# Mathematical Logic (VIII)

Yijia Chen

## 1 Completeness

#### 1.1 Henkin's Theorem

Recall that we fix a set  $\Phi$  of S-formulas.

**Definition 1.1.** (i)  $\Phi$  is negation complete if for every S-formula  $\varphi$ 

$$\Phi \vdash \varphi$$
 or  $\Phi \vdash \neg \varphi$ .

(ii)  $\Phi$  contains witnesses if for every S-formula  $\phi$  and every variable x there is a term  $t \in T^S$  with

$$\Phi \vdash \left(\exists x \phi \to \phi \frac{t}{x}\right).$$

**Theorem 1.2** (Henkin's Theorem). Let  $\Phi \subseteq L^S$  be consistent, negation complete, and contain witnesses. Then for every S-formula  $\phi$ 

$$\mathfrak{I}^{\Phi} \models \varphi \iff \Phi \vdash \varphi.$$

**Corollary 1.3.** Let  $\Phi \subseteq L^S$  be consistent, negation complete, and contain witnesses. Then

$$\mathfrak{I}^{\Phi} \models \Phi$$
.

In particular,  $\Phi$  is satisfiable.

#### 1.2 The countable case

We fix a symbol set S which is at most countable. As a consequence, both  $T^S$  and  $L^S$  are countable. Let  $\Phi \subseteq L^S$  we define

$$free(\Phi) := \bigcup_{\phi \in \Phi} free(\phi).$$

We will prove the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 1.4.** Let  $\Phi \subseteq L^S$  be consistent with **finite** free $(\Phi)$ . Then there is a consistent  $\Psi$  with  $\Phi \subseteq \Psi \subseteq L^S$  such that  $\Psi$  contains witnesses.

**Lemma 1.5.** Let  $\Psi \subseteq L^S$  be consistent. Then there is a consistent  $\Theta$  with  $\Psi \subseteq \Theta \subseteq L^S$  such that  $\Theta$  is negation complete. Negative (applete  $\mathcal{L}_S$ ) is  $\mathcal{L}_S$  free (a) is  $\mathcal{L}_S$ .

**Corollary 1.6.** Let  $\Phi \subseteq L^S$  consistent with finite free $(\Phi)$ . Then there is a  $\Theta$  such that

- $\Phi \subset \Theta \subset L^S$ ;
- $\Theta$  is consistent, negation complete, and contains witnesses.

**Corollary 1.7.** Let  $\Phi \subset L^S$  be consistent with finite free( $\Phi$ ). Then  $\Phi$  is satisfiable.

Proof: By Corollary 1.6 and Corollary 1.3.

Proof of Lemma 1.4: Recall L<sup>S</sup> is countable, thus we can enumerate all S-formulas

 $\exists x_0 \varphi_0, \exists x_1 \varphi_1, \ldots,$ 

which start with an existential quantifier. Then we define inductively for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  an S-formula  $\psi_n$  as follows. Assume that  $\psi_m$  has been defined for all m < n. Let

 $i_n := \min \big\{ i \in \mathbb{N} \ \big| \ \nu_i \not\in \text{free} \big( \Phi \cup \{ \psi_m \ | \ m < n \} \cup \{ \exists x_n \phi_n \} \big) \big\}. \quad \text{fig. all mallest index } i \text{ such that } \nu_i \text{ is not for in } \Phi \cup \{ \exists h \ | \ m < n \} \big)$ That is,  $i_n$  is the smallest index i such that  $v_i$  is not fee in  $\Phi \cup \{\psi_m \mid m < n\} \cup \{\exists x_n \phi_n\}$ . Then we

 $\psi_n := \left(\exists x_n \varphi_n \to \varphi_n \frac{v_{i_n}}{x_n}\right).$ 

with the following deduction.

Now by taking  $\chi := \exists \nu_0 \nu_0 \equiv \nu_0$  and  $\chi := \neg \exists \nu_0 \nu_0 \equiv \nu_0$  we conclude that  $\overset{\bullet}{\bullet}$  is inconsistent, which contradicts our assumption.

contradicts our assumption.  $\begin{picture}(20,0) \put(0,0){\line(1,0){15}} \put$ induction. First  $\Theta_0 := \Psi$ . Then,

$$\Theta_{n+1} := \begin{cases} \Theta_n \cup \{\phi_n\} & \text{if } \Theta_n \cup \{\phi_n\} \text{ is consistent,} \\ \Theta_n & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is immediate that every  $\Theta_n$  is consistent, and the consistency of

$$\Theta := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Theta_n$$

follows. To see that  $\Theta$  is negation complete, let  $\phi \in L^S$ , in particular  $\phi = \phi_n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Assuming  $\Theta \not\vdash \neg \varphi_n$ , we conclude  $\Theta_n \not\vdash \neg \varphi_n$  by  $\Theta_n \subseteq \Theta$ . Therefore,  $\Theta_n \cup \{\varphi\}$  is consistent. It follows that  $\varphi \in \Theta_{n+1} \subseteq \Theta$ , and thus  $\Theta \vdash \varphi$ .

In the next step we eliminate the condition free( $\Phi$ ) being finite.

**Corollary 1.8.** Let S be countable and  $\Phi \subseteq L^S$  consistent. Then  $\Phi$  is satisfiable.

Proof: First, we let

$$S' := S \cup \{c_0, c_1, \ldots\}$$
.  $\rightarrow$  every  $Ci$  is a new constant

For every  $\varphi \in L^S$  we define

$$n(\varphi) := \min\{n \mid free(\varphi) \subseteq \{\nu_0, \dots, \nu_{n-1}\}, i.e., \varphi \in L_n^S\},\$$

and let

$$\varphi' := \varphi \frac{c_0 \dots c_{n(\varphi)-1}}{v_0 \dots v_{n(\varphi)-1}}.$$

Then we set

$$\Phi' := \left\{ \phi' \mid \phi \in \Phi \right\} \subseteq L^{S'}$$

Note free( $\Phi'$ ) =  $\emptyset$ .

Claim.  $\Phi'$  is consistent.

Once we establish the claim, together with free( $\Phi'$ ) =  $\emptyset$ , Corollary 1.6 implies that there is an S'interpretation  $\mathfrak{I}'=(\mathcal{A}',\beta')$  such that  $\mathfrak{I}'\models\Phi'$ . Applying the Coincidence Lemma with free $(\Phi')=$ Ø, we can assume without loss of generality that

$$\beta'(\nu_i) = c_i^{A'} = \Im'(c_i).$$
  $\phi'$  the S-sentence (1)

It follows that for every  $\varphi \in \Phi$ 

 $\mathfrak{I}' \models \varphi' \iff \mathfrak{I}' \models \varphi \frac{c_0 \dots c_{\mathfrak{n}(\varphi)-1}}{v_0 \dots v_{\mathfrak{n}(\varphi)-1}}$ 

 $\iff \mathfrak{I}'\frac{\mathfrak{I}'(c_0)\dots\mathfrak{I}'(c_{\mathfrak{n}(\phi)-1})}{\nu_0\dots\nu_{\mathfrak{n}(\phi)-1}}\models \phi$ (by the Substitution Lemma)

$$\iff \mathfrak{I}' \frac{\beta'(\nu_0) \dots \beta'(\nu_{n(\varphi)-1})}{\nu_0 \dots \nu_{n(\varphi)-1}} \models \varphi$$
 (by (1))

We conclude that Φ is satisfiable. > coincidence lemma + (中航信三) 重任5中

Now we prove the claim. It suffices to show that every finite subset of  $\Phi'$  is satisfiable. To that end, let

$$\Phi_0' := \big\{\phi_1', \ldots, \phi_n'\big\},$$

where  $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_n \in \Phi$ . Clearly free  $\{ \{ \varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_n \} \}$  is finite, and  $\{ \varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_n \}$  is consistent by the consistency of  $\Phi$ . By Corollary 1.6 there is an S-interpretation  $\mathfrak{I}=(\mathcal{A},\beta)$  such that for every  $i \in [n]$ 

$$\mathfrak{I} \models \varphi_{\mathfrak{i}}.$$
 (2)

We expand the S-structure A to an S'-structure A' by setting for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ 

$$c_i^{\mathcal{A}'} := \beta(v_i). \tag{3}$$

Then for the S'-interpretation  $\mathfrak{I}':=(\mathcal{A}',\beta)$  and any  $\phi\in L^S$ 

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{I}' &\models \phi' \iff \mathfrak{I}' \models \phi \frac{c_0 \dots v_{n(\phi)-1}}{v_0 \dots v_{n(\phi)-1}} \text{ definition of } \phi' \\ &\iff \mathfrak{I}' \frac{\mathfrak{I}'(c_0) \dots \mathfrak{I}'(v_{n(\phi)-1})}{v_0 \dots v_{n(\phi)-1}} \models \phi \qquad \text{ (by the Substitution Lemma)} \\ &\iff \mathfrak{I}' \frac{c_0^{\mathcal{A}'} \dots v_{n(\phi)-1}^{\mathcal{A}'}}{v_0 \dots v_{n(\phi)-1}} \models \phi \\ &\iff \mathfrak{I}' \frac{\beta(v_0) \dots \beta(v_{n(\phi)-1})}{v_0 \dots v_{n(\phi)-1}} \models \phi \\ &\iff \mathfrak{I}' \models \phi \\ &\iff \mathfrak{I} \models \phi \qquad \text{ (by the Coincidence Lemma)}. \end{split}$$

It follows that  $\mathfrak{I}' \models \Phi_0'$  by (2). Thus  $\Phi_0'$  is satisfiable.

### 2 Exercises

**Exercise 2.1.** Let  $\Phi \subseteq L^S$  be finite, and let  $\varphi \in L^S$  with  $\Phi \vdash \varphi$ . Note that a proof might use formulas built on any symbol in S.

Define  $S_0 \subseteq S$  to be the set of symbols that occur in  $\Phi$  and  $\varphi$ . Show that there is a proof for  $\Phi \vdash \varphi$  such that every formula occurs in the proof is an  $S_0$ -formula.