

# Quantum Reinforcement Learning - A Literature Review

Anand Narasimhan

Department of Computer Science and Engineering  
Indian Institute of Technology, Bombay  
Bombay, India  
210051001@iitb.ac.in

**Abstract**—This document is a review of the concepts required to gain an understanding of Quantum Reinforcement Learning.

**Index Terms**—Quantum Computing, qubits, Linear Algebra, Quantum Machine Learning, Quantum Reinforcement Learning

## I. INTRODUCTION

Write about Quantum Reinforcement Learning

## II. LINEAR ALGEBRA

Linear Algebra consists of *vector spaces*, the one we deal with the most is  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , the space of all  $n$ -tuples of complex numbers. These tuples are called vectors, and can also be represented in a column, called a column vector. Operations like addition and scalar multiplication work element-wise, where the scalar is mostly a complex number itself.

Onto the quantum mechanical notation for linear algebra, where we denote a vector by  $|w\rangle$ , where  $w$  is a label to the vector, and  $|\cdot\rangle$  is the *ket* notation, indicating that the object is a vector.

Concepts like Linear Independence, Bases, Linear Operators, Matrices are to be read from Quantum Computing and Quantum Information by Nielsen and Chuang.

### A. Pauli Matrices

These are  $2 \times 2$  matrices that are very useful and prominent in the study of Quantum Computing and Quantum Information. They are :

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_0 \equiv I &\equiv \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} & \sigma_1 \equiv \sigma_x \equiv X &\equiv \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \sigma_2 \equiv \sigma_y \equiv Y &\equiv \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{bmatrix} & \sigma_3 \equiv \sigma_z \equiv Z &\equiv \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

### B. Inner Products

An *inner product* is a function that takes in two input vectors  $|v\rangle$  and  $|w\rangle$  from a vector space and produces a complex number as the output.

A function from  $V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is an inner product iff it satisfies the given conditions :

- It is linear in its second argument

- When the order of the two vectors is reversed, the new output should be the complex conjugate of the old output.
- When the two input vectors are the same, the output must be  $\geq 0$  with equality iff the input vectors are 0 (the 0 vector)

A common example of an inner product in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is  $\langle v|w\rangle$

A vector space equipped with an inner product is called an *inner product space*, which, in finite dimensional spaces (fortunately, quantum computation involves only those) is exactly the same as a *Hilbert space*

### C. Outer Products

The *outer product* representation is a useful way to represent linear operators, and uses the inner product. If  $|v\rangle, |w\rangle$  are two vectors part of inner product spaces  $V, W$  respectively, then we can define a linear operator from  $V \rightarrow W$  by  $|w\rangle\langle v|$ , which takes in a vector from  $V$  and outputs a vector from  $W$ . Its action is described by :

$$(|w\rangle\langle v|)(|v'\rangle) \equiv |w\rangle\langle v|v'\rangle = \langle v|v'\rangle |w\rangle$$

The *completeness relation* for orthonormal vectors is as follows : Let  $|i\rangle\langle i|$  be any orthonormal basis for a vector space  $V$ , it follows that

$$\sum_i |i\rangle\langle i| = I$$

This can also be used to represent any operator in the outer product representation (easy enough to get, given orthonormal bases for the vector spaces of the domain and codomain of the operator). Another application of the completeness relation is to prove the *Cauchy-Schwartz inequality*

### D. Eigenvectors and Eigenvalues

A *diagonal representation* (or an orthonormal decomposition) for an operator  $A$  on a vector space  $V$  is a representation  $A = \sum_i \lambda_i |i\rangle\langle i|$ , where the vectors  $|i\rangle$  form an orthonormal set of eigenvectors for  $A$  (a little different from the normal definition, but this is Quantum Mech, dealing with orthonormal states more). An operator is said to be diagonalisable if it has a diagonal representation.

I'll assume you know about Adjoints and Projectors, from QCQI, where projectors satisfy the equation  $P^2 = P$

I'll also assume you know about the definition and properties of Tensor Products from QCQI, including operators on tensor-product spaces, inner products on them as well, and the *Kronecker Product* representation.

#### E. Spectral Decomposition

Any normal operator  $M$  on a vector space  $V$  is diagonal with respect to some orthonormal basis of  $V$  and conversely, any diagonalisable operator is normal.

Note that the definition of diagonalisable might be a bit different to what you're used to, so refer QCQI.

#### F. Operator Functions

Given a function  $f$  from  $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , it is possible to define a corresponding matrix function on normal matrices. Let  $A = \sum_a a |a\rangle\langle a|$ , where  $A$  is a normal operator, and  $f(A)$  is defined as  $\sum_a f(a) |a\rangle\langle a|$

The *trace* of a matrix is defined to be the sum of its diagonal elements. It is cyclic wrt permutation of the order of the product of matrices, and is linear. It is also invariant under the unitary similarity transformation  $U \rightarrow UAU^\dagger$ , using the cyclic nature of the trace and the fact that  $UU^\dagger = I$ . Therefore the trace of an operator can be defined as the trace of any matrix representation of  $A$ , since this invariance ensures that it's well defined.

A very interesting result is that the trace of  $A |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$  is the same as  $\langle\psi|A|\psi\rangle$ , which could also be thought of as arising from the cyclic property.

#### G. Commutators and Anti-Commutators

The commutator and anticommutator are defined respectively as :

$$[A, B] = AB - BA \text{ and } \{A, B\} = AB + BA$$

Suppose  $A$  and  $B$  are Hermitian operators, then their commutator is 0 iff there exists an orthonormal basis such that both  $A$  and  $B$  are simultaneously diagonalisable with respect to that basis.  $A$  and  $B$  are called *simultaneously diagonalisable*

The concepts of Polar and Singular value decomposition can be read from QCQI as well.

### III. POSTULATES OF QUANTUM MECHANICS

#### A. State Space

**Postulate 1:** Associated to any isolated Physical system is a complex vector space with inner product (inner product space or Hilbert space) known as the *state space* of the system. The system is completely described by the *state vector*, which is a unit vector in the system's state space.

Note that Quantum Mechanics does not tell us what exactly the state space or the state vector of a given physical system is.

The simplest quantum mechanical system is the qubit, a two dimensional state space. Suppose  $|0\rangle, |1\rangle$  form an orthonormal

basis for that state space, then a state vector in that space can be written as

$$|\psi\rangle = a|0\rangle + b|1\rangle$$

with an additional normalisation condition of  $\langle\psi|\psi\rangle = 1 \equiv a^2 + b^2 = 1$ .

#### B. Evolution

**Postulate 2:** The evolution of a *closed* (there are different rules for systems with external noise) quantum system is described by a *unitary transformation*. If the system is at a state  $|\psi\rangle$  at time  $t_1$  and at a state  $|\psi'\rangle$  at time  $t_2$ , then

$$|\psi'\rangle = U|\psi\rangle$$

where  $U$  is a unitary operator that depends only on the times  $t_1$  and  $t_2$ .

Again, Quantum mechanics does not tell us which unitary operators  $U$  describe the system, it merely tells us that the evolution can be written in such a way.

For examples of possible unitary operators (important in QC), look at the  $X$  and  $Z$  gates, the  $X$  gate called the quantum NOT gate (or the *bit-flip* matrix), since it takes  $|0\rangle$  to  $|1\rangle$  and vice-versa and the  $Z$  gate takes  $|0\rangle$  to  $|0\rangle$  and  $|1\rangle$  to  $-|1\rangle$ , hence the name *phase-flip* matrix (not used for this very often though).

I assume that the reader would be familiar with the Schrodinger Equation, which deals with the evolution of quantum systems in continuous time.

#### C. Quantum Measurement

**Postulate 3:** Quantum measurements are described by a collection  $M_m$  of measurement operators. These are operators acting on the state space of the system being measured. The index  $m$  refers to the measurement outcomes that may occur in the experiment. If the state of the quantum system is  $|\psi\rangle$  immediately before the measurement then the probability that result  $m$  occurs is given by

$$p(m) = \langle\psi|M_m^\dagger M_m|\psi\rangle$$

and the state of the system after measurement is

$$\frac{M_m |\psi\rangle}{\sqrt{\langle\psi|M_m^\dagger M_m|\psi\rangle}}$$

The measurement operators also satisfy the *completeness equation*, similar to outer products, and its physical significance is that the probabilities sum up to one.

The *computational basis* is a basis with basis vectors  $|0\rangle$  and  $|1\rangle$  and with measurement operators  $|0\rangle\langle 0|$  and  $|1\rangle\langle 1|$ . You might know that on measuring a qubit  $|\psi\rangle = a|0\rangle + b|1\rangle$ , the probability of obtaining measurement outcome 0 is  $|a|^2$ , which can be derived from the above definitions. The probability of measuring 1 would be  $|b|^2 = 1 - |a|^2$ . The outcomes in both cases would be  $|0\rangle$  and  $|1\rangle$  upto a phase factor, which can be ignored.

There is a theorem that says that there is no quantum measurement capable of distinguishing two non-orthogonal states, whose proof is in the book QCQI.

#### D. Projective Measurements

This is an important special case of the general measurement postulate.

**Projective measurements:** A projective measurement is described by an *observable*,  $M$ , a Hermitian operator on the state space of the system being observed. The observable has a spectral decomposition

$$M = \sum_m m P_m$$

where  $P_m$  is the projector onto the eigenspace of  $M$  with eigenvalue  $m$  and the possible outcomes of the measurement also correspond to the eigenvalues,  $m$  of the observable. Here,

$$p(m) = \langle \psi | P_m | \psi \rangle$$

Given that outcome  $m$  occurred, the state of the quantum system immediately after the measurement

$$\frac{P_m |\psi\rangle}{\sqrt{p(m)}}$$

. Also, the average value of the measurement turns out to be

$$\mathbf{E}(M) = \langle \psi | M | \psi \rangle$$

I'll let the book QCQI give a much better explanation of the Heisenberg Uncertainty Principle than I ever can :)

#### E. POVMs

*POVM formalism* is a mathematical tool used when one is not concerned about the post-measurement state of the system, merely the probabilities of the respective measurement outcomes, for example, the final measurement of an experiment. This is a simple consequence of the earlier postulates for measurement, but it deserves a special mention here.

There are some cool things about POVMs that can be read from QCQI.

#### F. Phase

Very commonly used term and has several different meanings depending on the context.

The state  $e^{i\theta} |\psi\rangle$  is equal to the state  $|\psi\rangle$  up to a *global phase factor*  $e^{i\theta}$ . This does not change the statistics of any measurement, since given any measurement operator, the global phase gets cancelled during the calculation for the measurement.

*Relative phase* has a different meaning, of a particular amplitude of a basis state having the same magnitudes, yet not the exact same. For an example, consider

$$\frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$

which both differ in the sign of the amplitudes of the basis state  $|1\rangle$ . More generally, those amplitudes differ by a relative phase if there is a real  $\theta$  such that  $a = \exp i\theta b$ , and two states are said to differ by a relative phase in some basis if each of the amplitudes in that basis is related by such a phase factor.

**Postulate 4:** The state space of a composite physical system is the tensor product of the state spaces of the component physical systems. Moreover, if we have systems numbered 1 through  $n$ , and system number  $i$  is prepared in the state  $|\psi_i\rangle$ , then the joint state of the total system is  $|\psi_1\rangle \otimes |\psi_2\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |\psi_n\rangle$

There is a subscript notation to denote states and operators on different systems, when it isn't clear from context. An example, in a system containing 5 qubits,  $X_3$  is the NOT gate acting on the third qubit.

This leads to something very interesting, called *entanglement*. A composite state that cannot be written as a product of states of its component systems is called an *entangled* state. An example is the most studied entangled state,

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{|00\rangle + |11\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$

. You can verify that you cannot split it into a tensor product of two single qubit states.

Entanglement is the basis of a process called *Superdense Coding*, which uses one qubit to send two classical bits of information.

#### G. Density Operator

The *Density operator* provides an alternate formulation of Quantum Mechanics, not on the basis of state vectors. Although it is mathematically equivalent to the state vector formalism, it is very convenient when thinking about some scenarios.

Suppose a quantum system is in one of a number of states  $|\psi_i\rangle$ , where  $i$  is an index, with respective probabilities  $p_i$ . We call  $\{p_i, |\psi_i\rangle\}$  an ensemble of pure states. The density operator or density matrix for the system is defined by the equation

$$\rho \equiv \sum_i p_i |\psi_i\rangle \langle \psi_i|$$

Evolution of the density operator can be represented as  $U\rho U^\dagger$ , where  $U$  is a unitary operator

Measurements can also be described here. If we have some measurement operators  $M_m$  that describe a measurement. It turns out that the probability of obtaining result  $m$  is the trace of  $M_m^\dagger M_m \rho$ .

The density operator has some properties as well, which you can refer to QCQI for.

### IV. QUANTUM CIRCUITS

Quantum Circuits provide a language to describe quantum algorithms : assemblies of discrete sets of components which describe computational procedures.

#### A. Single Qubit operations

We start with common operations performed on a single qubit. The Pauli matrices have already been covered, but some other gates which are very important are :

$$H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \quad S = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{bmatrix} \quad T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\pi/4} \end{bmatrix}$$

These are called the *Hadamard gate*,  $H$ , the *phase gate*,  $S$ , and the  $\pi/8$  gate,  $T$ .

Exponentiating the Pauli matrices give rise to three useful classes of unitary matrices, called the rotation operators around the  $x$ ,  $y$  and  $z$  axes respectively.

$$\begin{aligned} R_x(\theta) &\equiv e^{-i\theta X/2} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} & -i \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \\ -i \sin \frac{\theta}{2} & \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \end{bmatrix} \\ R_y(\theta) &\equiv e^{-i\theta Y/2} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} & -\sin \frac{\theta}{2} \\ \sin \frac{\theta}{2} & \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \end{bmatrix} \\ R_z(\theta) &\equiv e^{-i\theta Z/2} = \begin{bmatrix} e^{-i\theta/2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\theta/2} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Some algebraic connections between these matrices :  $H = (X + Z)/\sqrt{2}$  and  $S = T^2$

A rotation about a general axis  $\hat{n} = (n_x, n_y, n_z)$  can be calculated by :

$$R_{\hat{n}}(\theta) = e^{-i\theta \hat{n} \cdot \vec{\sigma}/2} = \cos \frac{\theta}{2} I - i \sin \frac{\theta}{2} (n_x X + n_y Y + n_z Z)$$

Every unitary operator can be decomposed into a sequence of  $Z - Y$  decompositions as follows :

$$U = e^{i\alpha} R_z(\beta) R_y(\gamma) R_z(\delta)$$

This can be extended to any two arbitrary non-parallel directions as well.

There is an important corollary, which is as follows. Suppose  $U$  is a unitary gate on a single qubit. Then there exist unitary operators  $A, B$  and  $C$  on a single qubit such that  $ABC = I$  and  $U = e^{i\alpha} AXBXC$ , where  $\alpha$  is some overall phase factor.

Some other common circuit identities are :

$$HXH = Z \quad HYH = -Y \quad HZH = X$$

## B. Controlled Operations

This is the first introduction of an implicit logical condition : “If  $A$  is true, then do  $B$ ”. The most common controlled operation is called the *controlled-NOT* operator.

## V. PREPARE YOUR PAPER BEFORE STYLING

Before you begin to format your paper, first write and save the content as a separate text file. Complete all content and organizational editing before formatting. Please note sections V-A–V-E below for more information on proofreading, spelling and grammar.

Keep your text and graphic files separate until after the text has been formatted and styled. Do not number text heads— $\text{\LaTeX}$  will do that for you.

## A. Abbreviations and Acronyms

Define abbreviations and acronyms the first time they are used in the text, even after they have been defined in the abstract. Abbreviations such as IEEE, SI, MKS, CGS, ac, dc, and rms do not have to be defined. Do not use abbreviations in the title or heads unless they are unavoidable.

## B. Units

- Use either SI (MKS) or CGS as primary units. (SI units are encouraged.) English units may be used as secondary units (in parentheses). An exception would be the use of English units as identifiers in trade, such as “3.5-inch disk drive”.
- Avoid combining SI and CGS units, such as current in amperes and magnetic field in oersteds. This often leads to confusion because equations do not balance dimensionally. If you must use mixed units, clearly state the units for each quantity that you use in an equation.
- Do not mix complete spellings and abbreviations of units: “Wb/m<sup>2</sup>” or “webers per square meter”, not “webers/m<sup>2</sup>”. Spell out units when they appear in text: “. . . a few henries”, not “. . . a few H”.
- Use a zero before decimal points: “0.25”, not “.25”. Use “cm<sup>3</sup>”, not “cc”.)

## C. Equations

Number equations consecutively. To make your equations more compact, you may use the solidus ( / ), the exp function, or appropriate exponents. Italicize Roman symbols for quantities and variables, but not Greek symbols. Use a long dash rather than a hyphen for a minus sign. Punctuate equations with commas or periods when they are part of a sentence, as in:

$$a + b = \gamma \tag{1}$$

Be sure that the symbols in your equation have been defined before or immediately following the equation. Use “(1)”, not “Eq. (1)” or “equation (1)”, except at the beginning of a sentence: “Equation (1) is . . .”

## D. $\text{\LaTeX}$ -Specific Advice

Please use “soft” (e.g., `\eqref{Eq}`) cross references instead of “hard” references (e.g., (1)). That will make it possible to combine sections, add equations, or change the order of figures or citations without having to go through the file line by line.

Please don’t use the `{eqnarray}` equation environment. Use `{align}` or `{IEEEeqnarray}` instead. The `{eqnarray}` environment leaves unsightly spaces around relation symbols.

Please note that the `{subequations}` environment in  $\text{\LaTeX}$  will increment the main equation counter even when there are no equation numbers displayed. If you forget that, you might write an article in which the equation numbers skip from (17) to (20), causing the copy editors to wonder if you’ve discovered a new method of counting.

$\text{\BIBTeX}$  does not work by magic. It doesn’t get the bibliographic data from thin air but from .bib files. If you use  $\text{\BIBTeX}$  to produce a bibliography you must send the .bib files.

$\text{\LaTeX}$  can’t read your mind. If you assign the same label to a subsubsection and a table, you might find that Table I has been cross referenced as Table IV-B3.

L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X does not have precognitive abilities. If you put a `\label` command before the command that updates the counter it's supposed to be using, the label will pick up the last counter to be cross referenced instead. In particular, a `\label` command should not go before the caption of a figure or a table.

Do not use `\nonumber` inside the `{array}` environment. It will not stop equation numbers inside `{array}` (there won't be any anyway) and it might stop a wanted equation number in the surrounding equation.

#### E. Some Common Mistakes

- The word “data” is plural, not singular.
- The subscript for the permeability of vacuum  $\mu_0$ , and other common scientific constants, is zero with subscript formatting, not a lowercase letter “o”.
- In American English, commas, semicolons, periods, question and exclamation marks are located within quotation marks only when a complete thought or name is cited, such as a title or full quotation. When quotation marks are used, instead of a bold or italic typeface, to highlight a word or phrase, punctuation should appear outside of the quotation marks. A parenthetical phrase or statement at the end of a sentence is punctuated outside of the closing parenthesis (like this). (A parenthetical sentence is punctuated within the parentheses.)
- A graph within a graph is an “inset”, not an “insert”. The word alternatively is preferred to the word “alternately” (unless you really mean something that alternates).
- Do not use the word “essentially” to mean “approximately” or “effectively”.
- In your paper title, if the words “that uses” can accurately replace the word “using”, capitalize the “u”; if not, keep using lower-cased.
- Be aware of the different meanings of the homophones “affect” and “effect”, “complement” and “compliment”, “discreet” and “discrete”, “principal” and “principle”.
- Do not confuse “imply” and “infer”.
- The prefix “non” is not a word; it should be joined to the word it modifies, usually without a hyphen.
- There is no period after the “et” in the Latin abbreviation “et al.”.
- The abbreviation “i.e.” means “that is”, and the abbreviation “e.g.” means “for example”.

An excellent style manual for science writers is [7].

#### F. Authors and Affiliations

**The class file is designed for, but not limited to, six authors.** A minimum of one author is required for all conference articles. Author names should be listed starting from left to right and then moving down to the next line. This is the author sequence that will be used in future citations and by indexing services. Names should not be listed in columns nor group by affiliation. Please keep your affiliations as succinct as possible (for example, do not differentiate among departments of the same organization).

#### G. Identify the Headings

Headings, or heads, are organizational devices that guide the reader through your paper. There are two types: component heads and text heads.

Component heads identify the different components of your paper and are not topically subordinate to each other. Examples include Acknowledgments and References and, for these, the correct style to use is “Heading 5”. Use “figure caption” for your Figure captions, and “table head” for your table title. Run-in heads, such as “Abstract”, will require you to apply a style (in this case, italic) in addition to the style provided by the drop down menu to differentiate the head from the text.

Text heads organize the topics on a relational, hierarchical basis. For example, the paper title is the primary text head because all subsequent material relates and elaborates on this one topic. If there are two or more sub-topics, the next level head (uppercase Roman numerals) should be used and, conversely, if there are not at least two sub-topics, then no subheads should be introduced.

#### H. Figures and Tables

a) *Positioning Figures and Tables:* Place figures and tables at the top and bottom of columns. Avoid placing them in the middle of columns. Large figures and tables may span across both columns. Figure captions should be below the figures; table heads should appear above the tables. Insert figures and tables after they are cited in the text. Use the abbreviation “Fig. 1”, even at the beginning of a sentence.

TABLE I  
TABLE TYPE STYLES

Table Head	Table Column Head		
	Table column subhead	Subhead	Subhead
copy	More table copy <sup>a</sup>		

<sup>a</sup>Sample of a Table footnote.



Fig. 1. Example of a figure caption.

**Figure Labels:** Use 8 point Times New Roman for Figure labels. Use words rather than symbols or abbreviations when writing Figure axis labels to avoid confusing the reader. As an example, write the quantity “Magnetization”, or “Magnetization, M”, not just “M”. If including units in the label, present them within parentheses. Do not label axes only with units. In the example, write “Magnetization (A/m)” or “Magnetization {A[m(1)]}”, not just “A/m”. Do not label axes with a ratio of quantities and units. For example, write “Temperature (K)”, not “Temperature/K”.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The preferred spelling of the word “acknowledgment” in America is without an “e” after the “g”. Avoid the stilted expression “one of us (R. B. G.) thanks ...”. Instead, try “R. B. G. thanks...”. Put sponsor acknowledgments in the unnumbered footnote on the first page.

## REFERENCES

Please number citations consecutively within brackets [1]. The sentence punctuation follows the bracket [2]. Refer simply to the reference number, as in [3]—do not use “Ref. [3]” or “reference [3]” except at the beginning of a sentence: “Reference [3] was the first ...”

Number footnotes separately in superscripts. Place the actual footnote at the bottom of the column in which it was cited. Do not put footnotes in the abstract or reference list. Use letters for table footnotes.

Unless there are six authors or more give all authors’ names; do not use “et al.”. Papers that have not been published, even if they have been submitted for publication, should be cited as “unpublished” [4]. Papers that have been accepted for publication should be cited as “in press” [5]. Capitalize only the first word in a paper title, except for proper nouns and element symbols.

For papers published in translation journals, please give the English citation first, followed by the original foreign-language citation [6].

## REFERENCES

- [1] G. Eason, B. Noble, and I. N. Sneddon, “On certain integrals of Lipschitz-Hankel type involving products of Bessel functions,” *Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. London*, vol. A247, pp. 529–551, April 1955.
- [2] J. Clerk Maxwell, *A Treatise on Electricity and Magnetism*, 3rd ed., vol. 2. Oxford: Clarendon, 1892, pp.68–73.
- [3] I. S. Jacobs and C. P. Bean, “Fine particles, thin films and exchange anisotropy,” in *Magnetism*, vol. III, G. T. Rado and H. Suhl, Eds. New York: Academic, 1963, pp. 271–350.
- [4] K. Elissa, “Title of paper if known,” unpublished.
- [5] R. Nicole, “Title of paper with only first word capitalized,” *J. Name Stand. Abbrev.*, in press.
- [6] Y. Yorozu, M. Hirano, K. Oka, and Y. Tagawa, “Electron spectroscopy studies on magneto-optical media and plastic substrate interface,” *IEEE Transl. J. Magn. Japan*, vol. 2, pp. 740–741, August 1987 [Digests 9th Annual Conf. Magnetism Japan, p. 301, 1982].
- [7] M. Young, *The Technical Writer’s Handbook*. Mill Valley, CA: University Science, 1989.

IEEE conference templates contain guidance text for composing and formatting conference papers. Please ensure that all template text is removed from your conference paper prior to submission to the conference. Failure to remove the template text from your paper may result in your paper not being published.