

1 Homework sheet 2 - Nash equilibrium in normal form games

1. Compute the Nash equilibrium (if they exist) in pure strategies for the following games:

Solution

$$\begin{pmatrix} (5, \underline{3}) & (70, -1) & (\underline{4}, 2) \\ (\underline{6}, \underline{7}) & (\underline{71}, 2) & (2, 1) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} (\underline{6}, \underline{7}) & (2, 1) & (4, 6) \\ (0, 4) & (\underline{3}, \underline{8}) & (2, 3) \\ (\underline{1}, 2) & (\underline{1}, \underline{5}) & (\underline{1}, \underline{1}) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} (\underline{\pi}, \underline{e}) & (1 - \pi, \sqrt{(e)}) \\ (\sqrt{(2)}, 1/e) & (\underline{2}, \underline{1}) \end{pmatrix}$$

2. For what values of α does a Nash equilibrium exist in pure strategies for the following game:

$$\begin{pmatrix} (3, 5) & (2 - \alpha, \alpha) \\ (4\alpha, 6) & (\alpha, \alpha^2) \end{pmatrix}$$

Solution

- (r_1, s_1) is a pure strategy Nash equilibrium if:
 $3 \geq 4\alpha$ and $5 \geq \alpha$
 Thus (r_1, s_1) is a Nash equilibrium iff $\alpha \leq 3/4$.
- (r_1, s_2) is a pure strategy Nash equilibrium if:
 $2 - \alpha \geq \alpha$ and $\alpha \geq 5$
 This is not possible.
- (r_2, s_1) is a pure strategy Nash equilibrium if:
 $4\alpha \geq 3$ and $6 \geq \alpha^2$
 Thus (r_2, s_1) is a Nash equilibrium iff $4/3 \leq \alpha \leq \sqrt{6}$
- (r_2, s_2) is a pure strategy Nash equilibrium if:
 $\alpha \geq 2 - \alpha$ and $6 \leq \alpha^2$
 Thus (r_2, s_2) is a Nash equilibrium iff $\alpha \geq \sqrt{6}$

3. Consider the following game:

Suppose two vendors (of an identical product) must choose their location along a busy street. It is anticipated that their profit is directly related to their position on the street.

If we allow their positions to be represented by a points x_1, x_2 on the $[0, 1]_{\mathbb{R}}$ line segment then we have:

$$u_1(x_1, x_2) = \begin{cases} x_1 + (x_2 - x_1)/2, & \text{if } x_1 \leq x_2 \\ 1 - x_1 + (x_2 - x_1)/2, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$u_2(x_1, x_2) = \begin{cases} x_2 + (x_2 - x_1)/2, & \text{if } x_2 \leq x_1 \\ 1 - x_2 + (x_2 - x_1)/2, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

By considering best responses of each player, identify the Nash equilibrium for the game.

Solution

Consider $x_j < 1/2$, if $x_i = x_j$ then $u_i(x_i, x_j) = 1/2$. However $u_i(x_j + \epsilon, x_j) = 1 - x_j - \epsilon/2 > 1/2 - \epsilon/2$ for some (arbitrarily) small $\epsilon > 0$. Thus for arbitrarily small ϵ , $x_i^* = x_j + \epsilon$. If $x_j > 1/2$ a similar argument gives $x_i^* = x_j - \epsilon$. If $x_j = 1/2$, considering $x_i = x_j$ we see that neither player has an incentive to move.

Thus we conclude:

$$x_i^* = \begin{cases} x_j + \epsilon, & \text{if } x_j < 1/2 \\ x_j - \epsilon & \text{if } x_j > 1/2 \\ x_j & \text{if } x_j = 1/2 \end{cases}$$

So the Nash equilibrium for this problem is $(\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2) = (1/2, 1/2)$.

4. Consider the following game:

$$\begin{pmatrix} (3, 2) & (6, 5) \\ (1, 4) & (2, 3) \end{pmatrix}$$

Plot the expected utilities for each player against mixed strategies and use this to obtain the Nash equilibrium.

5. Assume a soccer player (player 1) is taking a penalty kick and has the option of shooting left or right: $S_1 = \{SL, SR\}$. A goalie (player 2) can either dive left or right: $S_2 = \{DL, DR\}$. The chances of a goal being scored are given below:

$$\begin{pmatrix} .8 & .15 \\ .2 & .95 \end{pmatrix}$$

i. Assume the utility to player 1 if the probability of scoring and the utility to player 2 the probability of a goal not being scored. What is the Nash equilibrium for this game?

- ii. Assume that player 1 now has a further strategy available: to shoot in the middle: $S_1 = \{SL, SM, SR\}$ the probabilities of a goal being scored are now given:

$$\begin{pmatrix} .8 & .15 \\ .5 & .5 \\ .2 & .95 \end{pmatrix}$$

Obtain the new Nash equilibrium for the game.

6. In the notes the following theorem is given:

Every normal form game with a finite number of pure strategies for each player, has at least one Nash equilibrium.

Prove the theorem for 2 player games with $|S_1| = |S_2| = 2$. I.e. prove the above result in the special case of 2×2 games.