

# Homework 5 for "Convex Optimization" Part. 2

1500010611 汪祎非

We consider the  $l_1$ -regularized problem

$$\min_x \frac{1}{2} \|Ax - b\|_2^2 + \mu \|x\|_1 \quad (1)$$

where  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and  $\mu > 0$  are given. We denote  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2} \|Ax - b\|_2^2 + \mu \|x\|_1$ ,  $g(x) = \frac{1}{2} \|Ax - b\|_2^2$ ,  $h(x) = \|x\|_1$ .

## 1 Problem 3(c)

We consider to apply the continuation strategy and Barzila-Borwein step size. We have three parameters  $\alpha, M_1, M_2$  for continuation. We set  $\mu_{max} = \max\{\alpha \|A^T b\|_\infty, \mu\}$ . Then, we take  $\mu_0 = \mu_{max}$ .

We consider  $h_\lambda$ , the Huber penalty approximation of  $h$ :

$$h_\lambda(x) = \sum_{l=1}^n h_\lambda^{(l)}(x) \quad (2)$$

where

$$h_\lambda^{(l)}(x) = \begin{cases} x_l^2/(2\lambda), & |x_l| \leq \lambda \\ |x_l| - \lambda/2, & |x_l| > \lambda \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

We set  $\lambda_0 = 10^{-1}$  and  $\lambda = 10^{-6}$ . We also have an extra parameter  $\beta$  for the decay of  $\lambda_0$ . Then, we denote  $f_{i,j}(x) = g(x) + \mu_i h_{\lambda_j}(x)$ . The gradient of  $f_{i,j}(x)$  is

$$\nabla f_{i,j}(x)_l = \begin{cases} (A^T Ax - A^T b)_l + \mu_i x_l / (\lambda_j), & |x_l| \leq \lambda_j \\ (A^T Ax - A^T b)_l + \mu_i \text{sign}(x_l), & |x_l| > \lambda_j \end{cases}$$

In  $(k + 1)$ -th iteration, if  $k = 0$ , we use the initial step size  $s$ . Otherwise, we calculate the BB step size  $s_k$ :

$$s_k = \frac{(x_k - x_{k-1})^T (x_k - x_{k-1})}{(x_k - x_{k-1})^T (\nabla f_{i,j}(x_k) - \nabla f_{i,j}(x_{k-1}))}$$

Then, we update  $x_{k+1}$  by

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - s_k \nabla f_{i,j}(x_k) \quad (\text{sgrad-upd})$$

and set  $k = k + 1$ .

In the case that  $\mu_i > \mu$  or  $\lambda_j > \lambda$ , after  $M_1$  iterations, we update both  $\mu_{i+1}$  and  $\lambda_{j+1}$  by

$$\mu_{i+1} = \max\{\mu, \alpha \min\{\|\nabla g(x_k)\|_\infty, \mu_i\}\} \quad (4)$$

$$\lambda_{j+1} = \max\{\beta \lambda_j, \lambda\} \quad (5)$$

and set  $i = i + 1$ ,  $j = j + 1$ . Then, we calculate  $f_{i,j}(x_k)$  and  $\nabla f_{i,j}(x_k)$ , reset the step size  $s_k = \min\{s, \lambda_j\}$ , update  $x_{k+1}$  by (sgrad-upd) and set  $k = k + 1$ . Because the Huber penalty approximation is  $\lambda_j$ -continuous.

If  $\lambda_j = \lambda$ ,  $\mu_i = \mu$ , we stop the algorithm after  $M_2$  iterations. The gradient method for smoothed primal problem with continuation strategy is given below:

---

**Algorithm 1** Gradient method for smoothed primal problem with continuation strategy

---

**Input:** initial guess  $x_0$ , step size  $s$ , continuation parameter  $\alpha$ ,  $M_1$ ,  $M_2$ , lambda decay parameter  $\beta$ .

- 1: Calculate  $\mu_{max} = \max\{\alpha \|A^T b\|_\infty, \mu\}$ . Let  $i = 0$ ,  $\mu_0 = \mu_{max}$ ,  $s_0 = s$ ,  $k = 0$ .
  - 2: Update  $x_{k+1}$  by (sgrad-upd),  $k = k + 1$
  - 3: **while**  $\lambda_j > \lambda$  or  $\mu_i > \mu$  **do**
  - 4:   **for**  $l = 1 : M_1$  **do**
  - 5:     Calculate BB step size  $s_k$ , update  $x_{k+1}$  by (sgrad-upd),  $k = k + 1$
  - 6:   **end for**
  - 7:    $\mu_{i+1} = \max\{\mu, \alpha \min\{\|\nabla g(x_k)\|_\infty, \mu_i\}\}$ ,  $\lambda_{j+1} = \max\{\beta \lambda_j, \lambda\}$ ,  $i = i + 1$ ,  $j = j + 1$
  - 8:   Calculate  $f_{i,j}(x_k)$  and  $\nabla f_{i,j}(x_k)$
  - 9:   Reset  $s_k = \min\{s, \lambda_j\}$ , update  $x_{k+1}$  by (sgrad-upd),  $k = k + 1$
  - 10: **end while**
  - 11: **for**  $l = 1 : M_2$  **do**
  - 12:   Calculate BB step size  $s_k$ , update  $x_{k+1}$  by (sgrad-upd),  $k = k + 1$
  - 13: **end for**
- 

In practice, we take  $s = 4 \times 10^{-4}$ ,  $\alpha = 0.1$ ,  $M_1 = 200$ ,  $M_2 = 300$ ,  $\beta = 0.1$ .

## 2 Problem 3(d)

We consider to apply the continuation strategy. Similarly, we have three parameters  $\alpha, M_1, M_2$  for continuation. We set  $\mu_{max} = \max\{\alpha\|A^T b\|_\infty, \mu\}$ . Then, we take  $\mu_0 = \mu_{max}$ .

We set  $\lambda_0 = 10^{-3}$  and  $\lambda = 10^{-6}$ . We also have an extra parameter  $\beta$  for the decay of  $\lambda_0$ . Then, we denote  $f_{i,j}(x) = g(x) + \mu_i h_{\lambda_j}(x)$ .

We set  $x_{-1} = x_0$ . In  $(k + 1)$ -th iteration, we update  $x_{k+1}$  by

$$\begin{cases} y = x_k + \frac{k-1}{k+2}(x_k - x_{k-1}) \\ x_{k+1} = y - s_k \nabla g(x_k) \end{cases} \quad (\text{fsgrad-upd})$$

and set  $s_{k+1} = s_k, k = k + 1$ . Here we fix the step size.

In the case that  $\mu_i > \mu$  or  $\lambda_j > \lambda$ , after  $M_1$  iterations, we update both  $\mu_{i+1}$  and  $\lambda_{j+1}$  by

$$\mu_{i+1} = \max\{\mu, \alpha \min\{\|\nabla g(x_k)\|_\infty, \mu_i\}\} \quad (6)$$

$$\lambda_{j+1} = \max\{\beta \lambda_j, \lambda\} \quad (7)$$

and set  $i = i + 1, j = j + 1$ . Then, we reset  $s_k = \min\{s, \lambda_j\}, x_{-1} = x_0 := x_k$  and  $k = 0$ .

If  $\lambda_j = \lambda, \mu_i = \mu$ , we stop the algorithm after  $M_2$  iterations. The fast gradient method for smoothed primal problem with continuation strategy is given below:

---

### Algorithm 2 Fast gradient method for smoothed primal problem with continuation strategy

---

**Input:** initial guess  $x_0$ , step size  $s$ , continuation parameter  $\alpha, M_1, M_2$ , lambda decay parameter  $\beta$ .

- 1: Calculate  $\mu_{max} = \max\{\alpha\|A^T b\|_\infty, \mu\}$ . Let  $i = 0, \mu_0 = \mu_{max}, s_0 = s, k = 0$ .
  - 2: Update  $x_{k+1}$  by (fsgrad-upd),  $k = k + 1$
  - 3: **while**  $\lambda_j > \lambda$  or  $\mu_i > \mu$  **do**
  - 4:   **for**  $l = 1 : M_1$  **do**
  - 5:     Update  $x_{k+1}$  by (fsgrad-upd),  $s_{k+1} = s_k, k = k + 1$
  - 6:   **end for**
  - 7:    $\mu_{i+1} = \max\{\mu, \alpha \min\{\|\nabla g(x_k)\|_\infty, \mu_i\}\}, \lambda_{j+1} = \max\{\beta \lambda_j, \lambda\}, i = i + 1, j = j + 1$
  - 8:   Reset  $s_k = \min\{s, \lambda_j\}, x_{-1} = x_0 := x_k$  and  $k = 0$ .
  - 9: **end while**
  - 10: **for**  $l = 1 : M_2$  **do**
  - 11:   Update  $x_{k+1}$  by (fsgrad-upd),  $s_{k+1} = s_k, k = k + 1$
  - 12: **end for**
- 

In practice, we take  $s = 4 \times 10^{-4}, \alpha = 0.2, M_1 = 200, M_2 = 700, \beta = 0.5$ .

### 3 Problem 3(e)

Suppose the step size in  $(k+1)$ -th iteration is  $s_k$ . We denote  $\text{prox}_{s_k \mu h}(x) = \arg \min_z \frac{1}{2} \|z - x\|_2^2 + s h(z)$  the proximal gradient of  $f(x)$  at  $x$ . Because  $h(x) = \|x\|_1$ , we have explicit expression for  $\text{prox}_{s_k \mu h}(x)$ , namely  $\text{prox}_{s_k \mu h}(x) = S_{s_k \mu}(x)$ , where  $S_\lambda$  is the soft thresholding operator.

We consider to apply the continuation strategy and Barzila-Borwein step size. We have three parameters  $\alpha, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2$  for continuation. We set  $\mu_{\max} = \max\{\alpha \|A^T b\|_\infty, \mu\}$ . Then, we take  $\mu_0 = \mu_{\max}$  and define  $f_i = g(x) + \mu_i h(x)$ . We set  $x_{-1} = x_0$ . In  $(k+1)$ -th iteration, if  $k = 0$ , we use the initial step size  $s$ . Otherwise, we calculate the BB step size  $s_k$ :

$$s_k = \frac{(x_k - x_{k-1})^T (x_k - x_{k-1})}{(x_k - x_{k-1})^T (\nabla g(x_k) - \nabla g(x_{k-1}))}$$

Then, we update  $x_{k+1}$  by

$$x_{k+1} = \text{prox}_{s_k \mu_i}(x_k - s_k \nabla g(x_k)) = S_{s_k \mu_i}(x_k - s_k A^T (Ax_k - b)) \quad (\text{prox-upd})$$

and set  $k = k + 1$ .

If  $\mu_i > \mu$  and we find that  $|\frac{f_i(x_k) - f_i(x_{k-1})}{f_i(x_{k-1})}| < \epsilon_1$ , then we update  $\mu_{i+1}$  by

$$\mu_{i+1} = \max\{\mu, \alpha \min\{\|\nabla g(x_k)\|_\infty, \mu_i\}\} \quad (\text{mu-upd})$$

and set  $i = i + 1$ . Then, we update  $x_{k+1}$  using the initial step size  $s$  by (prox-upd) and set  $k = k + 1$ .

If  $\mu_i = \mu$ , we stop the algorithm when we find that  $|\frac{f_i(x_k) - f_i(x_{k-1})}{f_i(x_{k-1})}| < \epsilon_2$ . The proximal gradient method with continuation strategy is given below:

In practice, we take  $s = 5 \times 10^{-4}$ ,  $\alpha = 0.5$ ,  $\epsilon_1 = 10^{-6}$ ,  $\epsilon_2 = 10^{-8}$ .

### 4 Problem 3(f)

Suppose the step size is fixed to be  $s$ . We apply the same continuation strategy. We have three parameters  $\alpha, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2$  for continuation. We set  $\mu_{\max} = \max\{\alpha \|A^T b\|_\infty, \mu\}$ . In  $(k+1)$ -th iteration. Then, we update  $x_{k+1}$  in the following way:

$$\begin{cases} y = x_k + \frac{k-1}{k+2}(x_k - x_{k-1}) \\ x_{k+1} = \text{prox}_{sh}(y - s_k \nabla g(x_k)) = S_{sh}(y - s A^T (Ax_k - b)) \end{cases} \quad (\text{FISTA-upd})$$

**Algorithm 3** Proximal gradient method with continuation strategy

---

**Input:** initial guess  $x_0$ , step size  $s$ , continuation parameter  $\alpha, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2$ .

- 1: Calculate  $\mu_{max} = \max\{\alpha\|A^T b\|_\infty, \mu\}$ . Let  $i = 0, \mu_0 = \mu_{max}, s_0 = s, k = 0$ .
- 2: Update  $x_{k+1}$  by (prox-upd),  $k = k + 1$
- 3: **while**  $\mu_i > \mu$  **do**
- 4:   **while**  $|\frac{f_i(x_k) - f_i(x_{k-1})}{f_i(x_{k-1})}| \geq \epsilon_1$  **do**
- 5:     Calculate BB step size  $s_k$ , update  $x_{k+1}$  by (prox-upd),  $k = k + 1$
- 6:   **end while**
- 7:    $\mu_{i+1} = \max\{\mu, \alpha \min\{\|\nabla g(x_k)\|_\infty, \mu_i\}\}, i = i + 1$
- 8:   Reset  $s_k = s$ , update  $x_{k+1}$  by (prox-upd),  $k = k + 1$
- 9: **end while**
- 10: **while**  $|\frac{f_i(x_k) - f_i(x_{k-1})}{f_i(x_{k-1})}| \geq \epsilon_2$  **do**
- 11:   Calculate BB step size  $s_k$ , update  $x_{k+1}$  by (prox-upd),  $k = k + 1$
- 12: **end while**

---

If  $\mu_i > \mu$  and we find that  $|\frac{f(x_k) - f(x_{k-1})}{f(x_{k-1})}| < \epsilon_1$ , then we update  $\mu_{i+1}$  by

$$\mu_{i+1} = \max\{\mu, \alpha \min\{\|\nabla g(x_k)\|_\infty, \mu_i\}\} \quad (\text{mu-upd})$$

and set  $i = i + 1$ . Then, we reset our algorithm, starting from  $x_k$ . We set  $x_{-1} = x_0 := x_k, k = 0$ .

We update  $x_{k+1}$  by (FISTA-upd) and set  $k = k + 1$ .

If  $\mu_i = \mu$ , we stop the algorithm when we find that  $|\frac{f(x_k) - f(x_{k-1})}{f(x_{k-1})}| < \epsilon_2$ . The proximal gradient method with continuation strategy is given below:

**Algorithm 4** Fast proximal gradient method with continuation strategy

---

**Input:** initial guess  $x_0$ , step size  $s$ , continuation parameter  $\alpha, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2$ .

- 1: Calculate  $\mu_{max} = \max\{\alpha\|A^T b\|_\infty, \mu\}$ . Let  $i = 0, \mu_0 = \mu_{max}, x_{-1} = x_0, k = 0$ .
- 2: Update  $x_{k+1}$  by (FISTA-upd),  $k = k + 1$
- 3: **while**  $\mu_i > \mu$  **do**
- 4:   **while**  $|\frac{f(x_k) - f(x_{k-1})}{f(x_{k-1})}| \geq \epsilon_1$  **do**
- 5:     Update  $x_{k+1}$  by (FISTA-upd),  $k = k + 1$
- 6:   **end while**
- 7:    $\mu_{i+1} = \max\{\mu, \alpha \min\{\|\nabla g(x_k)\|_\infty, \mu_i\}\}, i = i + 1$
- 8:   Reset  $x_0 = x_{-1} =: x_k, k = 0$ , update  $x_{k+1}$  by (FISTA-upd),  $k = k + 1$
- 9: **end while**
- 10: **while**  $|\frac{f(x_k) - f(x_{k-1})}{f(x_{k-1})}| \geq \epsilon_2$  **do**
- 11:   Update  $x_{k+1}$  by (FISTA-upd),  $k = k + 1$
- 12: **end while**

---

In practice, we take  $s = 5 \times 10^{-4}, \alpha = 0.5, \epsilon_1 = 10^{-6}, \epsilon_2 = 10^{-8}$ .

## 5 Numerical result

The whole test program is named *Test\_hw05\_02.m*. This time we run all algorithms mentioned before with same  $A$  and  $b$ . Suppose the value of objective function from cvx mosek is  $f_c$ , the value from proposed method is  $f_p$ , then the value of *objval to cvx mosek* is  $\frac{f_p - f_c}{f_c}$ . The numerical result is given in the following tables:

Table 1 Random seed is 2. The cpu time of cvx mosek is 1.13

Method	cpu time	objval to cvx mosek	error to cvx mosek
grad smoothed	0.81	$-6.55 \times 10^{-8}$	$1.17 \times 10^{-6}$
fast grad smoothed	1.37	$-5.84 \times 10^{-8}$	$1.11 \times 10^{-6}$
prox grad	0.23	$-1.87 \times 10^{-6}$	$3.27 \times 10^{-6}$
fast prox grad	1.00	$-1.71 \times 10^{-6}$	$2.01 \times 10^{-6}$

Table 2 Random seed is 7. The cpu time of cvx mosek is 1.15

Method	cpu time	objval to cvx mosek	error to cvx mosek
grad smoothed	0.88	$-1.54 \times 10^{-6}$	$1.63 \times 10^{-6}$
fast grad smoothed	1.39	$-1.57 \times 10^{-6}$	$1.74 \times 10^{-6}$
prox grad	0.21	$-3.09 \times 10^{-6}$	$3.19 \times 10^{-6}$
fast prox grad	0.97	$-3.00 \times 10^{-6}$	$2.53 \times 10^{-6}$

Table 3 Random seed is 9. The cpu time of cvx mosek is 1.09

Method	cpu time	objval to cvx mosek	error to cvx mosek
grad smoothed	1.01	$-3.01 \times 10^{-7}$	$9.98 \times 10^{-7}$
fast grad smoothed	1.48	$-3.26 \times 10^{-7}$	$1.01 \times 10^{-6}$
prox grad	0.19	$-2.06 \times 10^{-6}$	$2.65 \times 10^{-6}$
fast prox grad	0.70	$-1.97 \times 10^{-6}$	$1.98 \times 10^{-6}$