Assignment 4 Solutions

Aaron Cahn University of Wisconsin-Madison cahn@cs.wisc.edu

April 15, 2015

1 Solutions

1.1 Question 1

1.1.1 Part A

Method 1: LU factor A - sI for s = 0 and s = 1 and inspecting the number of negative values on the diagonal of U.

Case 1: s = 0,

$$A_0 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{1}$$

$$L_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, U_0 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1.5 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (2)

$$L_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.5 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, U_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1.5 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (3)

Therefore, when we insect the diagonal of U_1 we see that there is one negative value. This means that there is one eigenvalue in A that is less than zero.

Case 2: s = 1,

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{4}$$

$$L_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -0.5 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, U_0 = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.5 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (5)

$$L_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -0.5 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, U_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.5 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (6)

Therefore, when we inspect the diagonal of U_1 we see that there are two negative values. This means that there are two eigenvalues in A that are less than one. Utilizing the knowledge from both cases we have found that there is one eigenvalue in the range [0,1].

Method 2: Compute the number of sign changes in the sequence of main principal minors of A - sI for s = 0 and s = 1.

Listing 1: Matlab Commands

```
\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{function} & [\,d\,] = mpm(A) \\ & n = \textbf{size}\,(A)\,; \\ & d = [\,]\,; \; d(1) = 1\,; \\ & d(2) = A(1\,,1)\,; \\ & \textbf{for} \;\; i = 2\,: n \\ & d(\,i + 1) = A(\,i\,\,,\,i\,\,) * \, d(\,i\,\,) - A(\,i\,\,,\,i\,\,-1)^2 * \, d(\,i\,\,-1)\,; \\ & \textbf{end} \\ & \textbf{end} \end{array}
```

Listing 2: Matlab Commands

```
% case 1: A-0*I

mpm(A)

ans =

[1] [-1] [-2] [-3]

% case 2: A-1*I

mpm(A-eye(3))

ans =

[1] [-2] [-1] [1]
```

We see that in case 1 there is one sign change in the sequence of main principal minors and that in case 2 there are two sign changes in the sequence of main principal minors. Therefore, as with method 1 we have shown there is a single eigenvalue in the range [0,1].

1.1.2 Part B

The best estimate count of arthmetic operations for the two methods was 116 flops for method 1 and 36 flops for method 2. Therefore, it would seem that method 2 is more efficient for tri-diagonal matrices and it makes intuitive sense that this gap will become larger as the matrices grow in size (*i.e.*, method 2 will look better and better relative to method 1).

1.1.3 Part C

The code below generates 100 symmetric tridiagonal matrices of size 50x50 and runs both Method 1 and Method 2 from part A.

Listing 3: Matlab Commands

```
lu_times = []; mpm_times = []; m = 50;
for i = 1:100
    % create the tridiagonal matrix
    B=rand(m); A=B*B';
    A=diag(diag(A,-1),-1)+diag(diag(A))+diag(diag(A,1),1);
    % Method 1: LU factorization
    tic:
    \% case 1: A-0*I
    [L,U] = lu_sym(A);
    pevals 1 = length(find(diag(U)>0));
    \% case 2: A-1*I
    [L,U] = lu_sym(A-eye(m));
    pevals2 = length(find(diag(U)>0));
    % eigen values in the range
    pevals = pevals1-pevals2;
    lu_times(i) = toc;
    % Method 2: MPM sequences
    tic;
    \% case 1: A-0*I
    [d] = mpm(A);
    % count the sign changes
    pevals1 = sign_changes(d);
    \% case 2: A-1*I
    [d] = mpm(A-eye(m));
    % count the sign changes
    pevals2 = sign_changes(d);
    % eigen values in the range
    pevals = pevals2-pevals1;
    mpm\_times(i) = toc;
end
avg_lu=sum(lu_times)/m
avg_mpm=sum(mpm_times)/m
```

The results of the code snippet above are presented below. It is clear as stated in part B that Method 2 dominates Method 1 in terms of running speed. This contrast grows as the size of the symmetric tridiagonal matrix grows.

```
Listing 4: Matlab Commands

q1_partC

avg_lu = 0.1649

avg_mpm = 7.5590e-04
```

- 1.2 Question 2
- 1.2.1 Part A
- 1.2.2 Part B
- 1.2.3 Part C
- 1.2.4 Part D

- 1.3 Question 3
- 1.3.1 Part A
- 1.3.2 Part B
- 1.3.3 Part C
- 1.3.4 Part D

1.4 Question 4

- 1.4.1 Part A
- 1.4.2 Part B
- 1.4.3 Part C
- 1.4.4 Part D