# COL759 Assignment 4(a)

### Shankh, Anish

TOTAL POINTS

#### 12 / 15

QUESTION 1

## 1 (a) DLOG 5/8

- + 8 pts Correct reduction
- √ + 5 pts Correct reduction for easy version
  - + 2.5 pts Partial marks
  - + 0 pts Incorrect reduction

QUESTION 2

### 2 (b) RSA 7/7

- √ + 7 pts Correct reduction
  - + 3.5 pts Partial marks for attempt
  - + 0 pts Incorrect

COL759: Cryptography

November 2023

#### Problem: Collision Resistant Hashing based on number-theoretic assumptions

Solution:

(a) Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an adversary that can break the collision resistance property of the Hash function  $H: \mathbb{G}^{\lambda} \times \mathbb{Z}_{q}^{\lambda} \to \mathbb{G}$ 

$$H((g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{\lambda}), (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{\lambda})) = \prod_{i=1}^{\lambda} g_i^{\alpha_i}$$

with non-negligible probability  $\epsilon$ . We will use  $\mathcal{A}$  to build an adversary  $\mathcal{B}$  which breaks DLOG assumption. Consider the reduction:

#### Reduction for (a)

- The RSA Challenger sends the tuple  $(q, g, g^{\alpha})$  to  $\mathcal{B}$
- $\mathcal{B}$  samples  $i^* \leftarrow [\lambda]$  and  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_{\lambda-1} \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$ .
- $\mathcal{B}$  sends the key  $(g^{\beta_1}, g^{\beta_2} \dots g^{\alpha}, \dots g^{\beta_{\lambda-1}})$  where  $g^{\alpha}$  is the  $i^*$ th element of the tuple to  $\mathcal{A}$
- $\mathcal{A}$  responds with a collision  $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{i^*}, \ldots, x_{\lambda-1}), (y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_{i^*}, \ldots, y_{\lambda-1})$  such that

$$\left(\prod_{i=1}^{\lambda-1} g^{\beta_i x_i}\right) g^{\alpha x_{i^*}} = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{\lambda-1} g^{\beta_i y_i}\right) g^{\alpha y_{i^*}} \tag{1}$$

• Using the collision,  $\mathcal{B}$  computes  $\alpha$  as follows: Since  $g^m = g^n \implies m = n$  for the generator g, we have

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\lambda-1} \beta_i x_i\right) + \alpha x_{i^*} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\lambda-1} \beta_i y_i\right) + \alpha y_{i^*} \tag{2}$$

$$\alpha = (y_{i^*} - x_{i^*})^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{\lambda - 1} \beta_i (x_i - y_i)$$
(3)

Assuming  $y_{i^*} \neq x_{i^*}$  (explained below). Note that all the operations in eqn (2) and (3) are in  $\mathbb{Z}_q$ 

Figure 1: Reduction for (a)

As mentioned above, the reduction works only when  $y_{i^*} \neq x_{i^*}$ . Observe that at least two elements of the tuples  $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{i^*}, \ldots, x_{\lambda-1}), (y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_{i^*}, \ldots, y_{\lambda-1})$  must be distinct (if only one element is different, then equation (2) makes them equal). Since the index  $i^*$  is chosen randomly, probability that  $i^*$  matches with the distinct elements is

$$\Pr[\text{Match}] \ge \frac{2}{\lambda}$$

Hence the winning probability of the reduction is  $Pr[Win] \geq \frac{2\epsilon}{\lambda}$ 

#### NOTES:

- Since g is the generator of the group, any random element can be written in the form  $g^{\beta}$  where  $\beta$  is sampled randomly. So, the key received by  $\mathcal{A}$  is randomly generated.
- It is essential to sample  $i^*$  randomly. If we chose it to be fixed, then there may exist  $\mathcal{A}$  which always outputs collision tuples matching at that specific index.

## 1 (a) DLOG 5/8

- + 8 pts Correct reduction
- √ + 5 pts Correct reduction for easy version
  - + 2.5 pts Partial marks
  - + 0 pts Incorrect reduction

(b) Let us define an efficient adversary  $\mathcal{A}$  which can break the CRHF security of  $h_{N,e,z}$ . In other words, given input (N,e,z), adversary  $\mathcal{A}$  can output a collision  $(x_1,y_1)$  and  $(x_2,y_2)$ , with  $(x_1,y_1) \neq (x_2,y_2)$ , such that  $h_{N,e,z}(x_1,y_1) = h_{N,e,z}(x_2,y_2)$ . We will show that if there exists such an adversary  $\mathcal{A}$ , then it is possible to construct a reduction algorithm  $\mathcal{B}$  which uses  $\mathcal{A}$  to break the RSA problem. The algorithm  $\mathcal{B}$  interacts with  $\mathcal{A}$  and a challenger  $\mathcal{C}$  as follows:

#### Reduction for (b)

- The challenger  $\mathcal{C}$  runs the setup to obtain the key N, e and a random integer  $z \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_N^*$ . It forwards (N, e, z) to reduction  $\mathcal{B}$  which in turn forwards this to the adversary  $\mathcal{A}$ .
- The adversary then sends its collision as  $(x_1, y_1)$  and  $(x_2, y_2)$  to the reduction  $\mathcal{B}$ .
- $\mathcal{B}$  uses the output of adversary  $\mathcal{A}$  to compute the  $e^{th}$  root of z, which it then forwards to the challenger and breaks the RSA assumption.

Figure 2: Reduction for (b)

 $\mathcal{B}$ , given a collision, computes the  $e^{th}$  root of z as follows:

•  $\mathcal{A}$  forwards its collision  $(x_1, y_1)$  and  $(x_2, y_2)$  to  $\mathcal{B}$  such that

$$x_1^e \cdot z^{y_1} = x_2^e \cdot z^{y_2}$$

Observe that if  $y_1 = y_2$  then  $x_1^e = x_2^e$ . This would result in  $x_1$  being equal to  $x_2$ , since e is co-prime to  $\phi(N)$  and the  $e^{th}$  root (i.e. the inverse of e) should be unique. Therefore it is not possible for adversary to output a collision of the form  $(x_1, y), (x_2, y), x_1 \neq x_2$ . Hence we can safely assume  $y_2 > y_1$  without loss of generality.

• The reduction then computes the inverse of  $x_2$  and z. Since we have  $x_1^e \cdot z^{y_1} = x_2^e \cdot z^{y_2}$ , we can multiply both sides by  $(x_2^{-1})^e$  and  $(z^{-1})^{y_1}$  to get

$$(x_1x_2^{-1})^e = (z)^{y_2-y_1}$$

Let  $a = x_1 x_2^{-1}$  and  $b = y_2 - y_1$ . So the reduction has computed  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_N^*$  and  $b \in \mathbb{Z}_e^*$ , such that

$$a^e = z^b$$

•  $\mathcal{B}$  now needs to compute the  $b^{th}$  root of a. Observe that since b and e are co-prime, by the Extended Euclid's algorithm we can find m and n such that:

$$mb + ne = 1$$

Now,

$$z^1 = z^{mb+ne} = a^{me}z^{ne} = (a^m z^n)^e$$

Thus the  $e^{th}$  root of z is  $a^m z^n$ .

#### NOTES:

• Since  $x_2$  and z both belong to  $\mathbb{Z}_N^*$ , so we can compute using Extended Euclid's Algorithm s, t, u and v such that

$$sx_2 + tN = 1$$

and

$$uz + vN = 1$$

Using these we can efficiently compute the inverse of both  $x_2$  and z.

•  $b \in \mathbb{Z}_e^*$ . This is because both  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  belongs to  $\mathbb{Z}_e$  and assuming  $y_2 > y_1$  we can say that b < e. Also, it is not possible for  $y_1$  to be equal to  $y_2$  (as explained above). Hence 0 < b < e, which implies it belongs to  $\mathbb{Z}_e^*$ .

## 2 (b) RSA 7/7

- √ + 7 pts Correct reduction
  - + 3.5 pts Partial marks for attempt
  - + 0 pts Incorrect