

**Table A2.** Summary of appropriate [study design](#), [camera spacing](#), and [survey](#) effort (adapted from Wearn & Glover-Kapfer [2017] with additional references included) for various [modelling approaches](#). Note – these are guidelines only, using best available information. There is uncertainty associated with each of the different approaches. To address this, the table contains ‘minimum’, ‘ideal’ and ‘often’ used values, as well as qualifiers.

Approach	Camera arrangement	Camera spacing	Number of cameras	Camera days per camera location	Total number of camera days	Survey duration	References
<a href="#">Species inventory</a>	<a href="#">Targeted</a> <sup>1,2</sup> <a href="#">Random</a> if species poorly known <sup>3</sup>	No minimum <sup>2,4</sup> Ideally 1-2 km <sup>2,4,5</sup>	No minimum <sup>4</sup> Ideally $\geq 20$ <sup>1,3</sup>	No minimum; Ideally $\geq 30$ ; < 30 for highly detectable <sup>4</sup>	No minimum <sup>1,3,4</sup>	No maximum <sup>1,4</sup>	<sup>1</sup> Tobler et al., 2008 <sup>2</sup> Rovero et al., 2013 <sup>3</sup> Wearn et al., 2013
Species diversity & richness	Ideally, <a href="#">random</a> <sup>2,4</sup> <a href="#">Stratified</a> , or <a href="#">Stratified random</a> <sup>4</sup> <a href="#">Clustered</a> <sup>6,7</sup>	Ideally $\geq 1$ km, but closer may be justified <sup>1,8</sup> 1-2 km is often adequate (provided each camera is treated as an independent sample) <sup>1,4,9,10</sup>	Minimum 20; Ideally $\geq 50$ ; If stratified by habitat, 20-50 per stratum <sup>4</sup> 20-100 to reach species-accumulation asymptote <sup>9,11,12</sup> Commonly 30 <sup>9</sup> 25-35, scale-dependent <sup>13</sup>	Ideally $\geq 30$ <sup>4,9</sup>	Generally, 600-1500; $\geq 1000$ <sup>4</sup>	Ideally < 6 months; 3-6 months for medium-large mammals <sup>4</sup>	<sup>4</sup> Wearn & Glover-Kapfer, 2017 <sup>5</sup> Colyn et al., 2017 <sup>6</sup> O'Brien, 2010 <sup>7</sup> O'Connell & Bailey, 2011 <sup>8</sup> Cusack et al., 2015
<a href="#">Occupancy models</a> <sup>14</sup>	Ideally, <a href="#">random</a> <sup>7</sup> <a href="#">Random</a> or <a href="#">targeted</a> <sup>6,15-17</sup> <a href="#">Clustered</a> <sup>7,18</sup> <a href="#">Stratified random</a> <sup>4</sup>	Ideally, larger than home range (minimum) or > 1 km if home range size unknown <sup>4</sup> $\geq 1$ km is typical <sup>4</sup>	Minimum 40 <sup>4</sup> Ideally $\geq 100$ <sup>15-17</sup> >60; species-dependent <sup>2</sup> <20 for common (occur at >75% of sites) <sup>13</sup> ; $\leq 30$ if <a href="#">occupancy</a> > 0.8 <sup>17</sup> >150 for rare (occur at <25% of sites) <sup>13</sup> 30-60 sites for less common <sup>17</sup>	$\geq 30$ for most <sup>15-17</sup> 80-100 if <a href="#">detection probability</a> is low <sup>17</sup>	Species-dependent; >1200 for most <sup>4</sup> > 1,000 for most <sup>6,15-17</sup> > 5,000 for rare / hard to detect <sup>17</sup>	Species-dependent <sup>16</sup> Ideally < 6 months <sup>6,15-17</sup>	<sup>9</sup> Ahumada et al., 2011 <sup>10</sup> Kinnaird & O'Brien, 2011 <sup>11</sup> Wearn et al., 2016 <sup>12</sup> Li et al., 2012 <sup>13</sup> Kays et al., 2020

Approach	Camera arrangement	Camera spacing	Number of cameras	Camera days per camera location	Total number of camera days	Survey duration	References
<a href="#">Relative abundance indices (RAI)</a>	Ideally, <a href="#">random</a> <sup>4</sup>  <a href="#">Systematic random</a> <sup>4</sup>	No minimum <sup>4</sup>  Ideally, $\geq 1 \text{ km}^3$  1-2 $\text{km}^4$	As many as possible; Minimum of 20;  Ideally $\geq 50^{4,19}$  If stratified by covariates, 20-50 per covariate <sup>4</sup>	No minimum; Ideally $\geq 30$ ;  As many as possible <sup>4</sup>	Ideally $> 2000^4$  Enough to capture $> 10$ detections;  Ideally $> 20$ detections;  Usually $> 2,000$ total for many carnivores / rare ungulates <sup>4,19</sup>  $> 250$ for common <sup>4,19,20</sup>  20,000 "hyper-rare" (caught 0.1% of the time) <sup>4,6</sup>	No maximum; Ideally $< 12$ months <sup>3</sup>	<sup>14</sup> MacKenzie et al., 2002  <sup>15</sup> Mackenzie & Royle, 2005  <sup>16</sup> Guillera-Arroita et al., 2010  <sup>17</sup> Shannon et al., 2014  <sup>18</sup> Pacifici et al., 2015  <sup>19</sup> Rowcliffe et al., 2008  <sup>20</sup> Rovero & Marshall, 2009
<a href="#">Capture-recapture (CR)</a> / <a href="#">Capture-mark-recapture (CMR)</a> <sup>21,22</sup>	Ideally, <a href="#">paired</a> <sup>ii,1,2,4</sup> or <a href="#">random</a> <sup>4</sup>  <a href="#">Targeted</a> <sup>iii,1,4,23</sup>  <a href="#">Targeted</a> for carnivores <sup>2</sup>  <a href="#">Systematic</a> <sup>24</sup>	Spatially-dependent <sup>iv,4</sup>  Species-dependent <sup>v,2</sup> ( $<$ home range size);  $> 4$ per home range <sup>4</sup>  2-4 per smallest home range <sup>2</sup>  1-4 km is typical <sup>1,4,23</sup>	At least 1 per smallest home range <sup>2,21</sup>  At least enough to capture 10-30 individuals <sup>2</sup>  At least enough to capture the home range of 5-10 individuals <sup>4,25-26, 31</sup>	$\geq 30$ for all but the most detectable;  $> 60$ for reasonable precision for most;  $> 60-120$ if capture probability is low <sup>4,25</sup>	$> 1,000$ for most species;  $> 1200$ common;  $> 3,500$ if the <a href="#">detection probability</a> is low <sup>4</sup>	As short as possible <sup>4</sup>  $> 60$ recaptures <sup>2</sup>  Species-dependent; Ideally $< 3$ months <sup>1,23</sup>	<sup>21</sup> Karanth & Nichols, 1998  <sup>22</sup> Karanth, 1995  <sup>23</sup> Sollmann et al., 2012
<a href="#">Spatially explicit capture-recapture (SECR)</a> / <a href="#">Spatial capture-recapture (SCR)</a> <sup>26-29</sup>	<a href="#">Paired</a> <sup>2,4</sup>  <a href="#">Clustered</a> <sup>4,30</sup>  <a href="#">Systematic</a> <sup>24</sup>	Species-dependent ( $<$ home range size); Ideally, 1/3 the home range radius <sup>4,23,30</sup> ( $\sim 4-7$ camera per home range) <sup>4</sup>  Maximum of 0.8 times the home range radius <sup>4,23,30</sup>	Enough to capture of $> 20$ individuals <sup>4,32</sup> and ideally 20-50 total recaptures <sup>4,31,33</sup>  <b>Recommendation:</b> Enough to recapture 10-30 individuals <sup>4,34</sup>  <b>Recommendation:</b> If used suggested 4 camera per home range, 40-120 locations <sup>4</sup>  60-100 if <a href="#">detection probability</a> is $< 0.1^{25}$	$\geq 30$ for all but the most detectable;  $> 60$ for reasonable precision for most;  $> 60-120$ if capture probability is low <sup>4,25</sup>	Enough for 20-50 recaptures total <sup>4,31,33</sup>	Minimum of 30 days per <a href="#">survey</a> (presuming multiple surveys will be completed; ideally $> 12$ months total; based on minimum requirements for running <a href="#">SCR</a> models) <sup>35,36</sup> ;  Ideally 60-90 days (depending on time required to maximize detections while	<sup>24</sup> Clarke et al., 2023  <sup>25</sup> Tobler & Powell, 2013  <sup>26</sup> Krebs et al., 2011  <sup>27</sup> Borchers & Efford, 2008  <sup>28</sup> Royle & Young, 2008  <sup>29</sup> Royle et al., 2009

Approach	Camera arrangement	Camera spacing	Number of cameras	Camera days per camera location	Total number of camera days	Survey duration	References
<a href="#">Spatial mark-resight (SMR)</a> (type of <a href="#">SCR</a> model) <sup>23,30,37</sup>	<a href="#">Random</a> with respect to activity centres <sup>38</sup>  <a href="#">Systematic random</a> or <a href="#">clustered</a> <sup>24</sup>	1-3 sigma (related to home range size) <sup>30</sup>	Minimum of 30;  60 (but will depend on <a href="#">detection probability</a> and resight data) <sup>36,39</sup>	Minimum 30 (precision is dependent on number of <a href="#">marked</a> individuals in a population) <sup>36,39</sup>	360 days <sup>36,39</sup>	minimizing the violation of the "closed population" <a href="#">assumption</a> ) <sup>35,36</sup>	<sup>30</sup> Sun et al., 2014 <sup>31</sup> Noss et al., 2012 <sup>32</sup> Foster & Harmsen, 2011 <sup>33</sup> Efford, 2004 <sup>34</sup> Karanth et al., 2011 <sup>35</sup> Burgar et al., 2018
<a href="#">Spatial count (SC)</a> <sup>37</sup> (type of <a href="#">SCR</a> model)	<a href="#">Systematic random</a> or <a href="#">clustered</a> may be best <sup>24,30,40</sup>	Close enough that individuals will be detected at multiple locations <sup>24,29</sup>	Minimum of 30;  60 (but will depend on <a href="#">detection probability</a> and resight data) <sup>35,41</sup>	≥ 30 for all but the most detectable;  >60 for reasonable precision for most; >60-120 if capture probability is low <sup>4,25</sup>	-		
<a href="#">Spatial Partial Identity Model (Categorical SPIM; catSPIM)</a> <sup>24,41,42</sup>	Same as <a href="#">SC</a> <sup>24,30,41,42</sup>	Similar to <a href="#">SC</a> or with fewer cameras <sup>41</sup>	Similar to <a href="#">SC</a> or less <sup>24,30,41,42</sup>	Similar to <a href="#">SC</a> or less <sup>24,30,41,42</sup>	Similar to <a href="#">SC</a> or less <sup>24,30,41,42</sup>	Similar to <a href="#">SC</a> or less (such that no change in identity trait - e.g., antlers present/absent) <sup>30</sup>	<sup>36</sup> Burgar, personal communication, April 23, 2023 <sup>37</sup> Chandler & Royle, 2013
<a href="#">Spatial Partial Identity Model (2-flank SPIM)</a> <sup>24,43</sup> (extension of <a href="#">SCR</a> model augmented with data from partially identifying images)	Same as <a href="#">SCR</a> <sup>24,43</sup>  Flexible (can be used with <a href="#">paired</a> -, single or hybrid camera configurations and single-or <a href="#">paired</a> stations) <sup>44</sup>  Regular, closely spaced cameras (relative to animal's home range sizes) ideal (more likely to capture both sides of animal) <sup>43</sup>	Fewer cameras than <a href="#">SCR</a> (or same number of cameras but larger sampling area)  Note - larger sampling areas preferred (less uncertainty associated with individual identification as fewer samples collected on the periphery of the camera array) <sup>43</sup>	Similar to <a href="#">SCR</a> or less <sup>24,43</sup>	Similar to <a href="#">SCR</a> or less <sup>24,43</sup>	Similar to <a href="#">SCR</a> or less <sup>24,43</sup>	Similar to <a href="#">SCR</a> or less <sup>24,43</sup>	<sup>38</sup> Sollmann et al., 2013b <sup>39</sup> Burgar, 2021 <sup>40</sup> Clark, 2019 <sup>41</sup> Sun et al., 2022 <sup>42</sup> Augustine et al., 2019 <sup>43</sup> Augustine et al., 2018 <sup>44</sup> Davis et al., 2021

Approach	Camera arrangement	Camera spacing	Number of cameras	Camera days per camera location	Total number of camera days	Survey duration	References
<a href="#">Random encounter models (REM)</a> <sup>19,45</sup>	<a href="#">Random</a> with respect to movement <sup>vi,2,4,45,46</sup> <a href="#">Systematic</a> <sup>46</sup> <a href="#">Systematic random</a> <sup>viii,4</sup> <a href="#">Stratified random</a> <sup>4</sup> Stratified targeted <sup>viii,4</sup>	No minimum; Ideally $\geq 1$ km <sup>4</sup> Spatially independent <sup>45</sup> $>$ home range diameter; 1-2 km without home range size, closer if using mixed models <sup>4</sup>	Minimum of 20; ideally $>50^{4,19}$ ; Dependent on species' <a href="#">density</a> <sup>4</sup>	No minimum; ideally $>30^4$	Minimum of 10 detections; Ideally $>20$ detections; Often 2,000 <a href="#">total camera days</a> <sup>2,19</sup> 1,000-10,000 for most, if activity & speed are to be estimated <sup>47</sup> $>2000$ for low- <a href="#">density</a> carnivores / rare ungulates <sup>4</sup>	Ideally $< 12$ months <sup>4</sup> No maximum <sup>19</sup>	<sup>45</sup> Rowcliffe et al., 2013 <sup>46</sup> Loonam et al., 2021 <sup>47</sup> Rowcliffe et al., 2016 <sup>48</sup> Nakashima et al., 2018 <sup>49</sup> Moeller et al., 2023 <sup>50</sup> Becker et al., 2022
<a href="#">Random encounter and staying time (REST)</a> <sup>48</sup>	Same as <a href="#">REM</a> <sup>49,50</sup>						<sup>51</sup> Huggard, 2018 <sup>52</sup> Warbington et al., 2020
<a href="#">Time in front of the camera (TIFC)</a> <sup>50-52</sup>	<a href="#">Random</a> or <a href="#">stratified random</a> (representative) with respect to movement <sup>50</sup>	Same as <a href="#">REM</a> <sup>49,50</sup>					<sup>53</sup> Howe et al., 2017 <sup>54</sup> Moeller et al., 2018 <sup>55</sup> Ridout & Linkie, 2009
<a href="#">Distance sampling (DS)</a> <sup>53</sup>	<a href="#">Random</a> with respect to movement, pointing in either random or consistent direction <sup>24,46</sup> <a href="#">Systematic</a> <sup>46</sup> <a href="#">Random</a> or <a href="#">targeted</a> across known <a href="#">density</a> gradient <sup>49</sup>	Dependent on spatial extent of interest <sup>49</sup>					<sup>56</sup> Rowcliffe et al., 2014

Approach	Camera arrangement	<a href="#">Camera spacing</a>	<a href="#">Number of cameras</a>	<a href="#">Camera days per camera location</a>	<a href="#">Total number of camera days</a>	Survey duration	References
<a href="#">Time-to-event (TTE) model</a> <sup>53</sup>	<a href="#">Random</a> with respect to movement <sup>46</sup>  <a href="#">Systematic</a> <sup>46</sup>  <a href="#">Systematic random</a> <sup>46</sup>	No minimum required if random sampling used <sup>53</sup>	Dependent on species <a href="#">density</a> and distribution (e.g., more cameras with lower <a href="#">density</a> and more clumped distribution) <sup>53</sup>  Minimum of 20; ideally > 50 <sup>54</sup>	No minimum <sup>53</sup>	Dependent on species <a href="#">density</a> and distribution <sup>54</sup>	None required  If demographic and geographic closure <a href="#">assumptions</a> are not met ( <a href="#">Appendix A - Table A1</a> ), the estimate will be the mean abundance or <a href="#">density</a> in <a href="#">study area</a> during the <a href="#">survey</a> <sup>54</sup>	
<a href="#">Space-to-event (STE) model</a> <sup>53</sup>		None (uses instantaneous snapshots) <sup>54</sup>					
<a href="#">Instantaneous sampling (IS)</a> <sup>53</sup>							
Behaviour	Ideally, <a href="#">random</a> ; <a href="#">Stratified</a> <sup>4</sup>  Usually <a href="#">targeted</a> <sup>4</sup>	<a href="#">Objective</a> -dependent <sup>4</sup>  Ideally, independant (larger than HR or > 1 km) <sup>55,56</sup>	Activity patterns: Enough to obtain > 100 detections <sup>55,56</sup>  > 20 per stratum <sup>4</sup>	-	-	Dependent on behavioural metric (e.g., if it occurs during a certain period) <sup>4</sup>	

- i **Spatially independent for species diversity and richness:** locations should be independent, meaning that any two locations do not sample the same community of animals. Note that this may be hard to achieve when considering the movement distances of some species, such as big cats, and in practice, a [camera spacing](#) of 1-2 km is often used (e.g., Tobler et al., 2008; Ahumada et al., 2011; Kinnaird & O'Brien, 2012)
- ii **Paired design for CR:** higher chance of recognizing all individuals captured in a [survey](#); using two cameras also decreases the chances of missing captures entirely (Tobler et al., 2008).
- iii **Targeted design for CR:** This design is commonly used when estimating densities of [marked populations](#) (e.g., [spatially explicit capture-recapture \[SECR\]](#); Borchers & Efford, 2008; Efford, 2004; Royle & Young, 2008) or behaviour studies. However, [targeted](#) sampling may impede the ability to draw inferences beyond the [survey](#) area.
- iv **Spatially independent CR:** "[camera locations](#) should be sufficiently close to one another such that individuals are picked up across more than one location" (Wearn & Glover-Kapfer, 2017).
- v **Species-dependent (home range size) for CR/CMR:** There is a trade-off between [density](#) and [survey](#) extent: 10-30 individuals exposed with a [camera location density](#) of at least 2-4 per smallest home range.
- vi **Random design for REM models:** Note that species with very restricted distributions in a landscape are best sampled using a [stratified design](#) (Wearn & Glover-Kapfer, 2017).
- vii **Systematic random design for REM:** to ensure a minimum separation between cameras (Wearn & Glover-Kapfer, 2017)
- viii **Stratified targeted for REM:** species that are highly restricted in occurrence (Wearn & Glover-Kapfer, 2017)