A Notational Conventions

Matrices are denoted by capital letters (e.g. X, Θ). Column vectors are denoted by lowercase bold face Roman and Greek letters (e.g. x, θ). Usually, lower case letters are the columns of their upper case matrix counterparts (e.g. x_i is the ith column vector of X) except for θ which is distinct from a column of Θ . Subscripts indicate either the column of a matrix (e.g. Θ_s) or a scalar value indexed on a vector (e.g. x_{is} , θ_s). Superscripts indicate an element of a set, which can either be a set of vectors or a set of matrices (e.g. $\theta^j \in \theta^{1...k}$, $\Theta^j \in \Theta^{1...k}$, or $\Phi^s \in \Phi^{1...p}$).

The subscript $\setminus i$ as in $\text{vec}(\Phi^s)_{\setminus i}$ refers to the sub vector when the ith coordinate is made to be zero. This is important when calculating the ℓ_1 regularization because the only the edge parameters are regularized and therefore the node parameters must be ignored.

B Reformulation of negative pseudo log likelihood

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Pr(\boldsymbol{x}_i \mid \boldsymbol{w}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{1...k}, \boldsymbol{\Theta}^{1...k})$$
 (5)

$$= -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Pr_{\text{PMRF}} \left(\boldsymbol{x}_i \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}^i = \sum_{j=1}^{k} w_j \boldsymbol{\theta}^j, \Theta^i = \sum_{j=1}^{k} w_j \Theta^j \right)$$
 (6)

$$= -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} w_{ij} \boldsymbol{\theta}^{j} \right)^{T} \boldsymbol{x}_{i} + \boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{T} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} w_{ij} \boldsymbol{\Theta}^{j} \right) \boldsymbol{x}_{i} - \sum_{s=1}^{p} \exp \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} w_{ij} \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{s}^{j} + \boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{T} \boldsymbol{\Theta}_{s}^{j} \right) \right) \right]$$
(7)

$$= -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{s=1}^{p} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{k} w_{ij} x_{is} (\theta_s^j + \boldsymbol{x}_i^T \Theta_s^j) - \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} w_{ij} (\theta_s^j + \boldsymbol{x}_i^T \Theta_s^j) \right) \right]$$
(8)

C Parameter Settings

A summary of the parameter settings for the models trained can be seen in Table 2. Experiments were run over all possible combinations of the parameters given and final parameter values determined by evocation score on 50% tuning set. Note that the output edge matrices of APM (i.e. $\Theta^{1...k}$) are not symmetric because the algorithm ignores the symmetry constraint thus yielding an overcomplete representation in which two estimates of the word dependency parameters are computed. These two estimates can be combined in at least 2 ways:

- 1. *OR*: Assume the combined estimate is a non-zero if either estimate is non-zero (i.e. take the *OR* of the estimated non-zero edges). Then, merely average both estimates.
- 2. AND: Assume the combined estimate is non-zero *only if both* estimates are non-zero (i.e. take the AND of the estimated non-zero edges). Then, merely average the non-zero entries.

Note that if the estimator is actually recovering the true neighborhood (i.e. a variable's non-zero dependencies with other variables), then these definitions are equivalent. However, in practice, we have found that the models are quite different yet both give reasonable results. In general, we observed that AND is easier to interpret and is less likely to overfit the training data than OR. AND also has the intuitive interpretation that two words are directly dependent on one another if and only if they are useful in predicting each other (i.e. they are non-zero coefficients in the node-wise Poisson-like regression problems). This is why we chose to use AND for APM-LowReg and APM-HeldOut. We suggest that in general AND is probably a better post-processing step than OR. However, more fully studying the effects of this post-processing step could be an area of future research.

Table 2: Table of Parameter Settings for Models

Model	Parameter settings					
APM	$k \in \{1, 3, 5, 10, 25\}$					
	Trace iteration $\in \{1, 2, \dots, 15\}$ (i.e. different λ values)					
	$\beta \in \{0, 0.01, 1\}$					
	Post processing of edge set $\in \{AND, OR\}$					
APM-LowReg						
	λ chosen to be very small (usually approximately $\frac{\lambda_{\text{max}}}{2^{15}}$)					
	$\beta = 0$					
	Post processing of edge set $\in \{AND\}$					
APM-HeldOut	$k \in \{1, 3, 5, 10, 25\}$					
	Percentage of held-out documents $\in \{10\%, 20\%\}$					
	λ chosen by held-out training documents					
	$\beta = \{0, 0.1\}$					
	Post processing of edge set $\in \{AND\}$					
CTM	$k \in \{1, 3, 5, 10, 25\}$					
	Default parameters except for two different convergence criteria					
HDP	Topic Dirichlet hyperparameter $\eta \in \{1, 0.01, 0.0001\}$					
	Hyperparameter resampling $\in \{yes, no\}$					
	Scaling for prior if hyperparameter resampling or first concentration parameter					
	$\gamma \in \{100, 10, 1, 0.1\}$					
LDA	$k \in \{1, 3, 5, 10, 25, 50\}$					
	Topic Dirichlet hyperparameter $\beta \in \{1, 0.01, 0.0001\}$					
	Document Dirichlet hyperparameter $\alpha = \{1, 0.1, 0.01\}$					
	Optimize hyperparameters $\in \{yes, no\}$					
RSM	$k \in \{1, 3, 5, 10, 25, 50\}$					
	Learning rate $\in \{10^{-3}, 5 \times 10^{-4}, 10^{-4}, 5 \times 10^{-5}, 10^{-5}\}$					
	Maximum iterations $\in \{10^3, 10^4\}$					

D Algorithms

D.1 Main Alternating Algorithm for APM

Algorithm 1: Estimate APM parameters using an alternating scheme

```
Input : Data matrix X \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{p \times n}, number of topics k, prior hyperparameter \beta \geq 0 Output: Parameters \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\lambda}^{1...k}, \boldsymbol{\Theta}_{\lambda}^{1...k} and \mathbf{W}_{\lambda} for different values of \lambda

1 \mathbf{W} \leftarrow \mathrm{rand}(k,n)

2 for \lambda \leftarrow \infty, \lambda_{\max}, \frac{\lambda_{\max}}{2}, \frac{\lambda_{\max}}{4}, \frac{\lambda_{\max}}{8}, \cdots do

3 | while not converged do

4 | [\boldsymbol{\theta}^{1...k}; \boldsymbol{\Theta}^{1...k}] \leftarrow \mathrm{EstimateComponentPMRFs}(\mathbf{W}, X, \lambda, \beta)

5 | \mathbf{W} \leftarrow \mathrm{EstimateAdmixtureWeights}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{1...k}, \boldsymbol{\Theta}^{1...k}, X)

6 | end

7 end
```

D.2 Component PMRFs Algorithm

Algorithm 2: Estimates the k node and edge parameters for word index s when W is fixed

Input: Data matrix X, admixture weights matrix W, word index s, sparsity parameter λ **Output**: Parameter Φ^s

```
\mathbf{1} \ \ \boldsymbol{Z} \leftarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \boldsymbol{x}_1 & \boldsymbol{x}_2 & \cdots & \boldsymbol{x}_n \end{bmatrix}; \quad \Psi^s \leftarrow \operatorname{Wdiag}\left( [x_{1s} \ x_{2s} \ \cdots x_{ns}] \right) \boldsymbol{Z}^T; \quad \boldsymbol{\Phi}^s \leftarrow \mathbf{0}
  2 while not converged do
                   \forall i, \ \boldsymbol{\gamma}_i \leftarrow \exp(\boldsymbol{z}_i^T \boldsymbol{\Phi}^s \boldsymbol{w}_i); \quad \boldsymbol{D} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{0}; \quad \boldsymbol{r} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{0}; \quad \epsilon = 0.5; \quad \sigma = 10^{-10}
                    \nabla g(\mathbf{\Phi}^s) \leftarrow -(1/n)(\Psi^s - \mathbf{Z}\operatorname{diag}(\boldsymbol{\gamma})\mathbf{W}^T)
  4
                    \mathcal{F} \leftarrow \{(t,j) : t \neq s \text{ and } (|\nabla_{jt}g(\phi)| \geq \lambda \text{ or } \phi_{jt} \neq 0 \text{ or } t = 1)\}
  5
  6
                    while not converged do
  7
                               for (t,j) \in \mathcal{F} do
                                         \begin{array}{l} \stackrel{\cdot}{a} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_i (w_{ij} z_{it})^2; \quad b = \nabla_{jt} g(\mathbf{\Phi}^s) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_i w_{ij} z_{it} r_i; \quad c = \phi_{jt} + d_{jt} \\ \mu \leftarrow -c + \mathcal{S}_{\lambda/a} (c - b/a); \quad d_{jt} \leftarrow d_{jt} + \mu; \quad \forall i, \ r_i \leftarrow r_i + \mu z_{it} w_{ij} \end{array}
  8
  9
10
                               end
11
                    end
                    for \alpha \leftarrow 1, \epsilon^2, \epsilon^3, \cdots do
12
13
                               \hat{\mathbf{\Phi}}^s \leftarrow \mathbf{\Phi}^s + \alpha \mathbf{D}
                              f(\hat{\mathbf{\Phi}}^s) \leftarrow -(1/n) \left( \operatorname{tr}(\Psi^s \hat{\mathbf{\Phi}}^s) - \sum_{i=1}^n \exp(\mathbf{z}_i^T \hat{\mathbf{\Phi}}^s \mathbf{w}_i) \right) + \lambda \|\operatorname{vec}(\hat{\mathbf{\Phi}}^s)_{\setminus 1}\|_1
14
                              if f(\hat{\mathbf{\Phi}}^s) \leq f(\mathbf{\Phi}^s) + \alpha \sigma[\operatorname{tr}(\nabla g(\mathbf{\Phi}^s)^T \mathbf{D}) + \|(\operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{\Phi}^s) + \operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{D}))_{\backslash 1}\|_1 - \|\operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{\Phi}^s)_{\backslash 1}\|_1] then
15
                                 \Phi^s \leftarrow \hat{\Phi}^s; break
16
17
                               end
18
                    end
19 end
```

E Top 50 Edges for Best LDA and APM Models

Table 3: Top 50 words for LDA (Left) and APM (Right)

Rank	Evoc.	Edge			
1	38	woman.n	\leftrightarrow	man.n	
2	0	woman.n	\leftrightarrow	wife.n	
3	13	train.n	\leftrightarrow	car.n	
4	69	school.n	\leftrightarrow	class.n	
5	0	drive.v	\leftrightarrow	car.n	
6	82	teach.v	\leftrightarrow	school.n	
7	38	engine.n	\leftrightarrow	car.n	
8	35	publish.v	\leftrightarrow	book.n	
9	7	religious.a	\leftrightarrow	church.n	
10	38	state.n	\leftrightarrow	government.n	
11	0	car.n	\leftrightarrow	bus.n	
12	32	year.n	\leftrightarrow	day.n	
13	25	seat.n		•	
14	50	teach.v	\leftrightarrow	student.n	
15	0	tell.v	\leftrightarrow	get.v	
16	38			man.n	
17	100	run.v	\leftrightarrow	car.n	
18	0	give.v	\leftrightarrow	get.v	
19	16	paper.n		-	
20	19	white.a			
21	19	fish.n	\leftrightarrow	animal.n	
22	44	week.n	\leftrightarrow	day.n	
23	0			language.n	
24	51	hour.n			
25	25			animal.n	
26	38	J		institution.n	
27	44	house.n	\leftrightarrow	government.n	
28	0			subject.n	
29	13	ride.v	\leftrightarrow	horse.n	
30	7	teacher.n	\leftrightarrow	teach.v	
31	0	subject.n	\leftrightarrow	old.a	
32	19	west.n			
33	7	people.n	\leftrightarrow	family.n	
34	16	tree.n	\leftrightarrow	plant.n	
35	13	year.n	\leftrightarrow	week.n	
36	0			authority.n	
37	0	high.a		•	
38	0	urban.a	\leftrightarrow	area.n	
39	7	institution.n			
40	0	high.a	\leftrightarrow	area.n	
41	6	university.n	\leftrightarrow	date.n	
42	0	record.n			
43	38	give.v	\leftrightarrow	church.n	
44	6	plant.n			
45	25	member.n			
46	32	west.n	\leftrightarrow	state.n	
47	0	show.v	\leftrightarrow	first.a	
48	0			house.n	
49	63	van.n			
50	16	journal.n			
		, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• •		

(Lett) and APM (Right)							
Rank	Evoc.		Edge				
1	13	smoke.v		cigarette.n			
2	60	love.v	\leftrightarrow	love.n			
3	13	eat.v	\leftrightarrow	food.n			
4	50	west.n	\leftrightarrow	east.n			
5	38	south.n	\leftrightarrow	north.n			
6	75	steel.n	\leftrightarrow	iron.n			
7	57	question.n	\leftrightarrow	answer.n			
8	13	boil.v	\leftrightarrow	potato.n			
9	7	religious.a	\leftrightarrow	church.n			
10	97	husband.n	\leftrightarrow	wife.n			
11	72	aunt.n	\leftrightarrow	uncle.n			
12	28	tea.n	\leftrightarrow	coffee.n			
13	25	operational.a	\leftrightarrow	aircraft.n			
14	0	competition.n	\leftrightarrow	compete.v			
15	35	green.n	\leftrightarrow	green.a			
16	0	fox.n	\leftrightarrow	animal.n			
17	19	smoke.n	\leftrightarrow	fire.n			
18	41	wine.n	\leftrightarrow	drink.v			
19	33	troop.n	\leftrightarrow	force.n			
20	7	lock.n	\leftrightarrow	key.n			
21	13	ride.v	\leftrightarrow	horse.n			
22	100	telephone.n	\leftrightarrow	call.n			
23	76	politics.n	\leftrightarrow	political.a			
24	0	smell.v	\leftrightarrow	smell.n			
25	7	teacher.n	\leftrightarrow	teach.v			
26	7	check.v	\leftrightarrow	check.n			
27	72	printer.n	\leftrightarrow	print.v			
28	50	sun.n	\leftrightarrow	earth.n			
29	0	rehabilitation.n	\leftrightarrow	contact.n			
30	35	salt.n	\leftrightarrow	rice.n			
31	44	weekend.n	\leftrightarrow	sunday.n			
32	35	publish.v	\leftrightarrow	book.n			
33	0	guilty.a	\leftrightarrow	court.n			
34	35	copy.v	\leftrightarrow	copy.n			
35	19	white.a	\leftrightarrow	black.a			
36	75	job.n	\leftrightarrow	employment.n			
37	75	room.n	\leftrightarrow	bedroom.n			
38	38	morning.n	\leftrightarrow	afternoon.n			
39	0	cat.n	\leftrightarrow	animal.n			
40	0	similarity.n	\leftrightarrow	sequence.n			
41	0	drive.v	\leftrightarrow	car.n			
42	57	prison.n	\leftrightarrow	cell.n			
43	38	engine.n	\leftrightarrow	car.n			
44	10	fall.v	\leftrightarrow	fall.n			
45	0	session.n	\leftrightarrow	experience.n			
46	7	society.n	\leftrightarrow	class.n			
47	0	index.n	\leftrightarrow	close.v			
48	82	residential.a	\leftrightarrow	home.n			
49	51	mother.n	\leftrightarrow	baby.n			
50	28	win.v	\leftrightarrow	prize.n			