

## **Review on research proposal on the topic of “A Comparative Study: Monolithic vs. Microservices Architectures”**

### **1.Introduction:**

The research proposal focuses on comparing monolithic architecture with microservices architecture to evaluate their advantages, disadvantages, and suitability for different use cases. The proposal aims to conduct a comprehensive study by collecting industry data and analyzing key aspects such as complexity, scalability, deployment, monitoring, and other relevant factors. Below is an evaluation of the proposal and recommendations for improvement.

### **2.Research Scope and Methodology:**

**Strong Points:** The proposal presents a clear scope of comparing monolithic and microservices architectures, analyzing real-world use cases, and evaluating multiple criteria.

**Points to improve:** The proposal lacks a detailed description of the research methodology. It would be beneficial to outline the specific data collection methods, analysis techniques, and tools to be used during the study.

### **3.Significance and Benefits:**

**Strong Points:** The proposal acknowledges the relevance of system design and architecture skills for software engineers. Conducting this study will provide valuable experience and knowledge in these areas.

**Points to improve:** While the proposal focuses primarily on personal benefits, it would be valuable to expand on the potential benefits for the broader software engineering community. Discussing how the findings and key takeaways from the study could contribute to industry practices and decision-making processes.

#### **4.Anticipated Risks:**

**Strong Points:** The proposal rightly identifies the potential challenge of accessing industry data openly. The proposal acknowledges the potential complexity of the study, emphasizing the need for comprehensive analysis. The proposal recognizes the possibility of encountering technical challenges during the interpretation of complex concepts.

**Points to improve:** Providing potential solutions or alternative approaches to mitigate the anticipated risks, also considering alternative data sources if industry data is limited would be better to have it here.

#### **Timeline and Out-of-Pocket Costs:**

The proposal states that there are no expected costs and suggests completing the research within an 11-week timeframe.

**Points to improve:** While the absence of out-of-pocket costs is reasonable, it would be beneficial to allocate sufficient time for data collection, analysis, and interpretation, keeping in mind that adjusting the timeline to allow for potential delays and ensure thorough research.

#### **Summary**

In summary, while the research proposal covers essential aspects of comparing monolithic and microservices architectures, several areas can be improved to enhance the overall quality and impact of the study. From my point of view as reviewer I believe by incorporating the provided recommendations, the research will benefit from a clearer research methodology, expanded benefits to the software engineering community, effective risk mitigation strategies, and a well-defined timeline.