L. Vandenberghe EE236C (Spring 2016)

13. Douglas-Rachford method and ADMM

- Douglas-Rachford splitting method
- examples
- alternating direction method of multipliers
- image deblurring example
- convergence

Douglas-Rachford splitting algorithm

minimize
$$f(x) + g(x)$$

f and g are closed convex functions

Douglas-Rachford iteration: start at any $y^{(0)}$ and repeat for $k=1,2,\ldots$,

$$x^{(k)} = \operatorname{prox}_{f}(y^{(k-1)})$$

$$y^{(k)} = y^{(k-1)} + \operatorname{prox}_{g}(2x^{(k)} - y^{(k-1)}) - x^{(k)}$$

- ullet useful when f and g have inexpensive prox-operators
- $x^{(k)}$ converges to a solution of $0 \in \partial f(x) + \partial g(x)$ (if a solution exists)
- not symmetric in f and g

Douglas-Rachford iteration as fixed-point iteration

• iteration on page 13-2 can be written as fixed-point iteration

$$y^{(k)} = F(y^{(k-1)})$$

where

$$F(y) = y + \operatorname{prox}_g(2\operatorname{prox}_f(y) - y) - \operatorname{prox}_f(y)$$

• y is a fixed point of F if and only if $x = \text{prox}_f(y)$ satisfies $0 \in \partial f(x) + \partial g(x)$:

$$y = F(y)$$

$$\updownarrow$$

$$0 \in \partial f(\operatorname{prox}_f(y)) + \partial g(\operatorname{prox}_f(y))$$

(proof on next page)

Proof.

$$x = \operatorname{prox}_{f}(y), \quad y = F(y)$$

$$\updownarrow$$

$$x = \operatorname{prox}_{f}(y), \quad x = \operatorname{prox}_{g}(2x - y)$$

$$\updownarrow$$

$$y - x \in \partial f(x), \quad x - y \in \partial g(x)$$

• therefore, if y = F(y), then $x = \text{prox}_f(y)$ satisfies

$$0 = (y - x) + (x - y) \in \partial f(x) + \partial g(x)$$

ullet conversely, if $-z\in\partial f(x)$ and $z\in\partial g(x)$, then y=x-z is a fixed point of F

Equivalent form of DR algorithm

• start iteration on page 13-2 at y-update and renumber iterates

$$y^{(k)} = y^{(k-1)} + \operatorname{prox}_g(2x^{(k-1)} - y^{(k-1)}) - x^{(k-1)}$$
$$x^{(k)} = \operatorname{prox}_f(y^{(k)})$$

• switch *y*- and *x*-updates

$$u^{(k)} = \operatorname{prox}_{g}(2x^{(k-1)} - y^{(k-1)})$$

$$x^{(k)} = \operatorname{prox}_{f}(y^{(k-1)} + u^{(k)} - x^{(k-1)})$$

$$y^{(k)} = y^{(k-1)} + u^{(k)} - x^{(k-1)}$$

• make change of variables $w^{(k)} = x^{(k)} - y^{(k)}$

$$u^{(k)} = \operatorname{prox}_{g}(x^{(k-1)} + w^{(k-1)})$$

$$x^{(k)} = \operatorname{prox}_{f}(u^{(k)} - w^{(k-1)})$$

$$w^{(k)} = w^{(k-1)} + x^{(k)} - u^{(k)}$$

Scaling

algorithm applied to cost function scaled by t>0

minimize
$$tf(x) + tg(x)$$

algorithm of page 13-2

$$x^{(k)} = \operatorname{prox}_{tf}(y^{(k-1)})$$

$$y^{(k)} = y^{(k-1)} + \operatorname{prox}_{tq}(2x^{(k)} - y^{(k-1)}) - x^{(k)}$$

• algorithm of page 13-5

$$u^{(k)} = \operatorname{prox}_{tg}(x^{(k-1)} + w^{(k-1)})$$

$$x^{(k)} = \operatorname{prox}_{tf}(u^{(k)} - w^{(k-1)})$$

$$w^{(k)} = w^{(k-1)} + x^{(k)} - u^{(k)}$$

- the algorithm is not invariant with respect to scaling
- ullet in theory, t can be any positive constant; several heuristics exist for adapting t

Douglas-Rachford iteration with relaxation

fixed-point iteration with relaxation

$$y^{(k)} = y^{(k-1)} + \rho_k(F(y^{(k-1)}) - y^{(k-1)})$$

 $1 < \rho_k < 2$ is overrelaxation, $0 < \rho_k < 1$ underrelaxation

algorithm of page 13-2 with relaxation

$$x^{(k)} = \operatorname{prox}_{f}(y^{(k-1)})$$

$$y^{(k)} = y^{(k-1)} + \rho_{k} \left(\operatorname{prox}_{g}(2x^{(k)} - y^{(k-1)}) - x^{(k)} \right)$$

algorithm of page 13-5

$$u^{+} = \text{prox}_{g}(x+w)$$

 $x^{+} = \text{prox}_{f}(x+\rho(u^{+}-x)-w)$
 $w^{+} = w+x^{+}-x+\rho(x-u^{+})$

Primal-dual formulation

primal: minimize f(x) + g(x)

dual: maximize $-g^*(z) - f^*(-z)$

• use Moreau decomposition to simplify step 2 of DR iteration (page 13-2):

$$x^{(k)} = \operatorname{prox}_{f}(y^{(k-1)})$$

 $y^{(k)} = x^{(k)} - \operatorname{prox}_{q^{*}}(2x^{(k)} - y^{(k-1)})$

• make change of variables $z^{(k)} = x^{(k)} - y^{(k)}$:

$$x^{(k)} = \operatorname{prox}_{f}(x^{(k-1)} - z^{(k-1)})$$

 $z^{(k)} = \operatorname{prox}_{q^{*}}(z^{(k-1)} + 2x^{(k)} - x^{(k-1)})$

Outline

- Douglas-Rachford splitting method
- examples
- alternating direction method of multipliers
- image deblurring example
- convergence

Sparse inverse covariance selection

minimize
$$\mathbf{tr}(CX) - \log \det X + \gamma \sum_{i>j} |X_{ij}|$$

variable is $X \in \mathbf{S}^n$; parameters $C \in \mathbf{S}^n_{++}$ and $\gamma > 0$ are given

Douglas-Rachford splitting

$$f(X) = \mathbf{tr}(CX) - \log \det X, \qquad g(X) = \gamma \sum_{i>j} |X_{ij}|$$

- $X=\mathrm{prox}_{tf}(\hat{X})$ is positive solution of $C-X^{-1}+(1/t)(X-\hat{X})=0$ easily solved via eigenvalue decomposition of $\hat{X}-tC$ (see homework)
- $X = \operatorname{prox}_{tq}(\hat{X})$ is soft-thresholding

Spingarn's method of partial inverses

Equality constrained convex problem (f closed and convex; V a subspace)

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & f(x) \\ \text{subject to} & x \in V \end{array}$$

Spingarn's method: Douglas-Rachford splitting with $g = \delta_V$ (indicator of V)

$$x^{(k)} = \operatorname{prox}_{tf}(y^{(k-1)})$$
$$y^{(k)} = y^{(k-1)} + P_V(2x^{(k)} - y^{(k-1)}) - x^{(k)}$$

Primal-dual form (algorithm of page 13-8):

$$x^{(k)} = \operatorname{prox}_{tf}(x^{(k-1)} - z^{(k-1)})$$
$$z^{(k)} = P_{V^{\perp}}(z^{(k-1)} + 2x^{(k)} - x^{(k-1)})$$

Application to composite optimization problem

minimize
$$f_1(x) + f_2(Ax)$$

 f_1 and f_2 have simple prox-operators

ullet problem is equivalent to minimizing $f(x_1,x_2)$ over subspace V where

$$f(x_1, x_2) = f_1(x_1) + f_2(x_2), \qquad V = \{(x_1, x_2) \mid x_2 = Ax_1\}$$

• prox_{tf} is separable:

$$\operatorname{prox}_{tf}(x_1, x_2) = \left(\operatorname{prox}_{tf_1}(x_1), \operatorname{prox}_{tf_2}(x_2)\right)$$

• projection of (x_1, x_2) on V reduces to linear equation:

$$P_{V}(x_{1}, x_{2}) = \begin{bmatrix} I \\ A \end{bmatrix} (I + A^{T}A)^{-1}(x_{1} + A^{T}x_{2})$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} x_{1} \\ x_{2} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} A^{T} \\ -I \end{bmatrix} (I + AA^{T})^{-1}(x_{2} - Ax_{1})$$

Decomposition of separable problems

minimize
$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} f_j(x_j) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} g_i(A_{i1}x_1 + \dots + A_{in}x_n)$$

- same problem as page 12-17, but without strong convexity assumption
- ullet we assume the functions f_i and g_i have inexpensive prox-operators

Equivalent formulation

minimize
$$\sum_{j=1}^n f_j(x_j) + \sum_{i=1}^m g_i(y_{i1} + \dots + y_{in})$$
 subject to
$$y_{ij} = A_{ij}x_j, \quad i=1,\dots,m, \quad j=1,\dots,n$$

- ullet prox-operator of first term requires evaluations of prox_{tf_j} for $j=1,\ldots,n$
- ullet prox-operator of 2nd term requires prox_{ntg_i} for $i=1,\ldots,m$ (see page 8-8)
- ullet projection on constraint set reduces to n independent linear equations

Decomposition of separable problems

Second equivalent formulation: introduce extra splitting variables x_{ij}

minimize
$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} f_j(x_j) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} g_i(y_{i1} + \dots + y_{in})$$

subject to $x_{ij} = x_j, \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \quad j = 1, \dots, n$
 $y_{ij} = A_{ij}x_{ij}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \quad j = 1, \dots, n$

• make first set of constraints part of domain of f_j :

$$\tilde{f}_j(x_j, x_{1j}, \dots, x_{mj}) = \begin{cases} f_j(x_j) & x_{ij} = x_j, \quad i = 1, \dots, m \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

prox-operator of \widetilde{f}_j reduces to prox-operator of f_j

ullet projection on other constraints involves mn independent linear equations

Outline

- Douglas-Rachford splitting method
- examples
- alternating direction method of multipliers
- image deblurring example
- convergence

Dual application of Douglas-Rachford method

Separable convex problem

minimize
$$f_1(x_1) + f_2(x_2)$$

subject to $A_1x_1 + A_2x_2 = b$

Dual problem

maximize
$$-b^Tz - f_1^*(-A_1^Tz) - f_2^*(-A_2^Tz)$$

we apply the Douglas-Rachford method (page 13-5) to minimize

$$\underbrace{b^{T}z + f_{1}^{*}(-A_{1}^{T}z)}_{g(z)} + \underbrace{f_{2}^{*}(-A_{2}^{T}z)}_{f(z)}$$

Douglas Rachford applied to the dual

$$u^{+} = \text{prox}_{tg}(z+w), \qquad z^{+} = \text{prox}_{tf}(u^{+}-w), \qquad w^{+} = w + z^{+} - u^{+}$$

First line: use result on page 10-7 to compute $u^+ = \text{prox}_{tg}(z+w)$

$$\hat{x}_1 = \underset{x_1}{\operatorname{argmin}} (f_1(x_1) + z^T (A_1 x_1 - b) + \frac{t}{2} ||A_1 x_1 - b + w/t||_2^2)$$

$$u^+ = z + w + t (A_1 \hat{x}_1 - b)$$

Second line: similarly, compute $z^+ = \text{prox}_{tf}(z + t(A_1\hat{x}_1 - b))$

$$\hat{x}_2 = \underset{x_2}{\operatorname{argmin}} (f_2(x_2) + z^T A_2 x_2 + \frac{t}{2} ||A_1 \hat{x}_1 + A_2 x_2 - b||_2^2$$

$$z^+ = z + t(A_1 \hat{x}_1 + A_2 \hat{x}_2 - b)$$

Third line reduces to $w^+ = tA_2\hat{x}_2$

Alternating direction method of multipliers (ADMM)

1. minimize augmented Lagrangian over x_1

$$x_1^{(k)} = \underset{x_1}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left(f_1(x_1) + (z^{(k-1)})^T A_1 x_1 + \frac{t}{2} ||A_1 x_1 + A_2 x_2^{(k-1)} - b||_2^2 \right)$$

2. minimize augmented Lagrangian over x_2

$$x_2^{(k)} = \underset{x_2}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left(f_2(x_2) + (z^{(k-1)})^T A_2 x_2 + \frac{t}{2} ||A_1 x_1^{(k)} + A_2 x_2 - b||_2^2 \right)$$

3. dual update

$$z^{(k)} = z^{(k-1)} + t(A_1 x_1^{(k)} + A_2 x_2^{(k)} - b)$$

this the alternating direction method of multipliers or split Bregman method

Comparison with other multiplier methods

Alternating minimization method (page 12-22) with $g(y) = \delta_{\{b\}}(y)$

- same dual update, same update for x_2
- x_1 -update in alternating minimization method is simpler:

$$x_1^{(k)} = \underset{x_1}{\operatorname{argmin}} (f_1(x_1) + (z^{(k-1)})^T A_1 x_1)$$

- ullet ADMM does not require strong convexity of f_1
- in theory, parameter t in ADMM can be any positive constant

Augmented Lagrangian method (page 12-23) with $g(y) = \delta_{\{b\}}(y)$

- same dual update
- AL method requires joint minimization of the augmented Lagrangian

$$f_1(x_1) + f_2(x_2) + (z^{(k-1)})^T (A_1x_1 + A_2x_2) + \frac{t}{2} ||A_1x_1 + A_2x_2 - b||_2^2$$

Application to composite optimization (method 1)

minimize
$$f_1(x) + f_2(Ax)$$

apply ADMM to

minimize
$$f_1(x_1) + f_2(x_2)$$

subject to $Ax_1 = x_2$

augmented Lagrangian is

$$f_1(x_1) + f_2(x_2) + \frac{t}{2} ||Ax_1 - x_2 + z/t||_2^2$$

ullet x_1 -update requires (possibly nontrivial) minimization of

$$f_1(x_1) + \frac{t}{2} ||Ax_1 - x_2 + z/t||_2^2$$

• x_2 -update is evaluation of $\operatorname{prox}_{t^{-1}f_2}$

Application to composite optimization (method 2)

introduce an extra 'splitting' variable x_3

minimize
$$f_1(x_3) + f_2(x_2)$$
 subject to $\begin{bmatrix} A \\ I \end{bmatrix} x_1 = \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix}$

ullet alternate minimization of augmented Lagrangian over x_1 and (x_2,x_3)

$$f_1(x_3) + f_2(x_2) + \frac{t}{2} (\|Ax_1 - x_2 + z_1/t\|_2^2 + \|x_1 - x_3 + z_2/t\|_2^2)$$

- ullet x_1 -update: linear equation with coefficient $I+A^TA$
- (x_2, x_3) -update: decoupled evaluations of $\operatorname{prox}_{t^{-1}f_1}$ and $\operatorname{prox}_{t^{-1}f_2}$

Outline

- Douglas-Rachford splitting method
- examples
- alternating direction method of multipliers
- image deblurring example
- convergence

Image blurring model

$$b = Kx_{\rm t} + w$$

- ullet $x_{
 m t}$ is unknown image
- ullet b is observed (blurred and noisy) image; w is noise
- ullet N imes N-images are stored in column-major order as vectors of length N^2

Blurring matrix K

- represents 2D convolution with space-invariant point spread function
- with periodic boundary conditions, block-circulant with circulant blocks
- ullet can be diagonalized by multiplication with unitary 2D DFT matrix W:

$$K = W^H \operatorname{\mathbf{diag}}(\lambda)W$$

equations with coefficient $I + K^T K$ can be solved in $O(N^2 \log N)$ time

Total variation deblurring with 1-norm

minimize
$$\|Kx - b\|_1 + \gamma \|Dx\|_{\mathrm{tv}}$$
 subject to $0 \le x \le \mathbf{1}$

second term in objective is total variation penalty

Dx is discretized first derivative in vertical and horizontal direction

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} I \otimes D_1 \\ D_1 \otimes I \end{bmatrix}, \qquad D_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

•
$$\|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{tv}}$$
 is a sum of Euclidean norms: $\|(u,v)\|_{\mathrm{tv}} = \sum\limits_{i=1}^n \sqrt{u_i^2 + v_i^2}$

Solution via Douglas-Rachford method

an example of a composite optimization problem

minimize
$$f_1(x) + f_2(Ax)$$

with f_1 the indicator of $[0,1]^n$ and

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} K \\ D \end{bmatrix}, \quad f_2(u, v) = ||u||_1 + \gamma ||v||_{\text{tv}}$$

Primal DR method (page 13-11) and **ADMM** (page 13-19) require:

- ullet decoupled prox-evaluations of $\|u\|_1$ and $\gamma \|v\|_{\mathrm{tv}}$, and projections on C
- solution of linear equations with coefficient matrix

$$I + K^T K + D^T D$$

solvable in $O(N^2 \log N)$ time

Example

- ullet 1024 imes 1024 image, periodic boundary conditions
- Gaussian blur
- salt-and-pepper noise (50% pixels randomly changed to 0/1)



original




noisy/blurred



restored

Convergence



cost per iteration is dominated by 2D FFTs

Outline

- Douglas-Rachford splitting method
- examples
- alternating direction method of multipliers
- image deblurring example
- convergence

Douglas-Rachford iteration mappings

define iteration map F and negative step G (in notation of page 13-7)

$$\begin{split} F(y) &= y + \operatorname{prox}_g(2\operatorname{prox}_f(y) - y) - \operatorname{prox}_f(y) \\ G(y) &= y - F(y) \\ &= \operatorname{prox}_f(y) - \operatorname{prox}_g(2\operatorname{prox}_f(y) - y) \end{split}$$

• *F* is firmly nonexpansive (co-coercive with parameter 1)

$$(F(y) - F(\hat{y}))^T (y - \hat{y}) \ge ||F(y) - F(\hat{y})||_2^2 \quad \forall y, \hat{y}$$

this implies that G is firmly nonexpansive:

$$(G(y) - G(\hat{y}))^{T}(y - \hat{y})$$

$$= ||G(y) - G(\hat{y})||_{2}^{2} + (F(y) - F(\hat{y}))^{T}(y - \hat{y}) - ||F(y) - F(\hat{y})||_{2}^{2}$$

$$\geq ||G(y) - G(\hat{y})||_{2}^{2}$$

Proof (of firm nonexpansiveness of F).

• define $x = \text{prox}_f(y)$, $\hat{x} = \text{prox}_f(\hat{y})$, and

$$v = \text{prox}_g(2x - y), \qquad \hat{v} = \text{prox}_g(2\hat{x} - \hat{y})$$

• substitute expressions F(y) = y + v - x and $F(\hat{y}) = \hat{y} + \hat{v} - \hat{x}$:

$$(F(y) - F(\hat{y}))^{T}(y - \hat{y})$$

$$\geq (y + v - x - \hat{y} - \hat{v} + \hat{x})^{T}(y - \hat{y}) - (x - \hat{x})^{T}(y - \hat{y}) + ||x - \hat{x}||_{2}^{2}$$

$$= (v - \hat{v})^{T}(y - \hat{y}) + ||y - x - \hat{y} + \hat{x}||_{2}^{2}$$

$$= (v - \hat{v})^{T}(2x - y - 2\hat{x} + \hat{y}) - ||v - \hat{v}||_{2}^{2} + ||F(y) - F(\hat{y})||_{2}^{2}$$

$$\geq ||F(y) - F(\hat{y})||_{2}^{2}$$

inequalities use firm nonexpansiveness of prox_f and prox_q (page 6-9):

$$(x - \hat{x})^T (y - \hat{y}) \ge ||x - \hat{x}||_2^2, \qquad (2x - y - 2\hat{x} + \hat{y})^T (v - \hat{v}) \ge ||v - \hat{v}||_2^2$$

Convergence result

$$y^{(k)} = (1 - \rho_k)y^{(k-1)} + \rho_k F(y^{(k-1)})$$
$$= y^{(k-1)} - \rho_k G(y^{(k-1)})$$

Assumptions

- F has fixed points (points x that satisfy $0 \in \partial f(x) + \partial g(x)$)
- $\rho_k \in [\rho_{\min}, \rho_{\max}]$ with $0 < \rho_{\min} < \rho_{\max} < 2$

Result

- $y^{(k)}$ converges to a fixed point y^* of F
- $x^{(k)} = \text{prox}_f(y^{(k-1)})$ converges to a solution $x^\star = \text{prox}_f(y^\star)$ (follows from continuity of prox_f)

Proof: let y^* be any fixed point of F(y) (zero of G(y))

consider iteration k (with $y=y^{(k-1)}$, $\rho=\rho_k$, $y^+=y^{(k)}$):

$$||y^{+} - y^{*}||_{2}^{2} - ||y - y^{*}||_{2}^{2} = 2(y^{+} - y)^{T}(y - y^{*}) + ||y^{+} - y||_{2}^{2}$$

$$= -2\rho G(y)^{T}(y - y^{*}) + \rho^{2}||G(y)||_{2}^{2}$$

$$\leq -\rho(2 - \rho)||G(y)||_{2}^{2}$$

$$\leq -M||G(y)||_{2}^{2}$$

$$(1)$$

where $M = \rho_{\min}(2 - \rho_{\max})$ (on line 3 we use firm nonexpansiveness of G)

• (1) implies that

$$M \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|G(y^{(k)})\|_{2}^{2} \le \|y^{(0)} - y^{\star}\|_{2}^{2}, \qquad \|G(y^{(k)})\|_{2} \to 0$$

- (1) implies that $||y^{(k)} y^{\star}||_2$ is nonincreasing; hence $y^{(k)}$ is bounded
- since $||y^{(k)} y^{\star}||_2$ is nonincreasing, the limit $\lim_{k\to\infty} ||y^{(k)} y^{\star}||_2$ exists

Proof (continued)

- ullet since the sequence $y^{(k)}$ is bounded, it has a convergent subsequence
- let \bar{y}_k be a convergent subsequence with limit \bar{y} ; by continuity of G,

$$0 = \lim_{k \to \infty} G(\bar{y}_k) = G(\bar{y})$$

hence, \bar{y} is a zero of G and the limit $\lim_{k\to\infty}\|y^{(k)}-\bar{y}\|_2$ exists

• let \bar{y}_1 and \bar{y}_2 be two limit points; the limits

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \|y^{(k)} - \bar{y}_1\|_2, \qquad \lim_{k \to \infty} \|y^{(k)} - \bar{y}_2\|_2$$

exist, and subsequences of $y^{(k)}$ converge to \bar{y}_1 , resp. \bar{y}_2 ; therefore

$$\|\bar{y}_2 - \bar{y}_1\|_2 = \lim_{k \to \infty} \|y^{(k)} - \bar{y}_1\|_2 = \lim_{k \to \infty} \|y^{(k)} - \bar{y}_2\|_2 = 0$$

References

- P. L. Lions and B. Mercier, *Splitting algorithms for the sum of two nonlinear operators*, SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis (1979).
- D. Gabay, *Applications of the method of multipliers to variational inequalities*, in: Studies in Mathematics and Its Applications (1983).
- J. E. Spingarn, *Applications of the method of partial inverses to convex programming: decomposition*, Mathematical Programming (1985).
- J. Eckstein and D. Bertsekas, *On the Douglas-Rachford splitting method and the proximal algorithm for maximal monotone operators*, Mathematical Programming (1992).
- P.L. Combettes and J.-C. Pesquet, A Douglas-Rachford splitting approach to nonsmooth convex variational signal recovery, IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Signal Processing (2007).
- S. Boyd, N. Parikh, E. Chu, B. Peleato, J. Eckstein, Distributed optimization and statistical learning via the alternating direction method of multipliers, Foundations and Trends in Machine learning (2010).
- D. O'Connor and L. Vandenberghe, Primal-dual decomposition by operator splitting and applications to image deblurring, SIAM J. Imaging Sciences (2014).
 - The image deblurring example is taken from this paper.