# Mathematical Logic (XI)

## Yijia Chen

(computers)

## 1. Decidability and Enumerability

1.1. Register Machines. We fix an alphabet

$$\mathcal{A} := \{\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_r\}.$$

Every register machine (or simply, machine) has a fixed number of registers, i.e.,

has a fixed number of registers, i.e., 
$$R_0, \ldots, R_m$$

for some fixed  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , where any register  $R_i$  can contain any word in  $\mathcal{A}^*$ . A *program* consists of a finite number of *instructions*, each starting with a *label*  $L \in \mathbb{N}$ .

There are 5 types of instructions.

$$\begin{array}{l}
\textbf{L LET } R_i = R_i + a_i
\end{array}$$

where  $L,i,j\in\mathbb{N}$  with  $0\leqslant i\leqslant m$  and  $0\leqslant j\leqslant r$ . That is, add the letter  $\alpha_j$  at the end of the word in  $R_i$ .

L LET 
$$R_i = R_i - a_i$$
,

where  $L, i, j \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $0 \le i \le m$  and  $0 \le j \le r$ . That is, if the word in  $R_i$  ends with  $e_j$ , then delete this  $a_j$ ; otherwise leave the word unchanged.

L IF 
$$R_i = \Box$$
 THEN L'ELSE  $L_0$  OR  $L_1$ OR  $\cdots$  OR  $L_r$ ,  $\rightarrow$  7th branch

where  $L, L', L_0, \ldots, L_r \in \mathbb{N}$ . That is, if  $R_i$  contains  $\square$ , then go the instruction labelled L'. Otherwise, if  $R_i$  contains a word ending with the letter  $a_j$ , then go to the instruction labelled  $L_i$ .

L PRINT,

where  $L \in \mathbb{N}$ . That is, output the word in  $R_0$ .

L HALT,

with  $L \in \mathbb{N}$ . That is, the program halts.

**Definition 1.1.** A register program (or simply program) is a finite sequence  $\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_k$  of instructions with the following properties.

- (i) Every  $\alpha_i$  has label L = i.
- (ii) Every jump operation refers to a label  $\leq k$ .
- (iii) Only the last instruction  $\alpha_k$  is a halt instruction.

dic: R HALT

**Definition 1.2.** A program  $\mathbb{P}$  *starts* with  $w \in \mathcal{A}^*$  if in the beginning of the execution of  $\mathbb{P}$  we have  $R_0 = w$  and all other  $R_i = \square$ .

If  $\mathbb{P}$  starts with w and eventually reaches the last halt instruction, then we write

 $\mathbb{P}: \mathcal{W} \to \text{halt.}$ 

Otherwise,

 $\mathbb{P}: w \to \infty$ .

The notation

$$\mathbb{P}: \mathcal{W} \to \mathcal{W}'$$

means that if  $\mathbb{P}$  starts with w, then it eventually halts, and during the course of computation, has printed exactly one string w'.

**Definition 1.3.** Let  $W \subseteq A^*$ .

(i) A program  $\mathbb{P}$  decides W if for all  $w \in A^*$ 

 $\mathbb{P}: \mathcal{W} \to \square$ 

if  $w \in W$ ,

 $\mathbb{P}: w \to w'$  with  $w' \neq \square$ 

if  $w \notin W$ .

(ii) W is register-decidable, or R-decidable for short, if there is program which decides W. 便用一个 Register 信放

**Definition 1.4.** Let  $W \subset A^*$ .

- (i) A program  $\mathbb{P}$  enumerates W if started with  $\square$ ,  $\mathbb{P}$  prints out exactly the words in W (in some 0order with possible repetitions). -) | emma : 3 ennmeration (f for w
- (ii) W is register-enumerable, or R-enumerable for short, if there is program which enumerates W.

Lemma. I finite a 1 tis enumable

**Proposition 1.5.** Let  $W \subseteq A^*$ . Then W is R-decidable if and only if both W and  $A^* \setminus W$  are R-enumerable.

Definition 1.6. Let  $F \subseteq A^* \to B^*$ , where A and B are two alphabets. 134% 1취에 ઉપય

(i) A program  $\mathbb{P}$  computes F if for all  $w \in A^*$ 

$$\mathbb{P}: \mathcal{W} \to \mathsf{F}(\mathcal{W}).$$

- (ii) F is register-computable, or R-computable for short, if there is program which computes F.  $\dashv$
- **1.2.** The halting problem for the register machines. Again let  $A := \{a_0, \dots, a_r\}$  be a fixed alphabet. Our goal is to define for every program  $\mathbb{P}$  over  $\mathcal{A}$  a word  $w_{\mathbb{P}} \in \mathcal{A}^*$ . To that end, we first introduce an auxiliary alphabet

$$\mathcal{B} := \mathcal{A} \cup \{A, B, C, \dots, Z\} \cup \{0, 1, \dots, 9\} \cup \{=, +, -, \square, |\}.$$

As usual, we understand that the words in  $\mathbb{B}^*$  are ordered *lexicographically*. Then every program can be naturally encoded as a word in  $\mathbb{B}^*$ . For instance

0 **LET**  $R_1 = R_1 - a_0$ 

1 PRINT

encode program to word

Let Sup :=  $\{ C_0, C_1, \dots \}$   $\bigcup_{n \ge 1} \{ F_0^n, F_1^n, \dots \} \quad P_i^n : n-ary \text{ Helation Symbol}$   $\bigcup_{n \ge 1} \{ F_0^n, F_1^n, \dots \}$ 

Lemma:  $\{\varphi \in L^{Sw} \mid = \varphi\}$  is enumerable. Proof.  $\{\varphi \in L^{Sw} \mid = \varphi\} = \{\varphi \in L^{Sw} \mid + \varphi\}$ (smpleteness + soundness

> 所有 Sequent (alculus 打的 首件,可校奉、(BFS) 只局利 医介 校章 出版》 Sequent Calculus 記書符音文注。 苦熱台、とり トヤ、 PRINT 中限 可。

Remark L's decidable

#### 2 HALT

is identified with the word

$$0LETR1 = R1 - a_0 | 1PRINT | 2HALT.$$

Note that  $a_0$  is single letter from the alphabet  $A \subseteq B$ . Assume that this word is the n-th word in the lexicographical ordering of  $\mathcal{B}^*$ . Then we set

$$w_{\mathbb{P}} := \underbrace{a_0 a_0 \cdots a_0}_{\text{n times}}.$$

Finally let

 $\Pi := \{ w_{\mathbb{P}} \mid \mathbb{P} \text{ a program over } \mathcal{A} \}.$ 

The mapping

Every program IP is

is often called the Gödel numbering, and  $w_{\mathbb{P}}$  is the Gödel number of  $\mathbb{P}$ .

Associated with a unique wip  $\mathcal{E}$ 

Lemma 1.7. ∏ is R-decidable.

Theorem 1.8. Let A be a fixed alphabet.

(i) The set

 $\Pi'_{halt} := \{ w_{\mathbb{P}} \mid \mathbb{P} \text{ a program over } \mathcal{A} \text{ and } \mathbb{P} : w_{\mathbb{P}} \to halt \}$ 

is not R-decidable.

(ii) The set

 $\Pi_{\text{halt}} := \{ w_{\mathbb{P}} \mid \mathbb{P} \text{ a program over } \mathcal{A} \text{ and } \mathbb{P} : \square \rightarrow \text{halt} \}$ 

is not R-decidable.

*Proof*: (i) Assume that there is a program  $\mathbb{P}_0$  which decides  $\Pi'_{halt}$ . That is, for every program  $\mathbb{P}$ 

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}_0 : w_{\mathbb{P}} \to \square & \text{if } \mathbb{P} : w_{\mathbb{P}} \to \text{halt,} \\ \mathbb{P}_0 : w_{\mathbb{P}} \to w' \text{ with } w' \neq \square & \text{if } \mathbb{P} : w_{\mathbb{P}} \to \infty. \end{split}$$

10 PRINT

k HALT

We change  $\mathbb{P}_0$  in such a way that if  $\mathbb{P}_0$  prints out  $\square$ , then the modified program will never halt. To that end, we replace the last k-th halt instruction by two instructions that "reverse the halting behavior", and replace every print instruction by a "jump" instruction that directly goes to the end:

0 .....

1 ......

ン特色がPRINT接触了wwP

.

10 IF 
$$R_0 = \Box$$
 THEN k ELSE k OR k OR · · · OR k

i.e, goto the k-th instruction no matter what is in R<sub>0</sub>

:

k IF 
$$R_0 = \square$$
 Then k else  $k + 1$  or  $k + 1$  or  $\cdots$  or  $k + 1$ 

#### k+1 HALT

Let  $\mathbb{P}_1$  be the resulting program. It is then easy to see that for any program  $\mathbb{P}$ 

$$\mathbb{P}_1: w_{\mathbb{P}} \to \infty$$
 if  $\mathbb{P}: w_{\mathbb{P}} \to \text{halt}$ ,  
 $\mathbb{P}_1: w_{\mathbb{P}} \to \text{halt}$  if  $\mathbb{P}: w_{\mathbb{P}} \to \infty$ .

As a result,

$$\mathbb{P}_1: w_{\mathbb{P}_1} \to \infty \qquad \text{if } \mathbb{P}_1: w_{\mathbb{P}_1} \to \text{halt,}$$

$$\mathbb{P}_1: w_{\mathbb{P}_1} \to \text{halt} \quad \text{if } \mathbb{P}_1: w_{\mathbb{P}_1} \to \infty,$$

which is certainly a contradiction.

(ii) Towards a contradiction, assume that  $\mathbb{P}_0$  decides  $\Pi_{halt}$ . That is, for every program  $\mathbb{P}$ 

$$\mathbb{P}_0: w_{\mathbb{P}} \to \square$$
 if  $\mathbb{P}: \square \to \text{halt}$ ,  $\mathbb{P}_0: w_{\mathbb{P}} \to w'$  with  $w' \neq \square$  if  $\mathbb{P}: \square \to \infty$ .

Now for every program  $\mathbb{P}$  we assign in an effective way a program  $\mathbb{P}^+$  such that

$$\mathbb{P}: w_{\mathbb{P}} \to \text{halt} \iff \mathbb{P}^+: \square \to \text{halt}.$$

(2) 1pt: 0-2halt

(1)

Being effective means that there is a further program  $\mathbb{T}$  that computes the mapping

 $W_{\mathbb{P}} \to W_{\mathbb{P}^+}.$   $W_{\mathbb{P}} \to W_{\mathbb{P}^+}$   $W_{\mathbb{P}^+} \to W_{\mathbb{P}^+}$ 

大な作刊まるWp 記まれた.

The construction of  $\mathbb{T}$  is tedious but not difficult.

With  $\mathbb{P}_0$  and  $\mathbb{T}$  we design a program which decide  $\Pi'_{\text{halt}}$  as follows. On any input  $w \in \mathcal{A}^*$ , the program first test whether  $w = w_{\mathbb{P}}$  for some  $\mathbb{P}$ . If not, it rejects immediately<sup>1</sup>. Otherwise, it uses  $\mathbb{T}$  to computes  $w_{\mathbb{P}^+}$ . Then the program calls  $\mathbb{P}_0$  on input  $w_{\mathbb{P}^+}$ . By (2) and (1), it correctly decides whether

$$\mathbb{P}: w_{\mathbb{P}} \to \text{halt.}$$

This gives us the desired contradiction to (i).

It remains to show the construction of  $\mathbb{P}^+$  from any given  $\mathbb{P}$  that fulfills (2). Assume that

$$w_{\mathbb{P}} = \underbrace{a_0 a_0 \dots a_0}_{\text{n times}}$$

Let  $\mathbb{P}^+$  begin with

0 **LET** 
$$R_0 = R_0 + a_0$$

1 **LET** 
$$R_0 = R_0 + a_0$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>i.e., prints out some  $w' \neq \square$  and halts.

n-1 LET 
$$R_0 = R_0 + a_0$$
  $\Longrightarrow$  CLOT  $R_0 = W_0$ 

and followed by the instructions of  $\mathbb{P}$  with all labels increased by  $\mathfrak{n}$ .

### 1.3. The undecidability of first-order logic.

Theorem 1.9. The set

$$\left\{\varphi \in \mathsf{L}_0^{\mathsf{S}_\infty} \mid \, \models \varphi\right\} \tag{3}$$

is not R-decidable.

*Proof:* By Theorem 1.8 (ii) for the alphabet  $A = \{\}$  the problem  $\Pi_{halt}$  is not R-decidable. Our goal is to show that the assumed R-decidability of (3) would contradict this result. To that end, for every program  $\mathbb{P}$  we will construct in an *effective* way a  $\varphi_{\mathbb{P}} \in L_0^{S_{\infty}}$  such that

$$\mathbb{P}: \square \to \text{halt} \iff \models \phi_{\mathbb{P}}.$$

Here, the effectivity means that there is a program  $\mathbb{P}_1$  which computes the mapping  $\mathbb{W} \mathbb{P} \mapsto \phi_{\mathbb{P}}$ .  $\mathbb{W}_{\mathbb{P}} \mapsto \phi_{\mathbb{P}}$ 

Once this is done, given an input  $w \in A^*$ , we can first check whether  $w = w_{\mathbb{P}}$ , if so, extract the program  $\mathbb{P}$  and compute  $\varphi_{\mathbb{P}}$  using  $\mathbb{P}_1$ . Thus if (3) is decidable, we can apply the corresponding decision program on input  $\varphi_{\mathbb{P}}$  to decide whether  $\mathbb{P}: \square \to \text{halt}$ . Hence, we could decide  $\Pi_{\text{halt}}$ .

Let  $\mathbb P$  consist of instructions  $\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_k$ , in particular every  $\alpha_i$  has its label i. Furthermore, assume that the maximum index of the registers which  $\mathbb P$  uses is n. Hence, the registers referred by all  $\alpha_i$ 's are among  $R_0, \ldots, R_n$ .

Key to our construction of  $\phi_{\mathbb{P}}$  is the notion of configurations of  $\mathbb{P}$ . An (n+2)-tuple

is a configuration of  $\mathbb{P}$  (on input  $\square$ ) after s steps if

- starting with input  $\square$  the program  $\mathbb{P}$  runs at least s steps,
- after s steps, the instruction  $\alpha_1$  is to be executed next,
- and for every  $0 \le i \le n$  the register  $R_i$  contains the word

$$m_i$$
 times

at that moment. To ease presentation, in the following we will simply say that R<sub>i</sub> contains the number mi.

Observe that then the execution of  $\mathbb{P}$  on the s+1-th step is completely determined by the configuration  $(L, m_0, \ldots, m_n)$ .

The *initial configuration*, i.e., the configuration of  $\mathbb{P}$  after 0 step is

$$(0,0,\ldots,0).$$

Recall that  $\alpha_k$  is the last instruction of  $\mathbb{P}$ , i.e., the only halt instruction. Therefore

$$\mathbb{P}: \square \to \text{halt} \iff \text{for some } s, m_0, \dots, m_n \in \mathbb{N}$$
 the tuple  $(k, m_0, \dots, m_n)$  is the configuration of  $\mathbb{P}$  after  $s$  steps. (4)

In case  $\mathbb{P}: \Box \to \text{halt}$ , we define  $s_{\mathbb{P}} \in \mathbb{N}$  to be the number of steps which  $\mathbb{P}$  carries out until it reaches the last halt instruction. We choose four symbols from  $S^{\infty}$ :  $R_0^{n+3}$ ,  $c_0$ :  $c_0$ : c

Then we associate with  $\mathbb{P}$  an S-structure  $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathbb{P}}$  which "describes" the execution (i.e., the behaviour) of  $\mathbb{P}$  on input  $\square$ . There are two cases.

 $\text{Case 1. } \mathbb{P}: \ \square \to \infty. \ \text{ We set } A_{\mathbb{P}}:=\mathbb{N}, \ <^{\mathfrak{A}_{\mathbb{P}}}:=\left\{(\mathfrak{i},\mathfrak{j}) \ \middle| \ \mathfrak{i},\mathfrak{j}\in\mathbb{N} \ \text{and} \ \mathfrak{i}<\mathfrak{j}\right\}, \ f^{\mathfrak{A}_{\mathbb{P}}}(\mathfrak{i}):=\mathfrak{i}+1 \ \text{for every}$  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $c^{\mathfrak{A}_{\mathbb{P}}} := 0$ , and

$$R^{\mathfrak{A}_{\mathbb{P}}} := \{(s, L, \mathfrak{m}_0, \dots, \mathfrak{m}_n) \mid (L, \mathfrak{m}_0, \dots, \mathfrak{m}_n) \text{ is the configuration of } \mathbb{P} \text{ after } s \text{ steps} \}.$$

 $\begin{array}{l} e \}, \ f^{\mathfrak{A}_{\mathbb{P}}}(i) := \min\{i+1,e\} \ \text{for every } i \in A_{\mathbb{P}}, c^{\mathfrak{A}_{\mathbb{P}}} := 0, \ \text{and} \\ & \searrow \text{ ($i$)} = \{(s,L,m_0,\ldots,m_n) \mid (L,m_0,\ldots,m_n) \ \text{is the configuration of } \mathbb{P} \ \text{after s steps} \}. \end{array}$ 

Note that, since every register R<sub>i</sub> starts with 0, and can increase its value (i.e, the length of  $|\cdot| \cdots |$ ) by at most 1 in each step, thus  $m_i \leqslant s_{\mathbb{P}} \leqslant e$ . So  $R^{\mathfrak{A}_{\mathbb{P}}}$  is well defined.

Towards the definition of  $\varphi_{\mathbb{P}}$  in (3), we first construct a sentence  $\psi_{\mathbb{P}}$  which expresses the execution of  $\mathbb{P}$  on  $\square$ . We abbreviate c, fc, ffc, ...by  $\overline{0}$ ,  $\overline{1}$ ,  $\overline{2}$ , ..., respectively. The desired  $\psi_{\mathbb{P}}$ should satisfy the following two properties:

- (P1)  $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathbb{P}} \models \psi_{\mathbb{P}}$ .
- (P2) Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be an S-structure with  $\mathfrak{A} \models \psi_{\mathbb{P}}$ . Furthermore,  $(L, m_0, \ldots, m_n)$  is the configuration of  $\mathbb{P}$  after s steps. Then a ⊨ RsLmo·mn. i.e. Hp = RsLmo·mn

We set

maximum x.

For 
$$\alpha \in \{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{k-1}\}$$
 we define by a case analysis.

$$-\alpha = L \text{ LET } R_i = R_i + |. \text{ Then let}$$

$$-\alpha = L \text{ LET } R_i = R_i + |. \text{ Then let}$$

$$\psi_{\alpha} := \forall x \forall y_0 \cdots \forall y_n \left( Rx \overline{L} y_0 \cdots y_n \rightarrow (x < fx \land Rfx \overline{L+1} y_0 \cdots y_{i-1} fy_i y_{i+1} \cdots y_n) \right).$$

 $-\alpha = L$  **LET**  $R_i = R_i - |$ . Then let

$$\psi_{\alpha} := \forall x \forall y_0 \cdots \forall y_n \left( Rx \overline{L} y_0 \cdots y_n \right)$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \overline{L}y_0\cdots y_n\\ \rightarrow (x < fx \wedge ((y_i \equiv \overline{0} \wedge Rfx\overline{L+1}y_0\cdots y_n))\\ \qquad \qquad \vee (\neg y_i \equiv \overline{0} \wedge \exists u (fu \equiv y_i\\ \qquad \qquad \wedge Rfx\overline{L+1}y_0\cdots y_{i-1}uy_{i+1}\cdots y_n)))). \end{array}$$

 $-\alpha = L$  IF  $R_i = \square$  THEN L' ELSE  $L_0$ . Then let

$$\begin{split} \psi_\alpha := \forall x \forall y_0 \cdots \forall y_n \big( Rx \bar{L} y_0 \cdots y_n \\ & \to (x < fx \wedge ((y_i \equiv \bar{0} \wedge Rfx \overline{L'} y_0 \cdots y_n) \\ & \vee (\neg y_i \equiv \bar{0} \wedge Rfx \overline{L_0} y_0 \cdots y_n)))). \end{split}$$

 $-\alpha = L$  **PRINT**. Then let

$$\psi_\alpha := \forall x \forall y_0 \cdots \forall y_n \big( Rx \overline{L} y_0 \cdots y_n \to (x < fx \land Rfx \overline{L+1} y_0 \cdots y_n) \big).$$

The verification of (P1) and (P2) are left as an exercise

Finally let

$$\varphi_{\mathbb{P}} := \psi_{\mathbb{P}} \to \exists x \exists y_0 \cdots \exists y_n R x \bar{k} y_0 \cdots y_n.$$

Now we verify that  $\mathbb{P}: \square \to \text{halt if and only if} \models \phi_{\mathbb{P}}$  First, assume  $\models \phi_{\mathbb{P}}$ , in particular

$$\mathfrak{A}_{\mathbb{P}} \models \varphi_{\mathbb{P}}$$
.

By (P1) we conclude

$$\mathfrak{A}_{\mathbb{P}} \models \varphi_{\mathbb{P}}.$$

$$\mathfrak{A}_{\mathbb{P}} \models \varphi_{\mathbb{P}}.$$

$$\mathfrak{A}_{\mathbb{P}} \models \varphi_{\mathbb{P}}$$

$$\mathfrak{A}_{\mathbb{P}} \models \varphi_{\mathbb{P}}.$$

$$\mathfrak{A}_{\mathbb{P}} \models \varphi_{\mathbb{P}}$$

$$\mathfrak{A}_{\mathbb{P}} \models \varphi_{\mathbb{P}}.$$

Then there are some  $s, m_0, \ldots, m_n \in A_{\mathbb{P}} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  such that  $(k, m_0, \ldots, m_n)$  is the configuration of  $\mathbb{P}$ after s steps. Therefore,  $\mathbb{P}$  reaches the last halt instruction after s steps, hence  $\mathbb{P}: \square \to \text{halt}$ .

Conversely, assume  $\mathbb{P}: \square \to \text{halt.}$  Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be an S-structure Clearly, if  $\mathfrak{A} \not\models \psi_{\mathbb{P}}$ , then we are already done. Thus, assume number of steps which  $\mathbb{P}$  carries out until it reaches the last hand, ...,  $\mathfrak{m}_n \leqslant s_{\mathbb{P}}$  the tuple  $(k, \mathfrak{m}_0, \ldots, \mathfrak{m}_n)$  is the configuration of  $\mathbb{P}$  after  $s_{\mathbb{P}}$  steps. Now (P2) implies that Conversely, assume  $\mathbb{P}: \square \to \text{halt.}$  Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be an S-structure. We need to show that  $\mathfrak{A} \models \varphi_{\mathbb{P}}$ . Clearly, if  $\mathfrak{A} \not\models \psi_{\mathbb{P}}$ , then we are already done. Thus, assume  $\mathfrak{A} \models \psi_{\mathbb{P}}$ . Recall that  $s_{\mathbb{P}} \in \mathbb{N}$  is the number of steps which  $\mathbb{P}$  carries out until it reaches the last halt instruction  $\alpha_k$ . Hence, for some

$$(k, m_0, \ldots, m_n)$$

$$\mathfrak{A}\models R\overline{s_{\mathbb{P}}}\bar{k}\bar{m}_{0}\cdots\bar{m}_{n}.$$

Therefore

$$\mathfrak{A} \models \varphi_{\mathbb{P}}$$
.

This finishes the proof.

#### 2. Exercises

**Exercise 2.1.** Let  $W \subseteq \mathcal{A}^*$ . A program  $\mathbb{P}$  *strictly enumerates* W if started with  $\square$ ,  $\mathbb{P}$  prints out all the words in W

$$w_0, w_1, \dots$$

without repetitions such that  $|w_i| \leq |w_{i+1}|$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Recall |w| denotes the length of the word

W is strictly R-enumerable if there is a program which strictly enumerates W. Are the following statements correct?

- W is R-enumerable if and only W is strictly R-enumerable.
- W is R-decidable if and only W is strictly R-enumerable.

Exercise 2.2. Prove that the set

$$\{w_{\mathbb{P}} \mid \mathbb{P} \text{ a program over } \mathcal{A} \text{ and } \mathbb{P} : w \to \text{halt } \textit{for some } w \in \mathcal{A}^* \}$$

is not R-decidable.

Exercise 2.3. Prove (P1) and (P2) in the proof of Theorem 1.9.

**Exercise 2.4.** Assume  $\mathbb{P}: \square \to \text{halt.}$  Construct an *infinite* S-structure with  $\mathfrak{A} \models \psi_{\mathbb{P}}$ .

Exercise 2.5. Show that

$$\{\varphi \in L_0^{S_\infty} \mid \varphi \text{ is satisfiable}\}$$

is not R-enumerable. +