

ACTPol Cookbook

The ACTPol collaboration

September 9, 2017

The goal of this document is not to be exhaustive, not to be complete, not to be free of typos, not to be circulated outside the AdvACT collaboration. It is supposed to be a living document. Choose your color for editing/asking clarification in other people sections. **Here is some text from Sigurd.**

- 1 TOD cut and calibration**
- 2 Beams, planet calibration and time constant**
- 3 Point sources, mask and cluster studies**
- 4 Map making (Sigurd and Simone)**
- 5 Power spectra (Thibaut and Steve)**

5.1 Basic principles

The output of the map making pipeline is a set of maps in CAR pixellization: $T(\mathbf{x}), Q(\mathbf{x}), U(\mathbf{x})$, the hit count maps and an estimation of the covariance (T,Q,U) of the noise. The power spectrum pipeline uses the hit count map for weighting the data, but does not yet use this covariance. Enki provides (T,Q,U) as an individual fit file, while Ninkasi output the maps separately, tools exist in Enlib to convert from one format to the other.

The maps consist of splits in time of the data, four splits for s13, s14, s15 and two splits for s16. This format has been chosen to ensure approximate even coverage for each of the splits.

The main output of the power spectrum pipeline is (TT,TE,TB,EE,EB,BB) cross spectra. They are average of the $N_s(N_s + 1)/2$ individual cross spectra obtained by cross correlating different splits (N_s is the number of splits). The N_s auto spectra are used to estimate the noise properties of the data.

Let us start this with a simple data model for a map of temperature anisotropies, we will use the flat sky approximation

$$\tilde{T}_i(\mathbf{x}) = \int d\mathbf{x}' B(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}') W(\mathbf{x}') T(\mathbf{x}') + W(\mathbf{x}) n_i(\mathbf{x}) \quad (1)$$

(2)

Here $B(\mathbf{x})$ represent the effect of the beam and $W(\mathbf{x})$ is the window function applied on the data. The window function is formed by the product of a point source mask, the hit count map and an apodization function. The Fourier transform of this map is given by

$$\tilde{T}_i(\boldsymbol{\ell}) = \int d\boldsymbol{\ell}' W(\boldsymbol{\ell} - \boldsymbol{\ell}') [B(\boldsymbol{\ell}') T(\boldsymbol{\ell}') + n_i(\boldsymbol{\ell}')] \quad (3)$$

An estimator for the two dimensional cross power spectrum $C(\boldsymbol{\ell}) = \langle T(\boldsymbol{\ell}) T^*(\boldsymbol{\ell}) \rangle$ can be simply written

$$\tilde{C}(\boldsymbol{\ell}) = \tilde{T}_i(\boldsymbol{\ell}) \tilde{T}_j^*(\boldsymbol{\ell}) \quad (4)$$

However this estimator is biased $\langle \tilde{C}(\ell) \rangle \neq C(\ell)$, indeed

$$\langle \tilde{C}(\ell) \rangle = \langle \tilde{T}_i(\ell) \tilde{T}_j^*(\ell) \rangle \quad (5)$$

$$= \int d\ell' |W(\ell - \ell')|^2 B^2(\ell') C(\ell') \quad (6)$$

where we used the fact that the noise on different splits is uncorrelated $\langle n_i(\ell) n_j(\ell) \rangle = 0$. When we display two dimensional power spectra, we are looking at this quantity. In order to get an unbiased estimator, we need to invert this equation. So far, I used the continuous limit to write down the equation system. In reality the number of modes is finite and this equation can be rewritten as a matrix equation

$$\langle \tilde{C}_\ell \rangle = \sum_{\ell'} M_{\ell, \ell'} C_{\ell'} \quad (7)$$

Inverting this equation is then equivalent to finding the inverse of the matrix $M_{\ell, \ell'}$. In practice however, the matrix is not well conditioned, and its inverse does not exist. The physical reason for which this matrix can not be inverted is quite clear. If you observe only a fraction of the sky, you can not distinguish between close-by Fourier modes, this is equivalent to say that you have limited resolution in harmonic space. In practice, what we do is to bin the matrix and the power spectrum, and turn the equation system into

$$\langle \tilde{C}_b \rangle = \sum_{b'} M_{b, b'} C_{b'} \quad (8)$$

Our final estimate for the power spectrum is then

$$\hat{C}_b = (M_{b, b'})^{-1} \tilde{C}_{b'} \quad (9)$$

This estimator is unbiased. Computing and inverting $M_{b, b'}$ is really the name of the game of power spectrum estimation. It is by far the most expensive step in the power spectrum pipeline.

5.2 Power spectrum estimation of polarisation data

5.3 Flat sky vs Full sky

5.4 Azimuthal weighting

5.5 Prewithening

5.6 Cross frequencies and Cross seasons power power spectra

5.7 Planck calibration

5.8 Covariance matrix

6 Lensing

6.1 Data prep

6.2 Sims

6.3 Kappa maps

6.4 Biases and Power spectra

6.5 Delensing

6.6 Likelihood

For any T, E, B pair that we denote as x , the quadratic estimator starts off being wrong by some cosmology-dependent normalization (all LM indices are suppressed),

$$\langle \hat{x} \rangle = R_x \kappa_x$$

We correct by some fiducial cosmology letting the estimator be $\hat{\kappa}_x = \hat{x}/R_x^0$. This means the expected value is now,

$$\langle \hat{\kappa} \rangle = \frac{R_x}{R_x^0} \kappa$$

Next, we calculate the power spectrum, but correct for the anticipated N_1 bias,

$$\hat{C}_{xy} = \frac{1}{R_x^0 R_y^0} \hat{x} \hat{y} - N_{1,xy}^0$$

which leads to an expected theory power spectrum of,

$$C_{xy}^{\text{th}} = \frac{R_x R_y}{R_x^0 R_y^0} C_{xy} + N_{1,xy} - N_{1,xy}^0$$

Expanding the R 's and N 's about the fiducial by some parametrization θ ,

$$\begin{aligned} C_{xy}^{\text{th}} &\approx \frac{R_x^0 R_y^0 + \nabla_{\theta}^0 (R_x R_y) \cdot (\theta - \theta_0)}{R_x^0 R_y^0} C_{xy} + \nabla_{\theta}^0 N_{1,xy} \cdot (\theta - \theta_0) \\ &\approx C_{xy} + \nabla_{\theta}^0 \ln(R_x R_y) \cdot (\theta - \theta_0) C_{xy} + \nabla_{\theta}^0 N_{1,xy} \cdot (\theta - \theta_0) \end{aligned}$$

The Planck parametrization is $\theta = \{C_x, C_{xy}\}$ (the CMB and convergence spectra, respectively).

6.6.1 Corrections

For each polcomb in $\alpha = \{\text{TT}, \text{TE}, \text{EE}, \text{EB}\}$, we calculate the normalization

$$L^2 A_{\alpha}^{-1}(\mathbf{L}) = \int \frac{d^2 \ell_1}{(2\pi)^2} f_{\alpha}(\ell_1, \ell_2) F_{\alpha}^0(\ell_1, \ell_2)$$

Here, $F_{\alpha}^0(\ell_1, \ell_2)$ and $f_{\alpha}(\ell_1, \ell_2)$ are functions of the CMB power spectra. The former is always evaluated at the fiducial cosmology assumed in the main analysis. We calculate derivatives of the normalization with respect to CMB power spectra by shifting the amplitude in annuli of width $\Delta \ell$ of the respective 2D CMB power spectra that are used in $f_{\alpha}(\ell_1, \ell_2)$. For the TT case,

$$\begin{aligned} R(\mathbf{L}) &\equiv L^2 A_{TT}^{-1}(\mathbf{L}) = \int \frac{d^2 \ell_1}{(2\pi)^2} (C_{\ell_1}(\mathbf{L} \cdot \ell_1) + C_{\ell_2}(\mathbf{L} \cdot \ell_2)) F_{TT}^0(\ell_1, \ell_2) \\ \frac{\partial R(\mathbf{L})}{\partial C_{\ell}} &= \int \frac{d^2 \ell_1}{(2\pi)^2} (\delta(\ell_1 - \ell)(\mathbf{L} \cdot \ell_1) + (\delta(\ell_2 - \ell)(\mathbf{L} \cdot \ell_2))) F_{TT}^0(\ell_1, \ell_2) \\ \frac{\partial^2 R(\mathbf{L})}{\partial C_{\ell}^2} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Ignoring the N_1 correction for now, we expand up to all orders in the product of R s, which only gives one additional term.

$$\begin{aligned} C_{xy}^{\text{th}} &\approx \frac{R_x^0 R_y^0 + \nabla_{\theta} (R_x R_y) \cdot (\theta - \theta_0) + \nabla_{\theta}^2 (R_x R_y) \cdot (\theta - \theta_0)^2}{R_x^0 R_y^0} C_{xy} \\ &\approx C_{xy} + \nabla_{\theta}^0 \ln(R_x R_y) \cdot (\theta - \theta_0) C_{xy} + 2 \nabla_{\theta}^0 \ln R_x \nabla_{\theta}^0 \ln R_y \cdot (\theta - \theta_0)^2 C_{xy} \end{aligned}$$

Note that the C_{xy} here is evaluated at the point in the chain, rather than at the fiducial. Unlike the Planck corrections, the difference between the two does matter here since we need to be correct up to all orders.

6.7 Cluster lensing

7 Cosmological Parameters