignment

The data come from the NHANES National Youth Fitness Survey. Data collected in the nnyfs1.csv file on our site come from the **Demographics** files, and from the **Medical Conditions** and **Physical Activity** files, which are each part of the **Questionnaire** data.

I merged files on the basis of the respondent sequence number (SEQN). The seven variables available to you are shown below, and on the next slide.

- SEQN the respondent sequence number (there are 1,576 subjects in the nnyfs1.csv file made available to you)
- ② RIASEX (from the Demographics files) sex of subject (1 = male, 2 = female)

Variables in NNYFS1 data

- RIDAGEYR (from the Demographics files) age in years at screening (3-15)
- RIDRETH1 (from the Demographics files) race/hispanic origin (1 = Mexican-American, 2 = Other Hispanic, 3 = Non-Hispanic White, 4 = Non-Hispanic Black, 5 = Other Race including Multi-Racial)
- INDFMPIR (from the Demographics files; impute all subjects with missing values on the basis of RIDRETH1 and RIDAGEYR) - ratio of family income to poverty (data show 0-4.99, and then truncated as 5 for all who are in fact greater than or equal to 5)
- MCQ010 (from Medical Conditions files; all subjects have values of 1 [Yes] or 2 [No]) - has the child ever been told they have asthma
- ▶ PAQ706 (from the Physical Activity files; drop all subjects with values other than 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, or 7) days (in the past 7) physically active 60+ minutes Treat this as a quantitative count.

- What would be the impact of using clean_names here?
- Who wany of those subjects wind up in your final data set, after applying the inclusion and exclusion criteria described above?
- Find the cross-product odds ratio and an appropriate 95% confidence interval for that odds ratio for being told you have asthma for females as compared to males within this sample. Specify the relevant cross-tabulation (contingency table).

- Use a logistic regression model to predict the following binary outcome:
- ullet Variable Name: MCQ010 "Ever been told you have asthma" = YES [1]

on the basis of the following variables:

- sex (captured in an indicator of female)
- subject's age at screening
- Ratio of family income to poverty
- Days physically active in the past 7

Specify the equation of the model you have fit.

- Specify and interpret the model's odds ratio estimate for being told you have asthma for females as compared to males, after adjusting for the other variables included in the model you fit in Question 4. Provide a 95% confidence interval for this odds ratio.
- Compare your result in Question 3 to your result in Question 5. Are they different? If so, why?
- Specify and interpret the Question 4 model's odds ratio estimate (and a 95% confidence interval around that point estimate) associated with the "days physically active in the past 7" predictor.

- Use the model you fit in Question 4 to provide a prediction for the probability that a 10-year-old male child will have been told they have asthma, if they are active 3 days in the past 7, and have a ratio of family income to poverty of 2.5.
- Refit the model you fit in Question 4 but now, add in an additional predictor variable that indicates if the subject's race/Hispanic origin value is Non-Hispanic White (i.e. RIDRETH1 = 3), or not. Decide whether or not an interaction term between age and race/ethnicity is required (but do not consider other interaction terms or other types of non-linearity). Specify the logistic regression equation you wind up fitting.

Answers to Questions 2-9

are in the nnyfs_old_homework section of our Data and Code page.

Logistic Regression for Aggregated Data

Colorectal Cancer Screening Data

The screening.csv data (imported into the R tibble colscr are simulated. They mirror a subset of the actual results from the Better Health Partnership's original pilot study of colorectal cancer screening in primary care clinics in Northeast Ohio.

Available to us are the following variables

Variable	Description
location subjects	clinic code number of subjects reported by clinic
screen_rate	proportion of subjects who were screened
screened notscreened	number of subjects who were screened number of subjects not screened
meanage	mean age of clinic's subjects, years
female pct_lowins	% of clinic's subjects who are female % of clinic's subjects who have Medicaid or are uninsured
system	system code

Skim results

```
Skim summary statistics
n obs: 26
n variables: 9
Variable type: factor
variable missing complete n n_unique
                                                  top_counts ordered
location
                    26 26
                           26 A: 1, B: 1, C: 1, D: 1 FALSE
                    26 26 4 Sys: 7, Sys: 7, Sys: 6, Sys: 6
  system
                                                            FALSE
Variable type: integer
   variable missing complete n
                              mean
                                      sd p0
                                                p25 median p75 p100
                                                                        hist
                 26 26 663.23 271.17 231
                                             508.75 611
                                                                1356
notscreened
                                                          791
               0 26 26 2584.04 1765.11 572 1395.25 2169.5 2716
                                                                6947
   screened
   subjects 0
                      26 26 3247.27 1945.83 803 1914.75 2765.5 3607.75 7677
Variable type: numeric
   variable missing complete n mean sd
                                         p0
                                             p25 median
                                                        p75 p100
    female
                      26 26 58.72 6.29 46.2 55.42 60.05 62.62 70.3
                      26 26 60.58
                                 1.93
                                       58
                                            58.82 60.5 61.98 65.9
    meanage
 pct_lowins
                      26 26 24.47 19.13
                                      0.3 4.8
                                                 23.95 44.03 51.3
                      26 26 0.77 0.072 0.64 0.72 0.76 0.81 0.9
screen rate
```

Fitting a Logistic Regression Model to Proportion Data

Here, we have a binary outcome (was the subject screened or not?) but we have aggregated results. We can use the counts of the numbers of subjects at each clinic (in subjects) and the proportion who were screened (in screen_rate) to fit a logistic regression model, as follows:

tidy(m_screen1)

```
# A tibble: 7 x 5
     estimate std.error statistic
                                    p.value
 term
 <chr>
              <dbl>
                      <dbl>
                              <dbl>
                                      <dbl>
1 (Intercept) -1.33 0.553 -2.40 1.64e- 2
2 meanage 0.0680 0.00898
                              7.57 3.60e-14
3 female -0.0193 0.00158 -12.2 3.10e-34
4 pct lowins -0.0135 0.000859 -15.7 2.36e-55
5 systemSys 2 -0.138
                   0.0247 -5.61 2.08e- 8
6 systemSys_3 -0.0400 0.0255 -1.57 1.16e- 1
7 systemSys_4 0.0229
                   0.0294 0.779 4.36e- 1
```

Fitting Counts of Successes and Failures

tidy(m_screen2)

```
# A tibble: 7 x 5
     estimate std.error statistic
                                    p.value
 term
 <chr>
              <dbl>
                      <dbl>
                              <dbl>
                                      <dbl>
1 (Intercept) -1.33 0.553 -2.40 1.64e- 2
2 meanage 0.0680 0.00898
                              7.57 3.60e-14
3 female -0.0193 0.00158 -12.2 3.10e-34
4 pct lowins -0.0135 0.000859 -15.7 2.36e-55
5 systemSys 2 -0.138
                   0.0247 -5.61 2.08e- 8
6 systemSys_3 -0.0400 0.0255 -1.57 1.16e- 1
7 systemSys_4 0.0229
                   0.0294 0.779 4.36e- 1
```

How does one address this problem in rms?

We can use Glm.

mod_screen_1

```
General Linear Model
Glm(formula = screen_rate ~ meanage + female + pct_lowins + system,
    family = binomial, data = colscr, weights = subjects, x = T,
    V = T
                  Model Likelihood
                     Ratio Test
Obs 26 LR chi2 2008.90
Residual d.f.19 d.f. 6
g 0.4614539 Pr(> chi2) <0.0001
            Coef S.E. Wald Z Pr(>|Z|)
Intercept -1.3270 0.5531 -2.40 0.0164
meanage 0.0680 0.0090 7.57 < 0.0001
female -0.0193 0.0016 -12.20 <0.0001
pct_lowins -0.0135 0.0009 -15.67 <0.0001
system=Sys_2 -0.1382 0.0247 -5.61 <0.0001
system=Sys_3 -0.0400 0.0255 -1.57 0.1159
system=Sys_4 0.0229 0.0294 0.78 0.4358
```

Using Restricted Cubic Splines

Explaining a Model with a Restricted Cubic Spline

Restricted cubic splines are an easy way to include an explanatory variable in a smooth and non-linear fashion in your model.

- The number of knots, k, are specified in advance, and this is the key issue to determining what the spline will do. We could use AIC to select k, or follow the general idea that for small n, k should be 3, for large n, k should be 5, and so often k=4.
- The location of those knots is not important in most situations, so R
 places knots by default where the data exist, at fixed quantiles of the
 predictor's distribution.
- The "restricted" piece means that the tails of the spline (outside the outermost knots) behave in a linear fashion.

The "Formula" from a Model with a Restricted Cubic Spline

- The best way to demonstrate what a spline does is to draw a picture of it. When in doubt, do that: show us how the spline affects the predictions made by the model.
- But you can get a model equation for the spline out of R (heaven only knows what you would do with it.) Use the latex function in the rms package, for instance.

An Example

m1

Linear Regression Model

```
ols(formula = Sepal.Length ~ rcs(Petal.Length, 4) + Petal.Width, data = iris, x = TRUE, y = TRUE)
```

		Model Likelihood		Discrimination	
		Ratio Test		Indexes	
0bs	150	LR chi2	253.23	R2	0.815
sigma0.3609		d.f.	4	R2 adj	0.810
d.f.	145	Pr(> chi2	0.0000	g	0.844

Residuals

Coef S.E. t Pr(>|t|)
Intercept 4.7226 0.1809 26.11 <0.0001
Petal.Length 0.2434 0.1144 2.13 0.0351
Petal.Length' 0.5018 0.2921 1.72 0.0880
Petal.Length'' -0.8730 1.1334 -0.77 0.4424
Petal.Width -0.3340 0.1498 -2.23 0.0273

Function(m1)

Function(m1)

```
function (Petal.Length = 4.35, Petal.Width = 1.3)
{
    4.7226352 + 0.24335435 * Petal.Length + 0.021780541 * pmax
    1.3, 0)^3 - 0.037888523 * pmax(Petal.Length - 3.33, 0)
    0.00031123969 * pmax(Petal.Length - 4.8, 0)^3 + 0.0150
    pmax(Petal.Length - 6.1, 0)^3 - 0.33400958 * Petal.Width
}
```

What's in Function(m1)?

```
4.72 + 0.243 * Petal.Length
+ 0.022 * pmax( Petal.Length-1.3, 0)^3
- 0.038 * pmax( Petal.Length-3.33, 0)^3
+ 0.0003 * pmax( Petal.Length-4.8, 0)^3
+ 0.016 * pmax( Petal.Length-6.1, 0)^3
- 0.334 * Petal.Width
```

where pmax is the maximum of the arguments inside its parentheses.

Asssessing the Quality of a Logistic Regression Model

A Quick Example

SOURCE: Hosmer and Lemeshow (2000) Applied Logistic Regression: Second Edition. These data are copyrighted by John Wiley & Sons Inc. and must be acknowledged and used accordingly. Data were collected at Baystate Medical Center, Springfield, Massachusetts during 1986.

```
# uses aplore3 package for data set
lbw <- aplore3::lowbwt
head(lbw,3)</pre>
```

```
id low age lwt race smoke ptl ht ui ftv
1 4 < 2500 g 28 120 Other Yes One No Yes None
2 10 < 2500 g 29 130 White No None No Yes Two, etc.
3 11 < 2500 g 34 187 Black Yes None Yes No None
bwt
1 709</pre>
```

2 10213 1135

Fit a logistic regression model

```
model 10 \leftarrow glm(low \sim lwt + ptl + ht,
               data = lbw, family = binomial)
model 10
Call: glm(formula = low ~ lwt + ptl + ht, family = binomial,
Coefficients:
 (Intercept) lwt ptlOne ptlTwo, etc.
    1.17016 -0.01851
                            1.74219 0.15105
      htYes
    1.91234
Degrees of Freedom: 188 Total (i.e. Null); 184 Residual
Null Deviance: 234.7
Residual Deviance: 207.4 AIC: 217.4
```

What is this model predicting, exactly?

```
levels(lbw$low)
[1] ">= 2500 g" "< 2500 g"
lbw %>% count(low)
```

```
# A tibble: 2 x 2
low n
<fct> <int>
1 >= 2500 g 130
2 < 2500 g 59
```

The model predicts the probability of a LOW birth weight, because < 2500 g is listed second here.

- ullet Our model_10 is a model fit to y = 1 when low < 2500 g
- If y = 1 indicated that low >= 2500 g, this would be the opposite of our model_10.

Proving the direction of model_10

- mod_1 predicts Pr(birth weight < 2500 g)
- mod_2 predicts Pr(birth weight >= 2500 g)

So, what does model_10 predict?

- mod_1 predicts Pr(birth weight < 2500 g)
- mod_2 predicts Pr(birth weight >= 2500 g)

```
head(fitted(mod_1),3)
```

0.6661398 0.2250375 0.4062585

```
head(fitted(mod_2),3)
```

1 2 3 0.3338602 0.7749625 0.5937415

```
head(fitted(model_10),3)
```

1 2 3 0.6661398 0.2250375 0.4062585

Classification Table for this Model

Probit Regression

Colorectal Cancer Screening Data on Individuals

The data in the colscr2 data frame describe (disguised) data on the status of 172 adults who were eligible for colon cancer screening. The goal is to use the other variables (besides subject ID) to predict whether or not a subject is up to date.

colscr2 contents

Variable	Description
subject	subject ID code
age	subject's age (years)
race	<pre>subject's race (White/Black/Other)</pre>
hispanic	subject of Hispanic ethnicity (1 = yes $/$ 0 = no)
insurance	Commercial, Medicaid, Medicare, Uninsured
bmi	body mass index at most recent visit
sbp	systolic blood pressure at most recent visit
up_to_date	meets colon cancer screening standards

summary(colscr2)

```
summary(colscr2)
  subject
                                       hispanic
                               race
                  age
Min. :101.0 Min. :51.00 Black:118 Min. :0.00000
1st Qu.:143.8
            1st Qu.:54.00
                            Other: 9 1st Qu.:0.00000
Median :186.5
              Median :57.00
                            White: 45
                                       Median :0.00000
Mean :186.5
              Mean :57.80
                                       Mean
                                              :0.06395
3rd Qu.:229.2
                                       3rd Qu.:0.00000
              3rd Ou.:61.25
Max. :272.0
              Max. :69.00
                                       Max.
                                              :1.00000
                  bmi
    insurance
                                 sbp
                                             up_to_date
Commercial:32
              Min. :17.20
                            Min.
                                 : 89.0
                                           Min.
                                                 :0.0000
Medicaid:81
              1st Qu.:25.48
                            1st Qu.:118.0
                                           1st Qu.:0.0000
Medicare :46
              Median : 30.05
                            Median :127.0
                                           Median :1.0000
Uninsured: 13
                            Mean :128.9
              Mean :31.24
                                           Mean
                                                 :0.6047
              3rd Qu.:36.03
                            3rd Ou.:138.0
                                           3rd Ou.:1.0000
                            Max. :198.0
              Max. :55.41
                                           Max.
                                                 :1.0000
```

A logistic regression model

Results

```
# A tibble: 10 \times 5
  term
                      estimate std.error statistic p.value
                                             <dbl>
  <chr>>
                         <dbl>
                                   <dbl>
                                                     <dbl>
 1 (Intercept)
                      2.70
                                 2.74
                                          0.986
                                                    0.324
                      0.0205
                                                    0.606
2 age
                                 0.0397
                                          0.516
3 raceOther
                                                    0.0491
                     -1.97
                                 1.00
                                         -1.97
4 raceWhite
                     -0.321
                                 0.400
                                         -0.802
                                                    0.422
                                 0.795
  hispanic
                      0.000585
                                          0.000736
                                                    0.999
6 insuranceMedicaid
                     -1.02
                                 0.495
                                         -2.05
                                                    0.0401
  insuranceMedicare
                     -0.522
                                 0.563
                                         -0.926
                                                    0.354
  insuranceUninsured
                      0.110
                                 0.791 0.139
                                                    0.889
  bmi
                      0.0156
                                 0.0214 0.730
                                                    0.465
                     -0.0242
                                 0.00991 - 2.44
                                                    0.0147
10 sbp
```

In this model, there appears to be some link between sbp and screening, as well as, perhaps, some statistically significant differences between some race groups and some insurance groups.

Predicting status for Harry and Sally

- Harry is age 65, White, non-Hispanic, with Medicare insurance, a BMI of 28 and SBP of 135.
- Sally is age 60, Black, Hispanic, with Medicaid insurance, a BMI of 22 and SBP of 148.

Predicting Harry and Sally's status

0.5904364 0.4215335

The prediction for Harry is 0.59, and for Sally, 0.42, by this logistic regression model.

A probit regression model

Now, consider a probit regression, fit by changing the default link for the binomial family as follows:

tidy(m_scr2_probit)

A tibble: 10×5 term estimate std.error statistic p.value <chr>> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl>>1 (Intercept) 1.58 1.66 0.955 0.339 0.0135 0.0241 0.558 0.577 2 age -1.243 raceOther 0.587 -2.110.0349 4 raceWhite -0.199 0.244 -0.818 0.413 hispanic 0.0295 0.485 0.0608 0.952 insuranceMedicaid -0.6190.293 -2.110.0347 -0.323 insuranceMedicare 0.334 -0.968 0.333 0.0528 0.114 0.909 insuranceUninsured 0.464 bmi 0.00965 0.0129 0.749 0.454 -0.01470.00594 0.0134 10 sbp -2.47

Interpreting the Probit Model's Coefficients

(Intercept)	age	raceOther
1.584603569	0.013461338	-1.238445198
${\tt raceWhite}$	hispanic	insurance Medicaid
-0.199260184	0.029483051	-0.619276718
$\verb"insurance Medicare"$	${\tt insurance Uninsured}$	bmi
-0.322880519	0.052775722	0.009652339
sbp		
-0.014695526		

The probit regression coefficients give the change in the z-score of the outcome of interest (here, up_to_date) for a one-unit change in the target predictor, holding all other predictors constant.

- So, for a one-year increase in age, holding all other predictors constant, the z-score for up_to_date increases by 0.013
- And for a Medicaid subject as compared to a Commercial subject of the same age, race, ethnicity, bmi and sbp, the z-score for the Medicaid subject is predicted to be -0.619 lower, according to this model.

What about Harry and Sally?

Do the predictions for Harry and Sally change much with this probit model, as compared to the logistic regression?

0.5885511 0.4364027

Next Time

Regression on a Count Outcome