## § Lust Couple Lectures

## Sylow Theorems

Lot 6 be a gp of order p'm (ptm). Then:

- (1) np(6)= # 25ylow p-subgroups3 = 1 mod p, + np(0) /m
- (2) all sylow p-subgroups are conjugate

## Consequences

Les HCB boa Sylow p-subgroup

- (1) H is normal Aprob=1
- (2) [G: N<sub>6</sub>(H)] = [G:  $\{5+ab, H\}\}$ ] = # $\{2ab, +oc, H, under this action <math>\}$ (b) [Stab under the action of conjugation  $\}$
- (3) If 161=pm,  $p\neq m$ . Then # {elements of order p in G} § Applications  $= \bigcap_{p \in S} (p-1)$ 
  - (1) Grown of order pq (p<q primes)

    Must have a named Sylow q-subgroup (Nq(6) 17, Nq(6)=1 mdq)

    If ptq-1 then np(6)=1 (>G=7L/pq)

    If p1q-1, then I! renobotion qp. of order pq
  - (2) Groups of order 12 -Either G has a regimal Sylow 3-subgp. of 6≃A4 (in which case 6 has normal 2-sylow)
  - (3) Groups of order p2q: p>q=> 800 mal Sylow p-Sylow
    p<q: e12 her G has normal Sylow q-Subgr or p2=12 + B=Aq
    § Conjuguey in Sn (recall from 11/7)

## 3 Notes on As

Propin As is simple (optional HW - see website)

```
Propin (converse) It 6 is a simple gp. of order 60,
then 6= A5
Pf: 12(6)=1 mod 2, 12(6)/15 - 12(6)=3,5, or 15
  Strategy (1) 1, (6) +3 (11) 3 N=6 of index 5
            (iii) use action of 6 on 6/N (coset space of order 5)
                  wlonly even permutations
Claim 1: n. (6) $3. Sufficient to show 6 hus no proper
     subgps. of index < 5, since if P is a sylow 2-subgp.,
        [6: No(P)]= n,(6)
   Pf: Suppose [6:H] = 2,3, or 4.
       Gacts on GIH by left mult. + ransitively
           Levery ge G induces perm. of G/H)
  So we have a hom. 9:6 -> Sym (G/H)
                            graper induced by q
     ker(9) CH & Gr Ker (4) 16 = Ker (9) = trivial
     .. g is injective. so G = Sym(G/H) ~ S[6:H]
                           Order 60 order 2', 3! or 4!
     Contradiction
Claim 2: 12(6) = 5 => 6 = A5
   Pf: Pa 8ylow 2-subgp- N= No(P)
      [6=N]=n,(6)=5 6 acts on 61N
```

Pf: P a 8ylow 2-subgp. N=NG(P)

[G=NJ=n\_3(G)=5 G acts on GIN

Again have hom: 9:6 -> Sym(GIN) ~ Sg

Know N & G, so ker 9 & G: as in claim 1, ker 9 = gez

and so G = Ss.

Suppose G & As. Then G As = Ss. Then

[G=As nG] = [Ss: As] = 2 -> As nG & G contradiction,

since G is simple.

G = As

and so G = Ss.

Suppose G & As. Then G As = Ss. Then

[G=As nG] = [Ss: As] = 2 -> As nG & G contradiction,

since G is simple.

Claim 3: 1, (6) \$ 15 Pf: (by contradiction) If we can show IMC6 w/ [6: M]=5, then asin claim 2 (replacing N w/M), can show  $6 \simeq A_5$ , and  $A_2(A_5)=5 \Rightarrow =$ construction of M (details omitted) show I sylow 2-subgroups P+Qs.1. |PnQ|=2+ show that INo (PrQ) = 12 M= NG (PrQ) End of Proof that G=As. § Notes on An (n≥5) Thm: An is simple for 125 Pf: (by induction) As V NZG: G= An. Suppose (for contr.) that 3 H46, H+1, G An= 6 acts on 21, ..., n3 G:= Stub of i = An-1 Claim 1: 7 76 Hte 5t. T(i)=i (: T(i)=T2(i), T, T. FH,) Pf: GOH YS, A, =<61, --, Gn>CH Claim 2: Given TeH, only 2-cycles can appear inits disj. cyc. decomp.

Claim 3: Given Tett, T doesn't just have 2- sycles