# 1 OR 3: Chapter 4 - Normal Form Games

### 1.1 Recap

In the previous lecture we discussed:

- Predicting rational behaviour using dominated strategies;
- The CKR;

We did discover certain games that did not have any dominated strategies.

### 1.2 Best response functions

#### 1.2.1 Definition

In an *n* player normal form game. A strategy  $s^*$  for player *i* is a best response to some strategy profile  $s_{-i}$  if and only if  $u_i(s^*, s_{-i}) \ge u_i(s, s_{-i})$  for all  $s \in S_i$ .

We can now start to predict rational outcomes in pure strategies by identifying all best responses to a strategy.

$$\begin{pmatrix}
(1,3) & (4,2) & (2,2) \\
(4,0) & (0,3) & (4,1) \\
(2,5) & (3,4) & (5,6)
\end{pmatrix}$$

We will underline the best responses for each strategy giving:

$$\begin{pmatrix} (1,\underline{3}) & (\underline{4},2) & (2,2) \\ (\underline{4},0) & (0,\underline{3}) & (4,1) \\ (2,5) & (3,4) & (\underline{5},\underline{6}) \end{pmatrix}$$

We see that  $(r_1, s_1)$  represented a pair of best responses. What can we say about the long term behaviour of this game?

## 1.3 Best responses against mixed strategies

We can identify best responses against mixed strategies. Let us take a look at the matching pennies game:

$$\begin{pmatrix} (1,-1) & (-1,1) \\ (-1,1) & (1,-1) \end{pmatrix}$$

If we assume that player 2 plays a mixed strategy  $\sigma_2 = (x, 1-x)$  we have:

$$u_1(r_1, \sigma_2) = 1 - 2x$$

and

$$u_1(r_2, \sigma_2) = 2x - 1$$




Figure 1:

- 1. If x < 1/2 then  $r_1$  is a best response for player 1.
- 2. If x > 1/2 then  $r_1$  is a best response for player 1.

3. If x = 1/2 then player 1 is in different.

Let us repeat this exercise for the battle of the sexes game.

$$\begin{pmatrix} (3,2) & (0,0) \\ (1,1) & (2,3) \end{pmatrix}$$

If we assume that player 2 plays a mixed strategy  $\sigma_2=(x,1-x)$  we have:

$$u_1(r_1, \sigma_2) = 3x$$

and

$$u_1(r_2, \sigma_2) = 2 - x$$




Figure 2:

- 1. If x < 1/2 then  $r_2$  is a best response for player 1.
- 2. If x > 1/2 then  $r_1$  is a best response for player 1.
- 3. If x = 1/2 then player 1 is indifferent.

## 1.4 Connection between best responses and dominance

### 1.4.1 Definition

In an n player normal form game, let us define the set  $UD_i$ :

$$UD_i = \{s \in S_i \mid s \text{ is not strictly dominated}\}$$

If we consider the following game:

$$\begin{pmatrix} (3,3) & (7,2) & (5,1) \\ (5,1) & (6,3) & (7,-1) \end{pmatrix}$$

We have:

$$UD_1 = \{r_1, r_2\}$$

$$UD_2 = \{s_1, s_2\}$$

#### 1.4.2 Definition

In an n player normal form game, let us define the set  $B_i$ :

$$B_i = \{ s \in S_i \mid \exists \ \sigma \in \Delta S_{-i} \text{ such that } s \text{ is a best response to } \sigma \}$$

In other words  $B_i$  is the set of functions that are best responses to some strategy profile in  $S_{-i}$ .

Let us try to identify  $B_2$  for the above game. Let us assume that player 1 plays  $\sigma_1 = (x, 1-x)$ . This gives:

$$u_2(\sigma_1, s_1) = 1 + 2x$$

$$u_2(\sigma_1, s_2) = 3 - x$$




Figure 3:

$$u_2(\sigma_1, s_3) = 2x - 1$$

We see that  $s_3$  is never a best response for player 2:

$$B_2 = \{s_1, s_2\}$$

We will now attempt to identify  $B_1$  for the above game. Let us assume that player two plays  $\sigma_2 = (x, y, 1 - x - y)$ . This gives:

$$u_1(r_1, \sigma_2) = xu_1(r_1, s_1) + yu_2(r_1, s_2) + (1 - x - y)u_3(r_1, s_3)$$
  
$$u_1(r_2, \sigma_2) = xu_1(r_2, s_1) + yu_2(r_2, s_2) + (1 - x - y)u_3(r_2, s_3)$$

However as noted earlier  $s_3$  is dominated by  $s_2$  so:

$$u_1(r_1, \sigma_2) < xu_1(r_1, s_1) + u_2(r_1, s_2)(1 - x) = 7 - 4x$$
  
 $u_1(r_2, \sigma_2) < xu_1(r_2, s_1) + u_2(r_2, s_2)(1 - x) = 6 - x$ 




Figure 4: Upper bounds on  $u_1$ 

We see that  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  are best responses for player 1 (however  $r_3$  cannot be as for any value of y the corresponding curves would be below the curves shown in Figure 4):

$R_1$	=	$\{r_1$	$r_{2}$	}
$\boldsymbol{\nu}_{\perp}$		1 / 1	, 12	ſ

We have seen in our little example that  $B_i = UD_i$ . This leads us to two Theorems (the proofs are omitted).

1.4.3	Theore	n
In a 2	– player nor	rmal form game $B_i = UD_i$ for all $i \in \{1, 2\}$ .
This is	however	not always the case:
1.4.4		
In an $r$	i player n	ormal form game $B_i \subseteq ID_i$ for all $1 \le i \le n$ .