# OR 3: Chapter 18 - Connection between optimal and Nash flows

## Recap

In the [previous chapter](Chapter_17_Routing_games.html):

* We defined routing games;
* We defined and calculated optimal flows;
* We defined and calculated Nash flows.

In this Chapter we'll look at the connection between Optimal and Nash flows.

## Potential function

### Definition


Given a routing game we define the **potential function** of a flow as:

Thus for the following routing game:



we have:

Here's a plot of :



We can verify analytically (as before) that the minimum of this function is given by . This leads to the following powerful result.

### Theorem

A feasible flow is a Nash flow for the routing game if and only if it is a minima for .

This is a very powerful result that allows us to obtain Nash flows using minimisation techniques.


It also points towards a connection between Nash flows and optimal flows.

## Marginal costs

### Definition

If is a differentiable cost function the we define the **marginal cost** function as:

For our running example we have the marginal cost functions given:



We now state our last theorem of the course:

### Theorem

A feasible flow is an optimal flow for if and only if is a Nash flow for .

Using this we can compute the optimal flow for our original game by computing the Nash flow for our modified game.


If we assume that both players use both paths then we have:

This gives implying which gives (as before) .

We will finish the course by looking at an interesting example that occurs in routing game theory.


## Braess's Paradox

Consider the following routing game:



If we write down the potential function . The flow minimises the potential function and so is a Nash flow. In fact for this example we have and .

If we modify the network by adding capacity to our network with another edge of zero cost:



We can compute the potential function and optimise but we can quickly verify that is a Nash flow by comparing with other potential paths. Thus we have . By adding an edge to our network with a very "cheap" latency cost the situation has been made worse!