

Libre Silicon process specification

David Lanzendörfer

February 27, 2018

Abstract

Copyright © 2017 LANCEVILLE TECHNOLOGY GROUP CO., LIMITED. All rights reserved.

This process is licensed under the Libre Silicon public license; you can redistribute it and/or modify it under the terms of the Libre Silicon public license as published by the Libre Silicon alliance, either version 1 of the License, or (at your option) any later version.

This design is distributed in the hope that it will be useful, but WITHOUT ANY WARRANTY; without even the implied warranty of MERCHANTABILITY or FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE. See the Libre Silicon Public License for more details.

This is the specification of the free silicon manufacturing standard for manufacturing the LibreSilicon standard logic cells¹ and related free technology nodes from the LibreSilicon project.

¹<https://github.com/chipforge/StdCellLib>

Contents

1	CMOS in a nutshell	4
2	Physics	6
2.1	Infusion	6
2.2	Constant source diffusion (Predeposition)	7
2.3	Ion implant	9
2.4	Drive-in (limited source diffusion)	10
2.5	MOS Capacitance	11
2.6	Threshold voltage (V_T)	11
2.7	Threshold voltage (V_T) adjustment	12
3	Chemistry	13
3.1	Etching silicon dioxide	13
3.2	Etching silicon nitride	14
3.3	Growing silicon nitride	14
4	Process design	15
4.1	Substrate	15
4.2	Isolation	15
4.3	Interconnect	16
4.4	NMOS threshold	16
4.5	MOS gate	17
4.6	PMOS threshold	18
5	Process steps	19
5.1	Shallow trench isolation	20
5.1.1	Initial cleaning	21
5.1.2	Sulfuric Cleaning	21
5.1.3	HF dip	21
5.1.4	Pad oxide	21
5.1.5	Nitride layer	21
5.1.6	Patterning positive	22
5.1.7	Nitride etching	22
5.1.8	Resist removal	22
5.1.9	Silicon etching	22
5.1.10	Deep oxidation	22
5.1.11	Oxide deposition	23
5.1.12	Patterning negative	23
5.1.13	Nitride+pad oxide etching	23
5.1.14	Resist removal	23
5.2	N-well	24
5.2.1	Mask dioxide layer	25
5.2.2	Patterning	25
5.2.3	Etching	25
5.2.4	Cleaning	26
5.2.5	Injection	26
5.2.6	Oxide for drive-in	26
5.2.7	Drive-in	26
5.2.8	Oxide mask removal	27
5.3	P-well	28
5.3.1	Mask dioxide layer	29
5.3.2	Patterning	29
5.3.3	Etching	29
5.3.4	Cleaning	30
5.3.5	Injection	30
5.3.6	Oxide for drive-in	30
5.3.7	Drive-in	30
5.3.8	Oxide mask removal	31
5.4	n+ Implant	32

5.4.1	Mask dioxide layer	32
5.4.2	Patterning	32
5.4.3	Etching	33
5.4.4	Cleaning	33
5.4.5	Injection	33
5.4.6	Oxide removal	33
5.5	p+ Implant	34
5.5.1	Mask dioxide layer	34
5.5.2	Patterning	34
5.5.3	Etching	35
5.5.4	Cleaning	35
5.5.5	Injection	35
5.5.6	Oxide removal	35
5.6	Gate contact	36
5.7	First vias	37
5.8	First metal layer	38
5.9	Additional vias	39
5.10	Additional metal layer	40

1 CMOS in a nutshell

This basic initial project is dedicated to the CMOS Technology only and for this reason two types of metal-oxide-semiconductor field-effect transistors (MOSFET) are required.

Historicaly, the first chips with MOSFETs on the mass market were p-channel MOSFETs in enhancement-mode.




Figure 1: enhancement-mode PMOS transistor use-case

The sectional view of a PMOS transistor in silicon is being shown below




Figure 2: Sectional view of a PMOS transistor

Historicaly later, faster chips with MOSFETs on the mass market were marked as n-channel MOSFETs in enhancement mode also.




Figure 3: enhancement-mode NMOS transistor use-case

The sectional view of a NMOS transistor in silicon is being shown here also.




Figure 4: Sectional view of a NMOS transistor

Both technologies, the older NMOS as the newer PMOS, have the same disadvantage. Every time, the transistor is switched on, the current between Drain and Source of the transistor is limited by the Resistor on Drain only. Higher currents here meaning also higher power consumption for the chip where the transistors are integrated also. If the transistors are switched off, now currents flows between Drain and Source anymore, the power consumption of the chip also goes low. Et voilà, the US-Patent with Number 3356858² changed the world and combines both technologies to the new complementary metal-oxide-semiconductor (CMOS) technology. Instead of every transistor is working against a weak resistor, the transistor works against a complementary switched-off transistor. With the Eyes of our antecessor CMOS doubles the transistor count, but contemporary chips all are build in CMOS.

²<https://www.google.com/patents/US3356858>



Figure 5: complementary PMOS and NMOS transistor couple use-case

Below the sectional view of the inverter circuitry can be seen. For the run through of this process we will use this cross section diagram as reference.




Figure 6: Sectional view of a NMOS-PMOS transistor circuit

2 Physics

In this chapter we deal with all the physics related to solid state device manufacturing. In case there is anything unclear, please look up this chapter and its sub-chapters.

2.1 Infusion

The redistribution process depends on the ratio of the solubility of the doping material in silicon and SiO_2 . At the Si/ SiO_2 interface the dopants are redistributed by segregation until the ratio of their concentration at the interface is the same as the ratio of their solubility in both materials. The ratio of dopant solubility is expressed by the segregation coefficient m which is

$$m = \frac{\text{solubility in silicon}}{\text{solubility in SiO}_2} \quad (1)$$

As listed in [Table 1](#) below there are dopant species which solubilize better in SiO_2 than in silicon ($m < 1$) and species which have a reversed behavior ($m > 1$). In case of $m < 1$, as for Boron, the dopant concentration is enhanced at the SiO_2 side, whereas beneath the interface, there is a dopant depletion at the silicon surface. For reversed solubility ratios ($m > 1$, like Phosphorus), only few dopant atoms penetrate the interface. In order to obtain the by m determined concentration ratio at the interface, dopant atoms from deeper silicon zones diffuse back to the surface zone. Therefore, the dopant concentration at the silicon surface is enhanced, as illustrated in [Figure 7b](#). In [Figure 7](#) C_c denotes the dopant concentration in the silicon surface zone before oxidation. x is the distance from the silicon surface.




Figure 7: Schematic illustration of dopant redistribution

Dopant species	Boron	Phosphor	Antimon	Arsen	Gallium
m	0.1-0.3	10	10	10	20

Table 1: Segregation coefficients m for important dopant species in silicon

2.2 Constant source diffusion (Predeposition)

Although the diffusion process of donors and acceptors into the silicon crystal is a three dimensional process for simplicity we first only discuss the one dimensional mathematics for it in order to get a "simple" equation for the depth-time-temperature relation.

This is only valid for a constant source of dopants on the surface of the wafer (for instance gas). These equations are being used for predicting the pre-deposition step (in case this process would be adapted by someone for predeposition instead of ion implant)

We start with Ficks law (for all German speakers: Yes that's his name, not me shouting about this problem) where the dopant concentration N is coupled with time and place

$$\frac{\partial N}{\partial t} = D \cdot \frac{\partial^2 N}{\partial x^2} \quad (2)$$

The diffusion coefficient is as well material as well as temperature dependent and can be calculated with the following equation:

$$D = D_0 \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{E_a}{k \cdot T}\right) \quad (3)$$

With $k = 8.62 \cdot 10^{-5} \frac{eV}{K}$ being the Boltzman constant and in table 2.2 we can see the D_0 and E_a values for the most common materials³ which we can use within the further calculations for our well dimensioning phases. The temperature usually is in the area of $1000^\circ C$ or in Kelvin $1273.15^\circ K$.

Element	D_0	$\frac{cm^2}{s}$	E_a [eV]
P	10.50		3.69
As	0.32		3.56
Sb	5.60		3.95
B	10.50		3.69
Al	8.00		3.47
Ga	3.60		3.51
Cu	0.0025		0.65

Table 2: D_0 and E_a values for Boron and Phosphorus

The law stated above

$$\frac{\partial N}{\partial t} = D \cdot \frac{\partial^2 N}{\partial x^2} \quad (4)$$

has the same form as the temperature conductivity equation (Laplace) for which we already have a general solution

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = a^2 \cdot \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} \quad (5)$$

Which means that we can map the general solution for the temperature conductivity equations after Laplace

$$u(x, t) = \frac{1}{2 \cdot a \cdot \sqrt{\pi \cdot t}} \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(a) \cdot \exp\left(\frac{-(x-a)^2}{4 \cdot a^2 \cdot t^2}\right) da \quad (6)$$

to our Ficks law with $a = \sqrt{D}$ und $u = N$

$$N(x, t) = \frac{1}{2 \cdot \sqrt{D} \cdot \sqrt{\pi \cdot t}} \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\sqrt{D}) \cdot \exp\left(\frac{-(x-\sqrt{D})^2}{4 \cdot D \cdot t^2}\right) da \quad (7)$$

with the edge conditions

$$N(x = 0, t > 0) = N_0 \quad (8)$$

$$N(x \geq 0, t = 0) = 0 \quad (9)$$

we get the resulting function from the solving process for the Laplace temperature conduction equations

$$u(x, t) = u_0 \cdot \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{x}{2 \cdot a \cdot \sqrt{t}}\right) \quad (10)$$

³ISBN 3-8023-1588:Hoppe Bernhard, Mikroelektronik 2, Page 24, Table 2.1

with the error function being an integral of the form

$$\operatorname{erfc}(z) = \left(1 - \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}\right) \cdot \int_0^z e^{-a^2} da \quad (11)$$

Or in case of our dopant concentration equation we can replace a with the square root of the diffusion coefficient in order to get the error function for our dopant density equation:

$$\operatorname{erfc}(z) = \left(1 - \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}\right) \cdot \int_0^z e^{-D} d\sqrt{D} \quad (12)$$

$$N(x, t) = N_0 \cdot \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{x}{2 \cdot \sqrt{D \cdot t}}\right) = N_0 \cdot \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{x}{x_l(t)}\right) \quad (13)$$

Now we can extract the layer thickness respectively the depth of the well in dependency of the time and the temperature:

$$x_l(t) = 2 \cdot \sqrt{D \cdot t} \quad (14)$$

$$x_l(t) = 2 \cdot \sqrt{D_0 \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{E_a}{k \cdot T}\right) \cdot t} \quad (15)$$

And plot the result for multiple different drive in times




Figure 8: Different predeposition times

We can now describe the dosage based on the time and temperature of the diffusion

$$Q = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \cdot N_0 \cdot \sqrt{D \cdot t} \quad (16)$$

Where N_0 (concentration at the surface) equals the maximum solubility of a given element (e.g. Boron) within the given medium (e.g. Silicon).

2.3 Ion implant

We can use the following equation to calculate the carrier distribution after implantation:

$$N(x, t) = N_p \exp\left(-\frac{(x - R_p)^2}{2\Delta R_p^2}\right) = \frac{Q}{\sqrt{2\pi}\Delta R_p} \exp\left(-\frac{(x - R_p)^2}{2\Delta R_p^2}\right) \quad (17)$$

Where the projected range (R_p) and the projected straggle (ΔR_p) need to be looked up in tables or looked up using an online tool like the one linked in the footnote⁴

⁴<http://cleanroom.byu.edu/rangestruggle>

2.4 Drive-in (limited source diffusion)

After pre-deposition or ion implant of the initial dosage we need to drive in the ions deeper into the crystal. In order to prevent back-diffusion into the gas we seal off the oxide window with another layer of oxide in order to make sure that "all the dopants stay inside" the silicon crystal.




Figure 9: Drive-in well depths and concentrations

We set the condition that the pre-deposition/implant depth is much lower than the depth of the final diffused volume with the following unequation:

$$x_e = 2 \cdot \sqrt{D_e \cdot t_e} \gg 2 \cdot \sqrt{D_v \cdot t_v} = x_v \quad (18)$$

Where x_v is the the depth of the predeposition/implant step.

By neglecting the distribution thickness of the original implantation dosage and by assuming that it's comparably thin compared to the medium thickness we can replace $f(a) \approx \delta(a)$ within [Equation 7](#) which makes

$$N(x, t) = \frac{1}{2 \cdot \sqrt{D} \cdot \sqrt{\pi \cdot t}} \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\sqrt{D}) \cdot \exp\left(\frac{-(x - \sqrt{D})^2}{4 \cdot D \cdot t^2}\right) da \quad (19)$$

become

$$N(x, t) = \frac{1}{2 \cdot \sqrt{D} \cdot \sqrt{\pi \cdot t}} \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(\sqrt{D}) \cdot \exp\left(\frac{-(x - \sqrt{D})^2}{4 \cdot D \cdot t^2}\right) da \quad (20)$$

and finally

$$N(x, t) = \sqrt{\frac{Q}{\pi \cdot D_e \cdot t}} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{-x^2}{4 \cdot D_e \cdot t^2}\right) \quad (21)$$

2.5 MOS Capacitance

https://ecee.colorado.edu/~bart/book/book/chapter6/ch6_3.htm

2.6 Threshold voltage (V_T)

The formula for calculating the threshold voltage of a MOS device is the following:

$$V_T = V_{t-mos} + V_{FB} \quad (22)$$

where V_{t-mos} is the ideal threshold voltage of an ideal MOS capacitor and V_{FB} is what is termed flat-band voltage and V_{t-mos} is the threshold. The MOS threshold voltage, V_{t-mos} is calculated by considering the MOS capacitor structure that form the gate of the MOS transistor.

The ideal threshold voltage may be expressed as:

$$V_{t-mos} = 2\phi_F + \frac{Q_b}{C_{ox}} \quad (23)$$

$$Q_b = \sqrt{2\epsilon_{Si}qN_A(2\phi_F + V_{SB})} \quad (24)$$

where C_{ox} is the oxide capacitance and Q_b which is called the bulk charge term.

The bulk potential is given by:

$$\phi_F = V_{th} \ln \left(\frac{p}{N_i} \right) = -V_{th} \ln \left(\frac{n}{N_i} \right) \quad (25)$$

The bulk potential is positive for p-type substrates and negative for n-type substrates. V_{th} is the thermal voltage.⁵

$$V_{th} = \frac{kT}{q} \approx 0.026 \frac{J}{C} = 0.026V = 26mV \quad (26)$$

With the variables being:

- $k = 1.38064852 \cdot 10^{-23} \frac{J}{K}$ is the Boltzmann constant
- $q = 1.602 \cdot 10^{-19}C$ is the elementary charge
- $T = 300^\circ K$ the temperature, which we assume to be the room temperature for simplicity further on in this document as well.

We can directly switch $\frac{J}{C}$ with Volts because these two units are equal!⁶ Also V_{th} will be treated as a constant for any further calculations within this document.

Since we connect bulk and source and are using p-substrate we can simplify the equation to become

$$Q_b = 2\sqrt{\epsilon_{Si}qN_A V_{th} \ln \left(\frac{N_A}{N_i} \right)} \quad (27)$$

V_{FB} , is given by:

$$V_{FB} = \phi_{MS} - \frac{Q_f}{C_{ox}} - \frac{1}{C_{ox}} \int_0^{t_{ox}} \frac{x}{x_{ox}} \rho(x) dx \quad (28)$$

Because we're not yet dealing with non-volatile memory devices which contain an oxide surface state charge we can just set $Q_f = 0$ as well as $\rho(x)$

$$\rho(x) = 0V_{FB} = \phi_{MS} \quad (29)$$

with

$$V_{FB} = \phi_{MS} = \phi_M - \phi_S = \phi_M - \left(\chi + \frac{E_g}{2} + \phi_F \right) \quad (30)$$

And because of the simplifications we did to F_{FB} which essentially led to $F_{FB} = \phi_{MS}$ we get to:

$$V_T = V_{t-mos} + \phi_{MS} \quad (31)$$

That's our target equation, in which the capacity has the unit $\frac{F}{cm^2}$ and V_T has the unit Volt:

$$V_T = \frac{1.66 \cdot 10^{-7}}{C_{ox}} - 0.094 \quad (32)$$

This equation will be used further on to find the optimum gate oxide thickness for our transistors.

⁵https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Boltzmann_constant#Role_in_semiconductor_physics:_the_thermal_voltage

⁶<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Volt>

2.7 Threshold voltage (V_T) adjustment

At some point in the future this will be of very high relevance, because the lower the size of the transistors gets the higher the offset to V_{Tp} and V_{Tn} needs to become in order to stay on TTL 5V logic level or at least compensating for the lowered voltages in order to reach at least the 3.3V CMOS logic levels.

And adjustment of the threshold voltage can be achieved by:

- A relatively small dose N_I (units: ions/cm²) of dopant atoms is implanted into the near-surface region of the semiconductor.
- When the MOS device is biased in depletion or inversion, the implanted dopants add to (or subtract from) the depletion charge near the oxide-semiconductor interface

The formula to calculate the voltage offset is:

$$\Delta V_T = -\frac{qN_I}{C_{ox}} \begin{cases} N_I > 0 \text{ for donor atoms (Phosphorus/N)} \\ N_I < 0 \text{ for acceptor atoms (Boron/P)} \end{cases} \quad (33)$$

3 Chemistry

3.1 Etching silicon dioxide

A very "selective" chemical for SiO₂ - i.e. does not etch silicon at all - is hydrofluoric acid (HF). If used directly such etchant has a too fast and aggressive action on the oxide, making very difficult the undercut and the linewidth control. For such reason, HF is universally used as a "buffered" solution, which can keep the etch rate low and constant, by moderating the PH level of the bath. This allows the etching time to be reliably correlated to the etching depth.

The industry standard buffered hydrofluoric acid solution (BHF) has the following formulation:

- 6 volumes of ammonium fluoride (NH₄F, 40% solution)
- 1 volume of HF.

This can be prepared, for example, by mixing 113 g of NH₄F in 170 ml of H₂O, and adding 28 ml of HF.

The etch rate at room temperature can range from 1000 to 2500 Å/min (100-250nm/min). This depends on the actual density of the oxide which, as an amorphous layer, can have a more compact structure (if thermally grown in is oxygen) or less compact (if grown by CVD). The following etching reaction holds:



where H_2SiF_6 is water soluble.

A common buffered oxide etch solution comprises a 6:1 volume ratio of 40% NH₄F in water to 49% HF in water. This solution will etch thermally grown oxide at approximately 2 nanometres per second at 25 degrees Celsius. ⁷

Another popular etching formulation is the P-etch:

60 volumes of H_2O + 3 vol. of HF + 2 vol. of HNO_3 , that is: 300 ml of H_2O + 15 ml of HF + 10 ml of HNO_3 .

The P-etch action is strongly dependent on oxide density, as it results from the growth technique. An example is reported in the literature⁸, indicating 120 Å/min for thermal oxide and 250-700 Å/min for sputtered oxide. A slow etching bath is preferred for opening mask windows for a silicon substrate. However, the etching process could be used just for removing the oxide film from the whole surface. In this case the etching speed is not critical, and a fast solution can be used, such as HF diluted 1:10 in water. The etching time can be easily evaluated by visually inspecting the surface. Once the oxide film is removed, the metal-grey color of the silicon surface appears.

Sometimes a very light etch is required, for removing just a few atomic layers. This is the case of surface cleaning and decontamination. HF diluted 1 : 50 in water can be used. The etching speed will be around 70 Å / min. For example, a typical 50 Å "native" oxide on silicon can be removed with a 45 - 50 sec light etch.

⁷Wolf, S.; R.N. Tauber (1986). Silicon Processing for the VLSI Era: Volume 1 - Process Technology. pp. 532-533. ISBN 978-0-9616721-3-3

⁸A. Pliskin, J.Vac.Sci Technol., vol. 14, p.1064, 1977

3.2 Etching silicon nitride

Thin films made of amorphous silicon nitride (Si_3N_4) are usually deposited by chemical vapour deposition from silane (SiH_4) and ammonia (NH_3). Since they act as a barrier for water and sodium, they have a major role as passivation layers in microchip fabrication. Patterned nitride layers are also used as a mask for spatially selective silicon oxide growth, and as an etch mask when SiO_2 masks cannot be used.

One example of the latter situation is given by the anisotropic etching of silicon in KOH. The etching rate of SiO_2 in KOH is nearly 1000 times slower than the etching rate of silicon, and in most cases a SiO_2 mask can be used successfully. However, a very deep selective etch may require a long etching time, and the 1000:1 etching rate ratio may result still too small to prevent the SiO_2 mask from being etched off before the process is completed. In this circumstance Si_3N_4 , thanks to its reduced etched rate, can successfully replace the oxide mask layer.

The wet etching of nitride films is often performed in concentrated hot orthophosphoric acid (H_3PO_4). The bath temperature can range from 150°C to 180°C (boiling point) with a corresponding etch rate between 10 and 100 Å/min. It is good practice to bring the vapours into contact with a cold surface and to drive the condensed liquid back into the etching bath. This technique is referred to as "reflux".

The etching rates of silicon nitride, silicon oxide, and silicon in H_3PO_4 are respectively in the 50 : 5 : 1 ratio.

3.3 Growing silicon nitride

In order to grow a high quality layer of silicon nitride on top of a silicon wafer which is adapted to be patterned and to serve as a mask for diffusion or implantation of selected impurities, the wafer is being best put into a chamber evacuated to a pressure less than about 1 Torr and heated to between 650 and 900 °C; A gaseous mixture comprising primarily ammonia and a silicon compound is being flooded into that chamber with a silicon compound flow rate of greater than approximately 12 cubic centimeters per minute and the ammonia and selected silicon compound having a ratio of relative concentrations in the range of 4:1 and 20:1.⁹ The growth rate will be around 50 Angstroms per minute. That setup is called Low-Pressure Chemical Vapor Deposition (LPCVD), which is commonly available in basically any semiconductor manufacturing plant or laboratory.

⁹<http://www.freepatentsonline.com/4395438.html>

4 Process design

We need to optimize our process to be TTL compatible (5V logic levels) and at the same time being as fast and power efficient as possible. In order to have a good propagation delay with a technology node of around $1\mu m$ we will have to have gates with up to four stacked MOS transistors.

Acceptable input signal voltages range from 0 volts to 0.8 volts for a low logic state, and 2 volts to 5 volts for a high logic state. Acceptable output signal voltages shall range from 0 volts to 0.5 volts for a low logic state, and 2.7 volts to 5 volts for a high logic state¹⁰




Figure 10: TTL logic levels

As shown in Figure 10 we have some margin to make our PMOS and NMOS transistors work with each other in order to form a CMOS circuit which is actually working without getting warm.

Or more clearly defined

$$V_{off} \leq 0.8V \quad (35)$$

and

$$V_{on} \geq 2V \quad (36)$$

which are limits, elementary to our design.

4.1 Substrate

For this process undoped mono crystalline silicon substrate is being used, but forks and modifications will be very well possible based on a Graphene substrate or alike, still under the LSPL. The starting material is a undoped <100> oriented mono crystalline silicon wafer with no doping.

Reasons for using undoped substrate:

- We can't use two different substrates for our design because in the design both PMOS and NMOS is present. We have to choose which is more beneficial from fabrication point of view. In general or say it's true that NMOS devices are always more in the Semiconductor Industry in comparison to PMOS devices. For your reference-SRAM requires 6 transistors (4 NMOS, 2 PMOS).
- Another reason for more number of NMOS is because of difference of mobility of electron and holes. Electron mobility is almost twice of holes mobility and because of this ON-RESISTANCE of n-channel device is half of p-channel device with the same geometry and under the same operating conditions. That means to achieve same impedance size of n-channel transistors is almost half of p-channel devices. Same thing I can say in the different way that for same size of wafer, we can have more number of NMOS (means can perform more logical operation) in comparison to PMOS.
- Doping the substrate our self makes us more independent from suppliers, since each supplier has his own doping values. Using undoped silicon solves this problem because the values of elementary silicon has been defined by nature and the stars where it has been bred in and is always the same, independent of the supplier. All that counts is the purity of the substrate.
- We get rid of the parasitic diode effect and can make the wells less deep which saves time during drive-in.

4.2 Isolation

For the isolation (subsection 5.1) in this design the STI approach is being chosen. Shallow trench isolation (STI), also known as box isolation technique, is an integrated circuit feature which prevents electric current leakage between adjacent semiconductor device components.¹¹ STI is generally used on CMOS process tech-

¹⁰<https://www.allaboutcircuits.com/textbook/digital/chpt-3/logic-signal-voltage-levels>

¹¹<https://www.google.com/patents/US7985656>

nology nodes of 250 nanometers and smaller.

Reasons for using box isolation:

- We want to be forward compatible to future LibreSilicon nodes with a size of 100nm or smaller
- It simplifies the construction of the gate and allows us to use Aluminum instead of Polysilicon for the gate contact

4.3 Interconnect

The interconnects and the gate electrode are being made using Aluminum.

Reasons for using Aluminum:

- Aluminum is easy to etch compared to copper
- It isn't contaminating everything and doesn't require special separated setup for handling

4.4 NMOS threshold

First we take a look at the worst case of 4 stacked NMOS transistors, which is the highest stacking amount which will be possible in technologies relying on this process.




Figure 11: AND4 gate

As shown in [Figure 10](#) our acceptable voltages for our CMOS "ON" state range from 2V to VDD (which typically is around $5V \pm 0.25V$)

$$V_{on} \geq 2V \quad (37)$$

Because there are four transistors dividing the voltage by being in series, the power supply voltage is being divided by the amount of transistors in series. In order to match the threshold voltages of all of the transistors, which is needed for a working digital logic, the following equation need to be satisfied

$$V_{on} > 4 \cdot V_{Tn} \quad (38)$$

Lets assume the worst case with

$$V_{on} = 2V \quad (39)$$

Which leads to the required worst case threshold tolerance value

$$4 \cdot V_{Tn} < 2V \Rightarrow V_{Tn} < 500mV \quad (40)$$

Using the equation from [subsection 2.6](#) we can now set in this value and play around with the parameters. With the variables and constants being the following we now can put the formula together:

- $N_A \approx 9 \times 10^{14} cm^{-3} = 9 \times 10^{20} m^{-3}$ is the substrate doping (of our chosen basis substrate. also see [Figure 5](#))

- N_i is the carrier concentration in intrinsic (undoped) silicon. N_i is equal to $1.45 \times 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-3} = 1.45 \times 10^{16} \text{ m}^{-3}$ at 300°K
- $\phi_M = 4.1 \text{ eV}$ is the "work function" of our metal at the gate (Aluminum)
- $E_g(T) = E_g(0) - \frac{\alpha T^2}{T+\beta} = 1.166 - 4.73 \cdot 10^{-4} \cdot \frac{T^2}{T+636} [\text{eV}]$ is the band gap energy of silicon at a given temperature¹² for which the parameters can be taken from Table 3

	Germanium	Silicon	GaAs
$E_g(0)[\text{eV}]$	0.7437	1.166	1.519
$\alpha[\text{eV/K}]$	4.77×10^{-4}	4.73×10^{-4}	5.41×10^{-4}
$\beta[\text{K}]$	235	636	204

Table 3: Band cap energy parameters

- $C_{ox} [\frac{F}{\text{m}^2}]$ is the capacity of the gate oxide
- $\epsilon_0 = 8.85 \cdot 10^{-14} \frac{F}{\text{cm}} = 8.85 \cdot 10^{-12} \frac{F}{\text{m}}$ is the electric permittivity in vacuum
- $\epsilon_{Si} = 11.68 \cdot \epsilon_0$ is the relative permittivity of silicon
- $\epsilon_{ox} = 3.9 \cdot \epsilon_0$ is the relative permittivity of silicon oxide
- $t_{ox}[\text{cm}]$ is the thickness of the oxide layer in cm
- $\chi = 4.05 \text{ eV}$ is the electron affinity of a silicon crystal surface¹³
- $q = 1.602 \cdot 10^{-19} \text{ C}$ is the elementary charge

$$V_T = \frac{1.66 \cdot 10^{-7}}{C_{ox}} - 0.094 \quad (41)$$

For safety reasons we take 10% of the worst case as a error margin which leads us to the following new equation to solve

$$V_T = 450 \text{ mV} \quad (42)$$

This gives us the constraint of

$$0.45 \text{ V} = \left(\frac{1.66 \cdot 10^{-7}}{C_{ox}} - 0.094 \right) \text{ V} \quad (43)$$

We solve for the target capacity (in $\frac{F}{\text{cm}^2}$) which gives us the desired threshold voltage:

$$\Rightarrow C_{ox} = 3.057 \cdot 10^{-7} \frac{F}{\text{cm}^2} \quad (44)$$

$$\Rightarrow C_{ox} = 305.7 \frac{\text{nF}}{\text{cm}^2} \quad (45)$$

4.5 MOS gate

The wires and the MOS gates can be modeled as an RC low-pass filter, for that reason the time constant $\tau = RC$ is limiting the clock frequency of our CMOS circuitry. However, we can't just make the gate ultra thin, because lowered capacity also creates lowered thresholds, which will be a very big problem, as soon as we go into the 100nm range and lower.

In subsection 4.4 we defined the capacity of the gate to be $C_{ox} \leq 305.7 \frac{\text{nF}}{\text{cm}^2}$ which leads to the oxide capacity-thickness constraint with the variables being:

- $\epsilon_0 = 8.85 \cdot 10^{-14} \frac{F}{\text{cm}} = 8.85 \cdot 10^{-12} \frac{F}{\text{m}}$ is the electric permittivity in vacuum
- $\epsilon_{ox} = 3.9 \cdot \epsilon_0$ is the relative permittivity of silicon dioxide

¹²<https://ecee.colorado.edu/~bart/book/eband5.htm>

¹³https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Electron_affinity

and the constraint being for the oxide thickness which is only silicon dioxide for now

$$C_{ox} = \frac{\epsilon_{ox}}{t_{ox}} \quad (46)$$

$$t_{ox} = \frac{\epsilon_{ox}}{C_{ox}} \quad (47)$$

And with numbers we get

$$t_{ox} = \frac{3.9 \cdot 8.85 \cdot 10^{-14} \frac{F}{cm}}{305.7 \frac{nF}{cm^2}} = 1.129 \cdot 10^{-6} cm = 11.29 nm \quad (48)$$

That's still doable with a precision high enough when using dry oxidation and a temperature of 1000°Celsius.

4.6 PMOS threshold

Now we take a look at the worst case of 4 stacked PMOS transistors, which is the highest stacking amount which will be possible in technologies relying on this process.




Figure 12: OR4 gate

With our ϕ_F for a doped n-well

$$\phi_F = V_{th} \ln \left(\frac{N_A \cdot N_D}{N_i^2} \right) \quad (49)$$

5 Process steps

The general flow chart of the overall process flow can be seen in [Figure 13](#). These process steps will be discussed within the following sections.




Figure 13: Frontend and backend process flow

The five overall process steps are part of an active part of the technology, while the final metal (respectively contact) layers will be used for making a contact between the logic gates and macro cells and making them available to the exterior world.

For this process p-substrate is the required basic substrate, but forks and modifications will be very well possible based on a Graphene substrate or alike, still under the LSPL. The starting material is a p-type, $\langle 100 \rangle$ oriented silicon with a doping concentration of $\approx 9 \times 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-3}$.

5.1 Shallow trench isolation

The geometry of a substrate with STI implemented can be seen in [Figure 14](#).




Figure 14: Shallow trench isolation target geometry

As can be seen in [section 1](#), the n-well and the STI trench are supposed to have approximately the same depth. Because the n-well will be $\approx 4\mu m$ in depth we have to match this with our trench depth.




Figure 15: Shallow trench isolation layout

In [Figure 15](#) we can see the layout for the STI area. The STI area will be everywhere, where no active areas are. The deep isolating oxide needs to be grown out of trenches which can't been etched out of the silicon by using resist as a mask. For that reason we will have to resort to a protective mask made from a nitride layer which has to be etched before hand. So the mask will be exposed onto positive resist on top of the nitride in order to form a protective mask covering the active areas from having etched trenches into them as show in [subsubsection 5.1.7](#). After that we will use a dry etching method for cutting into the silicon substrate and making the active area become islands with trenches in between, as shown in [subsubsection 5.1.9](#). After these steps we have to remove the nitride mask, for which we expose the same mask again, only this time to a layer of inverted resist.

5.1.1 Initial cleaning

In order to remove the initial naturally grown silicon dioxide from the wafer, acid is being applied to the wafer which leads to a pure silicon substrate wafer as in the process illustration shown in Figure 16.




Figure 16: Initial cleaning

This needs to be done because the naturally grown initially existing silicon oxide is not pure and may contain contamination which may render the final product unusable.

5.1.2 Sulfuric Cleaning

The sulfuric acid mixture, $H_2SO_4 + H_2O_2$ is being applied to the wafer for 10 minutes at a temperature of 120 °C.

5.1.3 HF dip

After the sulfuric cleaning a HF ($HF:H_2O, 1:50$) dip is being performed for one minute.

Hydrofluoric acid (HF) is used to remove native silicon dioxide from wafers. Since it acts quickly, one needs to only expose the wafer for a short time ("dip").

After that the wafer needs to be dried and quickly processed further before new uncontrolled natural oxide can build up on the wafer through the contact with air.

5.1.4 Pad oxide

We need a thin layer of oxide as surface to grow our protective nitride layer on top.




Figure 17: Pad oxide growth

The thin layer of "pad" oxide (around 300nm) is grown in dry ambient for 45 minutes at 1000°C.¹⁴

5.1.5 Nitride layer

We need a protective nitride layer for dry etching the trenches into the silicon. This nitride will be grown in this step.




Figure 18: Nitride growth

The required thickness of this layer is not that critical, it can very well variate between 6nm and 10nm.¹⁵ For this reason we can put it into the LPCVD for around one or two minutes as explained in subsection 3.3.

¹⁴<http://cleanroom.byu.edu/OxideTimeCalc>

¹⁵<https://www.google.com/patents/US7985656>

5.1.6 Patterning positive




Figure 19: Patterning with positive resist

5.1.7 Nitride etching

We open the access to the silicon outside of the active areas in order to etch the trenches.




Figure 20: Nitride mask etching

We use the reflux method as described in [subsection 3.2](#)

5.1.8 Resist removal




Figure 21: Resist removal

5.1.9 Silicon etching




Figure 22: Trench etching

Dry etching (RIE)

5.1.10 Deep oxidation




Figure 23: Resist removal

5.1.11 Oxide deposition




Figure 24: Resist removal

5.1.12 Patterning negative




Figure 25: Patterning with negative resist

5.1.13 Nitride+pad oxide etching

Now we have to remove the nitride mask for further processing.




Figure 26: Trench etching

We use the reflux method as described in [subsection 3.2](#)

5.1.14 Resist removal




Figure 27: Cleaning wafer

5.2 N-well

In order to build CMOS on the same substrate, an n-well is required for building the complementary P-channel transistor for a n-p-channel logic circuitry as shown above in the example section. The cross section as well as the top view of the targeted geometry are shown in [Figure 28](#)




Figure 28: N-well target geometry

The n-well will serve us as an island of n-doped substrate within the p-doped basis substrate. The dopant dose will be: $2.5 \times 10^{12} cm^{-2}$

The surface concentration of the n-well ($\approx 1 \times 10^{16} cm^{-3}$) is determined primarily by the need to maintain a sufficiently high surface concentration to prevent field inversion of the n-well. The depth of the n-well ($\approx 4\mu m$) is then determined by the need to prevent punch-through of the parasitic vertical pnp transistor under worst case bias conditions.




Figure 29: N-Well layout

In [Figure 29](#) the layout of the n-well region on top of the active area region can be seen. You should make the active area always a little bit bigger than the n-well area in order to avoid hitting parts of the trench oxide with your dopant.

5.2.1 Mask dioxide layer

In order to selectively inject charge carrying atoms into the crystalline structure a protective dioxide (SiO_2) layer needs to be grown on top of a p-type substrate.




Figure 30: Dioxide layer growth

The industrial best practice is a layer of around ($500\text{nm} \approx 5000\text{\AA}$) thickness or more. For this purpose the wafer is being oxidized for at least 90 minutes at 1000°C using wet oxidation which results in a dioxide layer at least $500\text{nm} (\approx 5000\text{\AA})$ in thickness.

5.2.2 Patterning

The resist is being deposited using spin coating and then baked depending on the baking time for the specific resist. The layout for being exposed onto the resist is being extracted from the "nwell" layer within the GDS2 file.




Figure 31: Cross/top view of n-well layout on resist

The thickness of the resist layer and the baking duration will variate depending on the specific equipment for which this process will be implemented with.

5.2.3 Etching

We now need to open a window in the dioxide layer, through which we will inject carrier atoms into the silicon crystal structure.




Figure 32: Cross/top view of n-well oxide window

Since the silicon dioxide layer is 500nm thick and we wanna reach the silicon below we can use wet etching as described in the chemistry chapter. Using BHF (6:1) ([Equation 3.1](#)) we can etch with a speed of approximately

2 nm/s at 25 °C, we can calculate the etching time to be $\frac{500nm}{2nm/s}=250s=4$ minutes 10 seconds (or make it rather 30 seconds instead of 10)

5.2.4 Cleaning

In order to avoid contamination of the machines we need to make sure all the resist has been stripped off from the wafer.




Figure 33: Resist removal

Please just use the solvent for the specific resist.

5.2.5 Injection

We now need to inject the carriers into the upper level of the n-channel area so that we can later on drive them into the crystal during the drive-in step.




Figure 34: Doping process

The n-well is implanted with a Phosphorus (P^{31}) dose of $2.5 \times 10^{12} cm^{-2}$ at an energy of 100 KeV. The n-well is then annealed.

5.2.6 Oxide for drive-in

Now we need to cover the now doped and annealed areas with an oxide layer for the drive-in phase.




Figure 35: Oxide growth

The wafer is being oxidized for 32 minutes at 1000°C in order to achieve a cover silicon layer of 250nm thickness ($\approx 2500\text{\AA}$).

5.2.7 Drive-in

In order to drive the carrier atoms deeper into the crystalline structure the wafer needs to be driven in after predeposition.




Figure 36: Drive-in process

In this step the wafer is driven-in for 96 minutes at 1150°C in an inert ambient.

5.2.8 Oxide mask removal

We want to remove the silicon mask from the wafer so that the n-well becomes accessible for the further process steps but we don't want to etch "way too much" of the trench material.




Figure 37: Oxide removal

Since the silicon dioxide layer is 750nm (500nm+250nm) thick and we wanna reach the silicon below we can use wet etching as described in the chemistry chapter. Using BHF (6:1) ([Equation 3.1](#)) we can etch with a speed of approximately 2 nm/s at 25 °C. We can calculate the etching time to be $\frac{750nm}{2nm/s} = 375s = 6 \text{ Minutes and } 15 \text{ Seconds}$.

Etching away a "little bit too much" of the oxide isn't that bad, because the oxide within the trenches will be "filled up" again during the later steps.

5.3 P-well

In order to build CMOS on the same substrate, an P-well is required for building the complementary P-channel transistor for a n-p-channel logic circuitry as shown above in the example section. The cross section as well as the top view of the targeted geometry are shown in [Figure 28](#)




Figure 38: P-well target geometry

The P-well will serve us as an island of n-doped substrate within the p-doped basis substrate. The dopant dose will be: $2.5 \times 10^{12} cm^{-2}$

The surface concentration of the P-well ($\approx 1 \times 10^{16} cm^{-3}$) is determined primarily by the need to maintain a sufficiently high surface concentration to prevent field inversion of the n-pwell. The depth of the P-well ($\approx 4\mu m$) is then determined by the need to prevent punch-through of the parasitic vertical pnp transistor under worst case bias conditions.




Figure 39: P-Well layout

In [Figure 39](#) the layout of the P-well region on top of the active area region can be seen. You should make the active area always a little bit bigger than the P-well area in order to avoid hitting parts of the trench oxide with your dopant.

5.3.1 Mask dioxide layer

In order to selectively inject charge carrying atoms into the crystalline structure a protective dioxide (SiO_2) layer needs to be grown on top of a p-type substrate.




Figure 40: Dioxide layer growth

The industrial best practice is a layer of around ($500\text{nm} \approx 5000\text{\AA}$) thickness or more. For this purpose the wafer is being oxidized for at least 90 minutes at 1000°C using wet oxidation which results in a dioxide layer at least $500\text{nm} (\approx 5000\text{\AA})$ in thickness.

5.3.2 Patterning

The resist is being deposited using spin coating and then baked depending on the baking time for the specific resist. The layout for being exposed onto the resist is being extracted from the "nwell" layer within the GDS2 file.




Figure 41: Cross/top view of P-well layout on resist

The thickness of the resist layer and the baking duration will variate depending on the specific equipment for which this process will be implemented with.

5.3.3 Etching

We now need to open a window in the dioxide layer, through which we will inject carrier atoms into the silicon crystal structure.




Figure 42: Cross/top view of P-well oxide window

Since the silicon dioxide layer is 500nm thick and we wanna reach the silicon below we can use wet etching as described in the chemistry chapter. Using BHF (6:1) ([Equation 3.1](#)) we can etch with a speed of approximately

2 nm/s at 25 °C, we can calculate the etching time to be $\frac{500nm}{2nm/s}=250s=4$ minutes 10 seconds (or make it rather 30 seconds instead of 10)

5.3.4 Cleaning

In order to avoid contamination of the machines we need to make sure all the resist has been stripped off from the wafer.




Figure 43: Resist removal

Please just use the solvent for the specific resist.

5.3.5 Injection

We now need to inject the carriers into the upper level of the n-channel area so that we can later on drive them into the crystal during the drive-in step.




Figure 44: Doping process

The P-well is implanted with a Phosphorus (P^{31}) dose of $2.5 \times 10^{12} cm^{-2}$ at an energy of 100 KeV. The P-well is then annealed.

5.3.6 Oxide for drive-in

Now we need to cover the now doped and annealed areas with an oxide layer for the drive-in phase.




Figure 45: Oxide growth

The wafer is being oxidized for 32 minutes at 1000°C in order to achieve a cover silicon layer of 250nm thickness ($\approx 2500\text{\AA}$).

5.3.7 Drive-in

In order to drive the carrier atoms deeper into the crystalline structure the wafer needs to be driven in after predeposition.




Figure 46: Drive-in process

In this step the wafer is driven-in for 96 minutes at 1150°C in an inert ambient.

5.3.8 Oxide mask removal

We want to remove the silicon mask from the wafer so that the P-well becomes accessible for the further process steps but we don't want to etch "way too much" of the trench material.




Figure 47: Oxide removal

Since the silicon dioxide layer is 750nm (500nm+250nm) thick and we wanna reach the silicon below we can use wet etching as described in the chemistry chapter. Using BHF (6:1) ([Equation 3.1](#)) we can etch with a speed of approximately 2 nm/s at 25 °C. We can calculate the etching time to be $\frac{750nm}{2nm/s} = 375s = 6 \text{ Minutes and } 15 \text{ Seconds}$.

Etching away a "little bit too much" of the oxide isn't that bad, because the oxide within the trenches will be "filled up" again during the later steps.

5.4 n+ Implant

For the bulk of the PMOS transistors and for the source and drain of the NMOS transistors highly doped n+ areas are required. In this step we're going to build these.




Figure 48: N+ implant geometry target




Figure 49: N+ layout

5.4.1 Mask dioxide layer




Figure 50: Oxide layer

5.4.2 Patterning




Figure 51: N+ region resist mask

5.4.3 Etching




Figure 52: N+ region opened

5.4.4 Cleaning




Figure 53: Resist removal

5.4.5 Injection




Figure 54: N+ injection process

5.4.6 Oxide removal




Figure 55: Oxide removal

5.5 p+ Implant

For the bulk of the NMOS transistors and for the source and drain of the PMOS transistors highly doped p+ areas are required. In this step we're going to build these.




Figure 56: P+ implant geometry target




Figure 57: P+ layout

5.5.1 Mask dioxide layer




Figure 58: Oxide layer

5.5.2 Patterning




Figure 59: P+ region resist mask

5.5.3 Etching




Figure 60: P+ region opened

5.5.4 Cleaning




Figure 61: Resist removal

5.5.5 Injection




Figure 62: P+ injection process

5.5.6 Oxide removal




Figure 63: Oxide removal

5.6 Gate contact




Figure 64: Aluminum gate contacts with gate oxide




Figure 65: Gate layout

5.7 First vias





Figure 66: First via layout

5.8 First metal layer



5.9 Additional vias




Figure 68: Additional via layout

5.10 Additional metal layer




Figure 69: Additional metal layout