A Baum-Welch extension to learn Multiple Gaussian observation Hidden Markov Models

Raphaël Reynouard

October 18, 2022

1 Introduction

This document describes an extension of the Baum-Welch algorithm [1] for Multiple Gaussian observation Hidden Markov Models.

2 Preliminaries

A Multiple Gaussian Observations Hidden Markov Model is a GoHMM where each state contains n several Gaussian distributions that all generate an observation. We say that n is the degree of the MGoHMM. Hence, while a GoHMM trace is a sequence of real numbers, a trace for a MGoHMM of degree n is a sequence of sets of n real numbers.

Definition 2.1 (Multiple Gaussian Observations Hidden Markov Model) A MGoHMM is a tuple $\langle S, \pi, a, n, \{\theta_s\}_{s \in S} \rangle$ where:

- S is a set of states,
- $\pi := \mathcal{D}(S)$ is the initial distribution i.e. the model starts in state s with probability $\pi(s) := \pi_s$,
- $a: S \mapsto \mathcal{D}(S)$ is the transition function. The model moves from state s to s' with probability $a(s)(s') := a_{s,s'}$,
- n is the degree of the model,
- $\theta_s = \{\theta_s^{(1)}, \dots, \theta_s^{(n)}\}\$ are the parameters used by the n Gaussian distributions to generate the observations while in state s, where $\theta_s^{(i)} = \{\mu_s^{(i)}, \sigma_s^{(i)}\}\$.

In this context, an observation is a set of n real numbers $\ell = \{\ell^{(1)}, \cdots, \ell^{(n)}\}$, where $\ell^{(i)}$ is generated by the Gaussian distribution of parameters $\theta^{(i)}_s$, with s the current state.

We denote by $b(s)(\ell)$ (or shortly $b_{s,\ell}$), the likelihood that the model generates $\ell \in \mathbb{R}^n$ while in state s, is:

$$b(s)(\ell) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sigma_s^{(i)} \sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\mu_s^{(i)} - \ell^{(i)}}{\sigma_s^{(i)}}\right)^2}.$$

A path is a sequence in **Paths** = $(S \times \mathbb{R}^n)^*S$ representing a finite execution of a MGoHMM \mathcal{M} of degree n, and a trace is a finite sequence in **Traces** = $(\mathbb{R}^n)^*$ representing a finite execution of a MGoHMM for which we cannot see the states.

We denote by $|\rho|$ the length of a path ρ , i.e. the number of observations in this path, and by |o| the length of a trace o.

For $i \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$, we define X_i : **Paths** $\to S$, Y_i : **Paths** $\to \mathbb{R}^n$, and O_i : **Paths** \to **Traces** respectively as $X_i(\rho) = s_i$, $Y_i(\rho) = \ell_i$, and $O_i(\rho) = \ell_1 \cdots \ell_i$, where $\rho = (s_1, \ell_1)(s_2, \ell_2) \cdots (s_n, \ell_n)s_{n+1}$ is a path.

We denote by $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ the set of discrete probability distributions on Ω . The *Dirac* distribution concentrated at x is the distribution $1_x \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ defined, for arbitrary $y \in \Omega$, as $1_x(y) = 1$ if x = y, 0 otherwise.

A path of length T can be built from a sequence $\gamma = s_1 \dots s_{T+1}$ of states and a trace $o = \ell_1 \dots \ell_T$. A such path is $o : \gamma := s_1 \ell_1 s_2 \ell_2 \dots s_T \ell_T s_{T+1}$.

We denote by $l(\rho; \mathcal{M})$ the likelihood of a path ρ under a model \mathcal{M} , and by $l(\rho; \mathcal{M})$ the likelihood of a trace ρ under a model \mathcal{M} . We have:

$$l(\rho; \mathcal{M}) = \pi_{s_1} \prod_{t=1}^{|\rho|} a(s_t)(s_{t+1}) \times b(s_t)(\ell_t)$$
$$l(\rho; \mathcal{M}) = \sum_{\gamma \in S^{|\rho|}} l(\rho; \gamma; \mathcal{M})$$

Hence:

$$\ln l(\rho; \mathcal{M}) = \ln \pi_{s_1} + \sum_{t=1}^{|\rho|} \ln a(s_t)(s_{t+1}) + \sum_{t=1}^{|\rho|} \ln b(s_t)(\ell_t)$$
 (1)

Now we define $\gamma_o: S \times \{1...T+1\} \rightarrow [0,1]$ and $\xi_o: S \times \{1...T\} \times S \rightarrow [0,1]$ as

$$\gamma_o(s,t) = Pr^{\mathcal{M}}[X_t = s | O_T = o],$$

 $\xi_o(s,t)(s') = Pr^{\mathcal{M}}[X_t = s, X_{t+1} = s' | O_T = o].$

Intuitively, $\gamma_o(s,t)$ is the likelihood of being in state s at the t-th steps, and $\xi_o(s,t)(s')$ is the likelihood that the t-th transition is from s to s'.

We define the forward and the backward functions $\alpha_o, \beta_o \colon S \times \{1 \dots T+1\} \to [0,1]$ as

$$\alpha_o(s,t) = Pr^{\mathcal{M}}[Y_{1:t-1} = \ell_1 ... \ell_{t-1}, X_t = s], \text{ and}$$

 $\beta_o(s,t) = Pr^{\mathcal{M}}[Y_{t:T} = \ell_t ... \ell_T | X_t = s].$

These can be calculated according to the following recurrences

$$\alpha_o(s,t) = \begin{cases} \pi(s) & \text{if } t = 1\\ \sum_{s' \in S} \alpha(s', t - 1) \cdot a(s')(s) \cdot b(s')(\ell_{t-1}) & \text{if } 1 < t \le T + 1 \end{cases}$$

$$\beta_o(s,t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t = T + 1\\ \sum_{s' \in S} a(s)(s') \cdot b(s)(\ell_t) \cdot \beta(s', t + 1) & \text{if } 1 \le t \le T \end{cases}$$

Thus:

$$\gamma_o(s,t) = \frac{\alpha_o(s,t) \beta_o(s,t)}{\sum_{u \in S} \alpha_o(u,t) \beta_o(u,t)}$$
$$\xi_o(s,t)(s') = \frac{\alpha_o(s,t) \cdot a_{s,s'} \cdot b_{s,\ell} \cdot \beta_o(s',t)}{\sum_{u \in S} \alpha_o(u,t) \beta_o(u,t)}$$

3 Baum-Welch for MGoHMM

On a given finite set \mathcal{O} of traces, the Baum-Welch algorithm can be described as repeating the two following steps until convergence:

1. Compute
$$Q(\mathcal{M}', \mathcal{M}^{(n)}) = \sum_{\gamma} \sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \ln \left[l(o : \gamma; \mathcal{M}') \right] l(\gamma | o; \mathcal{M}^{(n)}).$$

2. Set
$$\mathcal{M}^{(n+1)} = \underset{\mathcal{M}'}{\operatorname{arg max}} Q(\mathcal{M}', \mathcal{M}^{(n)}).$$

Let
$$\mathcal{M}^{(n)} = \langle S, \pi, a, \{\theta_s\}_{s \in S} \rangle$$
 and $\mathcal{M}' = \langle S, \hat{\pi}, \hat{a}, \{\hat{\theta}_s\}_{s \in S} \rangle$.

First, noting that $l(o:\gamma) = l(o)l(\gamma|o)$, we can write:

$$\underset{\mathcal{M}'}{\operatorname{arg\,max}} Q(\mathcal{M}', \mathcal{M}^{(n)}) = \underset{\mathcal{M}'}{\operatorname{arg\,max}} \sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{\gamma} \ln \left[l(o : \gamma; \mathcal{M}') \right] l(\gamma | o; \mathcal{M}^{(n)})$$

$$= \underset{\mathcal{M}'}{\operatorname{arg\,max}} \sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{\gamma} \ln \left[l(o : \gamma; \mathcal{M}') \right] l(o : \gamma; \mathcal{M}^{(n)})$$

Plugging (1) into $Q(\mathcal{M}', \mathcal{M}^{(n)})$ we get:

$$Q(\mathcal{M}', \mathcal{M}^{(n)}) = \sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{\gamma} \ln \hat{\pi}_{s_1} l(o : \gamma; \mathcal{M}^{(n)})$$

$$+ \sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{\gamma} \sum_{t=1}^{|o|} \ln \hat{a}(s_t)(s_{t+1}) l(o : \gamma; \mathcal{M}^{(n)})$$

$$+ \sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{\gamma} \sum_{t=1}^{|o|} \ln \hat{b}(s_t)(\ell_t) l(o : \gamma; \mathcal{M}^{(n)})$$

Now we optimise with Lagrange multipliers $(l_{\pi} \text{ and } l_{a_s})$. Let $L(\mathcal{M}', \mathcal{M}^{(n)})$ be the Lagrangian:

$$L(\mathcal{M}', \mathcal{M}^{(n)}) = Q(\mathcal{M}', \mathcal{M}^{(n)}) - l_{\pi} \left(\sum_{s \in S} \hat{\pi}_s - 1 \right) - \sum_{s \in S} l_{a_s} \left(\sum_{s'} \hat{a}(s)(s') - 1 \right)$$

3.1 Estimation of π

First, let focus on the π_s 's:

$$\frac{\partial \hat{L}(\mathcal{M}', \mathcal{M}^{(n)})}{\partial \hat{\pi}_s} = \frac{\partial Q(\mathcal{M}', \mathcal{M}^{(n)})}{\partial \hat{\pi}_s} - l_{\pi} = 0$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{\pi}_s} \left(\sum_{\gamma} \sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \ln \hat{\pi}(s_1) l(o : \gamma; \mathcal{M}^{(n)}) \right) - l_{\pi} = 0$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{\pi}_s} \left(\sum_{s'} \sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \ln \hat{\pi}(s') l(s_1 = s', o; \mathcal{M}^{(n)}) \right) - l_{\pi} = 0$$

$$= \sum_{s \in \mathcal{O}} \frac{l(s_1 = s, o; \mathcal{M}^{(n)})}{\hat{\pi}_s} - l_{\pi} = 0$$

Hence:

$$\hat{\pi}_s = \sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \frac{l(s_1 = s, o; \mathcal{M}^{(n)})}{l_{\pi}} \tag{2}$$

Furthermore:

$$\frac{\partial \hat{L}(\mathcal{M}', \mathcal{M}^{(n)})}{\partial l_{\pi}} = -\left(\sum_{s \in S} \hat{\pi}_s - 1\right) = 0 \tag{3}$$

By plugging (2) into (3) we get:

$$l_{\pi} = \sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{s'} l(s_1 = s', o; \mathcal{M}^{(n)})$$
 (4)

And by plugging (4) into (2):

$$\hat{\pi}_{s} = \frac{\sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} l(s_{1} = s, o; \mathcal{M}^{(n)})}{\sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{s'} l(s_{1} = s', o; \mathcal{M}^{(n)})}$$
$$\hat{\pi}_{s} = \frac{\sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} l(s_{1} = s|o; \mathcal{M}^{(n)})}{\sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{s'} l(s_{1} = s'|o; \mathcal{M}^{(n)})}$$

$$\hat{\pi}_s = \frac{\sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \gamma_o(s, 0)}{\sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{s' \in S} \gamma_o(s', 0)}$$

3.2 Estimation of a

Now, let focus on the $a_{s,s'}$'s:

$$\frac{\partial L(\mathcal{M}', \mathcal{M}^{(n)})}{\partial \hat{a}_{s,s'}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{a}_{s,s'}} \left(\sum_{\gamma} \sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{t=1}^{|o|} \ln[\hat{a}_{s_t,s'_{t+1}}] l(o : \gamma; \mathcal{M}^{(n)}) \right) - l_{a_s} = 0$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{a}_{s,s'}} \left(\sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{u,u' \in S} \sum_{t=1}^{|o|} \ln[\hat{a}_{u,u'}] l(s_t = u, s_{t+1} = u', o; \mathcal{M}^{(n)}) \right) - l_{a_s} = 0$$

$$= \frac{\sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{t=1}^{|o|} l(s_t = s, s_{t+1} = s', o; \mathcal{M}^{(n)})}{\hat{a}_{s,s'}} - l_{a_s} = 0$$

Hence:

$$\hat{a}_{s,s'} = \frac{\sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{t=1}^{|o|} l(s_t = s, s_{t+1} = s', o; \mathcal{M}^{(n)})}{l_{a_s}}$$
 (5)

Furthermore:

$$\frac{\partial L(\mathcal{M}', \mathcal{M}^{(n)})}{\partial l_{a_s}} = -\left(\sum_{s'} \hat{a}_{s,s'} - 1\right) = 0 \tag{6}$$

By plugging (5) into (6) we get:

$$l_{a_s} = \sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{u} \sum_{t=1}^{|o|} l(s_t = s, s_{t+1} = u, o; \mathcal{M}^{(n)})$$
 (7)

$$= \sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{t=1}^{|o|} l(s_t = s, o; \mathcal{M}^{(n)})$$
 (8)

And by plugging (8) into (5):

$$\hat{a}_{s,s'} = \frac{\sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{t=1}^{|o|} l(s_t = s, s_{t+1} = s', o; \mathcal{M}^{(n)})}{\sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{t=1}^{|o|} l(s_t = s, o; \mathcal{M}^{(n)})}$$
$$\hat{a}_{s,s'} = \frac{\sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{t=1}^{|o|} l(s_t = s, s_{t+1} = s' | o; \mathcal{M}^{(n)})}{\sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{t=1}^{|o|} l(s_t = s, |o; \mathcal{M}^{(n)})}$$

$$\hat{a}_{s,s'} = \frac{\sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{t=1}^{|o|} \xi_o(s,t)(s')}{\sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{t=1}^{|o|} \gamma_o(u,t)}$$

3.3 Estimation of b

3.3.1 Estimation of μ

First, notice that:

$$\ln b(s)(\ell) = \ln \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sigma_s^{(i)} \sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\mu_s^{(i)} - \ell^{(i)}}{\sigma_s^{(i)}} \right)^2} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} -\ln(\sigma_s^{(i)} \sqrt{2\pi}) - \frac{\ell^{(i)^2}}{2\sigma_s^{(i)^2}} + \frac{\ell^{(i)} \mu_s^{(i)}}{\sigma_s^{(i)^2}} - \frac{\mu_s^{(i)^2}}{2\sigma_s^{(i)^2}}$$

$$\frac{\partial \ln b(s)(\ell)}{\partial \mu_s^{(i)}} = \frac{\ell^{(i)}}{\sigma_s^{(i)^2}} - \frac{\mu_s^{(i)}}{\sigma_s^{(i)^2}}$$

$$(10)$$

Furthermore:

$$\frac{\partial L(\mathcal{M}', \mathcal{M}^{(n)})}{\partial \hat{\mu}_{s}^{(i)}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{\mu}_{s}^{(i)}} \left(\sum_{\gamma} \sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{t=1}^{|o|} \ln \hat{b}_{s_{t}, \ell_{t}} l(o : \gamma; \mathcal{M}^{(n)}) \right) = 0 \qquad (11)$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{\mu}_{s}^{(i)}} \left(\sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{u \in S} \sum_{t=1}^{|o|} \ln \hat{b}_{s, \ell_{t}} l(s_{t} = u, o; \mathcal{M}^{(n)}) \right) = 0 \qquad (12)$$

$$= \sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{t=1}^{|o|} \frac{\ell_{t}^{(i)} l(s_{t} = s, o; \mathcal{M}^{(n)})}{\hat{\sigma}_{s}^{(i)^{2}}} - \frac{\hat{\mu}_{s}^{(i)} l(s_{t} = s, o; \mathcal{M}^{(n)})}{\hat{\sigma}_{s}^{(i)^{2}}} = 0$$

$$(13)$$

From (13) we have:

$$\sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{t=1}^{|o|} \frac{\hat{\mu}_s^{(i)} l(s_t = s, o; \mathcal{M}^{(n)})}{\hat{\sigma}_s^{(i)^2}} = \sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{t=1}^{|o|} \frac{\ell_t^{(i)} l(s_t = s, o; \mathcal{M}^{(n)})}{\hat{\sigma}_s^{(i)^2}}$$

So:

$$\hat{\mu}_{s}^{(i)} = \frac{\sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{t=1}^{|o|} \ell_{t}^{(i)} l(s_{t} = s, o; \mathcal{M}^{(n)})}{\sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{t=1}^{|o|} l(s_{t} = s, o; \mathcal{M}^{(n)})}$$
$$\hat{\mu}_{s}^{(i)} = \frac{\sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{t=1}^{|o|} \ell_{t}^{(i)} l(s_{t} = s | o; \mathcal{M}^{(n)})}{\sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{t=1}^{|o|} l(s_{t} = s | o; \mathcal{M}^{(n)})}$$

$$\hat{\mu}_{s}^{(i)} = \frac{\sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{t=1}^{|o|} \ell_{t}^{(i)} \gamma_{o}(s, t)}{\sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{t=1}^{|o|} \sum_{s' \in S} \gamma_{o}(s', t)}$$

3.3.2 Estimation of σ_s

From (10) we have:

$$\frac{\partial \ln b(s)(\ell)}{\partial \sigma_s^{(i)}} = \frac{1}{\sigma_s^{(i)3}} \left((\ell^{(i)} - \mu_s^{(i)})^2 - \sigma_s^{(i)2} \right)$$
(14)

As usual:

$$\frac{\partial L(\mathcal{M}', \mathcal{M}^{(n)})}{\partial \hat{\sigma}_s^{(i)}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{\sigma}_s^{(i)}} \left(\sum_{\gamma} \sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{t=1}^{|o|} \ln \hat{b}_{s_t, \ell_t} l(o : \gamma; \mathcal{M}^{(n)}) \right) = 0$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{\sigma}_s^{(i)}} \left(\sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{s} \sum_{t=1}^{|o|} \ln \hat{b}_{s, \ell_t} l(s_t = s, o; \mathcal{M}^{(n)}) \right) = 0$$

And, from (14):

$$\frac{\partial L(\mathcal{M}', \mathcal{M}^{(n)})}{\partial \hat{\sigma}_s^{(i)}} = \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}_s^{(i)^3}} \sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{t=1}^{|o|} l(s_t = s, o; \mathcal{M}^{(n)}) \left((\ell_t^{(i)} - \hat{\mu}_s^{(i)})^2 - \hat{\sigma}_s^{(i)^2} \right) = 0$$

Hence we have:

$$\frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}_s^{(i)^3}} \sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{t=1}^{|o|} l(s_t = s, o; \mathcal{M}^{(n)}) (\ell_t^{(i)} - \hat{\mu}_s^{(i)})^2 = \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}_s^{(i)}} \sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{t=1}^{|o|} l(s_t = s, o; \mathcal{M}^{(n)})$$

Then, by isolating $\hat{\sigma}_s$ on the right side:

$$\hat{\sigma}_{s}^{(i)^{2}} = \frac{\sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{t=1}^{|o|} l(s_{t} = s, o; \mathcal{M}^{(n)}) (\ell_{t}^{(i)} - \hat{\mu}_{s}^{(i)})^{2}}{\sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{t=1}^{|o|} l(s_{t} = s, o; \mathcal{M}^{(n)})}$$
$$\hat{\sigma}_{s}^{(i)^{2}} = \frac{\sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{t=1}^{|o|} l(s_{t} = s | o; \mathcal{M}^{(n)}) (\ell_{t}^{(i)} - \hat{\mu}_{s}^{(i)})^{2}}{\sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{t=1}^{|o|} l(s_{t} = s | o; \mathcal{M}^{(n)})}$$

$$\hat{\sigma}_s^{(i)^2} = \frac{\sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{t=1}^{|o|} (\ell_t^{(i)} - \hat{\mu}_s^{(i)})^2 \gamma_o(s, t)}{\sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{t=1}^{|o|} \sum_{s' \in S} \gamma_o(s', t)}$$

References

[1] L. Baum, T. Petrie, G. Soules, and N. Weiss, "A maximization technique occurring in the statistical analysis of probabilistic functions of markov chains," 1970.