Fundamentals of Networks

Assignment 4

Q1: Simple, compare between each of the following?

• TCP and UDP protocols:

| Transmission control protocol (TCP) | User datagram protocol (UDP) |
|--|--|
| TCP is a connection-oriented protocol. Connection-orientation means that the communicating devices should establish a connection before transmitting data and should close the connection after transmitting the data. | UDP is the Datagram oriented protocol. This is because there is no overhead for opening a connection, maintaining a connection, and terminating a connection. UDP is efficient for broadcast and multicast type of network transmission. |
| TCP is reliable as it guarantees the delivery of data to the destination router. | The delivery of data to the destination cannot be guaranteed in UDP. |
| TCP provides extensive error checking mechanisms. It is because it provides flow control and acknowledgement of data. | UDP has only the basic error checking mechanism using checksums. |
| Acknowledgement segment is present. | No acknowledgement segment. |

• Network and transport layers

- Network layer
 - o it delivers message in from of packets from source to destination.
 - o It works on transport layer and data link layer.
 - It's path is connection oriented.
 - o It is communication between hosts.

• Transport Layer

- o It delivers complete message from source to destination.
- o It works on network layer and session layer.
- o It can be both connectionless or connection oriented.
- o It is communication between processes.

RDT 2.1 and RDT 2.2

RDT 2.1

Sender:

- 1- seq # added to pkt
- 2- two seq. #'s (0,1) will suffice.
- 3- must check if received ACK/NAK corrupted
- 4- twice as many states (state must "remember" whether "current" pkt has 0 or 1 seq. #)

Receiver:

- 1- must check if received packet is duplicate (state indicates whether 0 or 1 is expected pkt seq #)
- 2- receiver can not know if its last ACK/NAK received OK at sender

RDT 2.2

- 1- same functionality as rdt2.1, using ACKs only
- 2- instead of NAK, receiver sends ACK for last pkt received OK (receiver must *explicitly* include seq # of pkt being ACKed)
- 3- duplicate ACK at sender results in same action as NAK: *retransmit* current pkt

Pipelined protocols and Stop and wait protocols

| key | Stop and Wait protocol | Pipelined protocol |
|-------------|--|--|
| Mechanism | In Stop and Wait protocol, sender sends single frame and waits for acknowledgment from the receiver. | In Pipelined protocol, sender sends multiple frames at a time and retransmits the damged frames. |
| Efficiency | Stop and Wait protocol is less efficient. | Sliding Window protocol is more efficient than Stop and Wait protocol. |
| Window Size | Sender's window size in Stop and Wait protocol is 1. | Sender's window size in Sliding Window protocol varies from 1 to n. |
| Sorting | Sorting of frames is not needed. | Sorting of frames helps increasing the efficiency of the protocol. |

Q2. Write the definition of each TCP header filed.

- 1- Source Port: Identifies the port number of a source application program.
- 2- Destination Port: Identifies the port number of a destination application program.
- 3- Sequence Number: Specifies the sequence number of the first byte of data in this segment.
- 4- Acknowledgment Number: Identifies the position of the highest byte received.
- 5- Data Offset: Specifies the offset of data portion of the segment.
- 6- Reserved: Reserved for future use.
- 7- Code: URG: Urgent pointer field is valid.
 - ACK: Acknowledgement field is valid.
 - PSH: Segment requests a PUSH.
 - RTS: Resets the connection.
 - SYN: Synchronizes the sequence numbers.
 - FIN: Sender has reached the end of its byte stream.
- 8- Window: Specifies the amount of data the destination is willing to accept.
- 9- Checksum: Verifies the integrity of the segment header and data.

- 10- Urgent Pointer: Indicates data that is to be delivered as quickly as possible. This pointer specifies the position where urgent data ends.
- 11- Options (variable length)

Q3. State and example to clarify the bad performance of RDT 3.0

rdt3.0 works, but performance stinks

- rdt3.0 works, but performance stinks
- ex: 1 Gbps link, 15 ms prop. delay, 8000 bit packet:

$$d_{trans} = \frac{L}{R} = \frac{8000 \text{bits}}{10^9 \text{bps}} = 8 \text{ microseconds}$$

- U sender: utilization – fraction of time sender busy sending

$$U_{\text{sender}} = \frac{L/R}{RTT + L/R} = \frac{.008}{30.008} = 0.00027$$

- 1KB pkt every 30 msec -> 33kB/sec thruput over 1 Gbps link
- network protocol limits use of physical resources!