## INFO ENTRY - QUESTION INFO

ENTRY NOTES:

* green = does not need to be editted
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## Topic Info

If the topic is NOT related to a question, you can leave “question” as NULL

“question” here is more for your reference

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **info\_id** | mod\_divers\_rich |
| **question** | NULL |

## Assumptions, Pros, Cons – if modelling approach

Only for modelling approaches; can ignore otherwise (leave table here)

[WILL BE HERE, BUT INSERTED DIRECTLY FROM CSV FILE (THUS NO INPUT NEEDED)]

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Assumptions** | **Pros** | **Cons** |
|  |  |  |

## Overview

“Species richness is simply the number of species in a community.

Species diversity is more complex, and includes a measure of the number of species in a community, and a measure of the abundance of each species. Species diversity is usually described by an index, such as Shannon's Index H'.” {{ ref\_intext\_pyron\_2010 }}
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## Advanced

Parameters:

- \*\*α-richness (alpha richness)\*\*: species richness at the level of an individual camera location

- \*\*γ-richness (gamma richness)\*\*: species richness across a whole study area {{ ref\_intext\_wearn\_gloverkapfer\_2019 }}

- \*\*β-diversity (betadiversity)\*\*: the differences between the communities or, more formally, the variance among the communities

<br>

\*\*Observed \*vs\* estimated species richness\*\* (from {{ ref\_intext\_wearn\_gloverkapfer\_2019 }}):

- \*\*Observed species richness\*\*: the sum of the number of species seen (e.g. {{ ref\_intext\_kitamura\_et\_al\_2010 }}; {{ ref\_intext\_pettorelli\_et\_al\_2010 }}; {{ ref\_intext\_ahumada\_et\_al\_2011 }}; {{ ref\_intext\_samejima\_et\_al\_2012 }})

- Observed species richness will not, in general, be a reliable index of actual species richness because, even if sampling effort is strictly controlled, the detectability of species will vary across samples

- \*\*Estimated species richness\*\* (e.g. {{ ref\_intext\_tobler\_et\_al\_2008 }}; {{ ref\_intext\_kinnaird-&-obrien-2012 }}; {{ ref\_intext\_brodie\_et\_al\_2015 }}; {{ ref\_intext\_yue\_et\_al\_2015 }}; {{ ref\_intext\_wearn\_et\_al\_2016 }})

- Species richness estimation involves attempting to correct for “imperfect detection”, i.e. the fact that some species in a given sample may have been missed (Box 6-1).

<br>

The \*\*two principal ways of estimating species richness from camera trap dat \*\* are (from {{ ref\_intext\_wearn\_gloverkapfer\_2019 }}):<br>

- non-parametric estimators ({{ ref\_intext\_gotelli\_chao\_2013 }}), which use information about the rarest species in the sample to provide a minimum estimate of the number of true species (e.g. {{ ref\_intext\_tobler\_et\_al\_2008 }}),

- or 2) occupancy models ({{ ref\_intext\_mackenzie\_et\_al\_2006 }})
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## Figures

Placeholders here as “filename” can leave in if not <5 images

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Image** | **file\_name** | **Caption (if applicable)** | **ref\_id** |
|  | pyron\_2010\_fig1\_clipped.png | \*\*Figure 1: Species evenness and species richness for animalcule communities\*\*<br>  Both communities contain five species of animalcules. Species richness is the same. The community on the left is dominated by one of the species. The community on the right has equal proportions of each species. Evenness is higher when species are present in similar proportions. Thus the community on the left has higher species diversity, because evenness is higher. | pyron\_2010 |
| A black and white image of different types of objects  Description automatically generated | pyron\_2010\_fig1.png | NULL | pyron\_2010 |
|  | figure3\_filename.png | figure4\_caption | figure3\_ref\_id |
|  | molloy\_2018\_fig9.png | figure4\_caption | molloy-2018 |
|  | figure5\_filename.png | figure5\_caption | figure5\_ref\_id |
|  | figure6\_filename.png | figure6\_caption | figure6\_ref\_id |

## Video

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **caption** | **URL (no < / > before/after URL** | **ref\_id** |
| Abundance, species richness, and diversity | https://www.youtube.com/embed/ghhZClDRK\_g?si=khprL1u5NJrFduTb | project\_dragonfly\_2019 |
| Species accumulation and rarefaction curves | https://www.youtube.com/embed/4gcmAUpo9TU?si=\_S-JYDDskR8QbHs5 | mecks100\_2018 |
| Using vegan to calculate alpha diversity metrics within the tidyverse in R (CC196) | https://www.youtube.com/embed/wq1SXGQYgCs?si=Re5tglERblfkCNhDl | riffomonas\_project\_2022a |
| Species abundance tools in Genstat | https://www.youtube.com/embed/wBx7f4PP8RE?si=D6mtAMNMLlk3aH8H | vsn\_international\_2022 |
| Species Diversity and Species Richness | https://www.youtube.com/embed/UXJ0r4hjbqI?si=gYR6rOmIMgyibyvR | baylor\_tutoring\_center\_2021 |
| Field Ecology - Diversity Metrics in R | https://www.youtube.com/embed/KBByV3kR3IA?si=RPcG1lFQ-v0Shwaw | styring\_2020 |
| Generating a rarefaction curve from collector's curves in R within the tidyverse (CC198) | https://www.youtube.com/embed/ywHVb0Q-qsM?si=\_xJ5jbFc6MDEQlAh | riffomonas\_project\_2022b |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Shiny

Shiny name =  iNEXTOnline

Shiny caption = shiny\_caption

Shiny URL = <https://chao.shinyapps.io/iNEXTOnline>

## Analytical tools & resources

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Type** | **Name** | **Note** | **URL** | **ref\_id** |
| R package | Chapter 9 Community composition | \- | <https://bookdown.org/c\_w\_beirne/wildCo-Data-Analysis/composition.html#estimated-richnes> | wildco\_lab\_2021b |
| R package | R package “vegan | \- | <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/vegan/index.html> | oksanen\_et\_al\_2024 |
| Program | EstimateS | Dedicated software for estimating diversity, using asymptotic or rarefaction methods. Mac version available | <https://www.robertkcolwell.org/pages/1407> | colwell\_2022 |
| R package | Package ‘iNEXT’ - Interpolation and Extrapolation for Species Diversity | The [iNext package](https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/iNEXT/) (INterpolation and EXTrapolation of species richness) - is both easy to use and rapid to compute. It also comes with a wealth of plotting functions - see the [iNext Quick Introduction](https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/iNEXT/vignettes/Introduction.pdf) for a great walk through tutorial. Its core functionality is based on: [Chao, Anne, et al. “Rarefaction and extrapolation with Hill numbers: a framework for sampling and estimation in species diversity studies.” Ecological monographs 84.1 (2014): 45-67.](https://esajournals.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1890/13-0133.1) | >https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/iNEXT/iNEXT.pdf> | hsieh\_et\_al\_2015 |
| Exercise/Tutorial | 2.2: Measuring Species Diversity | Easy to interpet explanation of species richness vs evenness, species area curves, rarefaction, and how to calculate diversity | <https://bio.libretexts.org/Courses/University\_of\_California\_Davis/BIS\_2B%3A\_Introduction\_to\_Biology\_-\_Ecology\_and\_Evolution/02%3A\_Biodiversity/2.02%3A\_Measuring\_Species\_Diversity> | gerhartbarley\_nd |
| R package / Tutorial | Species Accumulation Curves with vegan, BiodiversityR and ggplot2 | Software for interpolation and extrapolation of species diversityRarefied Species Accumulation Curves (the simple way) | <https://rpubs.com/Roeland-KINDT/694021> | resource6\_ref\_id |
| resource7\_type | resource7\_name | resource7\_note | resource7\_url | resource7\_ref\_id |

## References / Glossary

items in-text above (IGNORE FOR NOW)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ref\_id | glossary\_keys |
| {{ ref\_bib\_ahumada\_et\_al\_2011 }}  {{ ref\_bib\_baylor\_tutoring\_center\_2021 }}  {{ ref\_bib\_brodie\_et\_al\_2015 }}  {{ chao\_et\_al\_2016 }}  {{ chao\_et\_al\_2014 }}  {{ ref\_bib\_colwell\_2022 }}  {{ ref\_bib\_gerhartbarley\_nd }}  {{ ref\_bib\_gotelli\_colwell\_2001 }}  {{ ref\_bib\_gotelli\_colwell\_2010 }}  {{ ref\_bib\_hsieh\_et\_al\_2015 }}  {{ ref\_bib\_iknayan\_et\_al\_2014 }}  {{ ref\_bib\_kinnaird\_obrien\_2012 }}  {{ ref\_bib\_kitamura\_et\_al\_2010 }}  {{ ref\_bib\_mackenzie\_et\_al\_2006 }}  {{ ref\_bib\_mecks100\_2018 }}  {{ ref\_bib\_oksanen\_et\_al\_2024 }}  {{ ref\_bib\_pettorelli\_et\_al\_2010 }}  {{ ref\_bib\_project\_dragonfly\_2019 }}  {{ ref\_bib\_pyron\_2010 }}  {{ ref\_bib\_riffomonas\_project\_2022 }}  {{ ref\_bib\_samejima\_et\_al\_2012 }}  {{ ref\_bib\_styring\_2020 }}  {{ ref\_bib\_tobler\_et\_al\_2008 }}  {{ ref\_bib\_vsn\_international\_2022 }}  {{ ref\_bib\_wearn\_et\_al\_2016 }}  {{ ref\_bib\_wildco\_lab\_2021b }}  {{ ref\_bib\_yue\_et\_al\_2015 }} | keys\_here |

## Notes

(future ref / not included in markdown conversion)

“Species richness” can be thought of as the sum of different species seen in a particular area during a particular time period

Pg 62 wearn

6-2 Community variance or β-diversity

When considering two (or more) communities, it is possible to calculate a state variable which reflects the differences between the communities or, more formally, the variance among the communities. We sometimes call this community variance “β-diversity” (betadiversity). This is useful, for example, for assessing the degree to which communities subject to different management differ (e.g. comparing an old-growth site, a selectively-logged site and a plantation forest site). This is sometimes called “across-site” β-diversity, because it is being used to assess community variance across heterogeneous habitat types.

β-diversity measures can also be used to assess community variance within single habitat types, at a smaller scale. This is sometimes called “within-site” β-diversity (although the distinction from across-site β-diversity may not always be clear-cut). This can be important because changes in community variance within a study site may reflect changes in the fundamental processes which generate biodiversity at local scales (such as habitat heterogeneity and the connectivity of populations).

β-diversity should also play an important role in spatial conservation planning, for example in designing networks of reserves. All else being equal, if β-diversity is high, it will be important to establish a network of reserves so that all species in the landscape are covered. On the other hand, if β-diversity is low and communities are similar across space, then a single large reserve may be the best option.

Communities can also be compared across time, rather than across space, giving rise to temporal β-diversity. This can be used to track how much, and how quickly, communities are changing at a single site over time.

Camera trap studies typically sample a large number of locations, making them highly suitable for quantifying β-diversity, but this has rarely been done (but see: Wearn et al. 2016). At least in part, this is probably because the importance of β-diversity is poorly appreciated amongst wildlife biologists and conservationists. In addition, there are many different ways β-diversity can be calculated, each with their own strengths and weaknesses, with no single best measure. This can be confusing and lead to “analysis paralysis”. In common with species richness, β-diversity is also dependent on spatial scale (Olivier & Aarde 2014). For example, some habitats such as logged forests may show high β-diversity (rapid community turnover) at fine spatial scales, but low β-diversity (homogenous communities) at coarse spatial scales (Wearn et al. 2016). Finally, interpreting and communicating measures of β-diversity can be hard, because they are often in meaningless units, or because they do not lend themselves directly to comparisons across different studies.