Lab 1 - Playing with gradients and matrices in PyTorch

Abhilash Pulickal Scaria aps1n21@soton.ac.uk

Introduction

This lab focuses on matrix factorisation using gradient descent and comparing the reconstruction loss with the case when a truncated SVD is used. Matrix completion using gradient based matrix factorisation is also introduced.

1 Implement matrix factorisation using gradient descent

1.1 Implement gradient-based factorisation

```
from typing import Tuple
import torch

def sgd_factorise(A: torch.Tensor, rank: int,
    num_epochs = 1000, lr = 0.01) ->
    Tuple[torch.Tensor, torch.Tensor]:
    U = torch.rand((A.shape[0], rank))
    V = torch.rand((A.shape[1], rank))

for epoch in range(num_epochs):
    for r in range(A.shape[0]):
        for c in range(A.shape[1]):
            e = A[r,c] - (U[r] @ torch.t(V[c]))
            U[r] = U[r] + lr * e * V[c]
            V[c] = V[c] + lr * e * U[r]

return U. V
```

1.2 Factorise and compute reconstruction error

The \hat{U} and \hat{V} matrix obtained from rank 2 matrix factorisation and the reconstruction loss obtained when comparing with original matrix A is given below.

$$\hat{\mathbf{U}} = \begin{bmatrix}
0.6168 & -0.1530 \\
0.4108 & 1.5961 \\
1.0798 & 1.1800
\end{bmatrix}$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{V}} = \begin{bmatrix}
0.8126 & 1.8290 \\
0.7836 & -0.2088 \\
0.8384 & 1.0195
\end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{Loss} = 0.121970$$

2 Compare your result to truncated SVD

2.1 Compare to the truncated-SVD

The matrix U_t, S_t and V_t obtained by computing SVD is given below

$$\boldsymbol{U}_t = \begin{bmatrix} -0.0801 & -0.7448 & 0.6625 \\ -0.7103 & 0.5090 & 0.4863 \\ -0.6994 & -0.4316 & -0.5697 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$\boldsymbol{S}_t = \begin{bmatrix} 5.3339 & 0.6959 & 0.0000 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\boldsymbol{V}_t = \begin{bmatrix} -0.8349 & 0.2548 & 0.4879 \\ -0.0851 & -0.9355 & 0.3430 \\ -0.5439 & -0.2448 & -0.8027 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$\text{Loss} = 0.121910$$

The reconstruction error otained in both the cases are almost same. By using gradient descent we found the $\hat{\pmb{U}}$ and $\hat{\pmb{V}}$ which minimised the reconstruction error and this error was similar to that obtained by truncated SVD. The explanation for this is provided by Eckart - Young Theorem. Eckart-Young Theorem states the best k rank approximation for matrix A (which has the lowest reconstruction error(Frobenius norm)) can be obtained using the truncated SVD. Since in this case we did rank 2 factorisation the last singular value of SVD was set to zero.

3 Matrix completion

3.1 Implement masked factorisation

```
lef sgd_factorise_masked(A: torch.Tensor, M:
    torch.Tensor, rank: int, num_epochs=1000,
    lr=0.01) -> Tuple[torch.Tensor,
    torch.Tensor]:
    U = torch.rand((A.shape[0], rank))
    V = torch.rand((A.shape[1], rank))

for epoch in range(num_epochs):
    for r in range(A.shape[0]):
        for c in range(A.shape[1]):
        if M[r,c]:
        e = A[r,c] - (U[r] @ torch.t(V[c]))
        U[r] = U[r] + lr * e * V[c]
        V[c] = V[c] + lr * e * U[r]

return U, V
```

3.2 Reconstruct a matrix

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{A}} = \hat{\boldsymbol{U}}\hat{\boldsymbol{V}}^T = \begin{bmatrix} 0.3548 & 0.5951 & 0.1529 \\ 2.2076 & 0.0496 & 1.8327 \\ 2.9377 & 0.7770 & 2.2674 \end{bmatrix}$$

Loss = 1.3348774909973145

The estimate of completed matrix is given above. Even though the estimated matrix \hat{A} is not exactly same as A, it is still a reasonable estimation. The reconstruction error is also low. From this we can infer that gradient based matrix factorisation is a reasonable method for matrix completion.