

LECTURE 36

Dec. 15/03

 $D < 0$ some discriminant

$$R_D = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z} \left(\frac{D + \sqrt{D}}{2} \right) \subseteq \mathbb{C}$$

is lattice

$$0 \neq I \subset R_D$$

finite index automatically;

$$(R_D : I) = N \cdot I \text{ where } N = \text{norm} \geq 1$$

e.g.: if $I = \alpha R_D$ for some $\alpha \neq 0$ in R_D ,
 then $N = \alpha \bar{\alpha}$

Question! How far is R_D from being a principal ideal domain?

Def'n A fractional ideal $I \subset \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D}) (=$ field of fractions of $R_D)$ is a lattice in \mathbb{C} (in particular a subgroup; also discrete) which is stable under multiplication by R_D .

- For example, if $\beta \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ and $\beta \neq 0$ then $I = \beta R_D$ is a fractional ideal for R_D . Also any ideal I of R_D is a fractional ideal.

- Multiplication of ideals generalizes to multiplication of fractional ideals

$$I \cdot J = J \cdot I = \left\{ \sum_i a_i b_i : n \text{ not fixed}, a_i \in I, b_i \in J \right\}$$

e.g.: $(\alpha R_D) \cdot (\beta R_D) = (\alpha \beta) R_D$.

Propositions (Kummer, Dedekind)

- ① The fractional ideals form an ^(infinite) abelian group under multiplication, with identity the ideal $R = (1)$.
- ② The principal ideals form a subgroup.
- ③ The quotient group
$$G_D := \frac{\{\text{fractional ideals}\}}{\{\text{principal ideals}\}}$$

which is called the ideal class group is a finite group.

$h_D :=$ order of the ideal class group G_D .

- Various mathematicians calculated h_D for discriminants $D < 0$ and found that (experimentally)
 - they could get $h_D = 1$ for $D < -163$
 - $h_D \approx |D|^{1/2}$

It is known that $\exists c$ s.t.

$$h_D < c |D|^{1/2} \log |D|$$

It is expected that $\exists c'$ s.t.

$$\frac{c' |D|^{1/2}}{\log |D|} < h_D$$

but this is unknown & linked to Riemann hyp.

Rmk: Given $I \subset \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{D})$ fractional ideal there is N s.t. $NI \subset R_D$. Thus every coset in \mathcal{C}_D is represented by an ideal of R_D (not just a fractional ideal).

Amazingly enough: all of this is related to analysis.

$$\text{Euler: } \zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} = \prod_{p \text{ primes}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right)^{-1}$$

$(s > 1)$

$$\left(= \prod_{p \text{ primes}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p^s} + \frac{1}{p^{2s}} + \dots\right) \right)$$

Cor $\sum_{p \text{ prime}} \frac{1}{p}$ does not converge ($= \infty$).

Dirichlet (1837):

$$\zeta_R(s) = \sum_{(0) \neq I \subseteq R_D} \frac{1}{(NI)^s}$$

nonzero ideal

where $(NI = (R_D : I))$

$(s > 1)$

$$\implies \sum_{I \text{ prime ideals}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(NI)^s}\right)^{-1}$$

↑

unique fact. into prime ideals

→ saw this last time.

[and $I = I_1 \dots I_k$
 $\implies NI = (NI_1) \dots (NI_k)$]

Prop

Suppose p is a rational prime.

Then either ① pR is a prime ideal

$$N(pR) = p^2$$

② There are two distinct prime ideals P, P'

$$pR \subset P \subset R_D$$
$$pR \subset P' \subset R_D$$

$$\text{s.t. } PP' = pR \text{ \& }$$

$$N(P) = N(P') = p$$

③ There is a unique prime ideal

$$pR \subset P \subset R$$

$$N(P) = p \text{ \& } P^2 = pR$$

e.g. if $R_D = \mathbb{Z}[i]$ then we are

in case ① if $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$

② if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$

③ if $p = 2$.

Thus

$$\zeta_{R_D}(s) = \prod_{p \text{ in case ①}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right)^{-1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p^s}\right)^{-1}$$

$$\cdot \prod_{p \text{ in case ②}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right)^{-1}$$

$$\cdot \prod_{p \text{ in case ③}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right)^{-1}.$$

Hence $\zeta_{\mathbb{Q}_D}(s) = L_D(s) \cdot \zeta(s)$

where $L_D(s) = \prod_{p \text{ in case ①}} (1 + \frac{1}{p^s})^{-1} \cdot \prod_{p \text{ in case ②}} (1 - \frac{1}{p^s})^{-1}$

• For example, for $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-4}]$:

$$L_{-4}(s) = \prod_{p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}} (1 - \frac{1}{p^s})^{-1} \cdot \prod_{p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}} (1 + \frac{1}{p^s})^{-1}$$

$$= \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\pm 1}{n^s} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} +1 \text{ if } n \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \\ -1 \text{ if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \end{array} \right.$$

Note: $L_{-4}(1) = \frac{\pi}{4}$ converges

Dirichlet class # formula

$$L_D(1) = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{|D|}} \cdot \frac{h_D}{\#R_D^\times} > 0$$

• For example:

for $D = -4$

$$\frac{\pi}{4} = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{4}} \cdot \frac{h_D}{4}$$

$\Rightarrow h_D = 1$ (which we know already).

Thus to get asymptotic information about h_D , we want to show something about $L_D(1)$ as $D \rightarrow -\infty$:

e.g. if $L_D(1) \approx 1$ then $h_D \approx |D|^{1/2}$.

Relation to Riemann hypothesis:

RH controls size of J_{R_D} near 1.