# OR 3: Chapter 11 - Population Games and Evolutionary stable strategies

## Recap

In the [previous chapter](Chapter_10_Infinetely_Repeated_Games.docx)

* We considered infinitely repeated games using a discount rate;
* We proved a powerful result stating that for a high enough discount rate player would cooperate.

In this chapter we'll start looking at a fascinating area of game theory.

## Population Games

In this chapter (and the next) we will be looking at an area of game theory that looks at the evolution of strategic behaviour in a population.

### Definition of a population vector

Considering an infinite population of individuals each of which represents a strategy from , we define the population profile as a vector . Note that:

It is important to note that does not necessarily correspond to any strategy adopted by particular any individual.

### Example

Consider a population with . If we assume that every individual plays then . However if we assume that .25 of the population play and .75 play then .

In evolutionary game theory we must consider the *utility* of a particular strategy when played in a particular population profile denoted by for .

Thus the utility to a player playing in a population :

The interpretation of the above is that **these payoffs represent the number of descendants that each type of individual has.**

### Example

If we consider a population of N individuals in which . Assume that .5 of the population use each strategy so that and assume that for the current population profile we have:

In the next generation we will have individuals using and using so that the strategy profile of the next generation will be .

We are going to work towards understanding the evolutionary dynamics of given populations. If we consider to be the startegy profile where all members of the population play then a population will be evolutionary stable only if:

Ie at equilibrium must be a best response to the population profile it generates.

### Theorem for necessity of stability

In a population game, consider and the population profile generated by . If the population is stable then:

(Recall that denotes the support of .)

### Proof

If then the proof is trivial.

We assume that . Let us assume that the theorem is not true so that there exists such that:

Without loss of generality let us assume that:

Thus we have:

Which gives:

which implies that the population is not stable.

## Evolutionary Stable Strategies

### Definition of an evolutionary stable strategy

Consider a population where all individuals initially play . If we assume that a small proportion start playing . The new population is called the **post entry population** and will be denoted by .

### Example

Consider a population with and initial population profile . If we assume that is introduced in to the population then:

### Definition

A strategy is called an **Evolutionary Stable Strategy** if there exists an such that for every and every :

Before we go any further we must consider two types of population games:

1. Games against the field. In this setting we assume that no individual has a specific adversary but has a utility that depends on what the rest of the individuals are doing.
2. Pairwise contest games. In this setting we assume that every individual is continiously assigned to *play* against another individual.

The differences between these two types of population games will hopefully become clear.

## Games against the field

We will now consider an example of a game against the field. The main difficulty with these games is that the utility is not generally linear in .

Let us consider the Male to Female ratio in a population, and make the following assumptions:

1. The proportion of Males in the population is and the proportion of females is ;
2. Each female has a single mate and has offspring;
3. Males have on average mates;
4. Females are solely responsible for the sex of the offspring.

We assume that so that females can either only produce Males or only produce Females. Thus, a general mixed strategy produces a population with a proportion of males. Furthermore we can write .

The females are the decision makers so that we consider them as the individuals in our population. The immediate offspring of the females is constant and so cannot be used as a utility. We use the second generation offspring:

Thus:

Let us try and find an ESS for this game:

1. If then so that any mixed strategy with support would not give a stable population.
2. Thus we need to check if is an ESS (this is the only candidate).

We consider some mutation :

which implies that the proportion of population playing in is given by:

We have:

and:

The difference:

We note that if then which implies that . Similarly if then which implies that . Thus is a ESS.