Mathematical Logic (V)

Yijia Chen

1 The Semantics of First-order Logic

1.1 Substitution

Definition 1.1. Let t be an S-term, x_0, \ldots, x_r variables, and t_0, \ldots, t_r S-terms. Then the term

$$t \frac{t_0, \ldots, t_r}{x_0, \ldots, x_r}$$

is defined inductively as follows.

(a) Let t = x be a variable. Then

$$t\frac{t_0,\ldots,t_r}{x_0,\ldots,x_r}:= \begin{cases} t_i & \text{if } x=x_i \text{ for some } 0\leqslant i\leqslant r\\ x & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(b) For a constant t = c

$$c\frac{t_0,\ldots,t_r}{x_0,\ldots,x_r}:=c.$$

(c) For a function term

$$\mathsf{ft}_1' \dots \mathsf{t}_n' \frac{\mathsf{t}_0, \dots, \mathsf{t}_r}{\mathsf{x}_0, \dots, \mathsf{x}_r} := \mathsf{ft}_1' \frac{\mathsf{t}_0, \dots, \mathsf{t}_r}{\mathsf{x}_0, \dots, \mathsf{x}_r} \dots \mathsf{t}_n' \frac{\mathsf{t}_0, \dots, \mathsf{t}_r}{\mathsf{x}_0, \dots, \mathsf{x}_r}. \qquad \dashv$$

Definition 1.2. Let φ be an S-formula, x_0, \ldots, x_r variables, and t_0, \ldots, t_r S-terms. We define

$$\varphi \frac{t_0, \ldots, t_r}{x_0, \ldots, x_r}$$

inductively as follow.

(a) Assume $\varphi = t_1' \equiv t_2'$. Then

$$\phi\frac{t_0,\ldots,t_r}{x_0,\ldots,x_r}:=t_1'\frac{t_0,\ldots,t_r}{x_0,\ldots,x_r}\equiv t_2'\frac{t_0,\ldots,t_r}{x_0,\ldots,x_r}.$$

(b) Let $\varphi = Rt'_1 \dots t'_n$. We set

$$\varphi \frac{t_0, \dots, t_r}{x_0, \dots, x_r} := Rt_1' \frac{t_0, \dots, t_r}{x_0, \dots, x_r} \dots t_n' \frac{t_0, \dots, t_r}{x_0, \dots, x_r}.$$

(c) For $\varphi = \neg \psi$

$$\varphi \frac{t_0, \dots, t_r}{x_0, \dots, x_r} := \neg \psi \frac{t_0, \dots, t_r}{x_0, \dots, x_r}.$$

(d) For $\varphi = (\psi_1 \vee \psi_2)$

$$\varphi \frac{t_0,\ldots,t_r}{x_0,\ldots,x_r} := \left(\psi_1 \frac{t_0,\ldots,t_r}{x_0,\ldots,x_r} \vee \psi_2 \frac{t_0,\ldots,t_r}{x_0,\ldots,x_r}\right).$$

(e) Assume $\phi = \exists x \psi$. Let x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_s} ($i_1 < \ldots < i_s$) be the variables x_i in x_0, \ldots, x_r with $x_i \in \text{free}(\exists x \phi)$ and $x_i \neq t_i$. In particular, $x \neq x_{i_1}, \ldots, x \neq x_{i_s}$. Then

$$\varphi \frac{t_0,\ldots,t_r}{x_0,\ldots,x_r} := \exists u \left[\psi \frac{t_{i_1},\ldots,t_{i_s},u}{x_{i_1},\ldots,x_{i_s},x} \right],$$

where u=x if x does not occur in t_{i_1},\ldots,t_{t_s} ; otherwise u is the first variable in $\{v_0,v_1,v_2,\ldots\}$ which does not occur in $\psi,t_{i_1},\ldots,t_{i_s}$.

Definition 1.3. Let β be an assignment in A and $\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_r \in A$. Then

$$\beta \frac{\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_r}{x_0, \ldots, x_r}$$

is an assignment in A defined by

$$\beta \frac{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_r}{x_0, \dots, x_r} := \begin{cases} \alpha_i & \text{if } y = x_i \text{ for } 0 \leqslant i \leqslant r \\ \beta(y) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For an S-interpretation $\mathfrak{I} = (\mathcal{A}, \beta)$ we let

$$\mathfrak{I}\frac{\mathfrak{a}_0,\ldots,\mathfrak{a}_r}{\mathfrak{x}_0,\ldots,\mathfrak{x}_r} := \left(\mathcal{A},\beta\frac{\mathfrak{a}_0,\ldots,\mathfrak{a}_r}{\mathfrak{x}_0,\ldots,\mathfrak{x}_r}\right).$$

Lemma 1.4 (The Substitution Lemma). (a) For every S-term t

$$\mathfrak{I}\left(\mathsf{t}\frac{\mathsf{t}_0,\ldots,\mathsf{t}_r}{\mathsf{x}_0,\ldots\mathsf{x}_r}\right)=\mathfrak{I}\frac{\mathfrak{I}(\mathsf{t}_0),\ldots,\mathfrak{I}(\mathsf{t}_r)}{\mathsf{x}_0,\ldots\mathsf{x}_r}(\mathsf{t}).$$

(b) For every S-formula φ

$$\mathfrak{I}\models \phi\frac{\mathsf{t}_0,\ldots,\mathsf{t}_r}{\mathsf{x}_0,\ldots\mathsf{x}_r}\iff \mathfrak{I}\frac{\mathfrak{I}(\mathsf{t}_0),\ldots,\mathfrak{I}(\mathsf{t}_r)}{\mathsf{x}_0,\ldots\mathsf{x}_r}\models \phi.$$

Proof: (a) Assume t = x. If $x \neq x_i$ for all $0 \le i \le r$, then

$$t\frac{t_0,\ldots,t_r}{x_0,\ldots,x_r}=x.$$

Therefore,

$$\mathfrak{I}\left(t\frac{t_0,\ldots,t_r}{x_0,\ldots,x_r}\right)=\mathfrak{I}(x)=\mathfrak{I}\frac{\mathfrak{I}(t_0),\ldots,\mathfrak{I}(t_r)}{x_0,\ldots,x_r}(x)=\mathfrak{I}\frac{\mathfrak{I}(t_0),\ldots,\mathfrak{I}(t_r)}{x_0,\ldots,x_r}(t).$$

Otherwise, $x=x_i$ for some $0 \leqslant i \leqslant r$. Then $t_{x_0,\dots,x_r}^{t_0,\dots,t_r}=t_i$. It follows that

$$\mathfrak{I}\left(t\frac{t_0,\ldots,t_r}{x_0,\ldots,x_r}\right)=\mathfrak{I}(t_{\mathfrak{i}})=\mathfrak{I}\frac{\mathfrak{I}(t_0),\ldots,\mathfrak{I}(t_r)}{x_0,\ldots,x_r}(x_{\mathfrak{i}})=\mathfrak{I}\frac{\mathfrak{I}(t_0),\ldots,\mathfrak{I}(t_r)}{x_0,\ldots,x_r}(t).$$

The other cases of t can be shown similarly.

(b) Assume that $\varphi = Rt'_1 \dots t'_n$. Then

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{I} &\models \phi \frac{t_0, \ldots, t_r}{x_0, \ldots, x_r} \iff \left(\mathfrak{I} \Big(t_1' \frac{t_0, \ldots, t_r}{x_0, \ldots, x_r} \Big), \ldots, \mathfrak{I} \Big(t_n' \frac{t_0, \ldots, t_r}{x_0, \ldots, x_r} \Big) \right) \in R^{\mathcal{A}} \\ &\iff \left(\mathfrak{I} \frac{\mathfrak{I}(t_0), \ldots, \mathfrak{I}(t_r)}{x_0, \ldots, x_r} (t_1'), \ldots, \mathfrak{I} \frac{\mathfrak{I}(t_0), \ldots, \mathfrak{I}(t_r)}{x_0, \ldots, x_r} (t_n') \right) \in R^{\mathcal{A}} \\ &\iff \mathfrak{I} \frac{\mathfrak{I}(t_0), \ldots, \mathfrak{I}(t_r)}{x_0, \ldots, x_r} \models Rt_1' \ldots t_n' \\ &\qquad \qquad i.e., \mathfrak{I} \frac{\mathfrak{I}(t_0), \ldots, \mathfrak{I}(t_r)}{x_0, \ldots, x_r} \models \phi. \end{split}$$

For another case, let $\phi = \exists x \psi$. Again, let x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_s} be the variables x_i with $x_i \in \text{free}(\exists x \psi)$ and $x_i \neq t_i$. Choose u according to Definition 1.2 (e). In particular, u does not occur in t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_s} . Then

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{I} &\models \phi \frac{t_0, \dots, t_r}{x_0, \dots, x_r} \iff \mathfrak{I} \models \exists u \left[\psi \frac{t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_s}, u}{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_s}, x} \right] \\ &\iff \text{there exists an } a \in \mathsf{A} \text{ such that } \mathfrak{I} \frac{a}{u} \models \psi \frac{t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_s}, u}{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_s}, x} \\ &\iff \text{there exists an } a \in \mathsf{A} \text{ such that } \left[\mathfrak{I} \frac{a}{u} \right] \frac{\mathfrak{I} \frac{a}{u} \left(t_{i_1} \right), \dots, \mathfrak{I} \frac{a}{u} \left(t_{i_s} \right), \mathfrak{I} \frac{a}{u} \left(u \right)}{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_s}, x} \models \psi \\ & \text{(by induction hypothesis)} \\ &\iff \text{there exists an } a \in \mathsf{A} \text{ such that } \left[\mathfrak{I} \frac{a}{u} \right] \frac{\mathfrak{I}(t_{i_1}), \dots, \mathfrak{I}(t_{i_s}), a}{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_s}, x} \models \psi \\ & \text{(by the coincidence lemma and that u does not occur in } t_{i_1}, \dots t_{i_s}) \\ &\iff \text{there exists an } a \in \mathsf{A} \text{ such that } \mathfrak{I} \frac{\mathfrak{I}(t_{i_1}), \dots, \mathfrak{I}(t_{i_s}), a}{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_s}, x} \models \psi \\ & \text{(by (either } u = x \text{ or } u \text{ does not occur in } \psi) \text{ and the coincidence lemma)} \\ &\iff \text{there exists an } a \in \mathsf{A} \text{ such that } \left[\mathfrak{I} \frac{\mathfrak{I}(t_{i_1}), \dots, \mathfrak{I}(t_{i_s})}{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_s}} \right] \frac{a}{x} \models \psi \\ & \text{(since } x \neq x_{i_1}, \dots, x \neq x_{i_s}) \\ &\iff \mathfrak{I} \frac{\mathfrak{I}(t_{i_1}), \dots, \mathfrak{I}(t_{i_s})}{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_s}} \models \exists x \psi \\ &\iff \mathfrak{I} \frac{\mathfrak{I}(t_{i_0}), \dots, \mathfrak{I}(t_r)}{x_0, \dots, x_r} \models \exists x \psi \\ &\iff \mathfrak{I} \frac{\mathfrak{I}(t_{i_0}), \dots, \mathfrak{I}(t_r)}{x_0, \dots, x_r} \models \exists x \psi \\ & \text{(by } x_i \notin \text{free}(\exists x \psi) \text{ or } x_i = t_i \text{ for } i \neq i_1, \dots, i \neq i_s). \\ \end{aligned}$$

2 Sequent Calculus

The goal of this section is to provide a formal definition of proofs, i.e., proofs are made into mathematical objects. To that end, we divide any proof into stages. In each stage, we establish a fact that under the **antecedent** $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_n^1$ the **succedent** φ holds. In a succinct form, this is written as a sequent $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_n^1$.

So our goal is to design a calculus $\mathfrak S$ operating on sequents, i.e., **sequent calculus**. $\mathfrak S$ contains a number of rules, which enable us to derive one sequent from another.

¹In the sequel, we tacitly assume a fixed symbol set S.

Definition 2.1. If in the calculus \mathfrak{S} there is a derivation of the sequent $\Gamma \varphi$, then we write

$$\vdash \Gamma \varphi$$

and say that $\Gamma \varphi$ is **derivable**.

Definition 2.2. A formula φ is **formally provable** or **derivable** from a set Φ of formulas, written $\Phi \vdash \varphi$, if there are finite many formulas $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_n$ in Φ such that

$$\vdash \varphi_1 \dots \varphi_n \varphi$$
.

Definition 2.3. A sequent $\Gamma \varphi$ is **correct** if

$$\{\psi \mid \psi \text{ is a member of } \Gamma\} \models \varphi.$$

in short, $\Gamma \models \varphi$.

2.1 Basic Rules

Antecedent

$$\frac{\Gamma \quad \varphi}{\Gamma' \quad \varphi} \Gamma \subseteq \Gamma'$$

The correctness is straightforward. Assume that $\Gamma \models \varphi$ and $\mathfrak{I} \models \Gamma'$. Since $\Gamma \subseteq \Gamma'$, we conclude $\mathfrak{I} \models \Gamma$ and thus $\mathfrak{I} \models \varphi$.

Assumption

H

 \dashv

Case Analysis

Contradiction

∨-introduction in antecedent

$$\begin{array}{cccc} & \Gamma & \phi & \chi \\ & \Gamma & \psi & \chi \\ \hline \Gamma & (\phi \vee \psi) & \chi \end{array}$$

∨-introduction in succedent

$$\frac{\Gamma \psi \chi}{\Gamma (\phi \lor \psi) \chi}$$
 in succedent
$$(a) \frac{\Gamma \phi}{\Gamma (\phi \lor \psi)} \qquad (b) \frac{\Gamma \phi}{\Gamma (\psi \lor \phi)}$$
 unary relation
$$\int_{0}^{\infty} d\phi d\phi d\phi$$

∃-introduction in succedent

$$\frac{\Gamma \quad \varphi_x^{t}}{\Gamma \quad \exists x \varphi}$$
 Substitution

$$\frac{\Gamma \quad \phi \frac{y}{x} \quad \psi}{\Gamma \quad \exists x \phi \quad \psi} \text{ if } y \notin \text{free} \left(\Gamma \cup \{\exists x \phi, \psi\}\right)$$

Equality

+

+

Substitution

$$\frac{\Gamma \quad \phi \frac{t}{x}}{\Gamma \quad t \equiv t' \quad \phi \frac{t'}{x}}$$

Some Derived Rules 2.2

Example 2.4 (The law of excluded middle).

Therefore $\vdash (\phi \lor \neg \phi)$.

Example 2.5 (The modified contradiction).

We argue as follows.

1.
$$\Gamma$$
 ψ (premise)

2. Γ $\neg \psi$ (premise)

3. Γ $\neg \varphi$ ψ (antecedent by 1)

4. Γ $\neg \varphi$ $\neg \psi$ (antecedent by 2)

5. Γ φ (contradiction by 3 and 4).

Example 2.6 (The chain deduction).

We have the following deduction.

1.
$$\Gamma$$
 φ (premise)
2. Γ φ ψ (premise)
3. Γ $\neg \varphi$ φ (antecedent by 1)
4. Γ $\neg \varphi$ $\neg \varphi$ (assumption)
5. Γ $\neg \varphi$ ψ (modified contradiction by 3 and 4)
6. Γ ψ (case analysis by 2 and 5).

 \dashv

 \dashv

Let Φ be a set of sentences and φ an formula.

Lemma 2.7. $\Phi \vdash \varphi$ *if and only if there exists a finite* $\Phi_0 \subseteq \Phi$ *such that* $\Phi_0 \vdash \varphi$.

Theorem 2.8 (Soundness). If $\Phi \vdash \varphi$, then $\Phi \models \varphi$. prove it by Structural induction.

3 Exercises

Exercise 3.1. Can you derive the rule of contradiction from the modified contradiction?

Exercise 3.2. Prove:

(a)
$$\frac{\Gamma \quad \varphi}{\Gamma \quad \neg \neg \varphi}$$
 (b) $\frac{\Gamma \quad \neg \neg \varphi}{\Gamma \quad \varphi}$

Exercise 3.3. Is the following derivable?

$$\Gamma \exists x \varphi \quad \forall x \varphi$$