



Student Research Group 'Stochastic Volatility Models', Project 'Heston-2'

# **Methods of Simulation of the Heston Model: A Review**

Artemy Sazonov, Danil Legenky, Kirill Korban

Lomonosov Moscow State University, Faculty of Mechanics and Mathematics

January 21, 2023



## Heston Model Definition

Assume that the spot asset at time  $t$  follows the diffusion

$$dS(t) = \mu S(t)dt + \sqrt{v(t)}S(t)dZ_1(t), \quad (1)$$

$$dv(t) = \left( \delta^2 - 2\beta v(t) \right) dt + \sigma \sqrt{v(t)}dZ_2(t), \quad (2)$$

where  $Z_1, Z_2$  are the correlated Wiener processes with  $dZ_1dZ_2 = \rho dt$ .

# Outline



Truncated Gaussian Scheme

Exact+Milstein Scheme

Conclusion



# Truncated Gaussian Discretization Scheme

## Idea

### Andersen:

*In this scheme the idea is to sample from a moment-matched Gaussian density where all probability mass below zero is inserted into a delta-function at the origin.*

Same, but in the formular form:

$$\left( \hat{V}(t + \Delta) \middle| V(t) \right) = (\mu + \sigma Z)^+, \quad (3)$$

where  $Z$  is a standard normal random variable and  $\mu$  and  $\sigma$  are the 'mean' and the 'standard deviation' of the desired distribution. We find  $\mu$  and  $\sigma$  from the same old moment-matching techniques (see Slide ??).



# Truncated Gaussian Discretization Scheme

Finding the constants

## Proposition 1

Let  $\phi(x)$  be a standard Gaussian density and define a function  $r : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by the following equation:

$$r(x)\phi(r(x)) + \Phi(r(x))(1 + r(x)^2) = (1 + x) (\phi(r(x)) + r(x)\Phi(r(x)))^2. \quad (4)$$

Then the moment-matching parameters are

$$\mu = \frac{m}{\frac{\phi(r(\psi))}{r(\psi)} + \Phi(r(\psi))}, \quad (5)$$

$$\sigma = \frac{m}{\phi(r(\psi)) + r(\psi)\Phi(r(\psi))}. \quad (6)$$



# Truncated Gaussian Discretization Scheme

Finding the numerical integration interval

**Problem:** no closed-form solution for  $r(\psi)$ .

**Solution:** numerical solution.

**Problem:** no known limits to use the numerical solution.

**Solution:**

$$m = \frac{\delta^2}{2\beta} + \left( \hat{V}(t) - \frac{\delta^2}{2\beta} \right) e^{-2\beta\Delta}, \quad (7)$$

$$s^2 = \frac{\hat{V}(t)\sigma^2 e^{-2\beta\Delta}}{2\beta} \left( 1 - e^{-2\beta\Delta} \right) + \frac{\delta^2\sigma^2}{8\beta^2} \left( 1 - e^{-2\beta\Delta} \right)^2. \quad (8)$$



# Truncated Gaussian Discretization Scheme

Finding the numerical integration interval

$$\psi = \frac{s^2}{m^2} = \frac{\frac{\hat{V}(t)\sigma^2 e^{-2\beta\Delta}}{2\beta} (1 - e^{-2\beta\Delta}) + \frac{\delta^2\sigma^2}{8\beta^2} (1 - e^{-2\beta\Delta})^2}{\left(\frac{\delta^2}{2\beta} + \left(\hat{V}(t) - \frac{\delta^2}{2\beta}\right) e^{-2\beta\Delta}\right)^2}. \quad (9)$$

Differentiating this expression with respect to  $V(t)$  shows that  $\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial V(t)} < 0$  for all  $V(t) \geq 0$ , such that the largest possible value for  $\pi$  is obtained for  $V(t) = 0$ , and the smallest possible value for  $V(t) = \infty$ . Inserting these values for  $V(t)$  into (9) shows that  $\psi \in (0, \frac{\beta^2\sigma^2}{2\delta^2})$ .



# Truncated Gaussian Discretization Scheme

Finding the numerical integration interval

As a final computational trick, note that once we have established the function  $r$  we can write

$$\mu = m \cdot f_{\mu}(\psi), \quad f_{\mu}(\psi) = \frac{r(\psi)}{\phi(r(\psi)) + r(\psi)\Phi(r(\psi))} \quad (10)$$

$$\sigma = s \cdot f_{\sigma}(\psi), \quad f_{\sigma}(\psi) = \frac{\psi^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{\phi(r(\psi)) + r(\psi)\Phi(r(\psi))} \quad (11)$$

The two functions  $f_{\mu}(\psi)$  and  $f_{\sigma}(\psi)$  are ultimately what we should cache on a computer once and for all, on an equidistant grid for  $\psi$  large enough to span the domain.



# Outline



Truncated Gaussian Scheme

Exact+Milstein Scheme

Conclusion

## E+M Idea



- The idea behind the scheme is to use the exact scheme for the underlying asset process and the Euler scheme for the volatility process.
- The motivation for this is the fact that the frequency of negative values of the variance process decreases for the Milstein scheme compared to Euler.
- Why do we use the B&K approach? It can be used for discretizations on a larger number of time instants when not trying to sample the integral, but using an approximation instead.

## E+M Scheme



$$X_t = X_u + r(t - u) - \frac{1}{2} \int_u^t V_s ds + \int_u^t \sqrt{V_s} dW_s, \quad (12)$$

$$V_{i+1} = V_i + \kappa(\theta - V_i)h + \gamma\sqrt{V_i}hZ_2 + \frac{1}{4}\gamma^2h(Z_2^2 - 1). \quad (13)$$

# Outline



Truncated Gaussian Scheme

Exact+Milstein Scheme

Conclusion

