

1. (a) Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then $P(|X_{(1)} - a| > \varepsilon) = P(X_{(1)} > a + \varepsilon) + P(X_{(1)} < a - \varepsilon)$. The last probability is zero. The first is $[a/(a + \varepsilon)]^{b_n}$ which goes to zero, and this proves the result.
- (b) Let $z > 0$. Then $P[(X_{(n)} - a_n)/b_n \leq z] = P(X_{(n)} \leq b_n z + a_n)$ assuming $b_n > 0$. This can be written as

$$\left[1 - \left(\frac{a}{b_n z + a_n} \right)^b \right]^n$$

and we want to find a_n and b_n such that this converges to $e^{-z^{-b}}$. This is the limit, for instance, of the sequence $[1 - z^{-b}/n]$ which can be obtained by setting $a_n = 0$ and $b_n = a n^{1/b}$. We can now go back and make sure that all calculations are fine as long as $z > 0$.

- (c) The central limit theorem states that $\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - 3a/2)$ converges in distribution to $N(0, 3a^2/4)$. This is equivalent to

$$\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, h(\mu))$$

where $h(\mu) = \mu^2/3$. When applying the delta method, we want to find $g(\cdot)$ satisfying $[g'(\mu)]^2 = 1/h(\mu)$ that is, $g'(\mu) = \sqrt{3}/\mu$. Hence, $g(x) = \sqrt{3} \ln(x)$, $x > 0$.

2. (a) Consider two samples of size n , (x_1, y_1) and (x_2, y_2) . It is easy to see that

$$\frac{f(x_1, y_1 | \theta)}{f(x_2, y_2 | \theta)} = \exp \left[\sum_i (x_{2i} - x_{1i}) \theta \right] \exp \left[\sum_j (y_{2j} - y_{1j})/\theta \right]$$

and this does not depend on θ iff $\sum_i x_{2i} = \sum_i x_{1i}$ and $\sum_j y_{2j} = \sum_j y_{1j}$. Hence, T is minimal sufficient. When a most efficient estimator exists, it is sufficient. Since the minimal sufficient statistic is 2-dimensional, there cannot exist 1-dimensional statistics which are sufficient. Hence, there are no most efficient estimators of θ .

- (b) It is clear that $T_1 \sim G(n, \theta)$ and $T_2 \sim G(n, 1/\theta)$. Hence, $E[T_1 T_2] = E[2\theta T_1 \cdot 2T_2/\theta]/4 = (2n)^2/4 = n^2$ by independence. Hence, $T_1 T_2 - n^2$ is a function of T which has zero expected value but is not identically zero, and this shows that T is not complete. In this case, there are no complete and sufficient statistics as either all minimal sufficient are complete, or there are no minimal sufficient statistics which are complete.
- (c) The likelihood is $L(\theta | x, y) \propto \exp(-\theta \sum x_i - \sum y_j/\theta)$ and it's straightforward to see that the value of θ that maximizes $\ln L$ is precisely t_2/t_1 . This shows the result.
- (d) $E[T_2/T_1] = E[T_2]E[1/T_1]$ by independence. We already know that $E[T_2] = n\theta$. To obtain $E[1/T_1]$ one computes the integral

$$\int_0^{+\infty} 1/t f(t) dt$$

where $f(t)$ is the density of a $G(n, \theta)$ distribution by recognizing the kernel of a $G(n-1, \theta)$. The end result is $\theta/(n-1)$. As such, $E[U] = \theta^2 n/(n-1)$, and hence U is a biased estimator of θ^2 . $V = (n-1)U/n$ is therefore an unbiased estimator of θ^2 . (Alternatively, we can construct an F-Snedecor using T_1 and T_2 .)

- (e) Clearly, T_2/n converges in probability to θ by the weak law of large numbers, and hence in distribution. By the same reasoning, T_1/n converges in probability to $1/\theta$ and, by the continuous mapping theorem, n/T_1 converges in probability to θ . Apply Slutsky to conclude that $U = (T_2/n) \times (n/T_1)$ converges in distribution to θ^2 , and hence in probability, because convergence in probability to a constant is equivalent to convergence in distribution to a constant.
3. (a) For one observation of the model, we have $L(\theta | x) \propto \theta(1-\theta)^{x-1}$, $\ln L(\theta | x) = c + \ln \theta + (x-1)\ln(1-\theta)$, $d \ln L(\theta | x)/d\theta = 1/\theta - (x-1)/(1-\theta)$, $d^2 \ln L(\theta | x)/d\theta^2 = -1/\theta^2 - (x-1)/(1-\theta)^2$, and hence the Fisher information about θ in one observation is $I_X(\theta) = 1/\theta^2 + (1/\theta - 1)/(1-\theta)^2 = 1/[\theta^2(1-\theta)]$. The Jeffreys prior is thus $\pi(\theta) \propto \theta^{-1} (1-\theta)^{-1/2}$.
For n observations, the likelihood is $L(\theta | x_1, \dots, x_n) \propto \theta^n (1-\theta)^{t-n}$, where $t = \sum x_i$. Following Bayes theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\theta | x_1, \dots, x_n) &\propto L(\theta | x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ &\propto \theta^n (1-\theta)^{t-n} \theta^{-1} (1-\theta)^{-1/2} \\ &\propto \theta^{n-1} (1-\theta)^{t-n-1/2} \\ &\propto \text{Be}(\theta | n, t-n+1/2) . \end{aligned}$$

- (b) The posterior mean is $n/(t + 1/2)$, whereas the method of moments estimate is n/t . If θ is large and n is small, it's not unlikely that $t = n$, which will result in an unreasonable estimate of 1 for the probability of success using the method of moments estimate. This will not happen using the posterior mean.
- (c) $E[X_{n+1} \mid x_1, \dots, x_n] = E[E[X_{n+1} \mid \theta] \mid x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Since $E[X_{n+1} \mid \theta] = 1/\theta$, we have to compute

$$\begin{aligned}
 E[1/\theta \mid x_1, \dots, x_n] &= \int_0^1 \theta^{-1} \text{Be}(\theta \mid n, t - n + 1/2) d\theta \\
 &= \frac{B(n-1, t-n+1/2)}{B(n, t-n+1/2)} \\
 &= \frac{\Gamma(t+1/2)}{\Gamma(n) \Gamma(t-n+1/2)} \frac{\Gamma(n-1) \Gamma(t-n+1/2)}{\Gamma(t-1+1/2)} \\
 &= \frac{t-1/2}{n-1}
 \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact $\Gamma(t) = (t-1)\Gamma(t-1)$.