

# Large Markovian Population Processes

Ross McVinish

School of Mathematics and Physics  
University of Queensland

ACEMS 2017 Postdoc Workshop



THE UNIVERSITY  
OF QUEENSLAND  
AUSTRALIA



**ACEMS**

AUSTRALIAN RESEARCH COUNCIL CENTRE OF EXCELLENCE FOR  
MATHEMATICAL AND STATISTICAL FRONTIERS

## About me

- PhD from QUT a very long time ago.
- Currently at UQ supervised by Phil Pollett.
- Mathematical hobbies — juggling and origami.

Overall aim: To understand the effects of spatial structure and individual variation in large population models.

Three areas of applications

- Metapopulations: Habitat patches at distinct spatial locations which may support a local population of some species. Aim is to determine conditions under which the metapopulation persists.
- Epidemics: Similar to metapopulations. Aim is to determine when the disease dies out.
- Parasitology: Aim to understand factors affecting the distribution of parasite burden in hosts.

# Some details

We model a metapopulation with  $n$  patches as a continuous time Markov chain on  $\{0, 1\}^n$  with transition rates

$$(\textit{colonise}) \quad X_i \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{at rate} \quad (1 - X_i) \sum_{j=1}^n a(z_j) c(z_i, z_j) X_j(t);$$

$$(\textit{go extinct}) \quad X_i \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{at rate} \quad e(z_i) X_i.$$

where  $z$  is patch location,  $a$  is patch area,  $e$  is extinction rate and  $c(\cdot, \cdot)$  is connectivity.

Similar models are used to model spatial disease spread.

# Some results – joint with Barbour and Pollett

Suppose the patch locations of the metapopulations are independently distributed.

Define the measures

$$\bar{X}_t(A) := n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i(t) \mathbb{I}(z_i \in A), \quad \bar{p}_t := n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i(t) \mathbb{I}(z_i \in A),$$

where

$$\frac{dp_i(t)}{dt} = (1 - p_i(t)) \left( \sum_{j=1}^n a(z_j) c(z_i, z_j) p_j(t) \right) - e(z_i) p_i(t)$$

and  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , a class of sets with bounded VC dimension. Then with high probability

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \sup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} |\bar{X}_T(A) - \bar{p}_t(A)| \leq c_1 n^{-1/2} e^{c_2 T}.$$

# Some results – joint with Barbour and Pollett

Since  $X(t)$  is well approximated by  $p(t)$ , we hope that the equilibrium of  $p(t)$  might reflect the asymptotic behaviour ( $t \rightarrow \infty$ ) of  $X(t)$ . Unfortunately, the equilibrium of  $p(t)$  is not available in closed form.

In work near completion, we show that with high probability the equilibrium of  $p(t)$  is approximately

$$1 - \frac{e(z_i)}{\sum_j a(z_j)c(z_i, z_j)}.$$

provided  $c(\cdot, \cdot)$  is sufficiently localised.

# Open problems

- (i) How to perform fast simulation of the metapopulation model when  $n$  is large? Can we incorporate ideas from tau-leaping?
- (ii) Is the equilibrium of the a metapopulation with dynamic landscape always unique? This seems to be related to the following problem:

Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be two  $(m \times m)$  stochastic matrices and let  $\pi$  and  $\omega$  be their respective invariant distributions.

For a partition  $\{A, B\}$  of  $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  suppose the following conditions hold:

- for all  $i \in A$  and  $j \in B$ ,  $P_{ij} \geq Q_{ij}$ .
- for all  $i, j \in A$ ,  $P_{ij} \leq Q_{ij}$ .
- for all  $i \in B$  and  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ ,  $P_{ij} = Q_{ij}$ .

Is it true that for all  $i \in A$   $\pi_i \leq \omega_i$  and for all  $i \in B$   $\pi_i \geq \omega_i$ ?