# Homework sheet 4 - Evolutionary games, games with incomplete information and stochastic games

1. Consider the pairwise contest games with the following associated two player games:

* **Solution**
* Using the Equality of payoffs theorem we obtain the Nash equilibria:
* The two pure Nash equilibria are ESS (because of the first condition of the theorem relating an evolutionary stable strategy to the Nash equilibrium of the associated game).
* and for :
* thus (after some algebraic manipulation):
* which is negative for so this mixed strategy is not an ESS.
* **Solution**
* Using the Equality of payoffs theorem we obtain the Nash equilibria:
* The two pure Nash equilibria are ESS (because of the first condition of the theorem relating an evolutionary stable strategy to the Nash equilibrium of the associated game).
* As before:
* so not an ESS.
* (Assume and )
* **Solution**
* If then we have a single pure Nash Equilibria which is also an ESS.
* If the Nash Equilbria are . The pure are ESS.
* and
* thus (after some algebra):
* However the quadratic in has roots: so for which the difference is negative and thus this mixed strategy is not an ESS.
* Identify all evolutionary stable strategies.

1. Consider the following game:

* In a mathematics department, researchers can choose to use one of two systems for typesetting their research papers: LaTeX or Word. We will refer to these two strategies as and respectively. A user of receives a basic utility of 1 and as is more widely used by mathematicians out of the department and is in general considered to be a better system a user of gets a basic utility of . Members of the mathematics department often collaborate and as such it is beneficial for the researchers to use the same typesetting system. If we let represent the proportion of users of we let:
* What are the evolutionary stable strategies?
* **Solutions**
* Using the theorem for necessity of stability we have the following candidate ESS:
  1. : everyone uses , thus (we have .
  2. : everyone uses , thus (we have .
  3. : some use and some use , by the theorem we have which implies giving .
* Now we consider the post entry population (where is the base strategy and is the entry population). We denote and and . We have:
* which gives:
* We now consider each potential ESS in turn, if for all for some then we have an ESS (this is by definition):
  1. : for all and . Thus is an ESS.
  2. : . If then for all values of , thus if is 3 times better than is not an ESS. If for all . Thus is an ESS for .
  3. : for all and for all so is not an ESS.

1. Consider the following two normal form games:

* Assume both players play either game or game with probability , neither player knows which game is played. Obtain the Nash equilibrium for this game.

1. Repeat the analysis of the principal agent game assuming that is the probability of the project being successful in case of a high level of effort by the employee.
2. What are the expected utilities to the employer and the employee?
3. Obtain a condition for which the employer should offer a bonus.
4. Obtain the Markov Nash equilibrium for the following games assuming .

