Title: Comparative Analysis of Image Classification Models for Efficient and Accurate Classification across

Diverse Image Types

Author: Yusif Mukhtarov

Reviewer: Shamil Shukurov

Summary of proposal: The purpose of this research proposal is to conduct a comprehensive comparative analysis of various image classification models in order to determine which models are the most effective and accurate for various image types. Due to the exponential development of digital imagery in fields such as medical imaging and autonomous vehicles, the proposal acknowledges the expanding demand for robust image classification models. Different datasets will be used to compare popular models such as Lenet-5, VGG16, AlexNet, EfficientNet, RestNet50, and MobileNet. However, it is acknowledged that the accessibility of particular datasets, particularly medical images, and the computational power required to analyze them are potential obstacles that may need to be addressed.

## **Strengths**:

Relevance: The proposal addresses an important issue in the field of computer vision, namely the need for effective and precise image classification models. The research seeks to contribute to the development of robust models capable of handling a variety of image categories, such as medical images.

Comprehensive Approach: The proposal proposes a comparative analysis of multiple well-established image classification models. This method permits a comprehensive evaluation and comparison of the performance of models on various datasets, yielding valuable insights regarding their strengths and deficiencies.

Flexibility: The proposal recognizes the potential barriers to accessing particular datasets and computational capacity. The research project demonstrates adaptability and resourcefulness by permitting dataset replacement and modifications based on accessibility.

## **Areas for Improvement:**

Research Objectives: The proposal could benefit from a more explicit statement of research objectives that define the desired outcomes of the comparative analysis. This would provide a distinct focus and direction for the research, thereby facilitating the selection of suitable evaluation metrics and methodologies.

Methodology: Although the proposal mentions comparing and evaluating the models on various datasets, it lacks specifics regarding the evaluation criteria and experimental design. Including the selection criteria for datasets and specific performance metrics in a more comprehensive methodology section would strengthen the proposal.

In conclusion, the proposal for a comparative analysis of image classification models represents a potential interesting research direction. This study seeks to contribute to the development of efficient and accurate models by comparing well-known models on various image categories. The proposal demonstrates adaptability by considering potential obstacles and addressing accessibility and computational power issues. However, the proposal could be enhanced by defining research objectives explicitly, providing a detailed methodology, and anticipating potential challenges beyond accessibility and computational capacity. This research project has the potential to make a significant contribution to the field of computer vision with these enhancements.