

Baum-Welch for Markov Chains

Raphaël Reynouard

September 26, 2022

1 Introduction

This document describes the Baum-Welch algorithm [1] for Markov Chains.

2 Preliminaries

We define a Markov Chain (MC) formally as follow:

Definition 2.1 (Markov Chain) *A MC is a tuple $\langle S, \mathcal{L}, \pi, \tau \rangle$ where:*

- S is a set of states,
- \mathcal{L} is a set of observations,
- $\pi := \mathcal{D}(S)$ is the initial distribution i.e. the model starts in state s with probability $\pi(s) := \pi_s$,
- $\tau : S \mapsto \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L} \times S)$ is the transition function. The model moves from state s to s' generating ℓ with probability $\tau(s)(\ell, s') := \tau_{s,\ell,s'}$,

A path is a sequence in **Paths** = $(S \times \mathcal{L})^* S$ representing a finite execution of a MC \mathcal{M} , and a trace is a finite sequence in **Traces** = \mathcal{L}^* representing a finite execution of a MC for which we cannot see the states.

We denote by $|\rho|$ the length of a path ρ , i.e. the number of observations in this path, and by $|o|$ the length of a trace o .

For $i \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$, we define $X_i : \mathbf{Paths} \rightarrow S$, $Y_i : \mathbf{Paths} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$, and $O_i : \mathbf{Paths} \rightarrow \mathbf{Traces}$ respectively as $X_i(\rho) = s_i$, $Y_i(\rho) = \ell_i$, and $O_i(\rho) = \ell_1 \dots \ell_i$, where $\rho = (s_1, \ell_1)(s_2, \ell_2) \dots (s_n, \ell_n)s_{n+1}$ is a path.

We denote by $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ the set of discrete probability distributions on Ω . The *Dirac distribution* concentrated at x is the distribution $1_x \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ defined, for arbitrary $y \in \Omega$, as $1_x(y) = 1$ if $x = y$, 0 otherwise.

A path of length T can be built from a sequence $\gamma = s_1 \dots s_{T+1}$ of states and a trace $o = \ell_1 \dots \ell_T$. A such path is $\rho : \gamma := s_1 \ell_1 s_2 \ell_2 \dots s_T \ell_T s_{T+1}$.

We denote by $l(\rho; \mathcal{M})$ the likelihood of a path ρ under a model \mathcal{M} , and by $l(o; \mathcal{M})$ the likelihood of a trace o under a model \mathcal{M} . We have:

$$l(\rho; \mathcal{M}) = \pi_{s_1} \prod_{t=1}^{|\rho|} \tau(s_t)(\ell_t, s_{t+1})$$

$$l(o; \mathcal{M}) = \sum_{\gamma \in S^{|o|}} l(o : \gamma; \mathcal{M})$$

Hence:

$$\ln l(\rho; \mathcal{M}) = \ln \pi_{s_1} + \sum_{t=1}^{|\rho|} \ln \tau(s_t)(\ell_t, s_{t+1}) \quad (1)$$

Now we define $\gamma_o : S \times \{1 \dots T+1\} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and $\xi_o : S \times \{1 \dots T\} \times S \rightarrow [0, 1]$ as

$$\gamma_o(s, t) = Pr^{\mathcal{M}}[X_t = s | O_T = o],$$

$$\xi_o(s, t)(s') = Pr^{\mathcal{M}}[X_t = s, X_{t+1} = s' | O_T = o].$$

Intuitively, $\gamma_o(s, t)$ is the likelihood of being in state s at the t -th steps, and $\xi_o(s, t)(s')$ is the likelihood that the t -th transition is from s to s' .

We define the forward and the backward functions $\alpha_o, \beta_o : S \times \{1 \dots T+1\} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ as

$$\alpha_o(s, t) = Pr^{\mathcal{M}}[Y_{1:t-1} = \ell_1 \dots \ell_{t-1}, X_t = s], \text{ and}$$

$$\beta_o(s, t) = Pr^{\mathcal{M}}[Y_{t:T} = \ell_t \dots \ell_T | X_t = s].$$

These can be calculated according to the following recurrences

$$\alpha_o(s, t) = \begin{cases} \pi(s) & \text{if } t = 1 \\ \sum_{s' \in S} \alpha_o(s', t-1) \cdot \tau(s')(\ell_t, s) & \text{if } 1 < t \leq T+1 \end{cases}$$

$$\beta_o(s, t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t = T+1 \\ \sum_{s' \in S} \tau(s)(\ell_t, s') \cdot \beta_o(s', t+1) & \text{if } 1 \leq t \leq T \end{cases}$$

Thus:

$$\gamma_o(s, t) = \frac{\alpha_o(s, t) \beta_o(s, t)}{\sum_{u \in S} \alpha_o(u, t) \beta_o(u, t)}$$

$$\xi_o(s, t)(s') = \frac{\alpha_o(s, t) \cdot \tau(s)(\ell_t, s') \cdot \beta_o(s', t+1)}{\sum_{u \in S} \alpha_o(u, t) \beta_o(u, t)}$$

3 Baum-Welch for MC

On a given finite set \mathcal{O} of traces, the Baum-Welch algorithm can be described as repeating the two following steps until convergence:

1. Compute $Q(\mathcal{M}', \mathcal{M}^{(n)}) = \sum_{\gamma} \sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \ln [l(o : \gamma; \mathcal{M}')] l(\gamma|o; \mathcal{M}^{(n)})$.
2. Set $\mathcal{M}^{(n+1)} = \arg \max_{\mathcal{M}'} Q(\mathcal{M}', \mathcal{M}^{(n)})$.

Let $\mathcal{M}^{(n)} = \langle S, \mathcal{L}, \pi, \tau \rangle$ and $\mathcal{M}' = \langle S, \mathcal{L}, \hat{\pi}, \hat{\tau} \rangle$.

First, noting that $l(o : \gamma) = l(o)l(\gamma|o)$, we can write:

$$\begin{aligned} \arg \max_{\mathcal{M}'} Q(\mathcal{M}', \mathcal{M}^{(n)}) &= \arg \max_{\mathcal{M}'} \sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{\gamma} \ln [l(o : \gamma; \mathcal{M}')] l(\gamma|o; \mathcal{M}^{(n)}) \\ &= \arg \max_{\mathcal{M}'} \sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{\gamma} \ln [l(o : \gamma; \mathcal{M}')] l(o : \gamma; \mathcal{M}^{(n)}) \end{aligned}$$

Plugging (1) into $Q(\mathcal{M}', \mathcal{M}^{(n)})$ we get:

$$\begin{aligned} Q(\mathcal{M}', \mathcal{M}^{(n)}) &= \sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{\gamma} \ln \hat{\pi}_{s_1} l(o : \gamma; \mathcal{M}^{(n)}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{\gamma} \sum_{t=1}^{|\gamma|} \ln \hat{\tau}(s_t)(\ell_t, s_{t+1}) l(o : \gamma; \mathcal{M}^{(n)}) \end{aligned}$$

Now we optimise with Lagrange multipliers (l_{π} and l_{τ_s}). Let $L(\mathcal{M}', \mathcal{M}^{(n)})$ be the Lagrangian:

$$\begin{aligned} L(\mathcal{M}', \mathcal{M}^{(n)}) &= Q(\mathcal{M}', \mathcal{M}^{(n)}) \\ &\quad - l_{\pi} \left(\sum_{s \in S} \hat{\pi}_s - 1 \right) \\ &\quad - \sum_{s \in S} l_{\tau_s} \left(\sum_{u, \ell} \hat{\tau}(s)(\ell, u) - 1 \right) \end{aligned}$$

3.1 Estimation of π

First, let focus on the π_s 's:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \hat{L}(\mathcal{M}', \mathcal{M}^{(n)})}{\partial \hat{\pi}_s} &= \frac{\partial Q(\mathcal{M}', \mathcal{M}^{(n)})}{\partial \hat{\pi}_s} - l_{\pi} = 0 \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{\pi}_s} \left(\sum_{\gamma} \sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \ln \hat{\pi}(s_1) l(o : \gamma; \mathcal{M}^{(n)}) \right) - l_{\pi} = 0 \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{\pi}_s} \left(\sum_{s'} \sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \ln \hat{\pi}(s') l(s_1 = s', o; \mathcal{M}^{(n)}) \right) - l_{\pi} = 0 \\ &= \sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \frac{l(s_1 = s, o; \mathcal{M}^{(n)})}{\hat{\pi}_s} - l_{\pi} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence:

$$\hat{\pi}_s = \sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \frac{l(s_1 = s, o; \mathcal{M}^{(n)})}{l_\pi} \quad (2)$$

Furthermore:

$$\frac{\partial \hat{L}(\mathcal{M}', \mathcal{M}^{(n)})}{\partial l_\pi} = - \left(\sum_{s \in S} \hat{\pi}_s - 1 \right) = 0 \quad (3)$$

By plugging (2) into (3) we get:

$$l_\pi = \sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{s' \in S} l(s_1 = s', o; \mathcal{M}^{(n)}) \quad (4)$$

And by plugging (4) into (2):

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\pi}_s &= \frac{\sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} l(s_1 = s, o; \mathcal{M}^{(n)})}{\sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{s' \in S} l(s_1 = s', o; \mathcal{M}^{(n)})} \\ \hat{\pi}_s &= \frac{\sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} l(s_1 = s|o; \mathcal{M}^{(n)})}{\sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{s' \in S} l(s_1 = s'|o; \mathcal{M}^{(n)})} \end{aligned}$$

Finally, using the previously defined coefficients:

$$\hat{\pi}_s = \frac{\sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \gamma_o(s, 0)}{\sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{s' \in S} \gamma_o(s', 0)}$$

3.2 Estimation of τ

Now, let focus on the $\tau_{s, \ell, s'}$'s:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial L(\mathcal{M}', \mathcal{M}^{(n)})}{\partial \hat{\tau}_{s, \ell, s'}} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{\tau}_{s, \ell, s'}} \left(\sum_{\gamma} \sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{t=1}^{|o|} \ln[\hat{\tau}_{s_t, \ell_t, s'_{t+1}}] l(o : \gamma; \mathcal{M}^{(n)}) \right) - l_{\tau_s} = 0 \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{\tau}_{s, \ell, s'}} \left(\sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{u, u' \in S} \sum_{t=1}^{|o|} \ln[\hat{\tau}_{u, \ell_t, u'}] l(s_t = u, s_{t+1} = u', o; \mathcal{M}^{(n)}) \right) - l_{\tau_s} = 0 \\ &= \frac{\sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{t=1}^{|o|} l(s_t = s, s_{t+1} = s', o; \mathcal{M}^{(n)}) \cdot 1_\ell(\ell_t)}{\hat{\tau}_{s, \ell, s'}} - l_{\tau_s} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence:

$$\hat{\tau}_{s, \ell, s'} = \frac{\sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{t=1}^{|o|} l(s_t = s, s_{t+1} = s', o; \mathcal{M}^{(n)}) \cdot 1_\ell(\ell_t)}{l_{\tau_s}} \quad (5)$$

Furthermore:

$$\frac{\partial L(\mathcal{M}', \mathcal{M}^{(n)})}{\partial l_{\tau_s}} = - \left(\sum_{u, \ell} \hat{\tau}_{s, \ell, u} - 1 \right) = 0 \quad (6)$$

By plugging (5) into (6) we get:

$$l_{\tau_s} = \sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{u, \ell} \sum_{t=1}^{|o|} l(s_t = s, s_{t+1} = u, o; \mathcal{M}^{(n)}) \cdot 1_\ell(\ell_t) \quad (7)$$

$$= \sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{t=1}^{|o|} l(s_t = s, o; \mathcal{M}^{(n)}) \quad (8)$$

And by plugging (8) into (5):

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\tau}_{s, \ell, s'} &= \frac{\sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{t=1}^{|o|} l(s_t = s, s_{t+1} = s', o; \mathcal{M}^{(n)}) \cdot 1_\ell(\ell_t)}{\sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{t=1}^{|o|} l(s_t = s, o; \mathcal{M}^{(n)})} \\ \hat{\tau}_{s, \ell, s'} &= \frac{\sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{t=1}^{|o|} l(s_t = s, s_{t+1} = s' | o; \mathcal{M}^{(n)}) \cdot 1_\ell(\ell_t)}{\sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{t=1}^{|o|} l(s_t = s | o; \mathcal{M}^{(n)})} \end{aligned}$$

Finally, using the previously defined coefficients:

$$\hat{\tau}_{s, \ell, s'} = \frac{\sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{t=1}^{|o|} \xi_o(s, t)(s') \cdot 1_\ell(\ell_t)}{\sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{t=1}^{|o|} \sum_{s \in S} \gamma_o(u, t)}$$

References

- [1] L. Baum, T. Petrie, G. Soules, and N. Weiss, “A maximization technique occurring in the statistical analysis of probabilistic functions of markov chains,” 1970.