,

## International Institute of Information Technology Bangalore

# MACHINE LEARNING AI511

### Project Report: It's A Fraud

Submitted by: Darshak Jivrajani (IMT2020119)

Arhant Arora (IMT2020503)

December 14, 2022



### Contents

		processing and EDA:	3
	1.1	Removing Null Values:	3
	1.2	Dealing with Vxx, Cx and Dx columns:	3
	1.3	Dealing with Skewness:	3
		Filling NULL Values:	
	1.5	Outlier Removal:	3
2	Mo	dels and Final Scores:	4
3	Obs	servation And Conclusion:	4

#### Overview

GitHub Repository Link: https://github.com/A9Aru/MLProject\_ItsAFraud

Given data about transactions, train a model which tells if a given transaction is fraudulent or not.

The Train Dataset given to us has 434 columns and rows. To train a model accurately, we clearly need to perform heavy preprocessing and EDA on the dataset.

On observation, we also find that the dataset is highly biased with only 3.5% of the entries as fraud.

#### 1. Preprocessing and EDA:

#### 1.1 Removing Null Values:

Since the dataset has only 3.5% of fraud entries, any row with more than 96.5% of NULL Values was removed.

#### 1.2 Dealing with Vxx, Cx and Dx columns:

We grouped the V columns on the basis of NULL value %, got 9 different groups and looked at the correlation matrix of each group. This helped us remove a large number of columns.

For the V Columns left, we again looked at the correlation matrix to remove any other similarities among columns. We then performed the same with the C and D columns. This helped us decrease number of columns from 434 to around 102.

#### 1.3 Dealing with Skewness:

We looked at the skewness of all the columns. We calculated the square root for the columns with skewness greater than 5.1 and square for the columns with skewness less than -4. After this we calculated the skewness again and this time we removed the columns who's skewness was outside of the range -4 to 5.1.

#### 1.4 Filling NULL Values:

For categorical columns, we filled the with the median of the data values we had. For non-categorical columns, same process as mentioned above except we filled the values with mean if we still had any empty columns, we'll fill it as per our data.

#### 1.5 Outlier Removal:

We checked the outlier for all columns ad for values between 2% and 98%, we kept it and removed the rest. We are finally ready to train our models.

#### 2. Models and Final Scores:

Here is a summary of the models used:

KNN         metric algorithm ball_tree         manhattan ball_tree         0.82645           leaf_size n_neighbours weights         10 n_neighbours distance         11 noneighbours distance           Logistic C Regression         C no.1 noneighbours distance         0.756           Regression max_iter near_iter
algorithm   ball_tree   10
leaf_size
n_neighbours         11           weights         distance           Logistic         C         0.1         0.756           Regression         max_iter         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000         100000
Logistic         C         0.1         0.756           Regression         max_iter         100000         100000           penalty         12         12           solver         100000         100000           Naive Bayes         var_smoothing         1         0.686           Bagging (With         base_estimator_max_depth         5         0.85897           Decision Tree)         max_samples         0.5         0.8475           ADA Boost         base_estimator_max_depth         10         0.8475           (With Decision         base_estimator_min_samples         10         0.928           XG Boost         colsample_bytree         0.75         0.928
Logistic         C         0.1         0.756           Regression         max_iter         100000         12           penalty         l2         15gs         0.686           Naive Bayes         var_smoothing         1         0.686           Bagging (With         base_estimator_max_depth         5         0.85897           Decision Tree)         max_samples         0.5         0.8475           ADA Boost         base_estimator_max_depth         10         0.8475           (With Decision         base_estimator_min_samples         10         0.928           XG Boost         colsample_bytree         0.75         0.928
Regression         max_iter penalty 12 lbfgs           Naive Bayes         var_smoothing         1         0.686           Bagging (With Decision Tree)         base_estimator_max_depth pase_estimator_max_depth lower period         0.5         0.8475           ADA Boost (With Decision Decision Tree)         base_estimator_min_samples leaf         10         0.8475           XG Boost (Colsample_bytree)         0.75         0.928
penalty   12   1bfgs         Naive Bayes   var_smoothing   1   0.686       Bagging (With   base_estimator_max_depth   5   0.85897       Decision Tree   max_samples   0.5       ADA Boost   base_estimator_max_depth   10   0.8475       (With Decision   base_estimator_min_samples   10       Tree   Leaf       XG Boost   colsample_bytree   0.75   0.928
Naive Bayes         var_smoothing         1         0.686           Bagging (With Decision Tree)         base_estimator_max_depth of the part of the
Naive Bayes         var_smoothing         1         0.686           Bagging (With Decision Tree)         base_estimator_max_depth of the part of the
Bagging (With Decision Tree)         base_estimator_max_depth 0.5         0.85897           ADA Boost (With Decision Tree)         base_estimator_max_depth 10 0.8475         0.8475           Tree)         _leaf         0.75         0.928
Decision Tree)         max_samples         0.5           ADA Boost         base_estimator_max_depth         10         0.8475           (With Decision Tree)         Leaf         10         0.8475           XG Boost         colsample_bytree         0.75         0.928
ADA Boost base_estimator_max_depth 10 0.8475 (With Decision base_estimator_min_samples 10 Tree)leaf
(With Decision Tree)     base_estimator_min_samples leaf     10       XG Boost     colsample_bytree     0.75     0.928
Tree) _leaf
XG Boost colsample_bytree 0.75 0.928
gamma 0.65
learning_rate 0.1
max_depth 20
reg_alpha 0.4
objective binary:logistic
$n_{\text{-estimators}}$ 8000
njobs -1
Neural Networks Layers 2(relu, sigmoid) 0.69716
loss_function binary_crossentropy
epochs 20
batch_size 100
optimizer adam

Table 1: Table showing Hyperparameter values for different models and their final scores.

#### 3. Observation And Conclusion:

We can observe that the best model for our data is XGBoost. This can be because our data is a high bias one and XGBoost aims to reduce bias. Also, XGBoost works well on a heterogeneous data (our data becomes highly uncorrelated after the initial preprocessing.) Thus we can conclude XGBoost is the best model for a highly-biased heterogeneous binary classification data like the one we had.