

1. Recall that $X_{(1)} \sim \text{Ex}(n\theta)$.

- (a) It is necessary to show that $P(a_n X_{(1)} \leq x) \rightarrow 1 - \exp(-\theta x)$ for $x > 0$. Since $n/a_n \rightarrow 1$, there exists N such that, $\forall n \geq N$, $a_n > 0$. For $n \geq N$ and $x > 0$, we have that

$$P(a_n X_{(1)} \leq x) = 1 - \exp(-n\theta x/a_n) \rightarrow 1 - \exp(-\theta x)$$

as we wanted to show.

- (b) We need to show that $P(|b_n X_{(1)}| > \varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $n/b_n \rightarrow +\infty$, there exists N such that, $\forall n \geq N$, $b_n > 0$. For $n \geq N$, we have that

$$P(|b_n X_{(1)}| > \varepsilon) = P(b_n X_{(1)} > \varepsilon) = \exp(-n\theta\varepsilon/b_n) \rightarrow 0$$

as we wanted to show.

- (c) By part a), $nX_{(1)} \xrightarrow{d} \text{Ex}(\theta)$. By part b), $X_{(1)} \xrightarrow{P} 0$, hence, by the continuous mapping theorem, $1 - X_{(1)} \xrightarrow{P} 1$. Slutsky's theorem guarantees the result.
- (d) The central limit theorem allows us to conclude that $\sqrt{n}(\theta\bar{X} - 1)$ converges in distribution to a $N(0, 1)$ distribution. Let $X_n = \theta\bar{X}$ and $g(X_n) = \sqrt{X_n}$. Apply the delta method to obtain the result.

2. (a) Note that

$$f(x, y) = f(y | x)f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\lambda x}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\lambda x}y^2\right) \times \frac{1}{\lambda} \exp(-x/\lambda)$$

and this allows us to conclude that the model belongs to the one-parameter exponential family with natural parameter $-1/\lambda$ and natural parameter space \mathbb{R}_- , which obviously contains an open subset of \mathbb{R} . The sufficient statistic T is as a consequence also complete.

- (b) By the law of the iterated expectation,

$$E[Y^2/X] = E[E[Y^2/X] | X] = E[1/X E[Y^2 | X]] = E[1/X \lambda X] = \lambda.$$

Alternatively, we could also explicitly compute the integral.

- (c) $E[S] = 2E[T]/(3n)$; $E[T] = nE[X] + nE[Y^2/X]/2 = n\lambda + n\lambda/2$ by part (b). We then conclude that S is an unbiased estimator of λ . Since S is a function of the sufficient and complete, it follows that S is the UMVU estimator of λ .
- (d) $L(\lambda | x, y) \propto \lambda^{-3/2} \exp(-t/\lambda)$, where t represents the observed value of the statistic T . Hence,

$$\frac{d \ln L}{d\lambda} = \frac{3n}{2} \frac{1}{\lambda^2} (s - \lambda)$$

where s is the observed value of S . We then conclude that S is also the most efficient estimator of λ .

- (e) Since S is the most efficient estimator of λ , its variance coincide with the Cramer-Rao lower bound. If we compute the Fisher information about λ , it's easy to conclude that $\text{Var}(S) = 2\lambda^2/(3n)$.

3. (a) $\int \theta^{-2} I_{(1, +\infty)}(\theta) d\theta = 1$, and hence this distribution is proper and the normalizing constant is one.

- (b) $L(\theta | x) \propto \prod_{i=1}^n \theta^{-2} I_{(0, \theta)}(x_i) \propto \theta^{-2n} I_{(x_{(n)}, +\infty)}(\theta)$, hence,

$$\pi(\theta | x) \propto \pi(\theta) L(\theta | x) \propto \theta^{-2(n+1)} I_{(x_{(n)} \vee 1, +\infty)}(\theta).$$

To find the normalizing constant, it suffices to see that

$$\int_{x_{(n)} \vee 1}^{+\infty} \theta^{-2(n+1)} d\theta = \frac{1}{(2n+1)(x_{(n)} \vee 1)^{2n+1}}.$$

- (c) One possible strategy is based on computing $P(X_{n+1} \leq 1 | x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and on betting on the realization of $\{X_{n+1} \leq 1\}$ iff that probability exceeds $1/2$. In order to compute that probability, note that

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_{n+1} \leq 1 | x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} P(X_{n+1} \leq 1 | \theta) \pi(\theta | x_1, \dots, x_n) d\theta \\ &= \int_{x_{(n)} \vee 1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\theta^2} (2n+1) (x_{(n)} \vee 1)^{2n+1} \theta^{-(2n+2)} d\theta \end{aligned}$$

and this allows us to conclude that we should bet on the realization of $\{X_{n+1} \leq 1\}$ iff

$$(2n+1)/[(2n+3) (x_{(n)} \vee 1)^2] > 1/2.$$