

- AI 511 Machine Learning (Project Report)

# Project Report: It's a Fraud

Darshak JivrajaniIMT2020119DARSHAK.Jivrajani@iiitB.AC.INArhant AroraIMT2020503ARHANT.ARORA@iiitB.AC.IN

#### Overview

Given data about transactions, train a model which tells if a given transaction is fraudulent or not.

The Train Dataset given to us has 434 columns and rows. To train a model accurately, we clearly need to perform heavy preprocessing and EDA on the dataset.

On observation, we also find that the dataset is highly biased with only 3.5% of the entries as fraud.

## 1. Preprocessing and EDA:

#### Removing Null Values:

Since the dataset has only 3.5% of fraud entries, any row with more than 96.5% of NULL Values was removed.

## Dealing with Vxx, Cx and Dx columns:

We grouped the V columns on the basis of NULL value %, got 9 different groups and looked at the correlation matrix of each group. This helped us remove a large number of columns. For the V Columns left, we again looked at the correlation matrix to remove any other similarities among columns. We then performed the same with the C and D columns. This helped us decrease number of columns from 434 to around 102.

#### Dealing with Skewness:

We looked at the skewness of all the columns. We calculated the square root for the columns with skewness greater than 5.1 and square for the columns with skewness less than -4. After this we calculated the skewness again and this time we removed the columns who's skewness was outside of the range -4 to 5.1.

## Filling NULL Values:

For categorical columns, we filled the with the median of the data values we had. For non-categorical columns, same process as mentioned above except we filled the values with mean if we still had any empty columns, we'll fill it as per our data.

#### **Outlier Removal:**

We checked the outlier for all columns ad for values between 2% and 98%, we kept it and removed the rest. We are finally ready to train our models.

## 2. Models and Final Scores:

Here is a summary of the models used:

Model	Hyperparameter	Value	Model
			Score
KNN	metric	manhattan	0.82645
	algorithm	$ball\_tree$	
	leaf_size	10	
	n_neighbours	11	
	weights	distance	
Logistic	С	0.1	0.756
Regression	max_iter	100000	
	penalty	12	
	solver	lbfgs	
Naive Bayes	var_smoothing	1	0.686
Bagging (With	base_estimatormax_depth	5	0.85897
Decision Tree)	max_samples	0.5	
ADA Boost	base_estimatormax_depth	10	0.8475
(With Decision	base_estimator_min_samples	10	
Tree)	_leaf		
XG Boost	colsample_bytree	0.75	0.928
	gamma	0.65	
	learning_rate	0.1	
	max_depth	20	
	reg_alpha	0.4	
	objective	binary:logistic	
	n_estimators	8000	
	njobs	-1	
Neural Networks	Layers	2(relu, sigmoid)	0.69716
	loss_function	$binary\_crossentropy$	
	epochs	20	
	batch_size	100	
	optimizer	adam	
	1		1

Table 1: Table showing Hyperparameter values for different models and their final scores.

## 3. Observation And Conclusion:

We can observe that the best model for our data is XGBoost. This can be because our data is a high bias one and XGBoost aims to reduce bias. Also, XGBoost works well on a heterogeneous data (our data becomes highly uncorrelated after the initial preprocessing.) Thus we can conclude XGBoost is the best model for a highly-biased heterogeneous binary classification data like the one we had.