DiagTestKit Package

Examples

Center for Veterinary Biologics - Statistics Section December 2017

Contents

T	Introduction	Т
2	Example 1 — One, 2–state reference test, One population, 2–state experimental test 2.1 Changing the confidence level	2 3
3	Example 2 — One, 2–state reference test, Three populations, 2–state experimental test	3
4	Example 3 — One, 3–state reference test, One population, 2–state experimental test	4
5	Example 4 — One, 3–state reference test, Three populations, 2–state experimental test	5
6	Example 5 — One, 2–state reference test, Two populations, 3–state experimental test	6
7	Example 6 — Two, 2—state reference tests, One population, 2—state experimental	6
8	Example 7 — Two, 3–state reference tests, Two populations, 2–state experimental $$	7
9	Example 8 — Three, 2–state reference tests, Three populations, 3–state experimental	8

1 Introduction

This vignette includes several examples for estimating the performance characteristics of an experimental kit testing samples from one or more populations with the experimental test and one or more reference tests. The examples in this vignette begin with a data frame containing the counts for all possible testing combinations for all populations. For a more basic example

that includes obtaining the data frame of counts necessary to use the estimateSnSp function, please see the GettingStarted vignette (hyperlink to the first vignette). The notation used here is consistent with that found in CVB STATWI0002.

The simpliest case illustrated here is estimating the sensitivity and specificity of a 2–state experimental test using the test results of the experimental kit and those obtained from a 2–state fallible reference test on samples tested from a single population. The time necessary to perform all optimizations was slightly over a minute. The optimization takes significantly longer when estimating the parameters for a 3–state experimental test because the optimization is over 4 parameters for a 3–state experimental test, rather than over 2 parameters for a 2–state experimental test. The most complex example here is a 3–state experimental test, three 2–state fallible reference tests and samples tested from 3 populations. The time necessary to perform all optimizations was slightly less than 12 minutes.

2 Example 1 — One, 2-state reference test, One population, 2-state experimental test

In this example, samples randomly selected from a single population are tested by one 2-state fallible reference test and a 2-state experimental test. Here, $S_1=2$, $S_2=2$ and K=4. There are 4 unique sets of testing combinations. Further, the probabilities associated with a suspect test result are 0 for both the experimental test method and the reference test method. Specificially, $\phi_1=\psi_1=\phi_2=\psi_2=0$. This example uses named data frames for the input variables Sn.ref and Sp.ref.

ex1

```
## 1000 simulations
## 95 % Interval Estimates
##
## Point.Estimate Lower Upper
## Sn = P(T+|D+) 0.9449821 0.9019639 1
## Sp = P(T-|D-) 0.9062769 0.7523346 1
```

2.1 Changing the confidence level

The confidence level can be updated without requiring repeating the simulations.

```
ex1_update <- updateAlpha(ex1, newAlpha = 0.01)
ex1_update

## 1000 simulations
## 99 % Interval Estimates
##
## Point.Estimate Lower Upper
## Sn = P(T+|D+) 0.9449821 0.8848417 1
## Sp = P(T-|D-) 0.9062769 0.7111645 1</pre>
```

3 Example 2 — One, 2-state reference test, Three populations, 2-state experimental test

In this example, samples randomly selected from 3 populations are tested by one 2-state fallible reference test and a 2-state experimental test. Here, $S_1=2$, $S_2=2$ and K=4. Again there are 4 unique sets of testing combinations, but a total of 12 counts, one for each unique test combination in each of the three populations. The probabilities associated with a suspect test result are 0 for both the experimental test method and the reference test method. Specificially, $\psi_1=\phi_1=\psi_2=\phi_2=0$. This example uses named vectors for the input variables Sn.ref and Sp.ref because the reference test only had 2 states.

The optimization has begun

```
unique(ex2$detailOut$Converge)
## [1] 0
unique(ex2$detailOut$Message)
## [1] "CONVERGENCE: NORM OF PROJECTED GRADIENT <= PGTOL"
ex2
## 1000 simulations
## 95 % Interval Estimates
##
##
                  Point.Estimate
                                      Lower
                                                  Upper
## Sn = P(T+|D+) 0.8614248 0.8171083 0.9162939
## Sp = P(T-|D-) 0.9818038 0.9260871 1.0000000
     Example 3 — One, 3-state reference test, One pop-
4
     ulation, 2-state experimental test
Samples randomly selected from a single populations are tested by one 3-state fallible
reference test and a 2-state experimental test. Here, S_1 = 2, S_2 = 3 and K = 6. There are 6
unique sets of testing combinations. The probabilities associated with a suspect test result
for the experimental test are zero (i.e. \psi_1 = \phi_1 = 0). Because the reference test has 3 states,
the input must include values of \delta_2 = \frac{\psi_2}{1-\pi_2} and \gamma_2 = \frac{\phi_2}{1-\theta_2}.
ex3 <- estimateSnSp(dat = data3,
        Sn.ref = data.frame(ref = c(0.99, 0.75)),
        Sp.ref = data.frame(ref = c(0.85, 0.67)),
        prev.pop = c(A = 0.92),
        control = estimateSnSpControl(seed = 896421, rep.iter = F))
## Warning in estimateSnSp(dat = data3, Sn.ref
## = data.frame(ref = c(0.99, 0.75)), : The data
## suggests a single population was tested
## The optimization has begun
unique(ex3$detailOut$Converge)
## [1] 0
unique(ex3$detailOut$Message)
```

[1] "CONVERGENCE: NORM OF PROJECTED GRADIENT <= PGTOL"
[2] "CONVERGENCE: REL REDUCTION OF F <= FACTR*EPSMCH"</pre>

5 Example 4 — One, 3–state reference test, Three populations, 2–state experimental test

In this example samples randomly selected from three populations are tested by one fallible 3-state reference test and a 2-state experimental test. There are 6 unique sets of testing combinations ($S_1 = 2$, $S_2 = 3$ and K = 6) and a total of 18 counts, one for each unique test combination in each population. The probabilities associated with a suspect test result for the experimental test are zero (i.e. $\psi_1 = \phi_1 = 0$).

```
## 1000 simulations
## 95 % Interval Estimates
##
## Point.Estimate Lower Upper
## Sn = P(T+|D+) 0.9101593 0.8722713 0.9675238
## Sp = P(T-|D-) 0.8802044 0.8221522 0.9373587
```

ex4

6 Example 5 — One, 2-state reference test, Two populations, 3-state experimental test

In this examples samples randomly selected from two populations are tested by a 3-state experimental test and one 2-state reference test. There are 6 unique testing combinations $(S_1 = 3, S_2 = 2 \text{ and } K = 6)$ and a total of 12 counts as samples were obtained from 2 populations. The probabilities associated with a suspect test result for the experimental test $(\psi_1 \text{ and } \phi_1)$ are included in the output (but the optimization occurs in terms of δ_1 and γ_1). The function provides a message to inform the user that the experimental test has 3 states and the optimization will be more time consuming.

ex5 <- estimateSnSp(dat = data5,

```
Sn.ref = c(Ref1 = 0.90),
         Sp.ref = c(Ref1 = 0.99),
         prev.pop = c(A = 0.80, B = 0.90),
         control = estimateSnSpControl(seed = 1249856, rep.iter = F))
## Optimization is more time consuming for a 3-state experimental test, be patient!
## The optimization has begun
unique(ex5$detailOut$Converge)
## [1] 0
unique(ex5$detailOut$Message)
## [1] "CONVERGENCE: NORM OF PROJECTED GRADIENT <= PGTOL"
## [2] "CONVERGENCE: REL REDUCTION OF F <= FACTR*EPSMCH"
ex5
## 1000 simulations
## 95 % Interval Estimates
##
##
                 Point.Estimate
                                                Upper
                                    Lower
## Sn = P(T+|D+)
                     0.94126806 0.8937435 1.00000000
## Sp = P(T-|D-)
                     0.87213528 0.7169586 1.00000000
## P(T?|D+)
                     0.03792794 0.0000000 0.06171887
## P(T?|D-)
                     0.04930292 0.0000000 0.16122575
```

7 Example 6 — Two, 2—state reference tests, One population, 2—state experimental

In this example, samples randomly selected from a single population are tested with two, 2-state reference tests and a 2-state experimental test. Here, $S_1 = 2$, $S_2 = 2$, $S_3 = 2$ and K

= 8. There are 8 unique sets of testing combinations. The probabilities associated with a suspect test result for the experimental test are zero (i.e. $\psi_1 = \phi_1 = 0$).

```
ex6 <- estimateSnSp(dat = data6,
          Sn.ref = c(Ref1_result = 0.95, ref2 = 0.91),
          Sp.ref = c(Ref1 result = 0.85, ref2 = 0.98),
          prev.pop = c(A = 0.82),
          control = estimateSnSpControl(seed = 2948217, rep.iter = F))
## Warning in estimateSnSp(dat = data6, Sn.ref =
## c(Ref1_result = 0.95, ref2 = 0.91), : The data
## suggests a single population was tested
## The optimization has begun
unique(ex6$detailOut$Converge)
## [1] 0
unique(ex6$detailOut$Message)
## [1] "CONVERGENCE: NORM OF PROJECTED GRADIENT <= PGTOL"
## [2] "CONVERGENCE: REL_REDUCTION_OF_F <= FACTR*EPSMCH"
ex6
## 1000 simulations
## 95 % Interval Estimates
##
##
                 Point.Estimate
                                    Lower Upper
## Sn = P(T+|D+)
                      0.9589171 0.9106663
## Sp = P(T-|D-)
                      0.9456264 0.7962622
                                               1
```

8 Example 7 — Two, 3—state reference tests, Two populations, 2—state experimental

In this example, samples selected from 2 populations were tested by two, 3-state reference tests and a 2-state experimental test. Here, $S_1 = 2$, $S_2 = 3$, $S_3 = 3$ and K = 18. There are 18 unique sets of testing combinations and a total of 36 counts, one for each unique test combination in each of the 2 populations. The probabilities associated with a suspect test result for the experimental test are zero (i.e. $\psi_1 = \phi_1 = 0$).

```
## The optimization has begun
unique(ex7$detailOut$Converge)
## [1] 0
unique(ex7$detailOut$Message)
## [1] "CONVERGENCE: NORM OF PROJECTED GRADIENT <= PGTOL"
## [2] "CONVERGENCE: REL_REDUCTION_OF_F <= FACTR*EPSMCH"
ex7
## 1000 simulations
## 95 % Interval Estimates
##
##
                 Point.Estimate
                                    Lower Upper
## Sn = P(T+|D+)
                      0.9661330 0.9157368
## Sp = P(T-|D-)
                      0.9215106 0.8715217
                                               1
```

9 Example 8 — Three, 2–state reference tests, Three populations, 3–state experimental

In this example, samples randomly selected from 3 populations were tested by three 2-state reference tests and a 3-state experimental test. Here, $S_1 = 3$, $S_2 = 2$, $S_3 = 2$, $S_4 = 2$ and K = 24. There are 24 unique sets of testing combinations and a total of 72 counts, one for each unique test combination in each of the 3 populations. The probabilities associated with a suspect test result for the experimental test (ψ_1 and ϕ_1) are included in the output. The function provides a message to inform the user that the experimental test has 3 states and the optimization will be more time consuming.

Optimization is more time consuming for a 3-state experimental test, be patient! ## The optimization has begun

```
unique(ex8$detailOut$Converge)
```

[1] 0

unique(ex8\$detailOut\$Message)

```
## [1] "CONVERGENCE: REL_REDUCTION_OF_F <= FACTR*EPSMCH"
## [2] "CONVERGENCE: NORM OF PROJECTED GRADIENT <= PGTOL"

ex8

## 1000 simulations
## 95 % Interval Estimates
##
## Point.Estimate Lower Upper
## Sn = P(T+|D+) 0.96541704 0.8879949 1.00000000
## Sp = P(T-|D-) 0.98351924 0.9016964 1.00000000
## P(T?|D+) 0.02568427 0.0000000 0.06339616
## P(T?|D-) 0.01534125 0.00000000 0.05604950</pre>
```